

The Number of Rooted Maps with a Fixed Number of Vertices

Zhicheng Gao

Department of Combinatorics and Optimization
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

Abstract. Let $T_g(m, n)$ (respectively, $P_g(m, n)$) be the number of rooted maps, on an orientable (respectively, non-orientable) surface of type g , which have m vertices and n faces. Bender, Canfield and Richmond [3] obtained asymptotic formulas for $T_g(m, n)$ and $P_g(m, n)$ when $\epsilon \leq m/n \leq 1/\epsilon$ and $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Their formulas can not be extended to the extreme case when m or n is fixed. In this paper, we shall derive asymptotic formulas for $T_g(m, n)$ and $P_g(m, n)$ when m is fixed and derive the distribution for the root face valency. We also show that their generating functions are algebraic functions of a certain form. By the duality, the above results also hold for maps with a fixed number of faces.

1. Introduction.

A *map* is a connected graph G embedded in a surface S in such a way that every component of $S - G$ (called a *face*) is a topological disk. A map is *rooted* by distinguishing an edge, a direction along the edge and a side of the edge. Throughout we use $g = 1 - \chi/2$ to denote the *type* of a surface with Euler characteristic χ . For an orientable surface, g is the same as the genus. (See [2] for more details about type.)

Consider m -vertex rooted maps which have some distinguished faces indexed by a finite set I . Let $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_I)$ be the generating function for such maps on an orientable surface of type g , where x marks the number of faces which are neither the root face nor the distinguished faces, y marks the root face valency and $z_I = \{z_i: i \in I\}$ marks the valencies of the distinguished faces. We similarly define $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_I)$ for non-orientable surfaces, and define

$M_{g,m}(x, y, z_I) = \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_I) + \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_I)$. Let

$$T_g(m, n) = [x^{n-1}] \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, z_\emptyset), P_g(m, n) = [x^{n-1}] \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, z_\emptyset);$$

$$T_g^k(m, n) = [x^{n-1} y^k] \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_\emptyset), P_g^k(m, n) = [x^{n-1} y^k] \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_\emptyset).$$

Then $T_g(m, n)$ (respectively, $P_g(m, n)$) is the number of rooted maps, on an orientable (respectively, non-orientable) surface of type g , which have m vertices and n faces. $T_g^k(m, n)$ and $P_g^k(m, n)$ are the number of such maps with root face valency k . Bender, Canfield, and Richmond [3] obtained asymptotic formulas for $T_g(m, n)$ and $P_g(m, n)$ when $\epsilon \leq m/n \leq 1/\epsilon$ and $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. In this paper, we study the extreme case when one of m and n is fixed while the other goes to infinity. By duality, we only need to study the case when m is fixed. The one-vertex

maps have been studied in [4, 7, 8, 9], its generating functions (with respect to the number of edges) have been calculated by Canfield [6] for $g \leq 3$. Some results on two-vertex maps have been obtained in [10].

To state our results, we first introduce some notations. Throughout this paper, R denotes $\sqrt{1 - 4x}$. If \mathbf{u}_I is a set of indeterminates indexed by a finite set I , then $P(R; \mathbf{u}_I)$ denote a polynomial of R and \mathbf{u}_I with rational coefficients and $\mathcal{Q}(R; \mathbf{u}_I)$ denote the ring whose elements are of the form

$$R^{-a}(1 + R)^{-b} \prod_{i \in I} (1 - (1 - R)u_i/2)^{-c_i} P(R; \mathbf{u}_I),$$

where a , b , and c_i , are non-negative integers. When $I = \emptyset$, we simply denote them, respectively, by $P(R)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(R)$. We shall prove the following results.

Theorem 1. $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, \mathbf{z}_I), \bar{M}_{g,m}(x, y, \mathbf{z}_I) \in \mathcal{Q}(R; y, \mathbf{z}_I)$. Therefore, they are algebraic functions.

Corollary 1. $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, \mathbf{z}_\emptyset)$ and $\bar{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, \mathbf{z}_\emptyset)$ are of the form $R^{-a}(1 + R)^{-b}P(R)$ for some non-negative integers a and b and polynomial P .

