

# A complete solution to the packing problem with block size six and index five

Jianxing Yin and Kejun Chen  
Department of Mathematics  
Suzhou University  
Suzhou 215006, P.R. of China

**ABSTRACT.** A.M. Assaf, A. Hartman and N. Shalaby determined in [1] the packing numbers  $\sigma(v, 6, 5)$  for all integers  $v \geq 6$  leaving six open cases of  $v = 41, 47, 53, 59, 62,$  and  $71$ . In this paper, we deal with these open cases and thus complete the packing problem.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $v, k$  and  $\lambda$  be positive integers. A packing design with parameters  $v, k$  and  $\lambda$ , called a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -packing, is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  where  $X$  is a  $v$ -set (of points) and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of  $k$ -subsets of  $X$  (called blocks) such that every pair of distinct points of  $X$  occurs in at most  $\lambda$  blocks of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The packing number  $\sigma(v, k, \lambda)$  is defined to be the maximum number of blocks in a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -packing. The packing problem is to determine the value of  $\sigma(v, k, \lambda)$ . Definitions not given here can be found in [1,6,7].

Schoenheim [4] has shown that

$$\sigma(v, k, \lambda) \leq \lfloor v \lfloor \lambda(v-1)/(k-1) \rfloor / k \rfloor = \phi(v, k, \lambda) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer satisfying  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$ .

Lower bounds on  $\sigma(v, k, \lambda)$  are generally given by construction of  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -packings. A.M. Assaf, A. Hartman and N. Shalaby [1] discussed the  $(v, 6, 5)$ -packing problem. They showed the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The equality  $\sigma(v, 6, 5) = \phi(v, 6, 5)$  holds for every integer  $v \geq 6$  with the exception of  $v = 8$  and the possible exception of  $v \in \{41, 47, 53, 59, 62, 71\}$ . Moreover,  $\sigma(8, 6, 5) = \phi(8, 6, 5) - 1$ .*

In this paper, we deal with the six open cases shown in Theorem 1.1 and thus complete the packing problem.

## 2. Constructions

Let  $X$  be a finite set (of points). A group divisible design (GDD) of index  $\lambda$  is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ , where

1.  $\mathcal{G}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  (called groups) which partition  $X$ ,
2.  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  (called blocks) such that a group and a block contain at most one common point, and
3. every pair of points from distinct groups occurs in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The group-type (or type) of a GDD is a listing of the group sizes using so-called "exponential" notation, that is,  $1^i 2^j 3^k \dots$  denotes  $i$  groups of size 1,  $j$  groups of size 2, etc. We say that a GDD is a  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD if  $|A| = k$  for every block  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Three particular GDDs of which we will make use of need to be mentioned. A  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD of type  $m^k$  is called a transversal design (TD), denoted by  $\text{TD}(k, \lambda; m)$ . A  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD of type  $1^v$  is called a balanced incomplete block design (BIBD), and denoted by  $\text{B}(k, \lambda; v)$  and also by  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . A  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD of type  $1^u w^1$  is referred to as an incomplete BIBD, denoted simply by  $\text{IB}(k, \lambda; u + w, w)$ . The group of size  $w$  is thought of as a hole.

We are now in the position to give our constructions.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The equality  $\sigma(71, 6, 5) = \phi(71, 6, 5)$  holds.*

**Proof:** As noted in [1], a  $(v, 6, 5)$ -packing with  $\phi(v, 6, 5)$  blocks is essentially an  $\text{IB}(6, 5; v, 2)$  whenever  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . So we have a  $(6, 5)$ -GDD of type  $1^{12} 2^1$  by taking  $v = 14$  in Theorem 1.1. Give weight 5 to every point of such a GDD and employ Wilson's Fundamental Construction (see [5]) with the input design  $\text{TD}(6, 1; 5)$ , which exists by [3]. This gives rise to a  $(6, 5)$ -GDD of type  $5^{12} 10^1$ . We then adjoin one infinite point to the resulting GDD and break up each group of size 5 together with the infinite point using a  $\text{B}(6, 5; 6)$ . Filling in the group of size 10 together with the infinite point by a maximum  $(11, 6, 5)$ -packing from Theorem 1.1, we obtain a  $(71, 6, 5)$ -packing with  $\phi(71, 6, 5)$  blocks. The conclusion then follows from (1.1).

**Lemma 2.2.** *The equality  $\sigma(62, 6, 5) = \phi(62, 6, 5)$  holds.*

**Proof:** It is known [2] that both a  $\text{B}(6, 1; 66)$  and a  $\text{B}(6, 4; 61)$  exist. Removing one block from a  $\text{B}(6, 1; 66)$  yields an  $\text{IB}(6, 1; 66, 6)$ . Thus we can apply Construction 4.5 in [6] with  $K = \{6\}$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $u = 60$ ,  $m = e = 5$ , and  $q = 1$  to obtain an  $\text{IB}(6, 5; 62, 2)$ . This guarantees that a  $(62, 6, 5)$ -packing with  $\phi(62, 6, 5)$  blocks exists. The conclusion then follows from (1.1).

**Lemma 2.3.** *The equality  $\sigma(59, 6, 5) = \phi(59, 6, 5)$  holds.*

**Proof:** By (1.1), it is sufficient to construct a  $(59,6,5)$ -packing with  $\phi(59, 6, 5)$  blocks. We proceed as follows.

