

# Enumerating Oriented Triangle Graphs

R.H. Jeurissen

Department of Mathematics  
University of Nijmegen  
Toernooiveld  
6525 ED Nijmegen  
The Netherlands

Th. Bezembinder

Nijmegen Institute for Cognition and Information  
University of Nijmegen  
P.O.Box 9104  
6500 HE Nijmegen  
The Netherlands

**ABSTRACT.** With Burnside's lemma as the main tool we derive a formula for the number of oriented triangle graphs and for the number of such graphs in which all largest cliques are transitively oriented.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n \geq 2$ .  $D_n$  denotes the set of the unordered pairs  $\{x, y\}$ , with  $x, y \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $x \neq y$ . We shall often write the elements of  $D_n$  as  $xy$  and call them *dyads*. The *triangle graph*  $T_n$  has  $D_n$  as its point set and two points are adjacent if and only if they are not disjoint.  $T_5$ , for instance, is the complement of the Petersen graph.  $T_n$  has  $\binom{n}{2}$  points and  $(n-2)\binom{n}{2}$  edges. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  the set  $D_{n,i} = \{ij | j \neq i\}$  of order  $n-1$  spans a complete subgraph  $T_{n,i}$ , and these subgraphs are edge-disjoint. If a subset of  $D_n$  consists of mutually non-disjoint dyads these dyads have a common element, unless the subset has the form  $\{xy, yz, zx\}$ . It follows that if  $n > 4$ , the  $D_{n,i}$  are the cliques of maximal size in  $T_n$ .

Replacing the edge  $\{ij, ik\}$  by either the arc  $(ij, ik)$  or the arc  $(ik, ij)$  for all  $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$  we get an *oriented triangle graph*, in which the above subgraphs  $T_{n,i}$  become tournaments (a *tournament* is a directed graph in

which there is precisely one arc between every pair of points; [7] is a general reference on tournaments). By  $\Omega_n$  we denote the set of all oriented triangle graphs with underlying graph  $T_n$ .

These graphs often arise in the social sciences in the study of the perception of similarity. For instance, in the *complete method of triads* ([8], p. 263; [4], p. 92) one successively presents to a person all triples  $\{a, b, c\}$  from a set of, say, colors or shapes. He is asked to decide, for all three pairs  $\{xy, xz\}$  of dyads with  $\{x, y, z\} = \{a, b, c\}$ , whether  $y$  or  $z$  is more similar to  $x$ , i.e., to produce the arc  $(xy, xz)$  or the arc  $(xz, xy)$ . In the method of *multidimensional rank order* ([8], p. 263) a subject ranks, for every color  $x$ , the other colors in order of degree of similarity to  $x$ , thus producing transitive orientations of the  $T_{n,i}$ . Such orientations can also be derived from data known as *conditional proximities*, common in psychology ([2], p. 422; [3], p. 154)). These consist of a *proximity measure*  $s(x, y)$  for each ordered pair  $(x, y)$ , for instance the fraction of times a subject reports to have seen  $y$  while  $x$  was presented. For each  $x$  the  $s(x, y)$  (provided they are mutually different) yield a linear order on the set of dyads  $xy$ . Circularity in the resulting oriented triangle graphs is studied in [1].

