

## Short Proofs of Some Fan-Type Results

H.J. Veldman

Faculty of Applied Mathematics  
University of Twente  
7500 AE Enschede  
THE NETHERLANDS

**Abstract.** For a graph  $G$ , define  $\phi(G) = \min \{ \max \{ d(u), d(v) \} \mid d(u, v) = 2 \}$  if  $G$  contains two vertices at distance 2, and  $\phi(G) = \infty$  otherwise. Fan proved that every 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}n$  is hamiltonian. Short proofs of this result and a number of analogues, some known, some new, are presented. Also, it is shown that if  $G$  is 2-connected,  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-i)$  and  $G - \{v \in V(G) \mid d(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-i)\}$  has at least three components with more than  $i$  vertices, then  $G$  is hamiltonian ( $i \geq 1$ ).

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. For notation and terminology not defined here we refer to [4].

For a graph  $G$ , we define

$$\phi(G) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if every component of } G \text{ is complete} \\ \min \{ \max \{ d(u), d(v) \} \mid d(u, v) = 2 \} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $d(x)$  denotes the degree of the vertex  $x$  in  $G$  and  $d(x, y)$  the distance of the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . Note that  $\phi(G) \geq \delta(G)$  for any graph  $G$ , where  $\delta(G)$  denotes the minimum degree of vertices in  $G$ . Geng-Hua Fan established the following result.

**Theorem 1** [5]. *If  $G$  is a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}n$ , then  $G$  is hamiltonian.*

Here we obtain Theorem 1 as a special case of a generic result, Theorem 2 below. Before stating Theorem 2 we give a number of preliminary definitions.

Bondy and Chvátal [3] defined a graph property  $P$  to be  **$f(n)$ -stable** if a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices has property  $P$  whenever  $G+uv$  has property  $P$  and  $d(u)+d(v) \geq f(n)$ . We call a collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs **invariant** if, whenever  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , every spanning supergraph of  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$ . For a graph  $G$  and a real number  $r$ , we define  $V_r(G) = \{v \in V(G) \mid d(v) \geq r\}$ . If  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , then  $N(S)$  denotes the set of all vertices of  $G - S$  adjacent to at least one vertex of  $S$ . If  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , then  $N(H)$  means  $N(V(H))$ . We now define a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs as follows:  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  if  $V(G)$  contains a subset  $U$  such that

- (i) the induced subgraph  $G[U]$  is complete, and
- (ii) every component of  $G - U$  is complete, and
- (iii) if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are distinct components of  $G - U$ , then  $N(G_1) \cap N(G_2) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Assume  $\mathcal{G}$  is an invariant collection of graphs and  $P$  an  $f(n)$ -stable property shared by all graphs in  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . If  $G$  is a graph in  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $n$  vertices with  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(n)$ , then  $G$  has property  $P$ .*

*Proof:* Assuming the theorem is not true, let  $G$  be a maximal graph in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $G$  has  $n$  vertices,  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(n)$  and  $G$  does not have property  $P$ . Set  $U = V_{\frac{1}{2}f(n)}(G)$ . Since  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(n)$ , no two vertices in  $G - U$  are at distance 2, implying that every component of  $G - U$  is complete and  $N(G_1) \cap N(G_2) = \emptyset$  whenever  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are distinct components of  $G - U$ . Since all graphs in  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$  enjoy  $P$ , we have  $G \notin \mathcal{F}$ . It follows that  $U$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . We have  $\phi(G + uv) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(n)$  and, by the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is invariant,  $G + uv \in \mathcal{G}$ . Using the maximality of  $G$  we conclude that  $G + uv$  has property  $P$ . Also,  $d(u) + d(v) \geq f(n)$ , since  $u, v \in U$ . However,  $P$  is  $f(n)$ -stable, implying that  $G$  itself has property  $P$ , a contradiction. ■

Ore [6] was the first to observe that the property of being hamiltonian is  $n$ -stable. Since the collection of all 2-connected graphs is invariant and every 2-connected graph in  $\mathcal{F}$  is clearly hamiltonian, we obtain Theorem 1 as a special case of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 can be used to generate several other specific Fan-type results. As examples we present Theorems 6, 7 and 8 below. Before doing so we develop some additional terminology and notations and make a few observations.

If  $G$  is a graph, then  $\eta(G)$  denotes the number of cut vertices of  $G$  and  $\mu(G)$  the smallest number of pairwise disjoint paths covering all vertices of  $G$ . For a nonnegative integer  $s$ , the graph  $G$  is  **$s$ -hamiltonian** if  $G - S$  is hamiltonian for every subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  with  $0 \leq |S| \leq s$ . Clearly,  $G$  is  $s$ -hamiltonian only if  $G$  is  $(s + 2)$ -connected.  $G$  is **Hamilton-connected** if every pair of distinct vertices of  $G$  is connected by a Hamilton path. Clearly,  $G$  is Hamilton-connected only if  $G$  is 3-connected or  $|V(G)| \leq 3$ .