Theorem 2. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let k depend on n such that $k/n \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$ and $y = 2 + k/(n - k)$. Then there are positive numbers $t(g, m; y)$ and $p(g, m; y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_g^k(m, n) &\sim t(g, m; y) n^{4g+2m-5/2} e^{y^{-k}} \left(\frac{y^2}{y-1} \right)^n, \\ P_g^k(m, n) &\sim p(g, m; y) n^{4g+2m-5/2} e^{y^{-k}} \left(\frac{y^2}{y-1} \right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for all such k as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. There are positive constants $t(g, m)$ and $p(g, m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_g(m, n) &\sim t(g, m) n^{3(2g+m-2)/2} 4^n, \\ P_g(m, n) &\sim p(g, m) n^{3(2g+m-2)/2} 4^n, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To avoid considerable repetitions, we shall rely heavily on [2]. Refer to [2] for those notations and terminologies not defined here.

2. Functional equations.

For convenience, we shall use $M_{g,m}(x, y, I)$ to denote $M_{g,m}(x, y, z_I)$, etc., throughout this section. Using the argument similar to that used in [2], we obtain that for $w \notin I$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M_{g,m}(x, y, I) \\
 &= y^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{(j,S)=(0/2,\emptyset)}^{(g,m)} M_{j,\ell}(x, y, S) M_{g-j,m-\ell}(x, y, I - S) \\
 &+ 2y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_w} M_{g-1,m}(x, y, I + \{w\}) \Big|_{z_w=y} \\
 &+ y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y M_{g-1/2,m}(x, y, I)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in I} \frac{y z_I}{z_I - y} [z_I M_{g,m}(x, z_I, I - \{i\}) - y M_{g,m}(x, y, I - \{i\})] \\
 &+ \frac{xy}{y-1} (M_{g,m}(x, y, I) - M_{g,m}(x, 1, I)) + \delta_{m,1} \delta_{g,0} \delta_{I,\emptyset},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I) \\
 &= y^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{(j,S)=(0/2,\emptyset)}^{(g,m)} \vec{M}_{j,\ell}(x, y, S) \vec{M}_{g-j,m-\ell}(x, y, I - S) \\
 &+ y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_w} \vec{M}_{g-1,m}(x, y, I + \{w\}) \Big|_{z_w=y} \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in I} \frac{y z_I}{z_I - y} [z_I \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, z_I, I - \{i\}) - y \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I - \{i\})] \\
 &+ \frac{xy}{y-1} (\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I) - \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, I)) + \delta_{m,1} \delta_{g,0} \delta_{I,\emptyset},
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $1 - y$ and rearranging terms, we can rewrite the above recursions as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A(x, y) M_{g,m}(x, y, I) \tag{1} \\
 &= y^2 (1 - y) \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{(j,S)=(0/2,\emptyset)}^{(g,m)} M_{j,\ell}(x, y, S) M_{g-j,m-\ell}(x, y, I - S) \\
 &+ 2y^3 (1 - y) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_w} M_{g-1,m}(x, y, I + \{w\}) \Big|_{z_w=y} \\
 &+ y^2 (1 - y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y M_{g-1/2,m}(x, y, I))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1 - y) \sum_{i \in I} \frac{yz_I}{z_I - y} [z_I M_{g,m}(x, z_I, I - \{i\}) - y M_{g,m}(x, y, I - \{i\})] \\
& + xy (M_{g,m}(x, 1, I)) + (1 - y) \delta_{m,1} \delta_{g,0} \delta_{I,\emptyset},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(x, y) \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I) \tag{2} \\
& = y^2 (1 - y) \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{(j,S)=(0/2,\emptyset)}^{(g,m)} \vec{M}_{j,\ell}(x, y, S) \vec{M}_{g-j,m-\ell}(x, y, I - S) \\
& + y^3 (1 - y) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_w} \vec{M}_{g-1,m}(x, y, I + \{w\}) |_{z_w=y} \\
& + (1 - y) \sum_{i \in I} \frac{yz_I}{z_I - y} [z_I \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, z_I, I - \{i\}) - y \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I - \{i\})] \\
& + xy (\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I)) + (1 - y) \delta_{m,1} \delta_{g,0} \delta_{I,\emptyset},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(x, y) = xy^2 + 1 - y. \tag{3}$$

3.

