

On the support size of 3-designs with repeated blocks

Ebadollah S. Mahmoodian

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Sharif University of Technology

and

Research Center of Atomic Energy Organization of Iran

Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract. The set of all distinct blocks of a $t - (v, k)$ design is referred to as the support of the design, and its cardinality is denoted by b^* . By generalizing a method on BIB designs called "trade off" to 3-designs, a table for $3 - (9, 4)$ designs with each $60 \leq b^* \leq 126 = \binom{9}{4}$ is constructed. Also we have produced over 2500 non-isomorphic $3 - (9, 4)$ designs with $\lambda_3 = 6$. By utilizing this generalized trade off method along with two other methods, a table for $3 - (10, 4)$ designs with 156 different b^* 's is constructed. By a recursive lower bound on the minimum value of b^* in all $t - (v, k)$ designs, it is shown that $b_{\min}^*[3 - (9, 4)] \geq 36$, and $b_{\min}^*[3 - (10, 4)] = 30$.

Introduction

Let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ and let $v \sum k$ be the collection of all distinct subsets of V of size k . A t -design (or more specifically a $t - (v, k)$ design) with parameters v, k and λ is a collection of b , not necessarily distinct, elements of $v \sum k$, referred to as blocks, with the property that every element of $v \sum t$ occurs in exactly λ blocks. The number of distinct blocks of a t -design is called the *support size* of the design and is denoted by b^* .

A (v, k, t) *trade* or simply a t -trade of volume s consists of two disjoint collections T_1 and T_2 , each of s blocks, such that for every element of $v \sum t$, the number of blocks containing this element is the same in both T_1 and T_2 .

For a given set of parameters v, k and t , it is an open question to determine possible support sizes of all $t - (v, k)$ designs. See for example [6] page 278. There are several papers in the literature which deal with this question for the cases of $t = 2$ (BIB designs). Here we apply three methods that have been used for BIBD's, to $3 - (9, 4)$ and $3 - (10, 4)$ designs. It can easily be seen that there is no nontrivial $3 - (9, 4)$ design whose blocks are all distinct. (A design is *trivial* if $v \sum k$ constitutes its blocks.) On the other hand it is known that there exists a nontrivial $3 - (10, 4)$ design whose blocks are all distinct. In fact, there exists a $3 - (10, 4)$ design with $b = 30$.

The only other nontrivial case for 3-designs with $v \leq 10$ is $3 - (8, 4)$ designs, which are studied in [10]. The rest of this section will be devoted to the discussion of the methods used to construct $3 - (9, 4)$ and $3 - (10, 4)$ designs.

First, the elementary *method of composition*, in which we consider the union of the collections of the blocks of two given t -designs to produce a new t -design, with a possible different b^* . Second, the *method of reducing support size*, which

is discussed in [7] and a computer algorithm is given there. Third, the *method of t-trade off* which is discussed in [3] and is applied to BIB designs with $v = 8$ and $k = 4$. This method can easily be generalized for t -designs.

For v, k and t , consider the following polynomial

$$(x_1 - x_2)(x_3 - x_4) \dots (x_{2t+1} - x_{2t+2})x_{2t+3} \dots x_{k+t+1}.$$

If we multiply the factors, and identify each x_i with i , the i^{th} element in V , then the resulting expression forms a (v, k, t) trade. For example, in the case of $t = 2$ and $k = 4$ we obtain the following set of blocks:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} T_1 : & 1357 & 1467 & 2367 & 2457 \\ T_2 : & 2467 & 2357 & 1457 & 1367 \end{array}$$

By applying certain permutations on this (v, k, t) trade, Graham, Li, and Li [1] have obtained a basis for the space of (v, k, t) trades.

In t -trade off method, for a given t -design, we construct a t -trade in such a way that either the blocks in T_1 or the blocks in T_2 are in the given design. Then by replacing the blocks of T_1 (or T_2) by the blocks of T_2 (or T_1 , respectively) in the given design, we obtain another design with possibly different b^* . Based on this idea we have utilized a computer algorithm for 3-trade off method.

