

ON THE EXISTENCE OF A DOUBLE EXTENSION OF $PG(3, 2)$

Alphonse Baartmans
Department of Mathematics
Michigan Technological University
Houghton, MI U.S.A. 49931-1295

Joseph Yucas
Department of Mathematics
Southern Illinois University—Carbondale
U.S.A. 62901-4408

Abstract. In this paper we give a necessary condition for the Steiner system $S(3, 4, 16)$ obtained from a one point extension of the points and lines of $PG(3, 2)$ to be further extendable to a Steiner system $S(4, 5, 17)$.

1. Introduction.

It is not yet known whether a Steiner system $S(4, 5, 17)$ exists. One possible way of constructing such a Steiner system would be by twice extending the Steiner system $S(2, 3, 15)$ formed by the points and lines of $PG(3, 2)$. A one point extension of this Steiner system $S(2, 3, 15)$ is easily obtained by adding a new point, say ∞_1 to the point set of $PG(3, 2)$ and taking as blocks all sets of the form $\{\ell \cup \{\infty_1\} \mid \ell \text{ is a line in } PG(3, 2)\}$ and all sets of the form $\{\pi \setminus \ell \mid \pi \text{ is a plane in } PG(3, 2), \ell \text{ is a line in } PG(3, 2), \text{ and } \ell \subset \pi\}$. We will denote this one point extension of $PG(3, 2)$ by $PG_1(3, 2)$. It is a Steiner system $S(3, 4, 16)$. The main purpose of this paper is to study the existence of a one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$.

Section 2 is preliminary in nature and lists several propositions on $PG(3, 2)$ needed in Section 3. The first few are certainly well known in the folklore. In Section 3 we show that no one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ with a certain uniformity property (stated before Lemma 3.5) exists, see Theorem 3.12. The problem in general, however, remains unsettled.

2. Preliminaries.

By an *oval* of $PG(3, 2)$ we will mean a collection of four points in a plane of $PG(3, 2)$ no three of which are colinear.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $O = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ be an oval of $PG(3, 2)$.*

- (i) (i) *There is a unique plane π of $PG(3, 2)$ containing O and $\pi \setminus O$ is a line in π .*
- (ii) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$ (vector addition in $PG(3, 2)$).

Proof: $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ is a collection of four points in a plane π of $PG(3, 2)$ no three of which are colinear. Since two planes of $PG(3, 2)$ intersect in three points we see that π is the unique plane containing O . Further, since α_1, α_2 , and α_3 are not colinear, π is spanned by α_1, α_2 , and α_3 . Consequently, $\alpha_4 \in \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2,$

$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ since $\alpha_4 \in \pi$. This implies $\alpha_4 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ for otherwise we contradict the fact that no three of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are colinear. Finally, notice that $\pi \setminus O = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ is a line in π . ■

An *ovoid* of $PG(3, 2)$ will be a collection of 4 non-coplanar point of $PG(3, 2)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $O = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ be an ovoid of $PG(3, 2)$. There is a unique plane π of $PG(3, 2)$ with $O \subset \bar{\pi}$, the complement of π in $PG(3, 2)$.*

Proof: Let $p_i, 0 \leq i \leq 3$, be the number of planes of $PG(3, 2)$ intersecting O in i points. We must show $p_0 = 1$. Since $PG(3, 2)$ has 15 planes it suffices to show that $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 14$. Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, and α_4 are non-coplanar, there are exactly 4 planes intersecting O in 3 points. They are the spans of $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4\}$, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$, and $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$. Hence, $p_3 = 4$. Every pair of points $\alpha_i, \alpha_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 4$, occurs in two of the above planes. Since every pair of points occurs in three planes, every pair α_i, α_j must occur in one additional plane. Consequently, there are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ planes that contain exactly two points of O , that is, $p_2 = 6$. Finally, every point $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, occurs three times in the planes intersecting O in three points and three times in the planes intersecting O in two points. Since every point occurs in seven planes there is a unique plane which intersects O at α_i . Consequently, $p_1 = 4$ and $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 4 + 6 + 4 = 14$. ■

A *triangle* of $PG(3, 2)$ will be a set of three linearly independent points of $PG(3, 2)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let π be a plane in $PG(3, 2)$. A four point set in $\bar{\pi}$ is either an oval or an ovoid of $PG(3, 2)$. Moreover, $\bar{\pi}$ contains 14 ovals and 56 ovoids.*

