# On Tournaments with a Prescribed Property

W. Ananchuen and L. Caccetta

School of Mathematics and Statistics Curtin University of Technology GPO Box U1987 Perth 6001 Western Australia

Abstract. A round robin tournament on q players in which draws are not permitted is said to have property P(n, k) if each player in any subset of n players is defeated by at least k other players. We consider the problem of determining the minimum value f(n, k) such that every tournament of order  $q \ge f(n, k)$  has property P(n, k). The case k = 1 has been studied by Erdös, G. and E. Szekeres, Graham and Spencer, and Bollobás. In this paper we present a lower bound on f(n, k) for the case of Paley tournaments.

#### 1. Introduction

For our purposes graphs are finite and directed. Consider a round robin tournament  $T_q$  on q players  $1, 2, \ldots, q$  in which there are no draws. It is very well known that such a tournament can be represented by a directed graph in which the vertices represent the players. If Player i defeats Player j then the graph contains the arc (i, j), and we say that vertex i dominates vertex j. Further, we say a set of vertices A dominates a set of vertices B if every vertex of A dominates every vertex of B. For convenience we refer to the graph of the tournament as  $T_q$ .

A tournament  $T_q$  is said to have property P(n, k) if every subset of n vertices of  $T_q$  is dominated by at least k other vertices. An interesting problem is that of determining the smallest integer f(n, k) such that  $T_q$  has property P(n, k) whenever  $q \geq f(n, k)$ . This problem was posed to Erdös in 1962 by Schütte [3] for the particular case k = 1.

Using the probabilistic method, Erdös [3] proved that for sufficiently large n

$$2^{n+1} - 1 \le f(n, 1) \le n^2 2^n (\log 2 + \epsilon)$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Szekeres and Szekeres [6] improved the lower bound to

$$f(n,1) > (n+2)2^{n-1} - 1$$

Graham and Spencer [4] defined the following class of tournaments. Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be a prime. The directed graph  $D_p$  is defined as follows. The vertices of  $D_p$  are  $\{0,1,\ldots,p-1\}$  and  $D_p$  contains the arc (i,j) if and only if i-j is a quadratic residue modulo p. The graph  $D_p$  is sometimes referred to as the *Paley tournament*. Graham and Spencer [4] proved, using results from number theory,

that  $D_p$  has property P(n, 1) whenever  $p > n^2 2^{2n-2}$ . Further, they observed that  $D_7$  and  $D_{19}$  are the smallest Paley tournaments having property P(2, 1) and P(3, 1) respectively. They noted that  $D_{67}$  may be the smallest Paley tournament having property P(4, 1). This is indeed the case and is a consequence of our work.

Bollobás [2] extended the results of Graham and Spencer to prime powers. More specifically, if  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power, the Paley tournament  $D_q$  is defined as follows. The vertex set of  $D_q$  are the elements of the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Vertex a is joined to vertex b by an arc if and only if a-b is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Bollobás noted that  $D_q$  has property P(n, 1) whenever

$$q > \{(n-2)2^{n-1} + 1\}\sqrt{q} + n2^{n-1}.$$

In Section 3, we improve this bound to

$$q > \{(n-3)2^{n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} + 2^n - 1.$$

In addition, we establish a lower bound on q so that  $D_q$  has property P(n, k). In the next section we present some preliminary results on finite fields which we make use of in the proofs of our main theorems.

## 2. Preliminaries

We make use of the following basic notation and terminology. Let  $\mathbf{F}_q$  be a finite field of order q, where q is a prime power.

A character  $\chi$  on  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$ , the multiplicative group of the non-zero elements of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , is a map from  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  to the multiplicative group of complex numbers with  $|\chi(x)| = 1$  for all x and with

$$\chi(xy)=\chi(x)\chi(y)$$

for any  $x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Since  $\chi(1) = \chi(1)\chi(1)$  we have  $\chi(1) = 1$ .

Among the characters of  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  we have the *principal character*  $\chi_0$  defined by  $\chi_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$ ; all other characters of  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  are called non-principal. A character  $\chi$  is of *order* d if  $\chi^d = \chi_0$  and d is the smallest positive integer with this property.

It will be convenient to extend the definition of non-principal character  $\chi$  to the whole  $\mathbf{F}_q$  by putting  $\chi(0) = 0$ .

The following lemma, due to Schmidt [5], is very useful in our work.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\chi$  be a non-principal character on  $F_q$  of order d > 1. If  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s$  are distinct elements of  $F_q$ , then

$$\left|\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi\{(x-a_1)(x-a_2)\ldots(x-a_s)\}\right|\leq (s-1)\sqrt{q}.$$

Let q be a power of an odd prime. We define a quadratic (residue) character  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by

$$\eta(a) = a^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

Equivalently,  $\eta$  is 1 on squares, 0 at 0, and -1 otherwise. Therefore  $\eta$  is a non-principal character of order 2.