If  $G$  is a group,  $V$  a set, and  $\phi$  a homomorphism of  $G$  into the symmetric group on  $V$ , we say that  $G$  acts on  $V$ , by  $\phi$ , and that  $G$  is represented by  $\phi$  as the permutation group  $\phi(G)$  on  $V$ . Each permutation  $\pi$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$  induces a permutation  $\phi_\pi$  of  $D_n$ , defined by  $\phi_\pi\{i, j\} = \{\pi(i), \pi(j)\}$ . Clearly  $S_n$  acts on  $D_n$  by  $\phi$ . Moreover  $\phi_\pi$  is an automorphism of  $T_n$ : it permutes the points of  $T_n$  (the dyads) preserving adjacency (non-disjointness). Thus  $\phi(S_n)$  is a subgroup of  $Aut(T_n)$ , the subgroup of  $Sym(D_n)$  that consists of the automorphisms of  $T_n$ . Clearly the representation  $\phi$  is *faithful*, i.e., injective, if  $n > 2$ . If  $n \neq 2, 4$  it is also surjective, which we see as follows. An automorphism  $\psi$  of  $T_n$  permutes the cliques  $D_{n,i}$ , so there is a  $\pi \in S_n$  such that  $\psi$  maps  $T_{n,i}$  onto  $T_{n,\pi(i)}$ , and the dyad  $ij$  common to  $T_{n,i}$  and  $T_{n,j}$  must be mapped by  $\psi$  onto the dyad  $\pi(i)\pi(j)$  common to  $T_{n,\pi(i)}$  and  $T_{n,\pi(j)}$ , so  $\psi = \phi_\pi$ .

For  $\pi \in S_n$  and  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$  we define  $\Phi_\pi(\Delta)$  to be the digraph in  $\Omega_n$  having as arcs the  $(\phi_\pi(xy), \phi_\pi(xz)) = (\pi(x)\pi(y), \pi(x)\pi(z))$  for which  $(xy, xz)$  is an arc in  $\Delta$ . Then  $S_n$  acts on  $\Omega_n$  by  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi_\pi$  is a graph isomorphism from  $\Delta$  to  $\Phi_\pi(\Delta)$ .

Since every isomorphism between elements of  $\Omega_n$  is an automorphism of  $T_n$ , we have

**Lemma 1.** *Every isomorphism between two elements of  $\Omega_n$ ,  $n \neq 4$ , is induced by some permutation in  $S_n$ , which is unique if  $n \neq 2$ .*

Thus the isomorphism classes of oriented triangle graphs are the *orbits*  $\{\Phi_\pi(\Delta) | \pi \in S_n\}$  in  $\Omega_n$  under the action  $\Phi$  of  $S_n$ , provided  $n \neq 4$ . (For the applications mentioned above this means that isomorphic 'patterns' of

similarity arising from two sets of 'stimuli', both numbered  $1, \dots, n$ , can be made equal by suitably renumbering one of the sets.)

## 2 The number of oriented triangle graphs

We first state Burnside's Lemma, which gives a formula for the number of orbits:

**Burnside's Lemma:** Let  $G$  be a group that acts on a set  $V$  by  $\Phi$ . For  $g \in G$  let  $V_g = \{v \in V | \Phi_g(v) = v\}$  be the set of fixed points of  $g$ . Then the number of orbits in  $V$  under the action  $\Phi$  of  $G$  is

$$|G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} |V_g|.$$

For a proof we refer to [5] (Corollary 15.3(a)), [6] (Theorem 5-2) or [7] (Theorem 40). In fact in these references the lemma is stated for groups of permutations of  $V$  only (our  $\Phi(G)$ ), but the proof is easily adapted for the slightly more general form above.

To be able to apply Burnside's Lemma we must determine the number of fixed points in  $\Omega_n$  for each  $\pi$  in  $S_n$ .