Proof: Suppose $O = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\} \subset \bar{\pi}$. Since the sum of any three of these α_i is in $\bar{\pi}$ we see that no three of the α_i are colinear. If $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$ then O is an oval. If $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \neq 0$ then α_4 is not in the span of α_1, α_2 , and α_3 hence, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, and α_4 are non-coplanar and O is an ovoid. Now, the number of triangles in $\bar{\pi}$ is $\binom{8}{3} = 56$ and so there are $56/4 = 14$ sets of the form $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ in $\bar{\pi}$. These are the ovals in $\bar{\pi}$. Since there are $\binom{8}{4} = 70$ four point subsets of $\bar{\pi}$ there must be $70 - 14 = 56$ ovoids in $\bar{\pi}$. ■

Corollary 2.4. *Let π_1 and π_2 be planes of $PG(3, 2)$. $\bar{\pi}_1 \cap \bar{\pi}_2$ is an oval of $PG(3, 2)$.*

Proof: Since $|\pi_1 \cap \pi_2| = 3, |\bar{\pi}_1 \cap \bar{\pi}_2| = 4$. By Proposition 2.3, $\bar{\pi}_1 \cap \bar{\pi}_2$ is an oval or an ovoid. But $\bar{\pi}_1 \cap \bar{\pi}_2$ is not an ovoid by Proposition 2.2. ■

Proposition 2.5. *Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$.*

- (i) *The ovals in $\bar{\pi}$ form a $(v, b, r, k, \lambda_2) = (8, 14, 7, 4, 3)$ 3-design with $\lambda_3 = 1$.*
- (ii) *The ovoids in $\bar{\pi}$ form an $(8, 56, 28, 4, 12)$ 3-design with $\lambda_3 = 4$.*

Proof: If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are three points in $\bar{\pi}$ then they determine a unique oval in $\bar{\pi}$, namely, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. Hence, $\lambda_3 = 1$ and (i) follows. For (ii), notice that the collection of all 4-subsets of $\bar{\pi}$ forms a 3-design with $\lambda_3 = 5$. Since a 4 element subset of $\bar{\pi}$ is either an oval or an ovoid and the collection of ovals in $\bar{\pi}$ forms a 3-design with $\lambda_3 = 1$, (ii) must follow. ■

Proposition 2.6. *Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$ and let $T = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ be a triangle in π . There are exactly two $(7, 3, 1)$ designs of triangles of π containing the block T . They are*

(i)	α_1	α_2	α_3	(ii)	α_1	α_2	α_3
	α_1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$		α_1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$
	α_1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$		α_1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$
	α_2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$		α_2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$
	α_2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$		α_2	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$
	α_3	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$		α_3	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$
	α_3	$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$		α_3	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$

Proof: If $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ is a block in a $(7, 3, 1)$ design then α_1 must appear in two more blocks. Also, the pair $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ must appear once in some block, thus, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \gamma, \delta\}$ must be blocks in the design for some $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \pi$. Clearly, $\beta, \gamma, \delta \notin \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ so $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. If $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ then $\gamma, \delta \in \{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. But $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ is not a triangle, hence, $\beta \in \{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$.

Case 1. $\beta = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.

Here the three blocks containing α_1 must be $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. There must be two other blocks containing α_2 and one of them must contain the pair $\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Hence, $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \iota\}$ and $\{\alpha_2, \xi, \eta\}$ are blocks in the design for some $\iota, \xi, \eta \in \pi$. Clearly, $\iota, \xi, \eta \notin \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ and so $\iota, \xi, \eta \in \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. Notice that $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ is not a triangle so $\iota \in \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. If $\iota = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ then $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ are the other two sets containing α_2 besides $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. The other two sets containing α_3 must be of the form $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho\}$ and $\{\alpha_3, \sigma, \tau\}$ for some $\rho, \sigma, \tau \in \pi$. Notice that $\rho \neq \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ and $\rho \neq \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ for the pairs $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ already occur in previous blocks. Hence, $\rho = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, but $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ is not a triangle. Consequently, $\iota \neq \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ and so $\iota = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. The blocks containing α_2 are $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$. The remaining two blocks containing α_3 are then forced to be $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ and we have the $(7, 3, 1)$ design in (i).

Case 2. $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.