Minimum support size

For each set of parameters v, k , and t , it is also an open question to determine b_{\min}^* , the minimum possible value of the support sizes of $t - (v, k)$ designs. For some special values of v, k , and t , this question has been answered. The value $\binom{v}{t}$ is settled for the upper bound of b_{\min}^* . But as is mentioned in [6, page 278], for almost all v, k , and t , the actual value is far below $\binom{v}{t}$. In [6] the “hard” case of $v = 8, k = 3$, and $t = 2$ is mentioned for an evidence of this fact, in which there is no nontrivial design without repeated block. The case $v = 9, k = 4$ and $t = 3$ is another “hard” case, and as it is demonstrated in Table 1, $b_{\min}^* \leq 60$, which is again far below $\binom{9}{3} = 84$.

Next we prove a lemma which gives a recursive bound for b_{\min}^* .

Lemma. *Let $b_{\min}^*[t - (k, v)]$ be the minimum possible value of the support sizes of all $t - (k, v)$ designs. Then*

$$b_{\min}^*[t - 1 - (v - 1, k - 1)] + b_{\min}^*[t - 1 - (v - 1, k)] \leq b_{\min}^*[t - (v, k)]$$

Proof: Suppose D is a $t - (v, k)$ design based on the set V with support size $b^*[t - (v, k)]$. We denote the collection of blocks of D by $\mathcal{B}(D)$. With respect

to any element $x \in V$, we may obtain from D , the following $(t - 1)$ -designs D_1 and D_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(D_1) &= \{B | B \in \mathcal{B}(D) \text{ and } x \notin B\}, \\ \mathcal{B}(D_2) &= \{B - \{x\} | B \in \mathcal{B}(D) \text{ and } x \in B\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then D_1 is a $(t - 1) - (v - 1, k)$ design, while D_2 is a $(t - 1) - (v - 1, k - 1)$ design. The support size of D is equal to the sum of the support sizes of D_1 and D_2 . This implies the statement of the lemma.

Corollary 1. $b_{\min}^*[3 - (9, 4)] \geq 36$.

Proof: It follows from the previous lemma and the fact that $b_{\min}^*[2 - (8, 4)] = 14$ (see [3]), and $b_{\min}^*[2 - (8, 3)] = 22$ (see [4]).

Corollary 2. $b_{\min}^*[3 - (10, 4)] = 30$.

Proof: We have $b_{\min}^*[2 - (9, 3)] = 12$ (see for example [9]), and $b_{\min}^*[2 - (9, 4)] = 18$ (see [8]). Therefore the statement follows by the above lemma and the fact that a $3 - (10, 4)$ design with $b^* = 30$ (a Steiner system $S(3, 4, 10)$) exists (see Table 2).

3 - (9, 4) designs

Let D be a $t - (v, k)$ design based on the set of elements V . It is well known that for each s ($1 \leq s \leq t$), every element of $v \sum s$ occurs in the same number of blocks. We denote this number by λ_s , and let $\lambda_0 = b$ and $\lambda_t = \lambda$. By an elementary counting argument we have,

$$\lambda_s = \lambda \frac{\binom{v-s}{t-s}}{\binom{k-s}{t-s}} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (1)$$

Therefore, for the existence of a $t - (v, k)$ design with parameter λ , the basic necessary conditions are that, for each s , the value λ_s given in (1) be an integer. Thus, for $3 - (9, 4)$ designs, the minimum possible value for λ_s is 6, and the minimum number of blocks is $\binom{9}{4} = 126$. By applying the method of 3-trade off on trivial design we have obtained all $3 - (9, 4)$ designs with $60 \leq b^* \leq 126$ and $b = 126$, except for $B^* \in A \cup B$, where $A = \{117, 119, 120, \dots, 125\}$ and $B = \{113, 115, 116\}$. The designs with $b = 126$ and $b^* \in A$ do not exist because it is proven that there exists no (v, k, t) trade with volume equal to $1, 2, \dots, 2^t - 1$, and $2^t + 1$ (see [5]). In Table 1 we have constructed designs with $b = 2(126) = 252$ for each $b^* \in A \cup B$.

