

On extremal nonsupereulerian graphs with clique number m

Zhi-Hong Chen

Department of Mathematics
Wayne State University
Detroit, MI 48202

Abstract. A graph G is supereulerian if it contains a spanning eulerian subgraph. Let n , m and p be natural numbers, $m, p \geq 2$. Let G be a 2-edge-connected simple graph on $n > p + 6$ vertices containing no K_{m+1} . We prove that if

$$|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-p+1-k}{2} + (m-1) \binom{k+1}{2} + 2p-4, \quad (1)$$

where $k = \lfloor \frac{n-p+1}{m} \rfloor$, then either G is supereulerian, or G can be contracted to a non-supereulerian graph of order less than p , or equality holds in (1) and G can be contracted to $K_{2,p-2}$ (p is odd) by contracting a complete m -partite graph $T_{m,p-p+1}$ of order $n-p+1$ in G . This is a generalization of the previous results in [3] and [5].

1. Introduction

We follow the notation of Bondy and Murty [1], except that graphs have no loops. For a graph G , the order of the maximum complete subgraph of G is called *clique number* of G and denoted by $cl(G)$. A graph is *eulerian* if it is connected and every vertex has even degree. A graph G is called *supereulerian* if it has a spanning eulerian subgraph H . A cycle C of G is called a *hamiltonian cycle* if $V(C) = V(G)$ and is called *dominating cycle* if $E(G - V(C)) = \emptyset$. A graph is *hamiltonian* if it contains a hamiltonian cycle. Obviously, hamiltonian graphs are special supereulerian graphs.

There is rich literature on the following extremal graph theory problems: for a given family \mathcal{F} of graphs and for a natural number n , what is the maximum size of simple graphs of order n which are not in \mathcal{F} . For example, when $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{graphs with clique number at least } m\}$, this is Turán's Theorem. In this note, we consider the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\text{supereulerian graphs with clique number } m\}.$$

In fact, our results are related to Turán's Theorem.

Let G be a graph, and let H be a connected subgraph of G . The contraction G/H is the graph obtained from G by contracting all edges of H , and by deleting any resulting loops. Even when G is simple, G/H may not be.

Here are some prior results related to our subject.

Theorem A. (Ore [8] and Bondy [2]). Let G be a simple graph on n vertices. If

$$|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-1}{2} + 1, \quad (2)$$

then exactly one of the following holds:

- (a) G is hamiltonian;
- (b) Equality holds in (2), and $G \in \{K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{n-2}), K_2 + K_3^c\}$ (where K_3^c is the complement of K_3).

■

Theorem B. (Veldman [10]). Let G be a 2-connected simple graph of order n . If

$$|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-4}{2} + 11,$$

then G has a dominating cycle.

■

Theorem C. (Cai [3]). Let G be 2-edge-connected simple graph on n vertices. If

$$|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-4}{2} + 6, \quad (3)$$

then exactly one of the following holds:

- (a) G is supereulerian;
- (b) $G = K_{2,5}$;
- (c) Equality holds in (3), and either $G = Q_3 - v$ (the cube minus a vertex), or G contains a complete subgraph $H = K_{n-4}$ such that $G/H = K_{2,3}$.

■

Theorem D. (Catlin and Chen [5]). Let G be a 3-edge-connected simple graph on n vertices. If

$$|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-9}{2} + 16,$$

then G is supereulerian.

■

In this paper, following closely the method of [5], we shall generalize Theorem C and Theorem D. In particular, we found that if a graph G is K_3 -free or has small clique number then the lower bound of the inequalities in Theorem C and Theorem D can be improved.

2. Notation and Turán's Theorem

Let n and m be natural numbers, we define $t(m, n)$ as the following;

$$t(m, n) = \binom{n-k}{2} + (m-1) \binom{k+1}{2},$$

where $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor$. It is easy to see that if $m = n$ or $m > n$ then $k = 1$ or $k = 0$, respectively, and so the right side of the equation above is equal to $\binom{n}{2}$. If $m = 2$ then

$$t(2, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{n^2-1}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that for $m > n$,

$$t(2, n) < t(3, n) < \dots < t(n-1, n) < t(n, n) = t(m, n) = \binom{n}{2}. \quad (5)$$

One can see that $t(m, n)$ is related to the Turán numbers below.