Let  $\pi \in S_n$  with  $\pi(x) = x$ ,  $\pi(y) = z$  and  $\pi(z) = y$  for a certain triple  $\{x, y, z\}$ . If  $(xy, xz)$  is an arc of  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$ , then  $(xz, xy)$  is an arc in  $\Phi_\pi(\Delta)$ , so  $\Phi_\pi(\Delta) \neq \Delta$ ; likewise if  $(xz, xy)$  is an arc of  $\Delta$ . Using this fact we first show that only a few elements of  $S_n$  have a non-empty set of fixed points in  $\Omega_n$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\pi \in S_n$ . There is a  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$  with  $\Phi_\pi(\Delta) = \Delta$  if and only if there is an  $i$  such that the length of every cycle of  $\pi$  is divisible by  $2^i$  but not by  $2^{i+1}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\pi$  induce an automorphism of  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$ . Suppose  $\pi$  has a cycle of length  $2^i r$  and one of length  $2^j s$  with  $r$  and  $s$  odd and  $i < j$ . Then  $\gcd(2^i r, 2^j s) = 2^i \gcd(r, s)$  is a divisor of  $2^{j-1} s$ , so there are integers  $p$  and  $q$  with  $2^{j-1} s = 2^i r p + 2^j s q$ . Now,  $\pi^{2^i r p}$  induces an automorphism of  $\Delta$  and fixes the elements of the first cycle. However, since  $2^i r p \equiv 2^{j-1} s \pmod{2^j s}$ , it pairwise interchanges the elements of the second cycle. As we have seen above this is impossible. Conversely, let  $\pi$  be a permutation satisfying the condition in the lemma. The edge set of the undirected graph  $T_n$  is permuted by  $\phi_\pi$ . Pick one edge out of each cycle of this permutation and orient it in an arbitrary way. If the edge  $\{xy, xz\}$  in a cycle of length  $m$  is oriented as  $(xy, xz)$  we orient the other edges of the cycle as  $(\pi^j(x)\pi^j(y), \pi^j(x)\pi^j(z))$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . We claim that we now have an oriented triangle graph on which  $\pi$  induces an automorphism. In fact it suffices to prove that  $(\pi^m(x)\pi^m(y), \pi^m(x)\pi^m(z)) = (xy, xz)$ .

Now  $\{\pi^m(x)\pi^m(y), \pi^m(x)\pi^m(z)\} = \{xy, xz\}$  so  $\pi^m(x) = x$  and either  $\pi^m(y) = y, \pi^m(z) = z$  or  $\pi^m(y) = z, \pi^m(z) = y$ . The second, however, is impossible, since then the length  $2^i s$  of the cycle of  $y$  and  $z$  in  $\pi$  is a divisor of  $2m$  but not of  $m$ , so  $2^{i-1} | m$  but not  $2^i | m$ , contradicting the assertion that  $m$  is a multiple of the length  $2^i r$  of the cycle of  $x$ .  $\square$

We now determine the number of fixed points in  $\Omega_n$  of a permutation  $\pi$  in  $S_n$ , assuming that  $\pi$  satisfies the condition in Lemma 2 (for all other  $\pi$  that number is 0). The proof of that lemma shows that the required number is  $2^{\lambda(\pi)}$ , where  $\lambda(\pi)$  is the number of cycles of the permutation of the edges of  $T_n$  induced by  $\pi$ . We call these cycles e-cycles. Let  $\Gamma(x, yz)$  denote the e-cycle that contains the edge  $\{xy, xz\}$ . Let  $l_x, l_y, l_z$  be the lengths of the (not necessarily different) cycles of  $\pi$  that contain  $x, y$  and  $z$ , respectively. Then the length of  $\Gamma(x, yz)$  is  $\text{lcm}(l_x, l_y, l_z)$  (cf. the proof of Lemma 2). We note that  $\Gamma(\pi(x), \pi(y)\pi(z)) = \Gamma(x, yz) = \Gamma(x, zy)$ , so when determining the  $\Gamma(x, yz)$  we need only take one fixed  $x$  from every cycle of  $\pi$  and combine it with unordered pairs  $\{y, z\}$ . We distinguish four cases, that are clearly disjoint.