As the designs in Table 1 indicate, $3 - (9, 4)$ designs exist for each $60 \leq b^* \leq 126$, whose number of blocks b , is given in the table. Besides this, all $3 - (9, 4)$ designs with $60 \leq b^* \leq 126$ exist for any possible $b > 126$. For,

if $60 \leq b^* \leq 126$ and $b^* \notin A \cup B$, then one may take l copies of the design listed in Table 1 with the given b^* , and obtain a design with $b = 126l$. If a design with $b^* \in A \cup B$ and with a larger b is desired, then one note that the design with $b^* = 61$ is embedded in the designs with $b^* \in A \cup B$ in Table 1. Thus by adding copies of this subdesign to the design with desired b^* , one can increase the number of blocks without altering the support size. We should mention that how the designs can be reconstructed from the entries in Table 1. The first four lines list the blocks vertically, in lexicographical order. And that x in the column beneath the block indicates that that block occurs x times in the design, i.e. the frequency of that block. Each design with a given b and b^* is listed in a row, with its block frequencies.

Note: With a long search by the 3-trade off method and the method of reducing support sizes of designs, we were unable to find designs with $b^* \in B$ and $b = 126$. A similar result was observed in the case of $3 - (10, 4)$ designs. This fact indicates that there might not be any 3-trade of volume 10, 11, or 13. This conjecture is under investigation.

The method of t -trade off is very useful in constructing non-isomorphic designs. Utilizing this method, by a computer program, we have obtained over 2500 non-isomorphic $3 - (9, 4)$ designs with $\lambda_3 = 6$ (see [12]). The previous known lower bound on this was 50 (see [2]).

3 – (10, 4) designs

In [11], it is shown that there exist five mutually disjoint $S(3, 4, 10)$, i.e. $3 - (10, 4)$ designs with $\lambda_3 = 1$ ($b = 30$).

In Table 2, we have demonstrated the result. In this Table first we introduce an $S(3, 4, 10)$. Then we present all the designs which may be found by composition. There, we list the value of b and b^* for the desired design, then b^* of a design D , followed by the image of a permutation on ten elements. If we apply this premutation on the design whose b^* is given in the last column and consider the collection of blocks of the resulting design together with the blocks of D , we obtain the desired design.

In the last part of Table 2, we list all the designs which are found by t -trade off method. In this part we list the value of b and b^* of the desired design, then the support size of a design D , that can be traded to obtain the desired design. Needed trade is introduced in the polynomial notation. The list of all $3 - (10, 4)$ designs is available from the author.

Note: With a long search by computer, we were not able to find $3 - (10, 4)$ designs with $b^* \in C \cup E$, where $C = \{31, \dots, 45, 47, 49, 50, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59\}$ and $E = \{61, 63\}$. This raises an interesting question: Following [13] we denote,

$J(v) = \{k \mid \text{there exists a pair of } 3 - (v, 4) \text{ designs with } \lambda_3 = 1, \text{ having exactly } k \text{ quadruples in common}\}$ and

$R(v) = \{k \mid$ there exists a $3 - (v, 4)$ design with $\lambda_3 = 2$ and having exactly k repeated blocks $\}$.

Rosa and Hoffman [13] have shown that, in the case of Steiner triple systems $J(v) = R(v)$. Now the problem is to prove similar result in the case of $3 - (v, 4)$ designs. This is the fact in the case of $3 - (8, 4)$ designs [10].

Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by a grant from Sharif University of Technology and was presented to the Second International Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics and Computing in Canberra, Australia, by a travel grant from the Atomic Energy Organization of Iran.

I thank M.B. Rostamabadi for his assistance in computer programming. I also appreciate referee's comments and suggestions.

Appendix

Table 1

3 – designs with $v = 9, k = 4$

Table 1 continued

Table 2

A 3-design with $v = 10, k = 4$

1111111111112222222223333344456
222233344556333445584455756767
346746958787467566795668878998
5A9878A699AA8A9978AAA979A8AAA9