Here a similar argument works forcing the $(7, 3, 1)$ design containing $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ to be the design in (ii). ■

Proposition 2.7. *Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$. There are exactly eight $(7, 3, 1)$ designs of triangles of π and each triangle in π occurs as a block in exactly two of them.*

Proof: Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ be a triangle in π . Applying Proposition 2.6 to the triangles $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3\}$, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}$, respectively, and writing 1, 2, 3, 12, 13, etc., for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$, etc., we obtain the following eight $(7, 3, 1)$ designs of triangles:

1.	(i)	1	2	3	(ii)	1	2	3
		1	12	23		1	12	123
		1	13	123		1	13	23
		2	12	123		2	12	13
		2	13	23		2	23	123
		3	12	13		3	12	23
		3	23	123		3	13	123
2.	(i)	1	2	13	(ii)	1	2	13
		1	12	123		1	12	23
		1	3	23		1	3	123
		2	12	23		2	12	3
		2	3	123		2	123	23
		13	12	3		13	12	123
		13	123	23		13	3	23
3.	(i)	1	2	23	(ii)	1	2	23
		1	12	3		1	12	13
		1	123	13		1	123	3
		2	12	13		2	12	123
		2	123	3		2	3	13
		23	12	123		23	12	3
		23	3	13		23	123	13
4.	(i)	1	2	123	(ii)	1	2	123
		1	12	13		1	12	3
		1	23	3		1	23	13
		2	12	3		2	12	23
		2	23	13		2	13	3
		123	12	23		123	12	13
		123	13	3		123	23	3

Notice that each of the 28 triangles in π occurs as a block in exactly two of these eight designs. Further, by Proposition 2.6, a triangle occurs in exactly two

(7, 3, 1) designs of triangles, hence, the above eight are the only (7, 3, 1) designs of triangles of π . ■

3. The existence condition.

$PG_1(3, 2)$ has as its point set the fifteen points of $PG(3, 2)$ together with the new point ∞_1 . A set of points is a block in this extension if it is a line of $PG(3, 2)$ together with ∞_1 or if it is an oval in $PG(3, 2)$. This one point extension has sixteen points in blocks of size four with any three points occurring exactly once, that is, this one point extension is a Steiner system $S(3, 4, 16)$.

Assuming that a one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ exists, it would be an $S(4, 5, 17)$ with an additional point, say ∞_2 , added to the point set of $PG_1(3, 2)$. The blocks containing ∞_2 would be all lines of $PG(3, 2)$ together with ∞_1 and ∞_2 and all complements of lines in planes of $PG(3, 2)$ together with ∞_2 . Now, in this one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ every point must occur 140 times. Notice that ∞_1 is already accounted for in 35 blocks since there are 35 lines in $PG(3, 2)$. Consequently, there must be 105 additional blocks in this one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ which contain ∞_1 . Let A_1 be the collection of these 105 blocks containing ∞_1 and set $A = \{S \subset PG(3, 2) \mid S \cup \{\infty_1\} \in A_1\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $S \subseteq A$ then S is an ovoid and, hence, is a four element linearly independent subset of $PG(3, 2)$.*

Proof: No three of the four points of S are colinear for then we would have a four point set (a line with ∞_1) occurring in two blocks of our one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$. If no three of the four points of S are colinear but S is contained in some plane π then S must be the complement of some line in π . But then $S \cup \{\infty_1\}$ and $S \cup \{\infty_2\}$ are two blocks of our one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$, again a contradiction. ■

Proposition 3.2. *The elements of A form the blocks of a (15, 105, 28, 4, 6) design.*

Proof: Recall that our one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ is a 4-design with $\lambda_4 = 1$. Three points occur in $\binom{17-3}{4-3} / \binom{5-3}{4-3} = 7$ blocks and two points occur in $\binom{17-2}{4-2} / \binom{5-2}{4-2} = 35$ blocks of this one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$. Let C_1 be the collection of all blocks of this one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ containing ∞_1 and let $C = \{B \setminus \{\infty_1\} \mid B \in C_1\}$. Then C forms the blocks of a 3-design with parameters (16, 140, 35, 4, 7) and $\lambda_3 = 1$. Let $L = \{\ell \cup \{\infty_2\} \mid \ell \text{ is a line of } PG(3, 2)\}$. Then $C = A \cup L$ and $A \cap L = \emptyset$ so we can count the occurrences of a point, or of two points of $PG(3, 2)$, in the blocks of A by counting the occurrences in L and C . A point of $PG(3, 2)$ occurs on seven lines and, hence, occurs in seven blocks of L . Consequently, a point of $PG(3, 2)$ must occur in $35 - 7 = 28$ blocks of A . Similarly, two points determine a unique line in $PG(3, 2)$. Since they must

occur in seven blocks of C , the two points of $PG(3, 2)$ must occur in six blocks of A . The result now follows. ■