For $m \leq n$, denote by $T_{m,n}$ the complete m -partite graph of order n with

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{m} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n+m-1}{m} \right\rfloor$$

vertices in the various independent classes. Note that $T_{m,n}$ is the unique complete m -partite graph of order n whose independent classes are as equal as possible and $T_{n,n} = K_n$. Let $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor$, it is known that the size of $T_{m,n}$ is

$$|E(T_{m,n})| = t(m, n) = \binom{n-k}{2} + (m-1) \binom{k+1}{2}.$$

Theorem E. (Turán [9]). *Let m and n be natural numbers, $m \geq 2$. Then every graph of order n and size greater than $|E(T_{m,n})|$ contains a K_{m+1} . Furthermore, $T_{m,n}$ is the only graph of order n and size $|E(T_{m,n})|$ that does not contain a K_{m+1} .* ■

Remark. Let G be a graph of order n with maximum size that does not contain a K_{m+1} . If $m > n$ then $|E(G)| = \binom{n}{2}$. If $m \leq n$ then by Theorem E $|E(G)| = |E(T_{m,n})|$. Thus, if G is a graph containing no K_{m+1} then $|E(G)| \leq t(m, n)$. For convenience, we define

$$H_{m,n} = \begin{cases} T_{m,n} & \text{if } m < n; \\ K_n & \text{if } m \geq n. \end{cases}$$

3. Catlin's Reduction Method

The following concept was given by Catlin [4].

For a graph G , let $O(G)$ denoted the set of vertices of odd degree in G . A graph G is called *collapsible* if for every even set $X \subseteq V(G)$ there is a spanning connected subgraph H_X of G , such that $O(H_X) = X$. The *trivial graph* K_1 is both *supereulerian* and *collapsible*. The cycles C_2 and C_3 are *collapsible*, but C_t is not if $t \geq 4$. In fact, if G is *collapsible* then G contains a spanning (u, v) -trail for any $u, v \in V(G)$. In particular, a *collapsible* graph is *supereulerian*.

In [4], Catlin showed that every graph G has a unique collection of disjoint maximal *collapsible* subgraphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_c . Define G' to be the graph obtained from G by contracting each H_i into a single vertex, ($1 \leq i \leq c$). Since $V(G) = V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_c)$, the graph G' has order c . We call the graph G' the *reduction* of G . Any graph G has a unique reduction G' [4]. A graph G is *reduced* if $G = G'$.

We shall make use of the following theorems:

Theorem F. (Catlin [4]) *Let G be a graph. Let G' be the reduction of G .*

- (a) *Let H be a collapsible subgraph of G . Then G is collapsible if and only if G/H is collapsible. In particular, G is collapsible if and only if $G' = K_1$.*
- (b) *G is supereulerian if and only if G' is supereulerian.*
- (c) *If G is a reduced graph of order n , then G is simple and K_3 -free with $\delta(G) \leq 3$ and either $G \in \{K_1, K_2\}$ or*

$$|E(G)| \leq 2n - 4.$$

■

Theorem G. (Catlin, Han and Lai [6]). *Let G be a connected reduced graph of order n . Then $|E(G)| = 2n - 4$ if and only if $G = K_{2, n-2}$.*

■

4. Main Result and Consequences

The set of natural numbers is denoted by N . Let K be a graph. A graph G is called K -free if it contains no subgraph K .

