Relatively Narrow Latin Parallelepipeds That Cannot Be Extended to a Latin Cube

Martin Kochol 1

Institute for Informatics Slovak Academy of Sciences Dúbravská cesta 9 842 35 Bratislava Slovakia

Abstract. In this paper we construct a latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped that cannot be extended to a latin cube of order n for any pair of integers d, n where $d \ge 3$ and n > 2d + 1. For d = 2, it is similar to the construction already known.

1. Introduction

One of the best known property of latin squares is that any latin $(n \times k)$ -rectangle can be extended to a latin square of order n. This was proved by M. Hall [5]. Since then have arised questions whether this theorem can be extended to "more dimensional" cases. To be more precise we introduce some notations.

Let $A^{(1)} = [a_{i,j}^{(1)}], A^{(2)} = [a_{i,j}^{(2)}], \ldots, A^{(k)} = [a_{i,j}^{(k)}]$ be latin squares of elements $1, 2, \ldots, n$. The ordered k-tuple $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots, A^{(k)})$ is called a latin $(n \times n \times k)$ -parallelepiped if the elements $a_{i,j}^{(1)}, \ldots, a_{i,j}^{(k)}$ are distinct for every $1 \le i, j \le n$. In the case k = n, A is called a latin cube of order n.

With respect to the theorem of Hall [5] it is natural to ask the following question: Given a latin $(n \times n \times k)$ -parallelepiped, do there exist n-k latin squares which may be added to the given parallelepiped to form a latin cube? This problem was posed in the Sixth Hungarian Colloqium on Combinatorics, 1981. In contrast with the classical case there are known constructions of latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepipeds that cannot be extended to a latin cube of order n. These constructions have been presented in [7] (for d=2 and $n=2^k$, $k \ge 3$), in [4] (for d=2 and n=6 or $n \ge 12$), in [8] (for d=2 and $n \ge 5$), in [9] (for $d \ge 3$ and n=kd, $k \ge 3$ or $n \ge 6d$), and in [3] (for d=3 and n=5). In this paper we construct a latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped that cannot be extended to a latin cube of order n for any d > 3 and n > 2d + 1.

It is an easy task to prove that any latin $(n \times n \times 1)$ - and $(n \times n \times (n-1))$ -parallelepipeds can be extended to latin cubes of order n. We have conjectured in [9] that, if $d \ge 2$ and $n \le 2d$, then every latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped can be extended to a latin cube of order n and, if $d \ge 2$ and $n \ge 2d + 1$, then there exists a latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped that cannot be extended

¹This research was partially supported by Grant of Slovak Academy of Sciences No. 88 and by EC Cooperation Action IC 1000 "Algorithms for Future Technologies".

to a latin cube of order n. This conjecture was verified for d=2 in [8], but disproved for d=3 and n=5 in [3]. In this paper we prove the second part of the conjecture. Unfortunately there are no nontrivial results regarding the first part of the conjecture, unless the contraexample presented in [3].

As a survay of properties of latin squares together with some applications we refer to the book edited and partially written by Dénes and Keedwell [2], especially the chapters written by Heinrich [6] and Lindner [10].

2. Basic notations and definitions

An incomplete latin square of elements c_1, \ldots, c_n (or, simply, of order n) is an $n \times n$ array such that the entries are the elements c_1, \ldots, c_n , no elements of c_1, \ldots, c_n occurs in any row or column more than once, and some cells may be empty. Unless otherwise specified $c_i = i$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$. If every cell is nonempty we get a latin square of order n. If A is an (incomplete) latin square of order n, then we write $A = [a_{i,j}]$ where $a_{i,j}$ denotes the entry in the i-th row and the j-th column.

We say that an incomplete latin square of order n can be extended to a latin square of order n if the empty cells can be filled in such that the result is a latin square of order n.

We shall use $S(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ to denote the latin square $[a_{i,j}]$ of elements c_1, \ldots, c_n such that $a_{i,j} = c_{i+j-1}$, where the indices are taken in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ mod n. For instance

$$S(1,2,3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let A be an (incomplete) latin square of order n and $1 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le n$, $1 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le n$. We call an $(r_1, r_2) \times (s_1, s_2)$ -subrectangle of A the $(r_2 - r_1 + 1) \times (s_2 - s_1 + 1)$ array which arises as the intersection of the r_1 th, $(r_1 + 1)$ st, ..., r_2 th rows and the s_1 th, $(s_1 + 1)$ st, ..., s_2 th columns of A.