The following two lemmas are proved in [1].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\eta$  be a quadratic character on  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . If  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s$  are distinct elements of  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and s is even, then

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta \{ (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \dots (x - a_s) \}$$

$$= -1 \pm \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta \{ (x + b_1)(x + b_2) \dots (x + b_{s-1}) \}$$

for some distinct elements  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{s-1}$  of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\eta$  be a quadratic character on  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and let A and B be disjoint subsets of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Put

$$g = \sum_{x \in F_a} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x - a)\} \prod_{b \in B} \{1 - \eta(x - b)\}.$$

As usual, an empty product is defined to be 1. Then

(a) 
$$g \ge q - \{(t-3)2^{t-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{2^{t-1} - 1\}$$
 where  $t = |A \cup B|$ ,  
(b)  $g \ge q - \{(2n-3)2^{2n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{2^{2n-1} - 2n^2 - 1\}$   
where  $n = |A| = |B|$ .

We conclude this section by noting that if a and b are vertices of  $D_q$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  a prime power, then

$$\eta(a-b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \text{ dominates } b, \\ 0, & \text{if } a=b, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further,  $\eta(-a) = -\eta(a)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

#### 3. Results

Our first result concerns Paley tournaments having property P(n, k).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be a prime power and k a positive integer. If

$$q > \{(n-3)2^{n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} + k2^n - 1,$$
 (3.1)

then  $D_a$  has property P(n, k).

Proof: Let A be any subset of n vertices of  $D_q$ . Then there are at least k other vertices each of which dominates A if and only if

$$h = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \\ x \notin A}} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x - a)\} > (k - 1)2^n.$$

Let

$$g = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x - a)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3(a) with B empty, we have

$$g \ge q - \{(n-3)2^{n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{2^{n-1} - 1\}$$

Now

$$g - h = \sum_{x \in A} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{1 + \eta(x - a_i)\}$$

where  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . If  $g - h \neq 0$ , then for some  $a_k$  the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \{1 + \eta(a_k - a_i)\} \neq 0.$$
 (3.2)

For (3.2) to hold we must have  $\eta(a_k - a_i) \neq -1$  for all *i*. This means that for  $i \neq k$ ,  $\eta(a_k - a_i) = 1$ . Hence  $a_k$  dominates all other vertices in A. Therefore  $a_k$  is unique and  $g - h = 2^{n-1}$ . Then, since g - h could be 0 we conclude that

$$g-h<2^{n-1}.$$

So

$$h \ge g - 2^{n-1}$$
  
>  $q - \{(n-3)2^{n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{2^n - 1\}.$ 

Now if inequality (3.1) holds, then  $h > (k-1)2^n$  as required. Since A is arbitrary this completes the proof.

Some immediate corollaries of Theorem 3.1 are the following.

Corollary 1. If q = 4t + 3 is a prime power, then  $D_q$  has property P(2, k) for every  $t \ge k$ .

Corollary 2. If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power and  $q > (1 + 2\sqrt{2k})^2$ , then  $D_q$  has property P(3,k).

Corollary 3. If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power and  $q > (5 + 2\sqrt{4k+6})^2$ , then  $D_q$  has property P(4,k).

Corollary 4. If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power,  $n \geq 5$  and  $q > ((n-3)2^{n-1}+3)^2$ , then  $D_q$  has property P(n,1).

Remark 1 We have verified, using a computer, that  $D_7$ ,  $D_{19}$ , and  $D_{67}$  are the smallest Paley tournaments having property P(2,1), P(3,1), and P(4,1) respectively. Thus the bounds in Corollaries 1 and 2 are the best possible. Further, our computer analysis revealed that  $D_{103}$  does not have property P(4,1) whilst  $D_{107}$  and  $D_{127}$  do and thus the bound of 131 given in Corollary 3 is fairly close to best possible.

Remark 2 For n = 3 and any q there is always a set A for which g - h = 4. Expanding the g in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we get

$$g = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \{1 + \eta(x - a_i)\}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{\eta(x - a_1) + \eta(x - a_2) + \eta(x - a_3)\}$$

$$+ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{\eta((x - a_1)(x - a_2)) + \eta((x - a_1)(x - a_3))$$

$$+ \eta((x - a_2)(x - a_3))\} + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta((x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3))$$

$$= q - 3 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta((x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)).$$

Thus

$$|g - q + 3| = \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta((x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)) \right|$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{q}$$
 (by Lemma 2.1)

(by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2)

Hence  $g \le q+2\sqrt{q}-3$ . Consequently h < 8k for  $q < (-1+2\sqrt{2(k+1)})^2$ . Thus  $D_q$  does not have property P(3,k) for  $q < (-1+2\sqrt{2(k+1)})^2$ . We suspect that this is true for all  $q \le (1+2\sqrt{2k})^2$ .