Theorem 1. *Let G be a 2-edge-connected simple K_3 -free graph of order n and let $p \in N - \{1\}$. If*

$$|E(G)| \geq t(2, n - p + 1) + 2p - 4, \tag{6}$$

then exactly one of the following holds:

- (a) *The reduction of G has order less than p ;*
- (b) *Equality holds in (6) and G contains a subgraph $H = T_{2, n-p+1}$ such that the reduction of G is $G' = G/H = K_{2, p-2}$;*
- (c) *G is a reduced graph of order n such that $p + 1 \leq n \leq p + 6$ and*

$$2n-4 \geq |E(G)| \geq \begin{cases} 2n-4 & \text{if } n=6+p; \\ 2n-5 & \text{if } n=5+p; \\ 2n-6 & \text{if } n=i+p, i \in \{2,3,4\}; \\ 2n-5 & \text{if } n=1+p. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let G' be the reduction of G and let $|V(G')| = c$. If $c = 1$ then G is collapsible and (a) of Theorem 1 holds. Suppose that $c > 1$. Since G is 2-edge-connected and by the definition of contraction, we have $\kappa'(G') \geq \kappa'(G) \geq 2$. Let $V(G') = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_c\}$, and let H_i denote the preimage of v_i ($1 \leq i \leq c$). Suppose that G has the maximum size among all K_3 -free graphs which have the reduction G' . Then at most one H_i is a nontrivial K_3 -free subgraph of G with order $n - c + 1$. Therefore, by the remark following Theorem E and by Theorem F(c),

$$|E(G)| \leq |E(H_i)| + |E(G')| \leq t(m, n - c + 1) + 2c - 4, \quad (7)$$

with equality only if G has a complete bipartite graph H_i of order $n - c + 1$, and its reduction graph G' has size $2c - 4$. By (6) and (7)

$$t(2, n - p + 1) + 2p - 4 \leq |E(G)| \leq t(2, n - c + 1) + 2c - 4 \quad (8)$$

$$t(2, n - p + 1) + 2p \leq t(2, n - c + 1) + 2c. \quad (9)$$

Note that if $c < p$ then (a) of Theorem 1 holds. If $c = p$ then equality holds in (8). Therefore, $|E(G')| = 2c - 4 = 2p - 4$. By Theorem G, $G' = K_{2,p-2}$. Thus (b) of Theorem 1 holds.

In the following we consider the case

$$c > p. \quad (10)$$

By (4) and (9)

$$\frac{(n-p+1)^2 - 1}{4} + 2p \leq \frac{(n-c+1)^2}{4} + 2c.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (c-p)(2n-p-c+2) &\leq 8(c-p) + 1, \\ 2n &\leq 6+p+c + \frac{1}{c-p}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Case 1. $c = n$. Then G is a reduced graph. By (11)

$$n \leq 6+p + \frac{1}{n-p}. \quad (12)$$

If $n = p + 1$ then (c) of Theorem 1 holds. If $n > p + 1$ then it follows from (12) that $n \leq 6 + p$. By routine computation, one can see that (c) of Theorem 1 holds.

Case 2. $c < n$. Since G is K_3 -free, G has no nontrivial collapsible subgraph of order less than 6. Hence,

$$c + 5 \leq n. \tag{13}$$

By (11) and (13), we obtain

$$c + 5 \leq n \leq 1 + p + \frac{1}{c - p} \leq 2 + p \leq 1 + c,$$

a contradiction. The proof is complete. ■

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is the following.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a 2-edge-connected simple K_3 -free graph of order n and let $p \in N - \{1\}$. If $|E(G)| \geq t(2, n - p + 1) + 2p - 1$, then the reduction of G has order less than p .* ■

Lemma 3. *Let a, b , and m be integers with $a \geq 2, b \geq 3, m \geq 3$. Then*

$$t(m, a + b - 1) \geq t(2, a) + t(m, b) + \varepsilon(m, a, b),$$

where

$$\varepsilon(m, a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 2 \text{ and } b = m - 3, \\ 2 & \text{if } a = 2 \text{ and } \max\{b, m\} > 3, \\ 3 & \text{if } a > 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs such that $G_1 \cong T_{2,a}, G_2 \cong T_{m,b}$ and $|V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)| = 1$. Then $|V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)| = a + b - 1$ and $G_1 \cup G_2$ is K_m -free. It is easily seen that $\varepsilon(m, a, b)$ edges can be added to $G_1 \cup G_2$ in such a way that the resulting graph G is still K_m -free. Hence by Theorem E,

$$\begin{aligned} t(m, a + b - 1) &\geq |E(G)| = |E(G_1)| + |E(G_2)| + \varepsilon(m, a, b) \\ &= t(2, a) + t(m, b) + \varepsilon(m, a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. *Let n, m and p be natural numbers, $m, p \geq 2$. Let G be a 2-edge-connected simple graph of order n with $cl(G) = m$. If*

$$|E(G)| \geq t(m, n - p + 1) + 2p - 4, \tag{14}$$

then exactly one of the following holds:

- (a) *The reduction of G has order less than p ;*

- (b) Equality holds in (14), $p \geq 4$ and G contains a subgraph $H = H_{m, n-p+1}$ such that the reduction of G is $G' = G/H = K_{2, p-2}$;
- (c) $cl(G) = 3$, $n = p + 3$, $p \geq 3$ and G contains a subgraph $H = K_3$ such that $G' = G/H = K_{2, p-1}$;
- (d) G is a reduced graph with order n such that $n \geq 4$ and $p + 1 \leq n \leq p + 6$ and

$$2n - 4 \geq |E(G)| \geq \begin{cases} 2n - 4 & \text{if } n = 6 + p; \\ 2n - 5 & \text{if } n = 5 + p; \\ 2n - 6 & \text{if } n = i + p, i \in \{2, 3, 4\}; \\ 2n - 5 & \text{if } n = 1 + p. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Assume the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. If $m = 2$, then we are done by Theorem 1. Hence assume $m \geq 3$.

Let G_1 be the K_3 -free graph obtained from G by repeatedly contracting triangles until none remains. Set $n_1 = |V(G_1)|$. Let G' be the reduction of G and G_1 , and set $c = |V(G')|$. Similar to the argument of the first paragraph in the proof of Theorem 1 before (7), now we have

$$|E(G)| \leq t(m, n - c + 1) + 2c - 4, \quad (15)$$

with equality only if G has a complete m -partite subgraph H of order $n - c + 1$, and its reduction G' has size $2c - 4$.

Note that if $c < p$ then (a) of Theorem 4 holds. If $c = p$ then by (14) and (15), it is easy to see that (b) of Theorem 4 holds.

Now we may assume $c > p$. Since $m \geq 3$, we have $n \geq n_1 + 2$ and hence $n - n_1 + 1 \geq 3$. Furthermore, $n_1 - p + 1 \geq 2$, since $n_1 \geq c \geq p + 1$. By Theorem E and Lemma 3 (with $a = n_1 - p + 1$ and $b = n - n_1 + 1$),

$$\begin{aligned} |E(G_1)| &\geq |E(G)| - t(m, n - n_1 + 1) \\ &\geq t(m, n - p + 1) + 2p - 4 - t(m, n - n_1 + 1) \\ &\geq t(2, n_1 - p + 1) + 2p - 4 + \varepsilon(m, n_1 - p + 1, n - n_1 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Set $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m, n_1 - p + 1, n - n_1 + 1)$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$, G_1 is reduced by Theorem 1, i.e., $n_1 = c$. If $\varepsilon = 3$, then we are done by Corollary 2. Now assume $\varepsilon = 1$. Then $m = 3$, $n_1 - p + 1 = 2$ and $n - n_1 + 1 = 3$. By (16),

$$|E(G_1)| \geq t(2, 2) + 2p - 3 = 2p - 2 = 2n_1 - 4.$$

By Theorem G, $G' = G_1 = K_{2, n_1-2} = K_{2, p-1}$, whence (c) of Theorem 4 holds.