Proof of Theorem 1: Since $A(x, y) = 0$ has a unique power series solution

$$y = f(x) = \frac{2}{1 + R},$$

Equations (1) and (2) determine $M_{g,m}(x, y, I)$ and $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I)$ recursively in lexicographic order of $(g, m, |I|)$, where $|I|$ is the cardinality of I . Setting $g = 0$, $m = 1$, $I = \emptyset$ and $y = f$ in (2), we obtain

$$\vec{M}_{0,1}(x, 1, \emptyset) = \frac{2}{1 + R}.$$

Substituting it into (2), we obtain

$$\vec{M}_{0,1}(x, y, \emptyset) = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - R)y/2}. \tag{4}$$

Thus, Theorem 1 holds for $(g, m, |I|) = (0, 1, 0)$. Suppose that Theorem 1 holds for $(j, \ell, |S|) < (g, m, |I|)$ with respect to lexicographic order. Then

$$\vec{M}_{j,\ell}(x, y, S) \in \mathcal{Q}(R; y, z_S) \text{ for all } (j, \ell, |S|) < (g, m, |I|).$$

Setting $y = f$ in (2), we have $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, I) \in \mathcal{Q}(R; \mathbf{Z}_I)$; substituting it into (2) and cancelling out the factor $1 - (1 + R)y/2$, we have

$$\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I) \in \mathcal{Q}(R; y, \mathbf{z}_I).$$

Similarly, we can show that $M_{g,m}(x, y, I) \in \mathcal{Q}(R; y, \mathbf{z}_I)$. Therefore,

$$\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I) = M_{g,m}(x, y, I) - \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, I) \in \mathcal{Q}(R; y, \mathbf{z}_I)$$

and, thereby, establishes Theorem 1.

By carrying out the first few calculations, (with the assistance of the symbolic manipulation system Maple) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{M}_{0,2}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{2}{R^2(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{0,3}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{4}{R^5(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{0,4}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{2(8 - 2R - R^2)}{R^8(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{0,5}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{4(21 - 11R - 4R^2 + R^3)}{R^{11}(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{1/2,1}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{2}{R^2(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{1/2,2}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{9+R}{R^5(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{1/2,3}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{59 - 6R - 9R^2}{R^8(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{1/2,4}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{1773 - 627R - 469R^2 + 67R^3}{4R^{11}(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{1/2,5}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{14325 - 8874R - 4436R^2 + 1954R^3 + 119R^4}{4R^{14}(1+R)}, \\ \vec{M}_{1,1}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{1}{R^5}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{M}_{1,1}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{2(3+R)}{R^5(1+R)}, \\
\vec{M}_{1,2}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{14+9R-3R^2}{R^8(1+R)}, \\
\bar{M}_{1,2}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{3(29+4R-5R^2)}{R^8(1+R)}, \\
\vec{M}_{1,3}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{2(83+27R-38R^2-2R^3)}{R^{11}(1+R)}, \\
\bar{M}_{1,3}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{1059-109R-357R^2+15R^3}{R^{11}(1+R)}, \\
\vec{M}_{1,4}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{1864+38R-1200R^2+75R^3+63R^4}{R^{14}(1+R)}, \\
\bar{M}_{1,4}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{48567-17532R-21706R^2+4700R^3+931R^4}{4R^{14}(1+R)}, \\
\vec{M}_{1,5}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{2(10203-2845R-7715R^2+1953R^3+807R^4-93R^5)}{R^{17}(1+R)}, \\
\bar{M}_{1,5}(x, 1, \emptyset) &= \frac{3(180303-113193R-88092R^2+43872R^3+6589R^4-1611R^5)}{4R^{17}(1+R)}, \\
\vec{M}_{0,2}(x, y, \emptyset) &= \frac{2y(y(R^2+4R-1)-2R+2)}{R^2(2-y+yR)^3}, \\
\vec{M}_{0,3}(x, y, \emptyset) &= \frac{4y\vec{P}_{0,3}(R, y)}{R^5(2-y+yR)^5}, \\
\bar{M}_{1/2,1}(x, y, \emptyset) &= \frac{2y(y(R^2+4R-1)-2R+2)}{R^2(2-y+yR)^3}, \\
\bar{M}_{1/2,2}(x, y, \emptyset) &= \frac{y\vec{P}_{1/2,2}(R, y)}{R^5(2-y+yR)^5}, \\
\vec{M}_{1,1}(x, y, \emptyset) &= \frac{(1+R)y\vec{P}_{1,1}(R, y)}{R^5(2-y+yR)^5}, \\
\bar{M}_{1,1}(x, y, \emptyset) &= \frac{2y\vec{P}_{1,1}(R, y)}{R^5(2-y+yR)^5},
\end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{P}_{0,3}(R, y) &= y^3(9R^4+18R^3-16R^2+6R-1) \\
&\quad + y^2(-8R^4-14R^3+42R^2-26R+6) \\
&\quad + y(-20R^2+32R-12) + (8-8R),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{P}_{1/2,2}(R, y) &= y^3 (R^5 + 99 R^4 + 154 R^3 - 138 R^2 + 53 R - 9) \\
&\quad + y^2 (-86 R^4 - 92 R^3 + 352 R^2 - 228 R + 54) \\
&\quad + y (-20 R^3 - 148 R^2 + 276 R - 108) - 8 R^2 - 64 R + 72, \\
\vec{P}_{1,1}(R, y) &= y^3 (R^4 + 26 R^3 - 16 R^2 + 6 R - 1) \\
&\quad + y^2 (-22 R^3 + 42 R^2 - 26 R + 6) \\
&\quad + y (-20 R^2 + 32 R - 12) - 8 R + 8, \\
\vec{P}_{1,1}(R, y) &= y^3 (R^5 + 45 R^4 + 46 R^3 - 42 R^2 + 17 R - 3) \\
&\quad + y^2 (-38 R^4 - 8 R^3 + 100 R^2 - 72 R + 18) \\
&\quad + y (-20 R^3 - 28 R^2 + 84 R - 36) \\
&\quad + (-8 R^2 - 16 R + 24).
\end{aligned}$$