3-designs with $v = 10, k = 4$

B		B^*	=	B^*	+	Permutation									on	B^*
						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	
60	46	30		3	9	A	4	1	6	7	2	8	5			30
60	48	30		6	1	2	7	5	4	8	9	3	A			30
60	52	30		2	6	5	4	9	7	1	8	3	A			30
60	54	30		2	5	6	A	9	7	3	4	1	8			30
60	56	30		5	4	2	8	7	9	6	3	1	A			30
60	58	30		4	A	9	2	6	5	7	1	8	3			30
60	60	30		2	6	5	4	1	7	3	8	9	A			30
90	62	30		3	1	9	6	5	7	A	2	4	8			46
90	64	30		4	7	3	8	5	2	A	1	6	9			48
90	65	30		4	6	3	1	8	7	2	9	A	5			46
90	66	30		8	4	1	3	9	A	7	2	5	6			46
90	67	30		7	1	9	4	3	2	6	8	5	A			46
90	68	30		8	4	A	2	5	7	6	3	1	9			46
90	71	30		9	3	8	2	1	7	5	4	6	A			46
90	73	30		1	2	9	6	5	A	4	7	3	8			46
90	77	30		A	2	5	8	1	7	9	3	4	6			58
120	89	30		2	1	7	3	9	8	4	A	5	6			64
90	90	60		3	7	5	6	4	1	2	8	9	A			30
120	120	90		7	1	6	2	5	4	3	8	9	A			30
150	150	120		1	4	5	3	7	2	6	8	9	A			30
150	123	30		3	6	1	5	9	8	4	A	7	2			100
240	203	30		7	1	9	4	3	2	6	8	5	A			202
240	204	30		4	2	5	3	7	1	8	A	9	6			202
270	208	60		4	2	5	3	7	1	8	A	9	6			202