Let A be an (incomplete) latin square of order n, $1 \le r_1 < r_2 \le n$, $1 \le s_1 < s_2 \le n$ and B be the 2×2 array which arises as the intersection of the r_1 th, r_2 th rows and the s_1 th, s_2 th columns of A. Then B is called the $(r_1, r_2) \# (s_1, s_2)$ -subsquare of A.

The latin $(n \times n \times k)$ -parallelepipeds have been introduced in the first section. Furthermore, if $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots, A^{(k)})$ is a latin $(n \times n \times k)$ -parallelepiped then we denote by $M_{i,j}(A)$ the subset of the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ which do not occur in the intersection of the *i*th row and the *j*th column in any of the latin squares $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots, A^{(k)}$.

3. The main idea of the construction

Our construction will be based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $d \ge 2$, n > d and $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, ..., A^{(n-d)})$ be a latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped such that

$$M_{i,1}(A) = \{2, 3, \dots, d+1\},$$

$$M_{i,1}(A) = \{1, 3, 4, \dots, d+1\}, \quad (2 \le i \le d),$$

$$M_{i,j}(A) = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, \quad (1 \le i \le d, 2 \le j \le d).$$

Then A cannot be extended to a latin cube of order n.

Proof: Suppose, to the contrary, that there exist latin squares $B^{(1)} = [b_{i,j}^{(1)}]$, $B^{(2)} = [b_{i,j}^{(2)}]$, ..., $B^{(d)} = [b_{i,j}^{(d)}]$ of order n such that $B = (A^{(1)}, \dots A^{(n-d)}, \dots A^{(n-d)})$ $B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(d)}$) is a latin cube (in other words, $\{b_{i,j}^{(1)}, b_{i,j}^{(2)}, \ldots, b_{i,j}^{(d)}\} = M_{i,j}(A)$ for any $1 \le i, j \le n$). We may assume without loss of generality that $b_{i,1}^{(1)} =$ d+1. Since $\{2,3,\ldots,d\}\subseteq M_{1,1}(\mathcal{A}),\,M_{1,2}(\mathcal{A}),\ldots,\,M_{1,d}(\mathcal{A})$, then, for any $k\in\{2,3,\ldots,d\}$, there exists $i_k\in\{2,3,\ldots,d\}$ such that $b_{1,i_k}^{(1)}=k$ (otherwise k could not be element of the sets $M_{1,1}(\mathcal{A}),\,M_{1,2}(\mathcal{A}),\ldots,\,M_{1,d}(\mathcal{A})$). Then $\{b_{1,2}^{(1)}, b_{1,3}^{(1)}, \dots, b_{1,d}^{(1)}\} = \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$ and $b_{1,j}^{(1)} \neq 1$ for any $j = 1, \dots, d$.

Denote $I = \{(i, j); 1 \le i, j \le d\}$. We have proved that $b_{1,j}^{(1)} \ne 1$ for any j = 1, ..., d. Then there exist at most d-1 elements $(i,j) \in I$ such that $b_{i,j}^{(1)} = 1$.

Since $b_{1,1}^{(1)} = d+1$ and $d+1 \notin M_{i,j}(A)$ for any $(i,j) \in I$ and $j \geq 2$, then there exists just one $(i,j) \in I$ such that $b_{i,j}^{(1)} = d+1$.

Since $2 \notin M_{i,1}(A)$, $2 \le i \le d$, and $2 \ne b_{1,1}^{(1)}$, then there exist at most d-1elements $(i, j) \in I$ such that $b_{i,j}^{(1)} = 2$.

Clearly, there exist at most d(d-2) elements $(i,j) \in I$ such that $b_{i,j}^{(1)} \in$

Concluding, there exist at most $d^2 - 1$ elements $(i, j) \in I$ such that $b_{i,j}^{(1)} \in$ $\{1,2,\ldots,d+1\}$. But $|I|=d^2$ and $M_{i,j}(A)\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,d+1\}$ for any $(i,j)\in$ I, what is a contradiction.