Notice that if T is a triangle in $PG(3, 2)$ then the four point set $T \cup \{\infty_1\}$ must occur exactly once as a subset of some block of our one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$. Since T is not a line, this block containing $T \cup \{\infty_1\}$ must be in A . Hence, given a triangle of $PG(3, 2)$ there is a unique block in A containing it.

Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$ and let $S \in A$. Notice that $|S \cap \pi| \leq 3$. Let A_i be the collection of sets $S \in A$ satisfying $|S \cap \pi| = i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Proposition 3.3. $|A_0| = 7$, $|A_1| = 28$, $|A_2| = 42$ and $|A_3| = 28$.

Proof: There are 28 triangles in π . As remarked above, each triangle occurs exactly once as a subset of a block of A , hence, $|A_3| = 28$. Now, a pair of points of π occurs in four triangles of π so every pair of points of π occurs in four sets of A_3 . Since every pair of points occurs six times we see that every pair of points of π occurs in two sets of A_2 . There are 21 distinct pairs of points of π , hence, $|A_2| = 42$. Every point of π occurs in 12 triangles of π , hence, every point of π occurs in 12 sets of A_3 . Similarly, every point of π occurs in $6 \cdot 2 = 12$ sets of A_2 . Since every point must occur 28 times, every point of π occurs in four sets of A_1 . Consequently, $|A_1| = 7 \cdot 4 = 28$. The remaining sets of A must be in A_0 . There are $150 - 28 - 42 - 28 = 7$ of them. ■

Let B_π be the sets of A whose elements belong to π .

Proposition 3.4. $\{B_\pi \mid \pi \text{ a plane of } PG(3, 2)\}$ is a partition of A into fifteen families each of size seven.

Proof: By Proposition 3.1 each set in A is an ovoid and, hence, is in $\bar{\pi}$ for some unique plane π by Proposition 2.2. By Proposition 3.3, $|B_\pi| = 7$ for each plane π . ■

We now make a basic assumption that if π is a plane and α, β are points of $PG(3, 2)$ then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ does not occur as a subset of a unique set $S \in B_\pi$.

Lemma 3.5. Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$ and suppose α, β are points of $PG(3, 2)$. If $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq S \in B_\pi$ then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ occurs exactly twice as a subset of a set in B_π .

Proof: Suppose $S = \{\alpha, \beta, \delta_1, \delta_2\} \in B_\pi$. Since any two sets in B_π can intersect in at most two points the pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ can occur at most three times as a subset of a set in B_π . Notice that the pairs $\{\alpha, \delta_1\}$, $\{\alpha, \delta_2\}$, $\{\beta, \delta_1\}$, $\{\beta, \delta_2\}$, and $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, must occur again by our basic assumption and none of these pairs can occur again together or again with α or β . Consequently, the pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ cannot occur three times for then $|B_\pi| \geq 8$. ■

Proposition 3.6. For each plane π of $PG(3, 2)$ the sets in B_π are the blocks of a $(7, 7, 4, 4, 2)$ design.

Proof: We first show that if $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\pi}$ and if α is in a set of B_π and if β is in a set of B_π then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a subset of a set of B_π . Suppose the contrary, that α occurs, β occurs but $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ does not. Let $S = \{\alpha, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\} \in B_\pi$. Since $\{\alpha, \delta_1\}$, $\{\alpha, \delta_2\}$, and $\{\alpha, \delta_3\}$ must occur again we see that there are three additional blocks which contain α . Similarly there are four blocks containing β . If α and β do not occur together then $|B_\pi| \geq 8$, a contradiction. Now let P_π be the point set corresponding to the blocks in B_π . Suppose $|P_\pi| = m$. We show $m = 7$. Let $X = \{(\{\alpha, \beta\}, B) \mid \alpha, \beta \in B, B \in B_\pi\}$. $|X| = \binom{m}{2} \cdot 2 = \binom{4}{2} \cdot 7$, hence, $m^2 - m - 42 = 0$ and $m = 7$. ■

The unique point of $PG(3, 2)$ in $\bar{\pi}$ which is not used in B_π will be called the *translation point* of π and denoted γ_π .

