

Article

# H-V-Super-Strong-(a, d)-antimagic decomposition of complete bipartite graphs

## Solomon Stalin Kumar<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, The American College, Madurai - 625 002, Tamilnadu, India.

## \* Correspondence: sskumbas@gmail.com

**Abstract:** An *H*-(a,d)-antimagic labeling in a *H*-decomposable graph *G* is a bijection f:  $V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p + q\}$  such that  $\sum f(H_1), \sum f(H_2), \dots, \sum f(H_h)$  forms an arithmetic progression with difference *d* and first element *a*. *f* is said to be *H*-*V*-super-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic if  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ . Suppose that  $V(G) = U(G) \cup W(G)$  with |U(G)| = m and |W(G)| = n. Then *f* is said to be *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and  $f(W(G)) = \{m + 1, m + 2, ..., (m + n = p)\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling is called a *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic decomposable graph. In this paper, we prove that complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$  are *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic decomposable with both *m* and *n* are even.

**Keywords:** *H*-decomposable graph, *H*-*V*-super magic labeling, complete bipartite graph. **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C78, 05C70.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider only finite and simple undirected bipartite graphs. The vertex and edge sets of a graph G are denoted by V(G) and E(G) respectively and we let |V(G)| = p and |E(G)| = q. For graph theoretic notations, we follow [1,2]. A labeling of a graph G is a mapping that carries a set of graph elements, usually vertices and/or edges into a set of numbers, usually integers. Many kinds of labeling have been studied and an excellent survey of graph labeling can be found in [3].

Although magic labeling of graphs was introduced by Sedlacek [4], the concept of vertex magic total labeling (VMTL) first appeared in 2002 in [5]. In 2004, MacDougall et al. [6] introduced the notion of super vertex magic total labeling (SVMTL). In 1998, Enomoto et al. [7] introduced the concept of super edge-magic graphs. In 2005, Sugeng and Xie [8] constructed some super edge-magic total graphs. The usage of the word "super" was introduced in [7]. The notion of a *V*-super vertex magic labeling was introduced by MacDougall et al. [6] as in the name of super vertex-magic total labeling and it was renamed as *V*-super vertex magic labeling by Marr and Wallis in [9] after referencing the article [10]. Most recently, Tao-ming Wang and Guang-Hui Zhang [11], generalized some results found in [10].

Hartsfield and Ringel [12] introduced the concept of an antimagic graph. In their terminology, an antimagic labeling is an edge-labeling of the graph with the integers  $1, 2, \dots, q$  so that the weight

at each vertex is different from the weight at any other vertex. Bodendiek and Walther [13] defined the concept of an (a, d)-antimagic labeling as an edge-labeling in which the vertex weights forms an arithmetic progression starting from a and having common difference d. Bǎca et al. [14] introduced the notions of vertex-antimagic total labeling and (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling. Simanjuntak et al [15] introduced the concept of (a, d)-antimagic graph. Sudarasana et al [16] studied the concept of super edge-antimagic total labeling of disconnected graphs.

A bijection f from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  to the integers 1, 2, ..., p + q is called a vertex-antimagic total labeling of G if the weights of vertices  $\{w_f(x) = f(x) + \sum_{xy \in E(G)} f(xy), x \in V(G)\}$ , are pairwise distinct. f is called an (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling of G if the set of vertex weights  $\{w_f(x)|x \in V(G)\} = \{a, a + d, \dots, a + (p - 1)d\}$  for some integers a and d. f is said to be super-(a, d)-vertex-antimagic labeling if  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ . A graph G is called super-(a, d)-vertex-antimagic labeling. A bijection f from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  to the integers 1, 2, ..., p + q is called an (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling of G if the edge weights  $\{w(uv) = f(u) + f(v) + f(uv), uv \in E(G)\}$ , forms an arithmetic sequence with the first term a and common difference d. f is said to be super-(a, d)-edge-antimagic labeling if  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ .

A covering of *G* is a family of subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, ..., H_h$  such that each edge of E(G) belongs to at least one of the subgraphs  $H_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le h$ . Then it is said that *G* admits an  $(H_1, H_2, ..., H_h)$  covering. If every  $H_i$  is isomorphic to a given graph *H*, then *G* admits an *H*-covering. A family of subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, ..., H_h$  of *G* is a *H*-decomposition of *G* if all the subgraphs are isomorphic to a graph *H*,  $E(H_i) \cap E(H_j) = \emptyset$  for  $i \ne j$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^h E(H_i) = E(G)$ . In this case, we write  $G = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_h$ and *G* is said to be *H*-decomposable.

The notion of *H*-super magic labeling was first introduced and studied by Gutiérrez and Lladó [17] in 2005. They proved that some classes of connected graphs are *H*-super magic. Suppose *G* is *H*-decomposable. A total labeling  $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$  is called an *H*-magic labeling of *G* if there exists a positive integer *k* (called magic constant) such that for every copy *H* in the decomposition,  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H)} f(e) = k$ . A graph *G* that admits such a labeling is called a *H*-magic decomposable graph. An *H*-magic labeling *f* is called a *H*-V-super magic labeling if  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-V-super magic labeling is called a *H*-V-super magic decomposable graph. An *H*-magic labeling *f* is called a *H*-E-super magic labeling if  $f(E(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-V-super magic labeling if  $f(E(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-E-super magic labeling is called a *H*-E-super magic decomposable graph. The sum of all vertex and edge labels on *H* is denoted by  $\sum f(H)$ .

In 2001, Muntaner-Batle [18] introduced the concept of super-strong magic labeling of bipartite graph as in the name of special super magic labeling of bipartite graph and it was renamed as super-strong magic labeling by Marr and Wallis [9]. Marimuthu and Stalin Kumar [19] introduced the concept of *H*-*V*-super-strong magic decomposition and *H*-*E*-super-strong magic decomposition of complete bipartite graphs. Suppose *G* is a bipartite graph with vertex-sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of sizes *m* and *n* respectively. An edge-magic total labeling of *G* is super-strong if the elements of  $V_1$  receive labels  $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and the elements of  $V_2$  receive labels  $\{m + 1, m + 2, ..., m + n\}$ . Suppose *G* is *H*-decomposable and if  $V(G) = U(G) \cup W(G)$  with |U(G)| = m and |W(G)| = n. An *H*-*V*-super magic labeling *f* is called a *H*-*V*-super-strong magic if  $f(U(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and  $f(W(G)) = \{m + 1, m + 2, ..., (m + n = p)\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-*V*-super-strong magic labeling is called a *H*-*V*-super-strong magic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{q + 1, q + 2, ..., q + m\}$  and  $f(W(G)) = \{q + m + 1, q + m + 2, ..., m\}$ 

(q+m+n = qp)}. A graph that admits a *H*-*E*-super-strong magic labeling is called a *H*-*E*-super-strong magic decomposable graph.

Suppose *G* is *H*-decomposable. A total labeling  $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$  is called an *H*-antimagic labeling of *G* if  $\sum f(H_1), \sum f(H_2), \dots, \sum f(H_h)$  are pairwaise distinct. *f* is said to be *H*-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic if these numbers forms an arithmetic progression with difference *d* and first element *a*. A *H*-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling *f* is called *H*-*V*-super-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ . Suppose that  $V(G) = U(G) \cup W(G)$  with |U(G)| = m and |W(G)| = n. Then *f* is said to be *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{m + 1, m + 2, ..., (m + n = p)\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(E(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., q\}$ . *f* is said to be *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., q\}$ . *f* is said to be *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., q\}$ . *f* is said to be *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{1, 2, ..., q\}$ . *f* is said to be *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{q + m + 1, q + m + 2, ..., (q + m + n = qp)\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling is called a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling is called a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{q + m + 1, q + m + 2, ..., (q + m + n = qp)\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling is called a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling is called a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if  $f(U(G)) = \{q + m + 1, q + m + 2, ..., (q + m + n = qp)\}$ . A graph that admits a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling is called a *H*-*E*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic decomposable graph.