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer.*

- (a) *The collection  $\{G \mid G \text{ is } k\text{-connected}\}$  is invariant.*
- (b) *The collection  $\{G \mid G \text{ is connected and } \eta(G) \leq k\}$  is invariant.*

The observations in Lemma 4 below are also easily checked. (For example, note with respect to Lemma 4(a) that if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $S$  is any subset of  $V(G)$ , then  $G - S \in \mathcal{F}$ ).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

- (a)  *$G$  is  $s$ -hamiltonian if and only if  $G$  is  $(s + 2)$ -connected ( $0 \leq s \leq n - 3$ ).*
- (b) *If  $\eta(G) \leq 2s$ , then  $\mu(G) \leq s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq n$ ).*
- (c)  *$G$  is Hamilton-connected if and only if either  $G$  is 3-connected or  $G \in \{K_1, K_2, K_3\}$ .*

(Short) proofs of the following assertions occur in [3].

**Lemma 5** [3].

- (a) *The property of being  $s$ -hamiltonian is  $(n + s)$ -stable ( $0 \leq s \leq n - 3$ ).*
- (b) *The property “ $\mu(G) \leq s$ ” is  $(n - s)$ -stable ( $1 \leq s \leq n$ ).*
- (c) *The property of being Hamilton-connected is  $(n + 1)$ -stable.*

We are now ready to state the announced results. Note that Theorem 6 generalizes Theorem 1, Theorem 7 contains a sufficient condition for a graph to have a Hamilton path as a special case ( $s = 1$ ), and Theorem 8 was first proved by Benhocine and Wojda [2].

**Theorem 6.** *If  $G$  is an  $(s + 2)$ -connected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n + s)$ , then  $G$  is  $s$ -hamiltonian ( $0 \leq s \leq n - 3$ ).*

Proof: Combine Theorem 2 with Lemmas 3(a), 4(a) and 5(a). ■

If  $n \geq s + 5$ , then the graph  $G = K_{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(n-s) - 1 \rceil, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-s) + 1 \rfloor} \vee K_s$ , where  $\vee$  denotes join, is  $(s + 2)$ -connected and not  $s$ -hamiltonian while  $\phi(G) = \delta(G) = \lceil \frac{1}{2}(n + s) \rceil - 1$ . Hence Theorem 6 is best possible.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $G$  is a connected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\eta(G) \leq 2s$  and  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - s)$ , then  $\mu(G) \leq s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq n$ ).*

Proof: Combine Theorem 2 with Lemmas 3(b), 4(b) and 5(b). ■

Theorem 7 is best possible, as shown by the graph  $K_{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(n-s) - 1 \rceil, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n+s) + 1 \rfloor}$  ( $n \geq s + 3$ ).

**Theorem 8** [2]. *If  $G$  is a 3-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$ , then  $G$  is Hamilton-connected.*

Proof: Combine Theorem 2 with Lemmas 3(a), 4(c) and 5(c). ■

The graph  $K_{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(n-1) \rceil, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \rfloor}$  ( $n \geq 6$ ) shows that Theorem 8 is best possible.

We turn to an improvement of Theorem 1 occurring in [2]. We define the collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of 2-connected non-hamiltonian graphs as  $\{H\} \cup \cup_{n \geq 7} \mathcal{G}_n$ , where  $H$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n$  are defined according to [2].

**Theorem 9** [2]. *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with independence number  $\alpha(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n$ . If  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - 1)$ , then either  $G$  is hamiltonian or  $G \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

For a graph  $G$  and a positive integer  $i$ , define  $\omega_i(G)$  as the number of components of  $G$  with at least  $i$  vertices. The feature of interest here of the graphs in  $\mathcal{B}$  is that  $\omega(G - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}(G)) \leq 2$  whenever  $G \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $|V(G)| = n$ . We thus have the following consequence of Theorem 9.

**Corollary 10.** *If  $G$  is a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\alpha(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n$ ,  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$  and  $\omega_2(G - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}(G)) \geq 3$ , then  $G$  is hamiltonian.*

We prove a generalization of Corollary 10, using a lemma recently established in [1].

