

Complete k -Caps in $PG(3, q)$ with $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$

G. Faina

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Perugia
Via Vanvitelli 06100 Perugia
Italy

Abstract. It is well known that there exist complete k -caps in $PG(3, q)$ with $k \geq (q^2 + q + 4)/2$ and it is still unknown whether or not complete k -caps of size $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$ and q odd exist. In this paper sufficient conditions for the existence of complete k -caps in $PG(3, q)$, for q odd $q \geq 7$ and $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$, are established and a class of such complete caps is constructed.

1. Introduction and Notation

In $PG(n, q)$, projective space of n dimensions over the field $GF(q)$, consider a set K of size k such that no three points of K are collinear. The set K is called a k -cap and when $n = 2$, a k -arc. A k -cap in $PG(n, q)$ is *complete* if it is not contained in a $(k + 1)$ -cap.

The properties of k -caps were first described by B. Segre [6] in 1959, who also indicated a number of interesting open problems; see also Tallini [7] and Hirschfeld [3] for their statistical and coding theoretical connections.

For notation and background material on $PG(n, q)$, elliptic quadrics and k -caps we follow [2] and [4].

We now give some known lower bounds for the number of points on a complete k -cap (see [4, n. 18]):

(1.1) if K is a complete k -cap in $PG(3, q)$, then $k > \sqrt{2q} + 1$.

(1.2) in $PG(3, q)$, there exists a complete k -cap with

$$(q^2 + q + 4)/2 \leq k \leq (q^2 + 3q + 6)/2.$$

(1.3) in $PG(3, q)$ with $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, there exists a complete k -cap with

$$k = (q^2 + q + 4)/2.$$

The problem of determining k -caps with $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$ was first considered by B. Segre [6].

This problem has generated quite a bit of interest and research. See e.g. [4] 18.5. A complete k -cap with $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$ has been constructed by V. Abatangelo (1984) for q even and $q > 255$ [1], but for q odd our problem is still unsolved.

In this paper we give a first example of complete k -caps of $PG(3, q)$, with $q = 7$ and $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$, and a sufficient condition for the existence of complete k -caps of $PG(3, q)$, for $q \geq 7$ odd and $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$ is found.

This work was supported by the National Research Council and by the Italian M.U.R.S.T.

2. The Setting

We begin with some relevant definitions which appear for the first time.

Let C be a non singular conic of $PG(2, q)$, with $q \geq 7$ odd. The number q is (C, h) -constructable if given any pair of distinct points \bar{E}, I of $PG(2, q) \setminus C$ such that:

- (2.1) \bar{E} is an external point of C and I is an internal point of C ,
- (2.2) the polar e of \bar{E} does not contain I ,
- (2.3) the line $\bar{E}I$ through the points \bar{E} and I is a 0-secant of C , then there exist $h - 4$ distinct points, P_1, \dots, P_{h-4} of $C \setminus \{C_1, C_2\}$, where $C_i = t_i \cap C$, $i = 1, 2$, and t_1, t_2 are the 1-secants of C through \bar{E} such that the set
- (2.4) $H_h(C, \bar{E}, I) = \{P_1, \dots, P_{h-4}, C_1, C_2, \bar{E}, I\}$ is a complete h -arc of $PG(2, q)$.

It is well known that (see [2, n. 10]) a complete h -arc in $PG(2, q)$, q odd, other than a conic is such that

- (2.5) $h \leq q - \sqrt{q}/4 + 7/4$ and $(h(h - 3)/2) + 2 > q$.

A triple (E, P, Q) of $PG(3, q)$, with $q \geq 7$ odd, is called *constructable* if the following (2.6)–(2.9) hold:

- (2.6) E is a non singular elliptic quadric of $PG(3, q)$,
- (2.7) P and Q are two distinct points of $PG(3, q) \setminus E$ such that the line PQ is a 0-secant of E ,
- (2.8) every secant plane, say σ_i , $i = 1, \dots, q - 1$, of E through PQ intersects E in a non-singular conic, say C_i , such that, if P is an external (internal) point of C_i , then Q is an internal (external) point of C_i ,
- (2.9) the polar plane of P (of Q), say π_P (π_Q), does not contain Q (P).