In 2012, Inayah et al. [20] studied magic and anti-magic *H*-decompositions and Zhihe Liang [21] studied cycle-super magic decompositions of complete multipartite graphs. In many of the results about *H*-magic graphs, the host graph *G* is required to be *H*-decomposable. Yoshimi Ecawa et al [22] studied the decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into edge-disjoint subgraphs with star components. The notion of star-subgraph was introduced by Akiyama and Kano in [23]. A subgraph *F* of a graph *G* is called a star-subgraph if each component of *F* is a star. Here by a star, we mean a complete bipartite graph of the form  $K_{1,m}$  with  $m \ge 1$ . A subgraph *F* of a graph *G* is called a n-star-subgraph if  $F \cong K_{1,n}$  with  $2 \le n < p$ . Marimuthu and Stalin Kumar [24, 25] studied about the *H*-*V*-super magic decomposition and *H*-*E*-super magic decomposition of complete bipartite graphs.

#### 2. Main Results

In this section, we consider the graphs  $G \cong K_{m,n}$  and  $H \cong K_{1,n}$ , where  $n \ge 1$  and both *m* and *n* are even. Clearly p = m + n and q = mn.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m\}$  is a n-star-decomposition of G with both m and n are even. Then G is H-V-super-strong-(a, d)-antimagic decomposable with  $a = 1 + \frac{n^2(m+3)+2n(2m+1)}{2}$  and d = 1.

*Proof.* Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$  and  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be two stable sets of *G*. Let  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m\}$  be a *n*-star decomposition of *G* with both *m* and *n* are even, where each  $H_i$  is isomorphic to *H*, such that  $V(H_i) = \{u_i, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $E(H_i) = \{u_iv_1, u_iv_2, \dots, u_iv_n\}$ , for all  $1 \le i \le m$ . Define a total labeling  $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$  by  $f(u_i) = i$  and  $f(v_j) = m + j$ , for all  $1 \le i \le m$  and  $1 \le j \le n$ .

**Case 1:**  $m \neq n$ . Now the edges of *G* can be labeled as shown in Table 1. We prove the result for n = k and the result follows for all  $1 \le k \le m$ . From Table 1 and from definition of *f*, we get

$$\sum f(H_k) = f(u_k) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_k v_i) = k + \sum_{i=1}^n (m+i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_k v_i).$$

Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(v_i) = (m+1) + (m+2) + \dots + (m+n)$$

Ars Combinatoria

f	$v_1$	$v_2$	 $v_{n-1}$	$v_n$
$u_1$	(m+n)	(2m + n)	 (m+n)	(m+n)
	+m	+1	+((n-1)m)	+((n-1)m+1)
$u_2$	(m + n) +	(2m + n)	 (m+n)	(m+n)
	(m - 1)	+2	+((n-1)m-1)	+((n-1)m+2)
$u_3$	(m + n) +	(2m + n)	 (m+n)	(m+n)
	(m - 2)	+3	((n-1)m-2)	+((n-1)m+3)
÷			 	
$u_k$	(m + n) +	(2m + n)	 (m+n) + ((n-2)m)	(m+n) + (n-1)n
	(m-(k-1))	+k	+(m-(k-1))	+k
÷			 	
$u_{m-1}$	(m + n) +	(2m + n)	 (m+n)	(m+n)
	2	+(m - 1)	+((n-2)m+2)	+(mn - 1)
$u_m$	(m + n) +	(2m + n)	 (m+n)	(m+n)
	1	+m	+((n-2)m+1)	+mn