First of all, we construct an  $IB(6,5;59,11)$  based on the set  $Z_{48} \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{11}\}$  and consisting of the following 552 blocks:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} x_1 & j & 16+j & 17+j & 32+j & 33+j & j=0,1,2,\dots,15 \\ x_1 & j & 1+j & 16+j & 32+j & 33+j & j=0,1,2,\dots,15 \\ x_1 & j & 1+j & 16+j & 17+j & 32+j & j=0,1,2,\dots,15 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llllll} 0 & 3 & 5 & 24 & 27 & 29 & \text{mod } 48 \text{ (orbit length 24)} \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 13 & 23 & x_2 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 2 & 12 & 19 & 25 & x_3 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 3 & 20 & 29 & 35 & x_4 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 & 19 & 41 & x_5 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 & 13 & 18 & x_6 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 4 & 21 & 34 & 44 & x_7 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 5 & 11 & 12 & 20 & x_8 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 8 & 10 & 20 & 22 & x_9 & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 11 & 19 & 20 & 41 & x_{10} & \text{mod } 48 \\ 0 & 21 & 24 & 30 & 44 & x_{11} & \text{mod } 48 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{11}\}$  is the hole.

Secondly, we fill the hole with a maximum  $(11,6,5)$ -packing with  $\phi(11, 6, 5)$  blocks from Theorem 1.1.

Finally, it is readily checked that the above procedure provides the required packing and the result follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $v \in \{41, 47, 53\}$ , then  $\sigma(v, 6, 5) = \phi(v, 6, 5)$ .*

**Proof:** In view of (1.1), we can establish the result by constructing a  $(v, 6, 5)$ -packing with  $\phi(v, 6, 5)$  blocks for each value of  $v \in \{41, 47, 53\}$ . These constructions are exhibited below.

For  $v = 41$ , the point set is  $Z_{39} \cup \{x, y\}$  and the 273 blocks are

$$\begin{array}{llllll} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 19 & \text{mod } 39 \\ 0 & 1 & 9 & 17 & 24 & 29 & \text{mod } 39 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 12 & 16 & \text{mod } 39 \\ 0 & 4 & 9 & 14 & 19 & 25 & \text{mod } 39 \\ 0 & 6 & 12 & 18 & 25 & 32 & \text{mod } 39 \\ 0 & 2 & 11 & 24 & 28 & x & \text{mod } 39 \\ 0 & 3 & 12 & 21 & 29 & y & \text{mod } 39 \end{array}$$

For  $v = 47$ , the point set is  $Z_{45} \cup \{x, y\}$  and the 360 blocks are

0	1	3	8	12	21	mod 45
0	1	3	16	24	27	mod 45
0	1	6	9	19	23	mod 45
0	1	6	31	33	41	mod 45
0	1	7	17	22	32	mod 45
0	2	14	28	30	39	mod 45
0	3	7	19	41	x	mod 45
0	6	17	26	33	y	mod 45

For  $v = 53$ , the point set is  $Z_{51} \cup \{x, y\}$  and the 459 blocks are

0	1	3	7	25	39	mod 51
0	1	5	8	35	41	mod 51
0	1	6	14	21	23	mod 51
0	1	10	29	32	49	mod 51
0	1	11	15	43	46	mod 51
0	2	6	18	27	44	mod 51
0	4	17	22	30	41	mod 51
0	2	12	23	39	x	mod 51
0	5	16	23	31	y	mod 51

The foregoing can be summarized as follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $v \in \{41, 47, 53, 59, 62, 71\}$ , then  $\sigma(v, 6, 5) = \phi(v, 6, 5)$ .*

### 3. Conclusion

It has been shown in Theorems 1.1 and 2.5 that if  $v \neq 8$  is an integer greater than six, then there is a maximum  $(v, 6, 5)$ -packing which contains  $\lfloor v[5(v-1)/5]/6 \rfloor$  blocks. Thus the packing problem with block size six and index five has been solved completely. As a consequence of the present result, we can claim that an  $IB(6, 5; v, 2)$  exists if and only if  $v \geq 11$  and  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  (see Lemma 2.1 [1]); this result may be useful for other combinatorial designs.

## References

- [1] A.M. Assaf, A. Hartman, and N. Shalaby, Packing designs with block size 6 and index 5, *Discrete Math.* 103 (1992) 121–128.
- [2] H. Hanani, Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs, *Discrete Math.* 11 (1975) 225–369.
- [3] H.F. MacNeish, Euler squares, *Ann.Math.* 23 (1922) 221–227.
- [4] J. Schoenheim, On maximal systems of  $k$ -tuples, *Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar.* 1 (1966) 363–368.
- [5] R.M. Wilson, Constructions and uses of pairwise balanced designs, *Mathematical Centre Tracts* 55 (1974) 18–41.
- [6] J. Yin, On the packing of pairs by quintuples with index 2, *Ars Combinatoria* 31 (1991) 287–301.
- [7] J. Yin, Packing pairs by quintuples: the case  $v$  congruent to 0 (mod 4), *Applied Mathematics—A Journal of Chinese Universities*, to appear.