We can extend the property P(n,k) as follows. We say a tournament  $T_q$  of order q has property P(m,n,k) if for any set of m+n distinct vertices of  $T_q$  there exists at least k other vertices each of which dominates the first m vertices and is dominated by each of the latter n vertices. We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be a prime power and k a positive integer. If

$$q > \{(t-3)2^{t-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} + (t+2k-1)2^{t-1} - 1,$$
 (3.3)

then  $D_q$  has property P(m, n, k), where t = m + n.

Proof: Let A and B be disjoint subsets of vertices of  $D_q$  with |A| = m and |B| = n. Then there are at least k other vertices, each of which dominates every vertex of A but is dominated by every vertex of B if any only if

$$h = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \atop a \notin A} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x - a)\} \prod_{b \in B} \{1 - \eta(x - b)\} > (k - 1)2^t.$$

Let

$$g = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x-a)\} \prod_{b \in B} \{1 - \eta(x-b)\}.$$

Using Lemma 2.3(a) we have

$$g \ge q - \{(t-3)^{t-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{2^{t-1} - 1\}.$$

Then

$$g - h = \sum_{x \in A \cup B} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x - a)\} \prod_{b \in B} \{1 - \eta(x - b)\}$$
 $< t2^{t-1},$ 

since, in each product, each factor is at most 2 and one factor is 1, so each of these terms is at most  $2^{t-1}$ . Therefore

$$h \ge g - t2^{t-1}$$
  
 
$$\ge q - \{(t-3)2^{t-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{(t+1)2^{t-1} - 1\}.$$

Now if inequality (3.3) holds, then  $h > (k-1)2^t$  as required. Since A and B are arbitrary this completes the proof.

For m = n we have the following sharper result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be a prime power and k a positive integer. If

$$q > \{(2n-3)2^{2n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} + (n+2k)2^{2n-1} - 2n^2 - 1,$$
 (3.4)

then  $D_q$  has property P(n, n, k).

Proof: Let A and B be disjoint subsets of vertices of  $D_q$  with |A| = |B| = n. Then there are at least k other vertices each of which dominates A and is dominated by B if any only if

$$h = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \\ x \notin A \cup B}} \prod_{a \in A} \{1 + \eta(x - a)\} \prod_{b \in B} \{1 - \eta(x - b)\} > (k - 1)2^{2n}.$$

Let

$$g = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_a} \prod_{a \in A} \left\{ 1 + \eta(x-a) \right\} \prod_{b \in B} \left\{ 1 - \eta(x-b) \right\}.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 (b) we have

$$g \ge q - \{(2n-3)2^{2n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{2^{2n-1} - 2n^2 - 1\}.$$

Consider

$$g - h = \sum_{x \in A \cup B} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{1 + \eta(x - a_i)\} \{1 - \eta(x - b_i)\}, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ .

If  $g - h \neq 0$ , then for some x the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \{1 + \eta(x - a_i)\} \{1 - \eta(x - b_i)\} \neq 0.$$
 (3.6)

Without any loss of generality suppose  $x = a_k$ . For (3.6) to hold we must have  $\eta(a_k - a_i) \neq -1$  and  $\eta(a_k - b_i) \neq 1$  for all *i*. This means that  $\eta(a_k - a_i) = 1$  for  $i \neq k$  and  $\eta(a_k - b_i) = -1$  for all *i*. Hence the term in (3.5) with  $x = a_i$  for  $i \neq k$  contributes zero to the sum. Hence we can write (3.5) as

$$g - h = \sum_{x \in \{a_k\} \cup B} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{1 + \eta(x - a_i)\} \{1 - \eta(x - b_i)\}$$

$$< (n+1)2^{2n-1}.$$

since, in each product, each factor is at most 2 and at least one factor is 1. Hence

$$h \ge g - (n+1)2^{2n-1}$$
  
 
$$\ge q - \{(2n-3)2^{2n-1} + 2\}\sqrt{q} - \{(n+2)2^{2n-1} - 2n^2 - 1\}.$$

Now if inequality (3.4) holds, then  $h > (k-1)2^{2n}$  as required. Since A and B are arbitrary, this completes the proof of the theorem.

## Acknowledgements

The authors express their thanks to Mr Peter Caccetta for his assistance with the computational work. Thanks also to the referee for a number of useful suggestions. This work has been supported by an Australian Research Council Grant A48932119.

### References

- 1. W. Ananchuen and L. Caccetta, On the adjacency properties of Paley graphs. (submitted for publication).
- 2. B. Bollobás, "Random Graphs", Academic Press, London, 1985.
- 3. P. Erdös, On a problem in graph theory, Math. Gaz. 47 (1963), 220-223.
- 4. R.L. Graham and J.H. Spencer, A constructive solution to a tournament problem, Canad. Math. Bull. 14 (1971), 45-48.
- 5. W.M. Schmidt, Equations Over Finite Fields, An Elementary Approach, in "Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 536", Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- 6. E. Szekeres and G. Szekeres, On a problem of Schütte and Erdös, Math. Gaz. 49 (1965), 290–293.