- 1) Suppose that  $y$  and  $z$  are in the same cycle as  $x$ . We have  $\binom{l_x-1}{2}$  choices for  $\{y, z\}$ , each giving another e-cycle  $\Gamma(x, yz)$ , since  $\pi^i(x) \neq x$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l_x - 1$ ; so  $\{xy, xz\}$  occurs in  $\Gamma(x, vw)$  only if  $\{v, w\} = \{y, z\}$ .
- 2) Suppose that either  $y$  or  $z$ , say  $y$ , are in the same cycle as  $x$  and that  $z$  is in another cycle. We have  $(l_x - 1)l_z$  ways of choosing  $\{y, z\}$ .  $\Gamma(x, yz) = \Gamma(x, y'z')$  if and only if  $\Gamma(x, yz)$  contains  $\{xy', xz'\}$ , and thus if and only if  $y' = \pi^i(y)$  and  $z' = \pi^i(z)$ , where  $i$  is a multiple of  $l_x$  in  $\{0, 1, \dots, \text{lcm}(l_x, l_z) - 1\}$ . There being  $l_x^{-1} \text{lcm}(l_x, l_z)$  of such  $i$ , the number of e-cycles we find is

$$\frac{(l_x - 1)l_z}{l_x^{-1} \text{lcm}(l_x, l_z)} = (l_x - 1) \text{gcd}(l_x, l_z).$$

- 3) Suppose that  $y$  and  $z$  are in the same cycle, other than that of  $x$ . We have  $\binom{l_y}{2}$  ways of choosing  $\{y, z\}$ . As in the end of the proof of Lemma 2 it can be shown that  $\Gamma(x, yz) = \Gamma(x, y'z')$  iff  $y' = \pi^i(y)$  and  $z' = \pi^i(z)$  with  $i$  a multiple of  $l_x$  in  $\{0, 1, \dots, \text{lcm}(l_x, l_y) - 1\}$ . Hence the number of e-cycles is

$$\frac{1}{l_x^{-1} \text{lcm}(l_x, l_y)} \binom{l_y}{2} = \frac{1}{2} (l_y - 1) \text{gcd}(l_x, l_y).$$

- 4) Suppose that  $x, y$  and  $z$  are all in different cycles. We have  $l_y l_z$  ways of choosing  $\{y, z\}$ . We have  $\Gamma(x, yz) = \Gamma(x, y'z')$  iff  $y' = \pi^i(y)$  and

$z' = \pi^i(z)$  with  $i$  a multiple of  $l_x$  in  $\{0, 1, \dots, \text{lcm}(l_x, l_y, l_z) - 1\}$ . Hence the number of e-cycles is

$$\frac{l_y l_z}{l_x^{-1} \text{lcm}(l_x, l_y, l_z)} = \frac{l_x l_y l_z}{\text{lcm}(l_x, l_y, l_z)}.$$

Note that  $\Gamma(x, yz)$  determines only the cycle of  $x$  and the unordered pair of the cycles of  $y$  and  $z$ . This explains the  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the following lemma, which collects the above results.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\pi$  be a permutation in  $S_n$  which satisfies the requirements of Lemma 2. Let  $t$  be the number of cycles of  $\pi$  and let  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t$  be their lengths. Then the number of  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$  fixed by  $\Phi_\pi$  is  $2^{\lambda(\pi)}$  where  $\lambda(\pi)$  equals*

$$\sum_i \binom{l_i - 1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} (l_i - 1) \gcd(l_i, l_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i, j} \frac{l_i l_j l_k}{\text{lcm}(l_i, l_j, l_k)}.$$

**Remark:** Leaving the proof to the reader we note that the formula for  $\lambda(\pi)$  in the lemma can be rewritten as

$$t - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i, j} \gcd(l_i, l_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k} \frac{l_i l_j l_k}{\text{lcm}(l_i, l_j, l_k)}.$$

Using Burnside's Lemma we now have:

**Theorem 4.** *For  $n \neq 4$  the number of isomorphism classes of oriented triangle graphs on  $\binom{n}{2}$  points is*

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S'_n} 2^{\lambda(\pi)}$$

where  $S'_n$  is the subset of  $S_n$  consisting of the permutations in  $S_n$  that satisfy the condition of Lemma 2 and  $\lambda(\pi)$  is defined as in Lemma 3.

Note that also for  $n = 4$  the number in the theorem is the number of orbits in  $\Omega_n$  under the action of  $S_n$ .