**Lemma 11** [1]. *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices and  $S$  a vertex cut of  $G$ . Suppose some component of  $G - S$  is complete and has vertex set  $A$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are nonadjacent vertices in  $V(G) - (S \cup A)$  such that  $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - |A| + 1$ , then  $G$  is hamiltonian if and only if  $G + uv$  is hamiltonian.*

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph and  $i$  a positive integer. If  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-i)$  and  $\omega_{i+1}(G - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G)) \geq 3$ , then  $G$  is hamiltonian.*

Proof: Assuming the theorem is not true, let  $G$  be a maximal 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices such that  $\phi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-i)$ ,  $\omega_{i+1}(G - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G)) \geq 3$  and  $G$  is non-hamiltonian. Set  $U = V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G)$  and let  $G_1, G_2, G_3$  be three distinct components of  $G - U$  with at least  $i+1$  vertices. As in the proof of Theorem 2, every component of  $G - U$  is complete and  $N(H_1) \cap N(H_2) = \emptyset$  whenever  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are distinct components of  $G - U$ . Furthermore,  $U$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , otherwise  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $G$  would be hamiltonian by Lemma 4(a) (for  $s = 0$ ). The maximality of  $G$  implies that  $G + uv$  is hamiltonian. Since  $N(G_1), N(G_2)$  and  $N(G_3)$  are pairwise disjoint, there exists an integer  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $u, v \notin N(G_j)$ . Set  $S = N(G_j)$  and  $A = V(G_j)$ . Then  $G_j$  is a complete component of  $G - S$  with vertex set  $A$  while  $u$  and  $v$  are nonadjacent vertices in  $V(G) - (S \cup A)$  with  $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - i \geq n - |A| + 1$ . From Lemma 11 it now follows that  $G$  is hamiltonian, a contradiction. ■

Note that by Theorem 12 the condition  $\alpha(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n$  in Corollary 10 can be dropped. Also note that a graph  $G$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 12 only if  $n \geq 3i + 9$ .

We now show that Theorem 12 is best possible in two senses. For  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3i + 8$  we define the graph  $G_{n,i}$  on  $n$  vertices by the following requirements:

- (1)  $V(G_{n,i}) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup D \cup \{a_1, a_2\}$ .
- (2) The vertex sets of the components of  $G_{n,i} - \{a_1, a_2\}$  are  $A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cup B_2$  and  $D$ ; each of these components is complete.
- (3)  $N(a_1) = N(a_2) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup D$ .
- (4)  $|A_1 \cup B_1| = \lceil \frac{1}{2}(n-i-2) \rceil$  and  $|A_2 \cup B_2| = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-i-2) \rfloor$ , whence  $|D| = i$ .
- (5)  $|A_1 \cup A_2| = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-3i) \rfloor$  and  $0 \leq |A_1| - |A_2| \leq 1$ .

In particular,  $G_{n,i}$  is 2-connected and non-hamiltonian, while  $\phi(G_{n,i}) = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-i) \rfloor$  and  $V_{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-i) \rfloor}(G_{n,i}) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \{a_1, a_2\}$ .

For  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3i + 11$ , the graph  $G_{n,i+1}$  has  $\phi(G_{n,i+1}) = \lceil \frac{1}{2}(n-i) \rceil - 1$  and  $\omega_{i+1}(G_{n,i+1} - V_{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(n-i) \rceil - 1}(G_{n,i+1})) = 3$ , showing that the lower bound for

$\phi(G)$  in the hypothesis of Theorem 12 cannot be relaxed.

We finally show that the lower bound for  $\omega_{i+1}(G - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G))$  in the hypothesis of Theorem 12 cannot be relaxed either. If  $i \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 3i + 8$  and  $n - i$  is even, then  $\phi(G_{n,i}) = \frac{1}{2}(n - i)$  and  $\omega_{i+1}(G_{n,i} - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G_{n,i})) = 2$ . If  $i \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 3i + 9$  and  $n - i$  is odd, then  $\phi(G_{n,i-1}) = \lceil \frac{1}{2}(n - i) \rceil$  and  $\omega_{i+1}(G_{n,i-1} - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G_{n,i-1})) = 2$ . Note that for odd values of  $n - i$  an example of a 2-connected non-hamiltonian graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| = n$ ,  $\phi(G) = \lceil \frac{1}{2}(n - i) \rceil$  and  $\omega_{i+1}(G - V_{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)}(G)) = 2$  exists only if  $i \geq 2$  in view of Theorem 1. We also remark that, as it should be in view of Theorem 9,  $G_{n,1} \in \mathcal{B}$  if  $n$  is odd.

### Acknowledgement.

Thanks are due to R. Kalinowski, J. Malik, Z. Skupień and A.P. Wojda for pointing out some errors in the original version of this paper.

### References

1. D. Bauer, H.J. Broersma, H.J. Veldman, and Li Rao, *A generalization of a result of Häggkvist and Nicoghossian*, J. Combin. Theory (B) (to appear).
2. A. Benhocine and A.P. Wojda, *The Geng-Hua Fan conditions for pancyclic or Hamilton-connected graphs*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **42** (1987), 167-180.
3. J.A. Bondy and V. Chvátal, *A method in graph theory*, Discrete Math. **15** (1976), 111-135.
4. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, "Graph Theory with Applications", Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York, 1976.
5. Geng-Hua Fan, *New sufficient conditions for cycles in graphs*, J. Combin. Theory (B) **37** (1984), 221-227.
6. O. Ore, *Note on Hamilton circuits*, Am. Math. Monthly **67** (1960), p. 55.