In the sequel we shall construct latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped C such that $M_{i,j}(C) = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ for any $1 \le i, j \le d$. Then we shall do small changes in C and obtain a new latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped \mathcal{E} having the properties of Lemma 1. In order to construct such C and $\mathcal E$ we need two incomplete latin squares introduced in the next chapter.

4. Definitions of two basic incomplete latin squares

Definition 1: Let $d \ge 3$, $n \ge 2d + 1$. Denote by K(d, n) the incomplete latin square of order n such that (see K(4,9) and K(3,7) in Table 1, where the empy cells are depicted as dots):

(a) The $(1,d) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of K(d,n) is $S(d+2,\ldots,2d+1)$.

- (b) The (1, d+1) # (1, d+1)-subsquare of K(d, n) is equal to S(d+2, 2), the (2, d+2) # (1, d+2)-subsquare of K(d, n) is equal to S(d+3, 3), the (d, 2d) # (1, d+3)-subsquare of K(d, n) is equal to S(2d+1, 1).
- (c) If $d \ge 4$, then, for any i = 4, ..., d, the (i 1, d + i 1) # (1, d + 3)subsquare of K(d, n) is equal to S(d + i, i).
- (d) All other cells are empty.

Since any of the subsquares of K(d, n) from items (b) and (c) is in fact a latin square of order 2, we can interchange the positions of the entries in any of these subsquares and K(d, n) (or its extention) remains latin. This will be used in the following section.

Table 1

Definition 2: Let $d \ge 3$, $n \ge 2d + 1$. Denote by $L(d, n) = [l_{i,j}]$ the incomplete latin square of order n such that (see Table 2):

- (a) The $(n-d+1, n) \times (1, d)$ -subrectangle of L(d, n) is S(1, ..., d).
- (b) The (1,2) # (d,2d)-subsquare of L(d,n) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} d+2 & 2 \\ d+1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) The (1, d + 1) # (1, d + 1)-subsquare of L(d, n) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2d+1 & 1 \\ d+1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(d) For any i = 3, ..., d, the (1, i) # (d - i + 2, 2d - i + 2)-subsquare of L(d, n) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} d+i & i \\ d+1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (e) $l_{n,d+1} = l_{n-1,d+2} = l_{n-2,d+3} = \cdots = l_{n-d+1,2d} = d+1$.
- (f) All other cells are empty.

Table 2

In the sequel we use the following fact. Suppose L(d, n) be extended to a latin square of order n and remove the last d rows from it. We get a latin rectangle. This rectangle remains latin if we interchange the positions of the entries d + 1 and 1 in the 2nd row and the entries d + 1 and 2 in the 3th, ..., (d + 1)st rows.

5. The construction

The last section will be devoted to the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $d \ge 3$, $n \ge 2d + 1$. Then K(d, n) and L(d, n) can be extended to latin squares of order n.

If φ is a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $A = [a_{i,j}]$ is a latin square of order n, then by $\varphi(A) = [b_{i,j}]$ we denote the latin square of order n such that $b_{i,j} = \varphi(a_{i,j})$.

Now we can prove the main theorem.

Theorem 1. For any $d \ge 2$ and $n \ge 2d+1$ there exists a latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped that cannot be extended to a latin cube of order n.

Proof: This theorem was proved for d = 2 and $n \ge 5$ in [8].

Choose fixed integers d, n where $d \ge 3$ and $n \ge 2d + 1$. By Lemma 2, there exist latin squares R(d, n) and S(d, n) of order n that are extentions of K(d, n) and L(d, n) respectively.

Take $S(d, n) = [s_{i,j}]$. This latin square defines n permutations φ_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that φ_i maps the 1st row of S(d, n) on the ith row of S(d, n), more precisely, $\varphi_i(s_{1,j}) = s_{i,j}$ for any $1 \le j \le n$. Note that φ_1 is the identical mapping.

Take R(d, n) and let us construct a latin $(n \times n \times (n - d))$ -parallelepiped $C = (C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(n-d)})$ such that $C^{(i)} = \varphi_i(R(d, n))$ for any $1 \le i \le n - d$. From the fact that R(d, n) and S(d, n) are extentions of K(d, n) and L(d, n) respectively, we can easily check (1)-(3):

(1)
$$M_{i,j}(\mathcal{C}) = \{1,\ldots,d\}$$
 for any $1 \leq i,j \leq d$.