3-designs with $v = 10, k = 4$

B	B^*	$=$	D	$+$	T	B	B^*	$=$	D	$+$	T
90	69	=	70	(1 - 6)(2 - 3)(5 - A)(7 - 9)		90	70	=	72	(1 - 3)(2 - 8)(5 - 7)(9 - A)	
90	72	=	76	(3 - 8)(4 - 6)(5 - 9)(A - 7)		90	74	=	76	(1 - 3)(2 - 8)(5 - 7)(9 - A)	
90	75	=	76	(1 - 4)(2 - 8)(3 - A)(5 - 6)		90	76	=	81	(1 - 6)(2 - 3)(4 - 9)(8 - 7)	
90	78	=	81	(1 - 6)(2 - 3)(4 - 5)(A - 7)		90	79	=	81	(1 - 4)(2 - 8)(3 - A)(5 - 6)	
90	80	=	81	(1 - 9)(2 - 8)(3 - 7)(4 - 6)		90	81	=	85	(1 - 9)(2 - 7)(4 - A)(8 - 5)	
90	82	=	85	(1 - 4)(2 - 8)(3 - A)(5 - 6)		90	83	=	85	(1 - A)(2 - 8)(4 - 9)(7 - 5)	
90	84	=	88	(1 - A)(2 - 5)(3 - 9)(7 - 6)		90	85	=	88	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 5)(6 - A)	
90	86	=	88	(1 - 4)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(5 - A)		90	87	=	85	(1 - 6)(2 - 3)(5 - 9)(8 - A)	
90	88	=	90	(1 - 5)(2 - A)(3 - 7)(6 - 9)		120	91	=	92	(1 - 3)(2 - A)(4 - 8)(5 - 7)	
120	92	=	93	(1 - 4)(2 - 9)(3 - 7)(6 - A)		120	93	=	96	(1 - 6)(2 - 5)(3 - 9)(A - 8)	
120	94	=	93	(1 - 7)(2 - 4)(3 - 5)(8 - A)		120	95	=	93	(1 - 9)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(5 - A)	
120	96	=	97	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 6)(8 - 5)		120	97	=	100	(1 - 6)(2 - 7)(3 - 9)(5 - 4)	
120	98	=	97	(1 - 7)(2 - 4)(3 - 6)(8 - 5)		120	99	=	97	(1 - A)(2 - 9)(3 - 8)(6 - 5)	
120	100	=	101	(1 - 6)(2 - 8)(3 - 9)(4 - A)		120	101	=	102	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(A - 9)	
120	102	=	103	(1 - 4)(2 - 7)(3 - 8)(A - 5)		120	103	=	104	(1 - 9)(2 - 5)(3 - 6)(A - 7)	
120	104	=	109	(1 - A)(2 - 8)(3 - 7)(5 - 4)		120	105	=	104	(1 - 8)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(7 - 5)	
120	106	=	103	(1 - 8)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(7 - 5)		120	107	=	104	(1 - 7)(2 - 4)(3 - 6)(8 - 5)	
120	108	=	104	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(A - 5)		120	109	=	110	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 5)(4 - 7)	
120	110	=	111	(1 - A)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(7 - 5)		120	111	=	114	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - A)	
120	112	=	113	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(6 - 9)		120	113	=	118	(1 - 5)(2 - 9)(3 - 8)(6 - A)	
120	114	=	118	(1 - 4)(2 - 5)(3 - A)(7 - 6)		120	115	=	118	(1 - 4)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(7 - A)	
120	116	=	118	(1 - 5)(2 - A)(3 - 7)(4 - 9)		120	117	=	118	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(6 - 9)	
120	118	=	120	(1 - 7)(2 - A)(3 - 5)(6 - 4)		120	119	=	118	(1 - A)(2 - 9)(4 - 8)(6 - 5)	
150	121	=	122	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(9 - 5)		150	122	=	123	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(5 - A)	
150	124	=	123	(1 - 7)(2 - 5)(3 - 8)(6 - A)		150	125	=	123	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(8 - 6)	
150	126	=	123	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(5 - 6)		150	127	=	128	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(5 - A)	
150	128	=	123	(1 - 9)(2 - 7)(3 - 4)(8 - 6)		150	129	=	128	(1 - 7)(2 - 5)(3 - 8)(6 - A)	
150	130	=	128	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(5 - 6)		150	131	=	132	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(5 - A)	
150	132	=	128	(1 - 5)(2 - 4)(3 - A)(9 - 6)		150	133	=	132	(1 - 5)(2 - 7)(3 - 6)(8 - A)	
150	134	=	132	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 7)(5 - A)		150	135	=	132	(1 - 5)(2 - 6)(4 - 9)(7 - 8)	
150	136	=	132	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(5 - A)(9 - 7)		150	137	=	142	(1 - 8)(2 - 7)(3 - 9)(5 - 6)	
150	138	=	142	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 9)		150	139	=	142	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - A)	
150	140	=	145	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - A)		150	141	=	146	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 9)	
150	142	=	146	(1 - 7)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(6 - 5)		150	143	=	146	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(A - 5)	
150	144	=	150	(1 - 8)(2 - A)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)		150	145	=	146	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 7)(6 - 5)	
150	146	=	150	(1 - 9)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)		150	147	=	149	(1 - 5)(2 - 9)(3 - 7)(4 - 6)	
150	148	=	150	(1 - A)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(7 - 4)		150	149	=	148	(1 - 4)(2 - A)(3 - 5)(6 - 7)	
180	151	=	157	(1 - 8)(2 - 4)(3 - 9)(A - 5)		180	152	=	157	(1 - 9)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 8)	
180	153	=	157	(1 - 4)(2 - 6)(3 - 7)(9 - 5)		180	154	=	157	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)	
180	155	=	157	(1 - 7)(2 - A)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)		180	156	=	157	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)	
180	157	=	165	(1 - 6)(2 - A)(3 - 8)(5 - 7)		180	158	=	165	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 9)(5 - 7)	
180	159	=	165	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(5 - 7)		180	160	=	165	(1 - 9)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 8)	
180	161	=	165	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)		180	162	=	165	(1 - 7)(2 - A)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)	
180	163	=	165	(1 - 6)(2 - 8)(3 - 7)(4 - 5)		180	164	=	165	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)	
180	165	=	173	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - A)(5 - 6)		180	166	=	173	(1 - 7)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 8)	
180	167	=	173	(1 - 9)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 7)		180	168	=	174	(1 - 9)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(5 - 6)	