It is easy to see that there exist constructable triples (E, P, Q) in every projective space $PG(3, q)$, $q \geq 7$ odd.

Remark. Let $C_P = \{\pi_2 \cap E\} \setminus \{T_1 T_2\}$ and let $C_Q = \{\pi_Q \cap E\} \setminus \{T_1 T_2\}$. It is not difficult to see that $|C_P| = |C_Q| = q - 1$ and that $C_P \cap C_Q$ is the empty set. Thus, if L is the set of lines of type $A_i B_j$, with $A_i \in C_P$ and $B_j \in C_Q$, then

- (2.10) $|L| = (q - 1)^2$.

Since τ_i is the polar plane of T_i , $P, Q \in \tau_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\pi_P \cap \pi_Q \cap E = \{T_1, T_2\}$, from (2.8), we have that

- (2.11) $A_i B_j \cap \tau_m \notin \{PQ \cup \pi_P \cup \pi_Q\}$, $m = 1, 2$.

Our aim is to demonstrate the follow results.

Theorem 1. *Let (E, P, Q) be a constructable triple of $PG(3, q)$, $q \geq 7$ odd. If q is (C, h) -constructable, then the set K defined as*

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} H_h(C_i, P, Q) \cup \{T_1, T_2\}$$

is a complete k -cap of $PG(3, q)$ and $k = (q - 1)(h - 2) + 4$.

Corollary. *If q is (C, h) -constructable with $h < (q^2 + 5q - 8)/(2q - 2)$, with $q \geq 7$ odd, then there exists a complete k -cap in $PG(3, q)$ with $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$.*

Theorem 2. *In $PG(3, 7)$ there exist complete k -caps with $k < (q^2 + q + 4)/2$.*

Remark. Since a h -arc, with $h < 6$, is never complete (see [2]) the problem of constructing complete k -caps in $PG(3, 5)$ cannot be solved with our method. For $q = 5$, our problem will be dealt in a following paper.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

With our notation, it follows immediately that $PG(3, q) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} \sigma_i \cup \{\tau_1 \cup \tau_2\}$ and that $\sigma_i \cap K = H_h(C_i, P, Q)$ is a complete h -arc of $\sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, q - 1$.

Thus each point P of $\sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, q - 1$, lies in at least a 2-secant of K . To show that K is a complete k -cap it suffices to prove that every point Z of $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ lies in (at least) a 2-secant of K .

The proof shall be given in several steps.

- (I) Since P, Q lie in $\tau_i (i = 1, 2)$, every point Z of PQ lies in at least a 2-secant of K .
- (II) If P is an external point of C_i , then $|\pi_P \cap C_i| = 2$ and, by 2.8, $\pi_Q \cap C_i = \phi$. In this case denote by $X_{i,P}$ and by $Y_{i,P}$ the points of $\pi_P \cap C_i$. Thus the lines $PX_{i,P}$ and $PY_{i,P}$ are tangent to C_i . From (2.4), this implies that

$$X_{i,P} \text{ and } Y_{i,P} \text{ lie in } H_h(C_i, P, Q).$$

Since T_i is contained in $\pi_P, i = 1, 2$, it turns out that π_P can intersect exactly $(q - 1)/2$ of the conics $C_j, j = 1, \dots, q - 1$, since $|\pi_P \cap E| = q + 1$, it follows that the non-singular conic $C(P) = \pi_P \cap E$ is contained in K .

