## A CONSTRUCTION OF CORDIAL GRAPHS FROM SMALLER CORDIAL GRAPHS

#### S.M. Lee

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science San Jose State University San Jose, CA 95192

### A. Liu<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics University of Alberta Edmonton, ALTA, T6G 2G1

A binary labelling of a connected graph assigns 0 or 1 to each vertex of the graph, 0 to an edge joining two vertices having the same label, and 1 to an edge joining two vertices having opposite labels. For such a labelling, let v(0), v(1), e(0) and e(1) denote, respectively, the numbers of vertices labelled 0, vertices labelled 1, edges labelled 0 and edges labelled 1.

Cahit [1] defines a graph to be cordial if it has a binary labelling such that  $|v(0) - v(1)| \le 1$  and  $|e(0) - e(1)| \le 1$ . We list below some of the results proved in that paper.

- (1) In any binary labelling of a Eulerian graph, e(1) is even.
- (2) A Eulerian graph is not cordial if it has a number of edges congruent to 2 (mod 4).
- (3) The complete graph  $K_n$  is cordial if and only if  $n \le 3$ .
- (4) All complete bipartite graphs are cordial.
- (5) All trees (see Figure 1(a) for a special case) are cordial.
- (6) The cycle  $C_n$  (see Figure 1(b)) is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (7) The matching  $M_n$  (see Figure 1(c)) is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (8) All fans (see Figure 1(d)) are cordial.
- (9) The wheel  $W_n$  (see Figure 1(e)) is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . In this paper, we prove additional results via the following construction.

**Theorem 1.** Let H be a graph with an even number of edges and a cordial labelling such that the vertices of H can be divided into  $\ell$  parts  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_\ell$ , each consisting of an equal number of vertices labelled 0 and vertices labelled 1. Let G be any graph and  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_\ell$ , be any  $\ell$  subsets of the vertices of G. Let G be the graph which is the disjoint union of G and G and G and G are edges joining every vertex in G to every vertex in G is cordial if and only if G, G is.

Proof: The given cordial labelling of H and any cordial labelling of G induce a binary labelling of (G, H). Since v(0) = v(1) for H and |v(0) - v(1)| < 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by NSERC grant A5137.

for G, we have  $|v(0) - v(1)| \le 1$  for (G, H). Similarly, e(0) = e(1) for H and  $|e(0) - e(1)| \le 1$  for G. Consider the augmenting edges between  $G_i$  and  $H_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le \ell$ . Each vertex in  $G_i$  is incident with an even number of such edges. Since v(0) = v(1) for  $H_i$ , half of these edges are labelled 0 and half labelled 1, regardless of the label on the vertex in  $G_i$ . Hence,  $|e(0) - e(1)| \le 1$  for (G, H). The converse can be proved in the same way.

Note that apart from being cordial, there are no other restrictions placed on G. The subsets  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{\ell}$  are completely arbitrary. They do not have to be distinct or disjoint, and their union does not have to include every vertex of G.

In our applications of Theorem 1, we use the following graphs in the role of H.  $T_{2k}$  is the trivially cordial graph consisting of 2k isolated vertices. We take  $H_1 = T_{2k}$ .  $T_{4k}$  is the trivially cordial graph consisting of 4k isolated vertices. Here, each of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  consists of k vertices labelled 0 and k labelled 1.  $M_{4k}$  is cordial by (7). Here,  $H_1$  consists of all vertices on one side of the matching arranged so that exactly half of them are labelled 0.  $H_2$  consists of all vertices on the other side of the matching. Other choices of H will also be encountered.

Theorem 2. All generalized fans  $F_{m,n}$  (see Figure 1(g)) are cordial.

Proof: Take  $H = T_{2k} = H_1$ . If m = 2k, take  $G = P_n = G_1$ . If m = 2k + 1, take  $G = F_n$  and  $G_1 = P_n$ . Then  $(G, H) = F_{m,n}$ . Since  $P_n$  is cordial by (5) and  $F_n$  is cordial by (8),  $F_{m,n}$  is cordial by Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** A bundle  $B_n$  (see Figure 1(f)) is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Proof: If  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $B_n$  is Eulerian. Since its number of edges is  $3n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $B_n$  is not cordial according to (2).

Now take  $H = M_{4k}$  with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  defined as before. If n = 4k + i, i = 1, 3, 4, take  $G = B_i$ .  $G_1$  consists of one of the vertices of  $B_i$  not of degree 2, and  $G_2$  consists of the other vertex not of degree 2. Then  $(G, H) = B_n$ . It is easy to verify that  $B_1, B_3$  and  $B_4$  are cordial. By Theorem 1, so is  $B_n$ .

**Theorem 4.** A generalized bundle  $B_{m,n}$  (see Figure 1(i)) is cordial if and only if  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  or  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Proof: Suppose  $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ . Take  $H = T_{4k}$  with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  defined as before. If m = 2k, take  $G = M_n$ .  $G_1$  consists of vertices on one side of the matching and  $G_2$  consists of the vertices on the other side. If m = 2k + 1, take  $G = B_n$ . Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be as in the case m = 2k. Note that the two vertices of  $B_n$  not of degree 2 are not in  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ . Then  $(G, H) = B_{m,n}$ . Since  $M_n$  is cordial by (7) and  $B_n$  is cordial by Theorem 3,  $B_{m,n}$  is cordial by Theorem 1.