3-designs with $v = 10, k = 4$

B	B^*	D	$+$	T	B	B^*	D	$+$	T
180 169	174	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 4)(6 - 5)	180	170	174	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)			
180 171	176	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 4)(6 - 5)	180	172	180	(1 - 9)(2 - 6)(3 - 5)(4 - 7)			
180 173	176	(1 - 8)(2 - 7)(3 - 4)(9 - 5)	180	174	180	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 7)(5 - 4)			
210 175	179	(1 - 9)(2 - 6)(3 - 8)(5 - 4)	180	176	180	(1 - A)(2 - 8)(3 - 7)(5 - 4)			
210 177	182	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 8)(5 - 6)	210	178	182	(1 - A)(2 - 8)(3 - 4)(7 - 5)			
210 179	182	(1 - 9)(2 - 6)(3 - 7)(4 - 5)	210	181	186	(1 - 7)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 8)			
210 182	186	(1 - A)(2 - 6)(3 - 4)(5 - 9)	210	183	186	(1 - 7)(2 - A)(3 - 4)(5 - 9)			
210 184	190	(1 - 9)(2 - 5)(3 - 7)(6 - 4)	210	185	190	(1 - 9)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)			
210 186	194	(1 - 8)(2 - A)(3 - 5)(6 - 4)	210	187	194	(1 - 9)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)			
210 188	194	(1 - 9)(2 - 7)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)	210	189	194	(1 - 8)(2 - A)(3 - 5)(4 - 6)			
210 190	194	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 5)(4 - 6)	210	191	194	(1 - A)(2 - 8)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)			
210 192	198	(1 - 9)(2 - 7)(3 - 5)(4 - 6)	210	193	198	(1 - 8)(2 - 6)(3 - 5)(4 - 7)			
210 194	195	(1 - A)(2 - 7)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)	210	195	196	(1 - 6)(2 - 9)(3 - 4)(5 - 7)			
210 196	198	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 5)(6 - 4)	240	197	203	(1 - A)(2 - 7)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)			
210 198	202	(1 - 7)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)	240	199	203	(1 - 8)(2 - 7)(3 - 6)(4 - 5)			
240 200	203	(1 - 8)(2 - 7)(3 - 5)(4 - 6)	240	201	203	(1 - 8)(2 - 9)(3 - 7)(4 - 5)			
210 202	210	(1 - 8)(2 - 7)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)	270	205	208	(1 - A)(2 - 9)(3 - 5)(6 - 4)			
240 206	203	(1 - 6)(2 - 9)(3 - 8)(7 - 4)	270	207	208	(1 - A)(2 - 9)(3 - 6)(5 - 4)			
270 209	208	(1 - 6)(2 - 9)(3 - 8)(A - 5)							

References

1. Graham, R.I., Li, S.Y.R., and Li, W.C.W, *On the structure of t -designs*, SIAM J. of Algebraic and discrete Methods **1** (1980), 8–14.
2. Gronau, H.D.O.F., *A survey of results on the number of $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ designs*, Annals of Discrete Mathematics **26** (1985), 209–220.
3. Hedayat, A., and Hwang, H.L., *Construction of BIB designs with various support sizes with special emphasis for $v = 8$ and $k = 4$* , J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A **36** (1984), 163–173.
4. Hedayat, A., Pesotchinsky, L. Langev, I.N., and Tonchev, V.D., *Results on the support of BIB designs*, preprint 1986.
5. Hwang, H.L., *On the structure of (v, k, t) trades*, J. of Statistical Planning and Inference **13** (1986), 179–191.
6. Kageyama, S. and Hedayat, A., *The family of t -designs part II*, J. of Statistical Planning and Inference **7** (1983), 257–287.
7. Khosrovshahi, G.B. and Mahmoodian, E.S., *A Linear algebraic algorithm for reducing the support size of t -designs and to generate a basis for trades*, Commun. Stat.-Simula. **16** (1987), 1015–1038.
8. Khosrovshahi, G.B. and Mahmoodian, E.S., *BIB(9, 18t, 8t, 4, 3t) designs with repeated blocks*, J. of Statistical Planning and Inference **18** (1988), 125–131.
9. Khosrovshahi, G.B. and Mahmoodian, E.S., *On BIB designs with various support sizes for $v = 9$ and $k = 3$* , Commun. Stat.-Simula. **17** (1988), 765–770.
10. Khosrovshahi, G.B. and Vatan, F., *On $3 - (8, 4, \lambda)$ designs*, Abstract presented to the Second Int. Conf. on Comb. and Computing (1987), Canberra, Australia.
11. Kramer, E.S. and Mesner, D.M., *Intersections among Steiner systems*, J. of Combinatorial Theory Ser. A **16** (1974), 273–285.
12. Mahmoodian, E.S., *A table of over 2500 non-isomorphic $3 - (9, 4)$ designs with $\lambda_3 = 6$* , Tech. Report, Sharif U. of Tech. no. 2-1366 (1987). Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.
13. Rosa, A. and Hoffman, D., *The number of repeated blocks in twofold triple systems*, J. of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A **41** (1986), 61–88.