Recall that an arbitrary point α of $PG(3, 2)$ must occur 28 times in the blocks of A . Since α occurs four times in the blocks of B_π for those planes π for which $\alpha \in \bar{\pi}$ and α is not a translation point for π and since α is in eight complemented planes we see that α must be the translation point of some plane. Hence, a point of $PG(3, 2)$ is a translation point of one and only one plane.

The following Corollary follows immediately from Proposition 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *For each plane π of $PG(3, 2)$, the sets $\gamma_\pi + B, B \in B_\pi$, are the blocks of a $(7, 7, 4, 4, 2)$ design in π .*

Corollary 3.8. *Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$. $\{\pi \setminus (\gamma_\pi + B) \mid B \in B_\pi\}$ is a collection of triangles of π which are the blocks of a $(7, 7, 3, 3, 1)$ design in π .*

Proof: First notice that $\gamma_\pi + B, B \in B_\pi$, is a set of four points in π and, hence, are linearly dependent. Since B is not an oval, $\gamma_\pi + B$ must contain a line and thus $\pi \setminus (\gamma_\pi + B)$ is a triangle. Let $D = \{\gamma_\pi + B \mid B \in B_\pi\}$ and let $\alpha \in \pi$. α occurs in four of the seven blocks of D , hence, α occurs in three of their complements in π . Also a pair $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ occurs in two blocks of D with α occurring four times and β occurring four times. Hence, there is one block of D in which neither α nor β occurs. That is, the pair α, β occurs in one complement of a block in D . ■

Proposition 3.9. *Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$ with translation point γ_π . Suppose π' is another plane of $PG(3, 2)$ with $\gamma_\pi \in \bar{\pi}'$. There is a block $\{\gamma_\pi, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ in $B_{\pi'}$, such that π is spanned by α_1, α_2 and α_3 .*

Proof: $\pi \cap \pi'$ is an oval. Say $\pi \cap \pi' = \{\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ with $\gamma_\pi + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = 0$. The triangles $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$, $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_3\}$ and $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ must occur as subsets of some sets of A . Since γ_π is the translation point for π and since no other complemented plane contains three of $\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_2$, and δ_3 , there must be three sets of A say $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1\}$, $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_3, \alpha_2\}$ and $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_2, \delta_3, \alpha_3\}$ in $B_{\pi'}$. Notice that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are distinct for otherwise a triangle would be appearing more than once. α_1, α_2 , and α_3 are points in $\bar{\pi}'$, and are not in $\{\gamma_\pi, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ so $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \pi$. Now the pairs $\{\gamma_\pi, \alpha_1\}$, $\{\gamma_\pi, \alpha_2\}$, and $\{\gamma_\pi, \alpha_3\}$ must appear again as subsets of

sets in B_π , and since γ_π already appears three times $\{\gamma_\pi, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ must be in B_π . ■

Notice that in the above proof, the four blocks in B_π containing γ_π are $\{\gamma_\pi \delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1\}$, $\{\gamma_\pi \delta_1, \delta_3, \alpha_2\}$, $\{\gamma_\pi \delta_2, \delta_3, \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\gamma_\pi \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. Now, π intersects $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1\}$, $\{\delta_1, \delta_3, \alpha_2\}$ and $\{\delta_2, \delta_3, \alpha_3\}$ each in exactly one point.

Example 3.10. The above remark enables us to find the plane for which an arbitrary point γ is the translation point. For example, suppose