(2) $M_{i,j}(C) = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ for any pair (i, j) from the set

$$\{(d+1,d+1),(d+2,d+2),(d+3,d+3),(d+4,d+3),\ldots,(2d,d+3)\}.$$

(3) $d+1 \in M_{i,j}(C)$ for any pair (i,j) from the sets

$$\{(1,d+1),(2,d+2),(3,d+3),(4,d+3),\dots,(d,d+3)\},$$

 $\{(d+1,1),(d+2,1),(d+3,1),\dots,(2d,1)\}.$

Furthermore, from Definitions 1 and 2 we can easily check: (See Table 3, where are depicted segments from $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(5)}$ if d = 4, n = 9 and S(4, 9) is depicted in Table 4. The less important entries of $C^{(i)}$ are depicted as dots.)

- (4) The (1, d+1) # (1, d+1)-subsquare of $C^{(2)}$ is equal to S(d+1, 1). (For instance this follows from the facts that the (1, d+1) # (1, d+1)-subsquare of K(d, n) is S(d+2, 2) and the (1, 2) # (d, 2d)-subsquare of L(d, n) is $\begin{bmatrix} d+2 & 2 \\ d+1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.)
- (5) The (2, d+2) # (1, d+2)-subsquare of $C^{(3)}$ is equal to S(d+1, 2).
- (6) The (i-1, d+i-1) # (1, d+3)-subsquare of $C^{(i)}$ is equal to S(d+1, 2) for any i = 4, ..., d+1.

As pointed out after Definition 1, we can interchange the positions of the entries of the subsquares from items (4)-(6). I.e., the subsquares equal to S(d+1,1) or S(d+1,2) can be replaced by the subsquares equal to S(1,d+1) or S(2,d+2), respectively. Performing all these changes we get new latin squares $E^{(2)}, \ldots, E^{(d+1)}$ from $C^{(2)}, \ldots, C^{(d+1)}$, respectively. Otherwise let $E^{(i)} = C^{(i)}$ (see Table 3).

From (1)-(3) it follows that if we use the notation $E^{(r)} = [e_{i,j}^{(r)}], r = 1, ..., n-d$, then the entries $e_{i,j}^{(1)}, ..., e_{i,j}^{(n-d)}$ are distinct for every $1 \le i, j \le n$. (In fact we have used that S(d, n) is an extention of L(d, n) and the arguments of the remark after Definition 2.) Thus $\mathcal{E} = (E^{(1)}, ..., E^{(n-d)})$ is latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped.

Finally, from (4)-(6) and (1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &M_{1,1}(\mathcal{E}) = \{2,3,\ldots,d+1\}, \\ &M_{i,1}(\mathcal{E}) = \{1,3,4,\ldots,d+1\}, \quad (2 \leq i \leq d), \\ &M_{i,j}(\mathcal{E}) = \{1,2,\ldots,d\}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq d, 2 \leq j \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 1, \mathcal{E} cannot be extended to a latin cube of order n.

Unfortunately, the construction of Theorem 1 cannot be applied for $n \le 2d$. We can check that if $n \le 2d$, then there exists no latin $(n \times n \times (n-d))$ -parallelepiped satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1.

Table 3

Table 3 (continued)

6. Proof of Lemma 2

As pointed out before, this part has only auxiliary character and its aim is to prove Lemma 2. Primarily we need several easy lemmas:

Lemma 3. Let A be an incomplete latin square of order n such that

- (a) The cells in the first d (< n) columns are occupied.
- (b) There are occupied some (but not necessary all) cells from the first row.
- (c) All other cells are empty.

Then A can be extended to a latin square of order n.

Proof: Take as A' the incomplete latin square that is the subsquare of A and has only the cells of the first d rows occupied. Then, by [5], A' can be extended to a latin square A'' of order n. Using the appropriate permutation of columns of A'' we get the required extention of A.