- (III) With a similar argument we can prove that $C(Q) = \pi_Q \cap E$ is contained in K .
- (IV) Since $C(P) \cup (C_Q)$ is a complete arc of π_P (of π_Q), by (II) (by (III)) it follows that every point of $\pi_P \cap \tau_i$ (of $\pi_Q \cap \tau_i$) ($i=1,2$) lies in (at least) a 2-secant of K .
- (V) Let S_i be the set $PQ \cup \{\pi_P \cap \tau_i\} \cup \{\pi_Q \cap \tau_i\} (i = 1, 2)$. It is easily to see that $|S_i| = 3q$.
- (VI) Let $\tau_i, i = 1, 2$, be the tangent planes of E through the 0-secant PQ , let $T_i = \tau_i \cap E (i = 1, 2)$ let $C_P = \{\pi_P \cap E\} \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$, and $C_Q = \{\pi_Q \cap E\} \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$. If A_1, A_2 lie in C_P, B_1, B_2 lie in C_Q and $A_i B_j \neq A_h B_k$, then $A_i B_j \cap \tau_m \neq A_h B_k \cap \tau_m$, with $m, i, j = 1, 2$.

To proof this, we observe that we can choose a frame for $PG(3, q)$ such that: $T_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0), T_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0), P = (0, 0, 0, 1), Q = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ and

$U = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, where U is a point of $\pi_Q \cap E \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$. With respect to this frame, E is represented by

$$(3.1) \quad 2axy + bz^2 + 2czt + dt^2 = 0.$$

Thus $\pi_P: cz + dt = 0$ and $\pi_Q: bz + ct = 0$.

From (2.8), it follows that $U \notin \pi_P$ and this implies that

$$(3.2) \quad c \neq -d.$$

Since $U \in \pi_Q$, we have that

$$(3.3) \quad c = -b \quad \text{and so} \quad c \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b \neq 0, a \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, $U \in E$ implies that

$$(3.4) \quad d + 2c + 2a + b = 0$$

and, from (3.3), we have that

$$(3.5) \quad d + c + 2a = 0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.5), it follows that E can be represented by

$$(3.6) \quad (1 - 2v)t^2 - 2zt + 2vxy + z^2 = 0, \quad \text{which} \quad 1 - 2v \neq 0,$$

where v denotes (a/b) .

Furthermore, we have that in $PG(3, q)$

$$(3.7) \quad \pi_P: ft - z = 0$$

$$(3.8) \quad \pi_Q: t - z = 0,$$

where f denotes $1 - 2v$.

It is easy to see that each point of $\pi_Q \cap E$ can be represented by

$$(3.9) \quad A_i = (i, i^{-1}, 1, 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, q-1$$

and that each point of $\pi_P \cap E$ can be represented by

$$(3.10) \quad B_j = (fj^{-1}, j, f, 1), \quad j = 1, \dots, q-1.$$

Let $A_i B_n$ and $A_j B_m$ be two distinct lines and suppose that they contain the same point $V = (x', y', z', t')$ of $PG(3, q)$. From (2.11), it follows that $t' \neq 0$ and, if V lies in τ_1 , then $y' = 0$. From (3.9) and (3.10), after a straightforward calculation of the cartesian equations of our lines $A_i B_n$, $A_j B_m$, we find that the following hold:

$$(3.11) \quad m i^{-1} - n j^{-1} = 0$$

$$(3.12) \quad i^{-1} - j^{-1} = n - m,$$

and that, necessarily, we have

$$(3.13) \quad i^{-1} \neq n, j^{-1} \neq m.$$

Now, we consider the system of the previous linear equations. If we have that the rank of its matrix

$$M: \begin{pmatrix} m & -n \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is < 2 , then we obtain that $n = m$. But this implies that $i = j$ and thus $A_i B_n = A_j B_m$ which is a contradiction. It follows that rank of $M = 2$ and that the only solution of our system is given by

$$(3.14) \quad i^{-1} = n, j^{-1} = m,$$

which is a contradiction (see (3.13)).

So our two lines do not contain a same point in τ_1 .

A similar argument proves that the our lines do not contain a same point of τ_2 . This proves (VI).

- (VII) It remains to show that each point of $\tau_i \setminus S_i$ lies in (at least) a 2-secant of K . To show this, we observe that $|\tau_j \setminus S_i| = (q - 1)^2$, $i, j = 1, 2$. Thus, from (2.10), we have that $|L| = |\tau_j \setminus S_i|$. Hence, from (VI) and (2.11), each point of $\tau_j \setminus S_i$ lies in exactly a 2-secant $A_m B_n$ of K and our Theorem 1 is proved.