1.	$\gamma + \delta_2$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2$	$\delta_1 + \delta_3$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3$
2.	γ	$\delta_1 + \delta_2$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3$	δ_1
3.	γ	δ_1	$\delta_1 + \delta_3$	$\gamma + \delta_2$
4.	$\gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3$	δ_1	$\delta_1 + \delta_2$	$\delta_1 + \delta_3$
5.	δ_1	$\gamma + \delta_2$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3$	$\gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3$
6.	$\gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3$	$\gamma_1 + \delta_3$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3$	γ
7.	$\gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3$	γ	$\gamma + \delta_2$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2$

are the seven blocks of B_π for some plane π and consider the point γ . γ appears in the four blocks $B_1 = \{\gamma, \delta_1 + \delta_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3, \delta_1\}$, $B_2 = \{\gamma, \delta_1, \delta_1 + \delta_3, \gamma + \delta_2\}$, $B_3 = \{\gamma, \gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3, \delta_1 + \delta_3, \delta_1 + \delta_3, \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3\}$, and $B_4 = \{\gamma, \gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3, \gamma + \delta_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2\}$. Let π_i be the plane spanned by $X_i = B_i \setminus \{\gamma\}$. Notice that $X_2 \cap \pi_1 = \{\delta_1, \delta_1 + \delta_3\}$, $X_4 \cap \pi_2 = \{\gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3, \gamma + \delta_2\}$, and $X_3 \cap \pi_4 = \{\gamma + \delta_2 + \delta_3, \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3\}$ but $|X_i \cap \pi_j| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$. By the remark above, γ must be the translation point for π_3 . ■

Proposition 3.11. *A does not exist.*

Proof: Let π be a plane of $PG(3, 2)$. Recall that B_π is a $(7, 7, 4, 4, 2)$ design which arises from a $(7, 7, 3, 3, 1)$ design of triangles of π . Fix a triangle in this design, say $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ and let $\alpha_4 = \gamma_\pi$, the translation point of π . By Proposition 2.6 we have two cases to consider:

Case 1. Suppose the $(7, 7, 3, 3, 1)$ design of triangles of π is derived using construction (i) of Proposition 2.6. This design of triangles is then

1	2	3
1	12	23
1	13	123
2	12	123
2	13	23
3	12	13
3	23	123

where we are again writing 1, 2, 3 for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, and 12, 13, etc., for $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$, etc. Recall then that B_π is constructed from this $(7, 7, 3, 3, 1)$ design

by first complementing in π and then translating by α_4 . It is

124	134	234	1234
24	34	134	1234
24	34	124	234
14	34	134	234
14	34	124	1234
14	24	234	1234
14	24	124	134

Let π' be the plane whose translation point is $\alpha_1 + \alpha_4$. $\alpha_1 + \alpha_4$ appears four times in the blocks of B_π and, thus, by Proposition 3.9, π' must be the span of one of

i)	34	134	234
ii)	34	124	1234
iii)	24	234	1234
iv)	24	124	134

By the same procedure as in Example 3.10 we see that $\pi' = \{34, 124, 1234, 123, 12, 3, 4\}$ and thus $\pi \cap \pi' = \{14, 24, 134, 234\}$. Now, by Proposition 2.7 there are eight possibilities for $B_{\pi'}$. Using the triangles $\{3, 4, 12\}$, $\{3, 4, 123\}$, $\{3, 4, 124\}$ and $\{3, 4, 1234\}$ and constructions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.6, as in Proposition 2.7, we can list these eight possibilities as follows:

<p>1. 13 234 2 23</p> <p> 1 24 234 23</p> <p> 1 24 13 2</p> <p> 134 24 234 2</p> <p> 134 24 13 23</p> <p> 134 1 2 23</p> <p> 134 1 13 234</p>	<p>2. 13 234 2 23</p> <p> 1 24 234 2</p> <p> 1 24 13 23</p> <p> 134 24 2 23</p> <p> 134 24 13 234</p> <p> 134 1 234 23</p> <p> 134 1 13 2</p>
---	--

<p>3. 24 13 2 23</p> <p> 1 24 234 2</p> <p> 1 13 234 23</p> <p> 134 24 234 23</p> <p> 134 13 234 2</p> <p> 134 1 2 23</p> <p> 134 1 24 13</p>	<p>4. 24 13 2 23</p> <p> 1 24 234 23</p> <p> 1 13 234 2</p> <p> 134 234 2 23</p> <p> 134 24 13 234</p> <p> 134 1 24 2</p> <p> 134 1 13 23</p>
--	--

5.	24	13	234	23	6.	24	13	234	23
	1	234	2	23		1	24	2	23
	1	24	13	2		1	13	234	2
	134	24	2	23		134	24	234	2
	134	13	234	2		134	13	2	23
	134	1	24	234		134	1	234	23
	134	1	13	23		134	1	24	13
7.	24	13	234	2	8.	24	13	234	2
	1	24	13	23		1	234	2	23
	1	13	234	23		1	24	13	23
	134	234	2	23		134	24	234	23
	134	24	13	23		134	13	2	23
	134	1	24	234		134	1	24	2
	134	1	13	2		134	1	13	234