Our results on one-vertex maps are independent verifications of some of the results given in [6]. (Note that the generating functions given in [6] are by the number of edges, thus, differ from ours by a factor $x^{2g} = (1 - R^2)^{2g} / 4^{2g}$.) The above results also suggest that $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, \emptyset)$ and $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, \emptyset)$ have no factor $(1 + R)$ in their denominators and that $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, \emptyset)$ and $\vec{M}_{g,m}(x, 1, \emptyset)$ only have $(1 + R)$ to the first power in their denominators. This could probably be proved by using a more delicate inductive argument similar to the one used above. Another interesting fact is that $\vec{M}_{0,2}(x, y, \emptyset)$ equals $\vec{M}_{1/2,1}(x, y, \emptyset)$ which can be proved directly by the following combinatorial argument: For any rooted two-vertex planar map, add a cross-cap in a face which is incident to both vertices (say, the first such face in the cyclic order around the root vertex) and identify the two vertices through the cross-cap, the resulting map is a rooted one-vertex map on the projective plane. Clearly, this process is reversible.

4.

Proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3: Let α be a vector of positive integers indexed by I and let $|\alpha| = \sum \alpha_i$. As in [2], we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, y, I, \alpha) &= \frac{\partial^{n+|\alpha|}}{\partial y^n \prod \partial z_i^{\alpha_i}} \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_I) \Big|_{z_I=y}, \\
\vec{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, I, \alpha) &= \frac{\partial^{n+|\alpha|}}{\partial y^n \prod \partial z_i^{\alpha_i}} \vec{M}_{g,m}(x, y, z_I) \Big|_{z_I=y=f}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly define $\vec{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, y, I, \alpha)$, $\vec{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, I, \alpha)$, $M_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, y, I, \alpha)$ and $M_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, I, \alpha)$. Let \approx be as defined as in [2]. We first establish the following results.

Lemma 1. For $\alpha = 4g + 2m + 2|I| + |\alpha| + n - 1$ and $y > 2$, there are positive numbers $\overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha, y)$ and $\tilde{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha, y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, y, I, \alpha) &\approx \overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha, y)(1 - x/r(y))^{-\alpha}, \\ \tilde{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, y, I, \alpha) &\approx \tilde{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha, y)(1 - x/r(y))^{-\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow r(y) = (y - 1)/y^2$.