Suppose n = 4k + 2 and  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Take  $H = B_{m-2,4k}$ , using the cordial labelling obtained above. Take  $G = B_{2,2}$ , which is shown to be cordial in

Figure 2. The same figure defines  $G_i$  and  $H_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ , in the construction of  $(G, H) = B_{m,n}$ . By Theorem 1, it is a cordial graph.

Finally, if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , then  $B_{m,n}$  is Eulerian. Since its number of edges is  $2mn + n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $B_{m,n}$  is not cordial according to (2).

Theorem 5. If  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , a generalized wheel  $W_{m,n}$  (see Figure 1(h)) is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ,  $W_{m,n}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Proof: Suppose m = 2k+1 and  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Take  $H = T_{2k} = H_1$ ,  $G = W_n$  and  $G_1 = C_n$ . Then  $(G, H) = W_{m,n}$ . Since  $W_n$  is cordial by (9),  $W_{m,n}$  is cordial by Theorem 1.

Suppose m = 2k and  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Take  $H = T_{2k} = H_1$  and  $G = C_n = G_1$ . Then  $(G, H) = W_{m,n}$ . Since  $C_n$  is cordial by (6),  $W_{m,n}$  is cordial by Theorem 1.

Suppose  $W_{m,n}$  is cordial for some  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Consider the one for which m is minimum, and any cordial labelling of it. Note that  $m \geq 3$  since  $W_n$  is not cordial according to (9). Suppose two vertices not on  $C_n$  have opposite labels. Then  $W_{m,n} = (G, H)$  where  $H = H_1$  consists of these two vertices,  $G = W_{m-2,n}$  and  $G_1 = C_n$ . Since  $W_{m,n}$  is assumed to be cordial, so is  $W_{m-2,n}$  by Theorem 1. This contradicts the minimality assumption.

It follows that all vertices of  $W_{m,n}$  not on  $C_n$  have the same label. Note that m+n is even. Hence, there are m more vertices on  $C_n$  with label 1 than those with label 0, so that among the edges not on  $C_n$ , there are  $m^2$  more with label 1 than those with label 0. It follows that there are exactly  $\frac{1}{2}(n-m^2)$  edges with label 1 on  $C_n$ . However,  $\frac{1}{2}(n-m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $C_n$  is Eulerian. This contradicts (1). The case  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  can be dealt with in the same way.

The following result includes both (3) and (4) as special cases.

**Theorem 6.** A complete k-partite graph is cordial if and only if the number of parts with an odd number of vertices is at most 3.

Proof: A complete k-partite graph with no odd parts is clearly cordial, as we can assign the label 0 to exactly half of the vertices in each part. If it has 1, 2 or 3 odd parts, let G be a complete graph consisting of a single vertex in each odd part and H be the graph induced by the remaining vertices. Each  $G_i$  consists of one vertex in G, and the corresponding  $H_i$  consists of all vertices of H not in the same part of the vertex in  $G_i$ . Then the complete k-partite graph is equal to (G,H). By (3),  $K_n$  is cordial if  $n \le 3$ . Hence, our graph is cordial by Theorem 1.

Suppose there is a cordial complete k-partite graph with at least 4 odd parts. Consider one with the smallest number of vertices, and any cordial labelling of it. According to (3),  $K_n$  is not cordial if  $n \le 4$ . Hence, the graph has at least

one part with at least two vertices. Suppose two vertices in this part have opposite labels. Let  $H = H_1$  consist of these two vertices, G be the graph induced by the remaining vertices and  $G_1$  consist of all vertices not in the same part as H. Then (G, H) is the original graph, which is assumed to be cordial. By Theorem 1, G is also cordial. However, H is also a complete k-partite graph with at least 4 odd parts, contradicting the minimality assumption.

It follows that all vertices in each part of the original graph must have the same label. Hence, e(1) = v(0)v(1) and  $e(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}v(0)$  (v(0) - 1)  $+\frac{1}{2}v(1)$  (v(1) - 1). Since  $(v(0) - v(1))^2 \leq 1$ , we have  $1 \geq e(1) - e(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}(v(0) + v(1) - (v(0) - v(1))^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}(v(0) + v(1) - 1)$ . It follows that  $3 \geq v(0) = v(1)$ . However, with at most 3 vertices, the graph cannot have at least 4 odd parts. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Cahit's consideration of cordial graphs is motivated by the study of graceful graphs. This is a subject with a vast literature, and we will not discuss it here. A very enjoyable and informative account is given in [2].

Cahit regards cordial graphs as a weaker version of graceful graphs, although there are cordial graphs which are not graceful. While it is not known whether all trees are graceful, (5) gives the affirmative answer that they are all cordial. Theorem 4 completely solves the problem of which generalized bundles are cordial. In [3], where these graphs are called mirror-sums, only a partial answer to the problem of which of them are graceful is obtained.

# Acknowledgement.

The authors thank the referee for helpful suggestions which improve the presentation of the paper, in particular the formulation of Theorem 1. The first author thanks NSERC for support during a visit to Edmonton in perusing this research.

#### References

- 1. I. Cahit, Cordial graphs: a weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs, Ars Combinatoria 23 (1987), 201–207.
- 2. Martin Gardner, *Golomb's graceful graphs*, in "Wheels, Life and Other Mathematical Amusements", W.H. Freeman, 1983, pp. 152–165.
- 3. S.M. Lee and A. Liu, A construction of k-graceful graphs from complete bipartite graphs, Bull. Math. SEA. 12 (1988), 23-30.