Now, as in Example 3.10 we can list the planes corresponding to the translation points $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ and $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ (the remaining points in $\bar{\pi} \cap \bar{\pi}'$). Using $B_{\bar{\pi}}$ and the eight possibilities for $B_{\bar{\pi}'}$, we obtain:

	(7, 7, 4, 4, 2) Translation design point				Plane corresponding to the translation point				
$B_{\bar{\pi}}$	24	14	234	1234	123	23	1	4	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(1)$	24	1	234	23	1234	123	4	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(2)$	24	134	2	23	1234	124	3	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(3)$	24	134	1	13	34	4	3	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(4)$	24	1	234	23	1234	123	4	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(5)$	24	134	2	23	1234	124	3	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(6)$	24	134	1	13	34	4	3	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(7)$	24	13	234	2	124	123	34	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(8)$	24	13	234	2	124	123	34	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}}$	134	14	24	124	12	2	1	4	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(1)$	134	24	13	23	1234	34	12	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(4)$	134	1	24	2	124	12	4	14	
$B_{\bar{\pi}}$	234	14	34	134	13	3	1	4	
$B_{\bar{\pi}'}(4)$	234	134	2	23	1234	124	3	14	

Notice that using $B_{\bar{\pi}}$ and then $B_{\bar{\pi}'}(2)$ to find the plane with translation point $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ we obtain two different planes, a contradiction. Hence, $B_{\bar{\pi}'} \neq B_{\bar{\pi}'}(2)$. Similarly, we can eliminate possibilities 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 using the point $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$. Finally, using $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ we can eliminate possibility 1 and using $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ we can eliminate possibility 4. Consequently, A cannot exist.

Case 2. Suppose this $(7, 7, 3, 3, 1)$ design of triangles of π is derived using construction (ii) of Proposition 2.6. Here the argument is similar to that as in Case 1 and, thus, we just list the results of our computation. B_π is

124	134	234	1234
24	34	134	234
24	34	124	1234
14	34	234	1234
14	34	124	134
14	24	134	1234
14	24	124	234

$\pi' = \{24, 134, 1234, 123, 13, 2, 4\}$ and $\bar{\pi} \cap \bar{\pi}' = \{14, 34, 124, 234\}$. Using the four triangles $\{2, 4, 13\}$, $\{2, 4, 134\}$, $\{2, 4, 1234\}$ and $\{2, 4, 123\}$ to construct the eight possibilities for $B_{\pi'}$, and then checking planes corresponding to translation points in $\bar{\pi} \cap \bar{\pi}'$ we obtain:

$(7, 7, 4, 4, 2)$ design	Translation point	Plane corresponding to the translation point							
B_π	34	14	234	1234	123	23	1	4	
$B_{\pi'}(1)$	34	1	234	23	1234	123	4	14	
$B_{\pi'}(2)$	34	124	3	23	1234	134	2	14	
$B_{\pi'}(3)$	34	124	3	23	1234	134	2	14	
$B_{\pi'}(4)$	34	124	1	12	24	4	2	14	
$B_{\pi'}(5)$	34	12	234	3	134	123	24	14	
$B_{\pi'}(6)$	34	12	234	3	134	123	24	14	
$B_{\pi'}(7)$	34	124	1	12	24	4	2	14	
$B_{\pi'}(8)$	34	1	234	23	1234	123	4	14	
B_π	124	14	34	134	13	3	1	4	
$B_{\pi'}(1)$	124	34	12	23	1234	24	13	14	
$B_{\pi'}(8)$	124	1	34	3	134	13	4	14	
B_π	234	14	24	124	12	2	1	4	
$B_{\pi'}(8)$	234	124	3	23	1234	134	2	14	

In summary, we have

Theorem 3.12. *If a one point extension of $PG_1(3, 2)$ exists then there is a plane π and points α, β in $PG(3, 2)$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ occurs as a subset of a unique block of B_π .*

References

1. T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz, "Design Theory", Cambridge University Press, Zurich, 1986.
2. J.W.P. Hirschfeld, "Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.