Note that Lemma 3 is in fact a special case of a more general result from [1]. Let ξ be a mapping that maps an incomplete latin square $A = [a_{i,j}]$ of order n to an incomplete latin square $\xi(A) = [b_{i,j}]$ of order n such that (see e.g. L(4, 10) and $\xi(L(4, 10))$ in Table 10):

(a) If
$$a_{i,j} = k$$
, then $b_{i,k} = j$ for any $1 \le i, j, k \le n$.

(b) If $a_{i,j}$ is an empty cell, then $\xi(A)$ has no cell in the *i*th row with the entry equal to j.

We can check that $\xi(\xi(A)) = A$.

Lemma 4. Let A be an incomplete latin square of order n and suppose B is an incomplete latin square of order n obtained from A by using any combination of the following processes:

- (a) permutation of rows,
- (b) permutation of columns,
- (c) using the mapping ξ .

Then A can be extended to a latin square of order n if and only if B can be.

Proof: The property of being a latin square is unchanged by any of the processes and furthermore each can be reversed.

```
 6 7 8 9 2 4 3 ... 
 7 8 9 6 4 3 5 ... 
 8 9 6 7 3 1 4 ... 
 9 6 7 8 5 2 1 ... 
 K'(4,9) = 2 3 4 1 6 5 7 ... 
 4 1 5 2 7 9 8 ... 
 1 5 2 3 8 6 9 ... 
 5 6 7 2 1 3 ... 
 7 5 6 4 2 1 ... 
 7 5 6 4 2 1 ... 
 3 1 4 7 6 2 ... 
 1 4 2 3 5 7 ... 
 1 5 2 3 8 6 9 ... 
 5 2 3 4 1 8 6 ...
```

Table 5

$$K'(4,10) = \begin{cases} 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 2 & 4 & 3 & \dots \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 6 & 4 & 3 & 10 & \dots \\ 8 & 9 & 6 & 7 & 3 & 10 & 4 & \dots \\ 9 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 5 & 7 & \dots \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 10 & 7 & 2 & \dots \\ 4 & 1 & 5 & 10 & 7 & 9 & 8 & \dots \\ 1 & 5 & 10 & 2 & 8 & 6 & 9 & \dots \\ 5 & 10 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 8 & 6 & \dots \\ 10 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 1 & 5 & \dots \end{cases}$$

$$K'(3,8) = \begin{cases} 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 8 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 8 & 2 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 8 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 5 & \dots \end{cases}$$

Table 6

Lemma 5. For any $d \ge 3$, K(d, 2d + 1) and K(d, 2d + 2) can be extended to a latin square of order 2d + 1 and 2d + 2 respectively.

Proof: Let K'(3,7) be the incomplete latin square depicted on Table 5. If $d \ge 4$, then define an incomplete latin square K'(d, 2d + 1) of order 2d + 1 such that:

- (a) The $(1,d) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of K'(d,2d+1) is equal to $S(d+2,\ldots,2d+1)$.
- (b) The $(d+1,2d+1) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of K'(d,2d+1) is formed from $S(2,3,\ldots,d,1,d+1)$ by deleting the last column.
- (c) The $(1,2d+1) \times (d+1,d+3)$ -subrectangle of K'(d,2d+1) is depicted on Table 7(a). (This table can be used for any $d \ge 4$, see cf. K'(4,9) on Table 5.)
- (d) All other cells are empty.

It is easy to check that K'(d, 2d + 1) is an extention of K(d, 2d + 1) for any $d \ge 3$. By Hall [5], K'(d, 2d + 1), $d \ge 3$, can be extended to a latin square of order 2d + 1.

Similarly, let K'(3,8) be depicted on Table 6. If $d \ge 4$, then define an incomplete latin square K'(d,2d+2) of order 2d+2 such that (see cf. K'(4,10) on Table 6):

- (a) The $(1,d) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of K'(d,2d+2) is equal to $S(d+2,\ldots,2d+1)$.
- (b) The $(d+1,2d+2) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of K'(d,2d+2) is formed from $S(2,3,\ldots,d,1,d+1,2d+2)$ by deleting the last two columns.
- (c) The $(1, 2d+2) \times (d+1, d+3)$ -subrectangle of K'(d, 2d+2) is depicted on Table 7(b).
- (d) All other cells are empty.

It is easy to check that K'(d, 2d + 2) is an extention of K(d, 2d + 2) for any $d \ge 3$. By Hall [5], K'(d, d + 2), $d \ge 3$, can be extended to a latin square of order 2d + 2, completing the proof.