Finally, assume $\varepsilon = 2$. Then $n_1 - p + 1 = 2$, so by (16),

$$|E(G_1)| \geq t(2, 2) + 2p - 2 = 2p - 1 = 2n_1 - 3,$$

contradicting Theorem F(c). ■

Corollary 5. (Catlin and Chen [5]). Let G be a 2-edge-connected simple graph of order n and let $p \in N - \{1\}$. If

$$|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-p+1}{2} + 2p - 4, \quad (17)$$

then exactly one of these holds:

- (a) The reduction of G has order less than p ;
- (b) Equality holds in (17), G has a complete subgraph H of order $n - p + 1$, and the reduction of G is $G' = G/H = K_{2,p-2}$.
- (c) G is a reduced graph such that either

$$|E(G)| \in \{2n - 4, 2n - 5\} \text{ and } n \in \{p + 1, p + 2\}$$

or

$$|E(G)| = 2n - 4 \text{ and } n = p + 3.$$

Proof: Choose m in Theorem 4 so that $m \geq n - p + 1$. Then (5) and (14) together imply (17). Note that $m \geq n - p + 1$ implies that $H_{m,n-p+1} = K_{n-p+1}$. Now Corollary 5 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4. ■

Remark. The case $p = 5$ of Corollary 5 is Theorem D which is a main result of Cai [3]. The case $p = 10$ of Corollary 5 for 3-edge-connected graph is Theorem E (Catlin and Chen [5]), which was a conjecture of Cai [3]. In the following we give some more results which improve the lower bounds of the inequalities in Theorem C and Theorem D.

Corollary 6. Let G be a 2-edge-connected simple K_3 -free graph of order n . If $n \geq 12$ and

$$|E(G)| \geq t(2, n - 4) + 6, \quad (18)$$

then exactly one of the following holds:

- (a) G is supereulerian;
- (b) Equality holds in (18) and G contains a $H = T_{2,n-4}$ such that the reduction of G is $G' = G/H = K_{2,3}$.

Proof: Set $p = 5$ in of Theorem 1. Since $n \geq 12 = p + 7$, (c) of Theorem 1 is impossible. Corollary 6 now follows from Theorem 1, and the fact that any 2-edge-connected simple graph on $c \leq 4$ vertices is supereulerian. ■

Corollary 7. Let G be a 3-edge-connected simple K_3 -free graph on n vertices. If $n \geq 16$ and

$$|E(G)| \geq t(2, n - 9) + 16, \quad (19)$$

then G is collapsible.

Proof: Since G is 3-edge-connected, the reduction of G is either 3-edge-connected or trivial. It is known that the Petersen graph is the only 3-edge-connected reduced

graph of order at most 11 [7]. Combination of these facts with Theorem F(a) and the case $p = 10$ and $n \geq 16$ of Theorem 1 yields the desired result. ■

Remark. Let G be the simple graph obtained from the Petersen graph and the complete bipartite graph $T_{2, n-9} = K_{\lfloor (n-9)/2 \rfloor, \lceil (n-9)/2 \rceil}$ with $n-9 \geq 6$ by identifying one vertex from each graph. Then G is a 3-edge-connected graph of order $n = (n-9) + 10 - 1$. The size of G is

$$|E(G)| = t(m, n-9) + 15.$$

Since the reduction of G is the Petersen graph, G is not collapsible. Hence, (19) is sharp.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for suggesting the proof of Theorem 4 in the current setting and making many helpful comments.

References

1. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, "American Elsevier," New York, 1976.
2. J.A. Bondy, *Variations on the hamiltonian theme*, Canad. Math. Bull. 15(1) (1972), 57–62.
3. X.T. Cai, *Connected eulerian spanning subgraphs*. Preprint.
4. P.A. Catlin, *A reduction method to find spanning eulerian subgraphs*, J. Graph Theory 12 (1988), 29–45.
5. P.A. Catlin and Z.-H. Chen, *Nonsupereulerian graphs with large size*, Proc. 2nd Intl. Conf. Graph Theory. San Francisco, (July 1989). to appear.
6. P.A. Catlin, Z.-Y. Han and H.-J. Lai, *Spanning eulerian subgraphs and collapsible graphs*. Submitted.
7. Z.-H. Chen, *Supereulerian graphs and the Petersen graph*, J. of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 9 (1991), 79–89.
8. O. Ore, *Arc coverings of graphs*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 55 (1961), 315–321.
9. P. Turán, *On an extremal problem in graph theory (in Hungarian)*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941), 436–452.
10. H. Veldman, *Existence of dominating cycles and paths*, Discrete Math. 43 (1983), 281–296.