Our Corollary follows immediately from technical details and its proof will be omitted.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

This follows immediately from Theorem 1 and the next Lemma.

Lemma. *If $q = 7$, then q is $(C, 6)$ -constructable for all non-singular conics C of $PG(2, q)$.*

Proof: Let C be any non-singular conic of $PG(2, q)$, $q \geq 7$ odd. Let \bar{E} and I be two points of $PG(2, q) \setminus C$ such that (2.1)–(2.3) hold. Let $A = t_1 \cap C$ and let $B = t_2 \cap C$, where the t_i , $i = 1, 2$, are the two tangents to C through \bar{E} . Choose a new frame for $PG(2, q)$ as follows:

$$A = (1, 0, 0), B = (0, 1, 0), \bar{E} = (0, 0, 1) \text{ and } I = (1, 1, 1).$$

Since q is odd, using homogeneous coordinates, the conic C can be represented by

$$xy - kt^2 = 0$$

where k is a nonsquare of $GF(q)$.

Let $A' = \{IA \cap C\} \setminus \{A\}$ and let $B' = \{IB \cap C\} \setminus \{B\}$. Since the polar of \bar{E} is the 2-secant AB , we have that $I \notin AB$, $A' \neq B$ and $B' \neq A$. We are now ready to prove that

(4.1) if $\bar{E} \notin A'B'$, then q is $(C, 6)$ -constructable.

Let $E' = \{A'\bar{E} \cap C\} \setminus \{A'\}$ and let $E'' = \{B'\bar{E} \cap C\} \setminus \{B'\}$. Since $\bar{E} \notin A'B'$, it is easily proved that in $GF(7)$, or $k = 3$ or $k = 5$, and so or $E' = (4, 6, 1)$ and $E'' = (6, 4, 1)$ or $E' = (2, 6, 1)$ and $E'' = (6, 2, 1)$.

From a result of Lunelli-Sce on complete 6-arcs of $PG(2, 7)$ [5], in either case, the 6-arc $\{A, B, \bar{E}, I, E', E''\}$ is a complete arc.

Finally, if $\bar{E} \in A'B'$, then the conic C is given by $xy + t^2 = 0$. Hence, C contains the following two distinct points: $X = (2, 3, 1)$ and $Y = (4, 5, 1)$. Thus, from the same result [5], the 6-arc $\{A, B, \bar{E}, I, X, Y\}$ is a complete arc and our Lemma is proved.

From Theorem 1 and from our Lemma, we can to construct complete k -caps of $PG(3, 7)$ with $k = 28$. But, if $q = 7$, then $(q^2 + q + 4)/2 = 30$, thus we have proved our Theorem 2.

References

1. Abatangelo, V., *Un nuovo procedimento per la costruzione di calotte complete di $PG(3, q)$, q pari*, Rend. Mat. Sem. Brescia 7 (1984), 19–25.
2. Hirschfeld, J.W.P., *Projective geometries over Finite Fields*, Oxford Math. Monographs (1979), Clarendon Press, Oxford.
3. Hirschfeld, J.W.P., *Maximum Sets in Finite Projective Spaces*, London Math. Soc. L.N. Series 82 (1983), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

4. Hirschfeld, J.W.P., *Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions*, Oxford Math. Monographs (1985), Clarendon Press, Oxford.
5. Lunelli, L. and Sce, M., *Sulla ricerca dei k -archi completi mediante una calcolatrice elettronica*, Conv. Int.: Reticoli e Geometrie Proiettive (Palermo-Messina 1957) (1958), Cremonese, Roma.
6. Segre, B., *Le geometrie di Galois*, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. **48** (1959), 1–97.
7. Tallini, G., *Le geometrie di Galois e le loro applicazioni alla statistica e alla teoria delle Informazioni*, Rend. Mat. e Appl. **19** (1960), 379–400.