Lemma 6. For any $d \ge 3$, L(d, 2d + 1) and L(d, 2d + 2) can be extended to a latin square of order 2d + 1 and 2d + 2 respectively.

Proof: Let $L'(d, 2d+1) = [l'_{i,j}]$ $(d \ge 3)$ be an incomplete latin square of order 2d+1 such that (see Table 8):

- (a) The $(1, d+1) \times (d+1, 2d+1)$ -subrectangle of L'(d, n) is formed by the following process: Take $S(1, d, d-1, \ldots, 3, d+1, 2)$ and delete all entries equal to d+1 from it with the exception $l'_{1,2d} = d+1$.
- (b) The $(1, 2d + 1) \times (1, d)$ -subrectangle of L'(d, 2d + 1) is the same as in the L(d, 2d + 1).
- (c) $l'_{2d+1,d+1} = l'_{2d,d+2} = \cdots = l'_{d+3,2d-1} = l'_{d+2,2d+1} = d+1$
- (d) All other cells are empty.

row:											
1	2	4	3	2	4	3					
2	4	3	d+1	4	3						
•	:	:	•	:	:						
i	<i>i</i> + 3	i+2	<i>i</i> + 1	<i>i</i> + 3	i+2	i + 1					
•	:	:	:	:	:	:					
d-1	3	1	d	3	2d+2	d					
d	5	2	1	5	2	1					
d+1	d+2	d+1	d+3	d+2	d+1	d+3					
d+2	d+5	d+3	2	2d+2	d+3	2					
:	:	:	•	:	:	:					
d+i	d+i+3	d+i+2	d+i+1	<i>d</i> + <i>i</i> +3	d+i+2	d+i+1					
:	:	:	:	:	÷	÷					
2d-1	d+3	2d+1	2d	d+3	2d+1	2d					
2 d	d+4	d+2	2d+1	d+4	d+2	2d + 1					
2d + 1	1	d+4	d+2	1	d+4	d+2					
2d+2				d+5	1	d+1					
		(a)			(<i>b</i>)						
$i \in \{3, \ldots, d-2\}$											

Let $\overline{L}(d,2d+1)$ $(d \ge 3)$ be the incomplete latin square of order 2d+1 that arise from L(d,2d+1) by interchanging the last two columns. Then L'(d,2d+1) is an extention of $\overline{L}(d,2d+1)$. (See $\overline{L}(4,9)$ and L'(4,9) on Table 8). We can check that $\xi(L'(d,2d+1))$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. Thus, by Lemmas 3 and 4, L(d,2d+1) can be extended to a latin square of order 2d+1.

Table 7

Table 8

Let $L'(d, 2d+2) = [l'_{i,j}]$ $(d \ge 3)$ be an incomplete latin square of order 2d+2 such that (see Table 9):

- (a) The $(1, d+2) \times (d+1, 2d+2)$ -subrectangle of L'(d, n) is formed by the following process: Take $S(d, 1, d-1, \ldots, 3, x, d+1, 2)$ and delete all entries equal to x and d+1 from it with just two exceptions $l'_{1,2d+1} = l'_{2,2d} = d+1$.
- (b) The $(d+3,2d+2) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of L'(d,2d+2) is equal to $S(1,\ldots,d)$.
- (c) The $(1,1) \times (1,d)$ -subrectangle of L'(d,2d+2) is equal to

$$[2d+1,2d,...,d+2].$$

- (d) $l'_{d+1,1} = l'_{d+2,2} = l'_{d,3} = l'_{d-1,4} = \cdots = l'_{3,d} = l'_{2d+1,d+1} = l'_{2d+2,d+2} = l'_{2d,d+3} = \cdots = l'_{d+4,2d-1} = l'_{d+3,2d+2} = d+1.$
- (e) All other cells are empty.

Table 9

We can check that L'(d, 2d + 2) is an extention of an incomplete latin square which we get from L(d, 2d + 2) by permutations of rows and columns. (See

 $\overline{L}(4,10)$, a permutation of L(4,10), and L'(4,10), which is an extention of $\overline{L}(4,10)$ in Table 9.) Clearly $\xi(L'(d,2d+2))$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. Thus, by Lemmas 3 and 4, L(d,2d+2) can be extended to a latin square of order 2d+2.