**Table 1.** The edge label of a *n*-star-decomposition of *G* if  $m \neq n$ ..

$$= mn + (1 + 2 + \dots + n) = mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Also

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(u_k v_i) = ((m+n) + (m-(k-1))) + ((m+n) + (m+k)) + \cdots + ((m+n) + (n-2)m + (m-(k-1)))) + ((m+n) + (n-1)m + k))$$

$$= ((2m+n) - (k-1)) + ((2m+n) + k) + ((4m+n) - (k-1)) + (((n)m+n) + k))$$

$$= 2((2m+n) + (4m+n) + \cdots + (nm+n)) + \frac{n}{2}(1)$$

$$= 2((2m+2n + \cdots + nm) + \frac{n(n)}{2}) + \frac{n}{2}$$

$$= 4m(1+2+\cdots + \frac{n}{2}) + \frac{2n^2 + n}{2} = 4m(\frac{n(n+2)}{8}) + \frac{2n^2 + n}{2}$$

$$= \frac{mn^2 + 2mn + 2n^2 + n}{2} = \frac{n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(u_k v_i) = \frac{n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2}.$$

and is constant for all  $1 \le k \le m$ . Using the above values, we get

$$\sum f(H_k) = k + mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2}$$
$$= k + \frac{2mn + n^2 + n + n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2}$$
$$= k + \frac{n^2(m+3) + 2n(2m+1)}{2}.$$

Volume 157, 73-80

<b>Table 2.</b> The edge label of a <i>n</i> -star-decomposition of G if $m = n$ .
--

f	$v_1$	$v_2$		$V_{n-1}$	$v_n$
$u_1$	3 <i>n</i>	3n + 1		(n + 1)n	(n + 1)n + 1
$u_2$	3n - 1	3n + 2		(n + 1)n - 1	(n + 1)n + 2
$u_3$	3n - 2	3n + 3		(n + 1)n - 2	(n + 1)n + 3
:					
$u_k$	3n-(k-1)	3n + k		(n+1)n - (k-1)	(n + 1)n + k
÷					
$u_{n-1}$	2 <i>n</i> + 2	4 <i>n</i> − 1		n(n) + 2	(n+2)n - 1
$u_n$	2n + 1	4 <i>n</i>	•••	n(n) + 1	(n + 2)n
$u_k$ $\vdots$ $u_{n-1}$	$3n - (k - 1)$ $\dots$ $2n + 2$	$3n + k$ $\dots$ $4n - 1$		(n+1)n - (k-1)  n(n) + 2	$(n + 1)n + \dots + (n + 2)n - (n + $

for all  $1 \le k \le m$ . So,  $\{\sum f(H_1), \sum f(H_2), \dots, \sum f(H_m) = a, a + d, \dots, a + (m-1)d\}$  forms an arithmetic progression with  $a = (1 + \frac{n^2(m+3)+2n(2m+1)}{2})$  and common difference d = 1. Thus in this case, the graph *G* is a *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic decomposable.

**Case 2:** *m* = *n*.

Now the edges of G can be labeled as shown in Table 2.

We prove the result for n = k and the result follows for all  $1 \le k \le n$ .

From Table 2 and from definition of f, we get

$$\sum f(H_k) = f(u_k) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_k v_i) = k + \sum_{i=1}^n (n+i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_k v_i).$$

Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(v_i) = (n+1) + (n+2) + \dots + (n+n) = (n)n + (1+2+\dots+n)$$
$$= (n)n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(u_{k}v_{i}) &= (3n - (k - 1)) + (3n + k) + (5n - (k - 1)) + (5n + k) + \cdots \\ &+ ((n + 1)n - (k - 1)) + ((n + 1)n + k) \\ &= (3n + 1) + 3n + (5n + 1) + 5n + \cdots + ((n + 1)n + 1) + (n + 1)n \\ &= 2(3n + 5n + \cdots + (n + 1)n) + \frac{n}{2}(1) \\ &= 2n(3 + 5 + \cdots + (n + 1)) - (2 + 4 + 6 + \cdots + n) - 1) + \frac{n}{2} \\ &= 2n((1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (n + 1)) - (2 + 4 + 6 + \cdots + n) - 1) + \frac{n}{2} \\ &= 2n(\frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{2} - 2\frac{(\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n + 1}{2})}{2} - 1) + \frac{n}{2} \\ &= 2n(\frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{2} - 2\frac{(\frac{n^{2}}{2})(\frac{n + 1}{2})}{2} - 1) + \frac{n}{2} \\ &= 2n(\frac{2n^{2} + 3n + 2}{2} - \frac{(n^{2} + 2n)}{4} - 1) + \frac{n}{2} \\ &= 2n(\frac{2n^{2} + 6n + 4 - n^{2} - 2n - 4}{4} + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n(n^{2} + 4n + n)}{2} \\ &= \frac{n^{3} + 2n^{2} + 2n^{2} + n}{2} = \frac{n^{2}(n + 2) + (n(2n + 1))}{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(u_k v_i) = \frac{n^2(n+2) + n(2n+1)}{2}$$

and is constant for all  $1 \le k \le n$ . Using the above values, we get

$$\sum f(H_k) = k + (n)n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n^2(n+2) + n(2n+1)}{2}$$
$$= k + \frac{2(n)n + n^2 + n + n^2(n+2) + n(2n+1)}{2}$$
$$= k + \frac{n^2(n+3) + 2n(2n+1)}{2}.$$

for all  $1 \le k \le n$ . So,  $\{\sum f(H_1), \sum f(H_2), \dots, \sum f(H_n) = a, a+d, \dots, a+(n-1)d\}$  forms an arithmetic progression with  $a = (1 + \frac{n^2(n+3)+2n(2n+1)}{2})$  and common difference d = 1. Thus in this case also, the graph *G* is a *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic decomposable.

**Theorem 2.** If a non-trivial H-decomposable graph  $G \cong K_{m,n}$  is H-V-super-strong-(a, d)-antimagic decomposable graph with both m and n are even and if the sum of edge labels of a decomposition  $H_j$  is denoted by  $\sum f(E(H_j))$  then  $\sum f(E(H_j))$  is constant for all  $1 \le j \le m$  and it is given by  $\sum f(E(H_j)) = \frac{n^2(m+2)+n(2m+1)}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose G is H-decomposable and possesses a H-V-super-strong-(a, d)-antimagic labeling f, then by Theorem 1, for each  $H_j$  in the H-decomposition of G, we get

$$\sum f(E(H_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_j v_i) = \frac{n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2}$$

which is true for all  $1 \le j \le m$ . Thus  $\sum f(E(H_j))$  is constant for all  $1 \le k \le m$  and it is given by  $\sum f(E(H_j)) = \frac{n^2(m+2)+n(2m+1)}{2}$ .

**Theorem 3.** If a non-trivial H-decomposable graph  $G \cong K_{m,n}$  is H-V-super-strong-(a, d)-antimagic decomposable graph with both m and n are even and if the sum of vertex labels of a decomposition  $H_j$  is denoted by  $\sum f(V(H_j))$  then

 $\{\sum f(V(H_1)), \sum f(V(H_2)), \dots, \sum f(V(H_m))\} = \{a, a + d, \dots, a + (m-1)d\} \text{ with } a = (mn+1) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \text{ and } d = 1.$ 