Lemma 2. For $b = (6g + 3m + 3|I| + |\alpha| + n - 2)/2$, there are positive numbers $\overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha)$ and $\tilde{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, I, \alpha) &\approx \overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha)(1 - 4x)^{-b}, \\ \tilde{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, I, \alpha) &\approx \tilde{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(I, \alpha)(1 - 4x)^{-b},\end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 1/4$.

Proof: (Lemma 1) The proof is very similar to that of [2, Theorem 3], by using induction on the lexicographic order of $(g, m, |I|, n)$. By Theorem 1, for any $y > 2$, the smallest positive singularity of $\overrightarrow{M}_{g,m}^{(n)}(x, y, I, \alpha)$ is the solution to $1 - (1 - R)y/2 = 0$, that is, $r(y) = (y - 1)/y^2$. (Clearly, $0 < r(y) < 1/4$ for $y > 2$.) From (4), we obtain

$$\overrightarrow{M}_{0,1}^{(n)}(x, y, \emptyset, 0) = n! \left(\frac{1 - R}{2}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{1 - R}{2}y\right)^{-(n+1)}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, Lemma 1 holds for $g = 0$, $m = 1$ and $I = \emptyset$. The rest of the proof is essentially the same as that of [2, Theorem 3]. ■

The proof of Lemma 2 is essentially the same as that of [2, Theorem 3], while the initial case can be verified from (5). Theorem 3 now follows immediately from Lemma 2 and [1, Theorem 4] by setting $y = f$ in (1) and (2). (c.f. [2, Section 6])

We now use a local limit theorem to prove Theorem 2. We have

$$-\frac{d}{ds} \log r(e^s) = \frac{y - 2}{y - 1}, \quad -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log r(e^s) = \frac{y}{(y - 1)^2},$$

and

$$|\tau(ye^{i\theta})| = \frac{1}{y} \left|1 - \frac{1}{y}e^{-i\theta}\right| = r(y) \sqrt{1 + \frac{2y}{(y - 1)^2}(1 - \cos \theta)}.$$

Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\tau(ye^{i\theta})| \geq r(y)(1 + \delta_1) \text{ for } 2 + \epsilon \leq y \leq 1/\epsilon \text{ and } \delta_2 \leq |\theta| \leq \pi.$$

Theorem 2 now follows from Lemma 1, [1, Theorem 4] and [5, Corollary 2].

Acknowledgment.

I would like to thank the referee for the helpful comments.

References

1. E.A. Bender, *Asymptotic Methods in Enumeration*, SIAM Rev 16 (1974), 485–515.
2. E.A. Bender and E.R. Canfield, *The Asymptotic Number of Rooted Maps on a Surface*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 43 (1986), 244–257.
3. E.A. Bender, E.R. Canfield, and L.B. Richmond, *The Asymptotic Number of Rooted Maps on a Surface II: Enumeration by Vertices and Faces*, Research Report CORR, 89–25, University of Waterloo.
4. E.A. Bender, E.R. Canfield, and R.W. Robinson, *The Asymptotic Number of Tree-Rooted Maps on a Surface*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 48 (1988), 156–164.
5. E.A. Bender and L.B. Richmond, *Central and Local Limit Theorems Applied to Asymptotic Enumeration II: Multivariate Generating Functions*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 34 (1983), 255–265.
6. E.R. Canfield, *Calculating the Number of Rooted Maps on a Surface*, Congressus Numerantium (to appear).
7. J.L. Gross, D.P. Robbins, and T.W. Tucker, *Genus Distribution for Bouquets of Circles*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 47 (1989), 292–306.
8. D.M. Jackson, *Counting Cycles in Permutations by Group Characters, with an Application to a Topological Problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299 (1987), 785–801.
9. T.R.S. Walsh and A.B. Lehman, *Counting Rooted Maps by Genus, I and II*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 13 (1972), 192–218 and 122–141.
10. Terry I. Visentin, *A Character Theoretic Approach to the Study of Combinatorial Properties of Maps in Orientable Surfaces*, PhD Thesis (1989), University of Waterloo.