Let A be an incomplete latin square of order n. Denote by $A^{(k)}$ the incomplete latin square of order n+k which arises from A by adding k new rows and k new columns of empty cells to A. If $A^{(k)}$ can be extended to a latin square of order n+k then we say that A can be extended to a latin square of order n+k. In [11] (see also [10]) is proved:

Lemma 7. Let A be an incomplete latin square of order n such that the cells in the intersections of the ith row and the jth column of A are empty for any $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and $i + j \ge n + 2$. Suppose A can be extended to a latin square of order n. Then A can be extended to a latin square of order n + k for any $k \ge 1$.

Now we are able to prove Lemma 2.

L(4,10) =	9	8	7	6	1	4	3	2	٠.			5	5	8	7	6		4	3	2	1	
				5				1				8	3				4				•	
			5				2				•			7			3					
	5		•	•	2	•				•	•	$\xi(L(4,10)) = \frac{1}{2}$								•		
	•	•	•		٠	٠		٠			•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠
	1	2	3	4				5														
	2	3	4	1			5					4	ŀ	1	2	3	7		•	•		
	3	4	1	2		5					•	3										
	4	1	2	3	5						•	2	2	3	4	1	5		•			

Table 10

Proof of Lemma 2: We have verified Lemma 2 if $d \ge 3$ and n = 2d + 1, 2d + 2. It is easily seen that $K(d, n)^{(k)}$ ($L(d, n)^{(k)}$) is equal to K(d, n + k) (L(d, n + k)), respectively) as to permutations of rows and columns. Thus it remains to show that K(d, 2d + 2) (L(d, 2d + 2)) can be extended to a latin square of order n for any n > 2d + 2.

Take K(d, 2d+2) $(d \ge 3)$ and interchange the 2nd and the (d+3) th columns and the 3th and the (d+2)nd columns of K(d, 2d+2). We get an incomplete latin square which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 7. Thus, by Lemmas 4, 5 and 7, K(d, 2d+2) can be extended to a latin square of order n for any n > 2d+2.

Take $\xi(L(d, 2d+2))$ for any $d \ge 3$ (see $\xi(L(4, 10))$ in Table 10). We can check that there exists a permutation of rows and columns of $\xi(L(d, 2d+2))$ such that the new incomplete latin square satisfies the conditions of Lemma 7. Then from Lemmas 4, 6 and 7 follows that L(d, 2d+2) can be extended to a latin square of order n for any n > 2d+2, completing the proof of Lemma 2.

References

- 1. Brualdi R. A. and Csima J., Extendind subpermutation matrices in regular classes of matrices, Discrete Math. 62 (1986), 99-101.
- Dénes J. and Keedwell A. D., "Latin Squares New Development in the Theory and Applications", Ann. Discrete Math. vol. 46, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- 3. Chiang N.-P. and Fu H.-L., A note on the embedding of a latin parallelepiped into a latin cube, (manuscript).
- 4. Fu H.-L., On latin $(n \times n \times (n-2))$ -parallelepipeds, Tamkang J. Math. 17 (1986), 107-111.
- 5. Hall M. Jr., An existence theorem for latin squares, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 387-388.
- Heinrich K., Latin squares with and without subsquares of prescribed type, in "Latin Squares - New Development in the Theory and Applications", (Dénes, Keedwell eds.) Ann. Discrete Math. vol. 46, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991, pp. 101-147.
- 7. Horák P., Latin parallelepipeds and cubes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 33 (1982), 213-214.
- 8. Kochol M., Latin $(n \times n \times (n-2))$ -parallelepipeds not completing to a latin cube, Math. Slovaca 39 (1989), 121-125.
- 9. Kochol M., Latin parallelepipeds not completing to a cube, Math. Slovaca 41 (1991), 3-9.
- Lindner C. C., Embedding theorems for partial latin squares, in "Latin Squares
 New Development in the Theory and Applications", (Dénes, Keedwell eds.)
 Ann. Discrete Math. vol. 46, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991, pp. 217-265.
- 11. Smetaniuk B., A new construction of latin squares I: A proof of the Evans conjecture, Ars Combin. 11 (1981), 155-172.