*Proof.* Suppose G is H-decomposable and possesses a H-V-super-strong-(a, d)-antimagic labeling f, then by Theorem 1, for each  $H_j$  in the H-decomposition of G, we get

$$\sum f(V(H_j)) = f(u_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) = j + \sum_{i=1}^n (m+i) = j + ((m+1) + (m+2) + \dots + (m+n))$$
$$= j + mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

which is true for all  $1 \le j \le m$ . Thus  $\{\sum f(V(H_1)), \sum f(V(H_2)), \cdots, \sum f(V(H_m))\} = \{a, a + d, \cdots, a + (m - 1)d\}$  with  $a = (mn + 1) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  and d = 1.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $G \cong K_{m,n}$  be a *H*-decomposable graph with both *m* and *n* are even and if  $V(G) = U(G) \cup W(G)$  with |U(G)| = m and |W(G)| = n. let *g* be a bijection from V(G) onto  $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  with  $g(U(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $g(W(G)) = \{(m + 1), (m + 2), \dots, (m + n = p)\}$  then *g* can be extended to an *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling if and only if  $\sum f(E(H_j))$  is constant for all  $1 \le j \le m$  and it is given by  $\sum f(E(H_j)) = \frac{n^2(m+2)+n(2m+1)}{2}$ .

Ars Combinatoria

Volume 157, 73-80

*Proof.* Suppose  $G \cong K_{m,n}$  be a *H*-decomposable graph with both *m* and *n* are even and if  $V(G) = U(G) \cup W(G)$  with |U(G)| = m and |W(G)| = n. let *g* be a bijection from V(G) onto  $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  with  $g(U(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $g(W(G)) = \{(m + 1), (m + 2), \dots, (m + n = p)\}$ . Assume that  $\sum f(E(H_j))$  is constant for all  $1 \le j \le m$  and it is given by  $\sum f(E(H_j)) = \frac{n^2(m+2)+n(2m+1)}{2}$ . Define  $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p + q\}$  as  $f(u_i) = g(u_i)$ ;  $f(u_j) = g(u_j)$  for all  $1 \le i \le m$ ;  $1 \le j \le n$  and the edge labels are in either Table 1 (if  $m \ne n$ ) or Table 2 (if m = n) then by Theorem 2.1, for each  $H_j$  in the *H*-decomposition of *G*, we get

$$\sum f(V(H_j)) = f(u_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) = j + \sum_{i=1}^n (m+i) = j + ((m+1) + (m+2) + \dots + (m+n))$$
$$= j + mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

which is true for all  $1 \le j \le m$ . So, we have  $\{\sum f(V(H_1)), \sum f(V(H_2)), \cdots, \sum f(V(H_m))\} = \{a, a + d, \cdots, a + (m-1)d\}$  with  $a = (mn + 1) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  and d = 1. Hence,

$$\sum f(H_j) = \sum f(V(H_j)) + \sum f(E(H_j)) = (j + mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}) + (\frac{n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2})$$
$$= j + \frac{2mn + n^2 + n + n^2(m+2) + n(2m+1)}{2} = j + \frac{n^2(m+3) + 2n(2m+1)}{2}.$$

for every  $H_j$  in the *H*-decomposition of *G* and for all  $1 \le j \le m$ . Thus we have, *f* is an *H*-*V*-superstrong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling.

Suppose g can be extended to an *H*-*V*-super-strong-(*a*, *d*)-antimagic labeling f of G with with  $a = 1 + \frac{n^2(m+3)+2n(2m+1)}{2}$  and d = 1. Then by Theorem  $2 \sum f(E(H_j))$  is constant for all  $1 \le j \le m$  and it is given by  $\sum f(E(H_j)) = \frac{n^2(m+2)+n(2m+1)}{2}$ .

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the *H*-*V*-super-strong-(a, d)-antimagic decomposition of  $K_{m,n}$  with  $n \ge 1$  and both *m* and *n* are even.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The author declares no conflict of interests.

#### References

- 1. Chartrand, G. and Lesniak, L., 1996. *Graphs and Digraphs*. Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, London, Newyork, Washington, D.C.
- 2. Chartrand, G. and Zhang, P., 2009. *Chromatic graph theory*. Chapman and Hall, CRC, Boca Raton.
- 3. Gallian, J.A., 2018. A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *Electronic Journal of combinatorics*, *1*(Dynamic Surveys), p.DS6.
- 4. Sedláček, J., 1963, June. Problem 27. Theory of graphs and its applications. In *Proceedings of the Symposium held in Smolenice*. Praha (pp. 163-164).
- 5. MacDougall, J.A., Miller, M. and Wallis, W.D., 2002. Vertex-magic total labelings of graphs. *Utilitas Mathematics*, *61*, pp.3-21.

- 6. MacDougall, J.A., Miller, M. and Sugeng, K.A., 2004. Super vertex-magic total labelings of graphs. In *Proceedings of the 15th Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms* (pp. 222-229).
- 7. Enomoto, H., Llado, A.S., Nakamigawa, T. and Ringel, G., 1998. Super edge-magic graphs. *SUT Journal of Mathematics*, *34*(2), pp.105-109.
- 8. Sugeng, K.A. and Xie, W., 2005. Construction of super edge magic total graphs. *Proceedings*. *16th AWOCA*, 303-310.
- 9. Marr, A.M. and Wallis, W.D., 2013. *Magic graphs(2nd edition)*. Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin.
- 10. Marimuthu, G. and Balakrishnan, M., 2012. E-super vertex magic labelings of graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, *160*(12), pp.1766-1774.
- 11. Wang, T.M. and Zhang, G.H., 2014. Note on E-super vertex magic graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 178, pp.160-162.
- 12. Ringel, G. and Hartsfield, N., 1990. *Pearls in graph theory*. Academic Press, Boston-SanDiego-Newyork-London.
- 13. Bodendiek, R. and Walther, G., 1993. Arithmetisch antimagische graphen. Graphentheorie III. Ink. Wagner and R. Bodendiek, Bl-wiss. Verl., Manheim
- 14. Baca, M., MacDougall, J., Bertault, F., Miller, M., Simanjuntak, R. and Slamin, 2003. Vertexantimagic total labelings of graphs. *Discussiones mathematicae graph theory*, 23(1), pp.67-83.
- 15. Simanjuntak, R., Bertault, F. and Miller, M., 2000. Two new (a, d)-antimagic graph labelings. In *Proceedings of Eleventh Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms* (Vol. 11, pp. 179-189).
- 16. Sudarsana, I.W., Ismaimuza, D., Baskoro, E.T. and Assiyatun, H., 2005. On super (a, d)-edge antimagic total labeling of disconnected graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 55, pp.149-158.
- 17. Gutiérrez, A. and Lladó, A., 2005. Magic coverings, *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 55, 43-56.
- 18. Muntaner-Batle, F.A., 2001. Special Super Edge Magic-Labelings of Bipartite Graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, *39*, pp.107-120.
- 19. Kumar, S. S., and Marimuthu, G. A *H-V-super-strong magic decomposition of complete bipartite graphs*, communicated.
- 20. Inayah, N., Lladó, A. and Moragas, J., 2012. Magic and antimagic H-decompositions. *Discrete Mathematics*, *312*(7), pp.1367-1371.
- 21. Liang, Z., 2012. Cycle-supermagic decompositions of complete multipartite graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, *312*(22), pp.3342-3348.
- 22. Egawa, Y., Urabe, M., Fukuda, T. and Nagoya, S., 1986. A decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into edge-disjoint subgraphs with star components. *Discrete mathematics*, *58*(1), pp.93-95.
- 23. Akiyama, J. and Kano, M., 1984. Path factors of a graph, Graphs and Applications, Wiley, Newyork.
- 24. Marimuthu, G.T. and Kumar, S.S., *H-E-super magic decomposition of complete bipartite graphs*, communicated.
- 25. Kumar, S.S. and Marimuthu, G.T., 2015. HV-super magic decomposition of complete bipartite graphs. *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, *30*(3), pp.313-325.