To illustrate the calculation we tabulate the results for  $n = 5$ . In the table  $s$  is the number of permutations of the particular type,  $\lambda_j$  is the number of e-cycles found as in case  $j$ ) above for a permutation of that type, and  $\lambda$  is the total number of e-cycles.

n = 5							
type	s	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$	$\lambda_4$	$\lambda$	$s2^\lambda$
$1^5$	1	0	0	0	30	30	$2^{30}$
$1^23^1$	20	1	4	2	3	10	$5 \cdot 2^{12}$
$5^1$	24	6	0	0	0	6	$3 \cdot 2^9$
$\sum_{\pi \in S'_5} 2^{\lambda(\pi)} = 1,073,763,840$							
number of oriented triangle graphs on 10 points: 8,948,032							

Table 1

For some other values of  $n$  the results can be found in table 2 in the next section.

### 3 The number of oriented triangle graphs in which all the largest cliques are transitively oriented.

For  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$  let  $\Delta_i$  be the subgraph spanned by the  $n - 1$  dyads  $ij, j \neq i$ . The  $\Delta_i$ , having the  $T_{n,i}$  as underlying graphs, are the largest tournaments in  $\Delta$  if  $n \neq 3,4$ . Let  $\Omega'_n$  consist of those  $\Delta \in \Omega_n$  for which all  $\Delta_i$  are transitive (such a  $\Delta$  would be the outcome of an experiment in which, for all  $x$ , the pairs  $xy$  of stimuli have been put into a linear order).

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\pi \in S_n$ . There is a  $\Delta \in \Omega'_n$  with  $\Phi_\pi(\Delta) = \Delta$  if and only if all cycles of  $\pi$  have the same length.*

**Proof:** Suppose  $\Delta \in \Omega'_n$  is fixed by  $\pi \in S_n$ . Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two cycles of  $\pi$  of lengths  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ , respectively, with  $l_1 \neq l_2$ , say  $l_1 < l_2$ .  $\Delta$  is also fixed by  $\sigma = \pi^{l_1}$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be elements of the cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , respectively, and let  $(y_1 y_2 \cdots y_k)$  be the cycle of  $\sigma$  containing  $y$ . Then  $k > 1$ . Either  $(xy_1, xy_2)$  or  $(xy_2, xy_1)$  is an arc in  $\Delta$ . In the first case applying  $\sigma$  we see that also  $(xy_2, xy_3), (xy_3, xy_4), \dots, (xy_k, xy_1)$  are arcs; so we would have a circuit, contradicting the transitivity of  $\Delta_x$ . Likewise in the second case. So  $l_1 = l_2$ . Conversely, suppose that all cycles of  $\pi \in S_n$  have equal length  $l$ . Pick elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ , one from every cycle ( $k = n/l$ ). Orient each of the complete subgraphs  $T_{n,x_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  as a transitive tournament (there are  $((n - 1)!)^k$  ways to do so). For any other  $T_{n,y}$  there is a unique pair  $(j, r)$  with  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that  $y = \pi^j(x_r)$ , and we take as arcs the  $(y\pi^j(v), y\pi^j(w))$  for which  $(x_r v, x_r w)$  is an arc. Thus  $T_{n,y}$  is transitively oriented and it is easily checked that  $\pi$  induces an automorphism of the resulting oriented triangle graph.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *For  $n > 4$  the number of oriented triangle graphs on  $\binom{n}{2}$*

points with transitively oriented maximum cliques is

$$\sum_{d|n} \frac{d^d ((n-1)!)^d}{n^d d!}.$$

**Proof:** Again, by Burnside's Lemma, we have only to consider the  $\pi \in S_n$  satisfying the condition in Lemma 5. For a given  $d$  which divides  $n$ , the permutations having all their cycles of length  $d$  can be constructed by first selecting  $n/d$  disjoint subsets of order  $d$ , which can be done in

$$\binom{n}{d} \binom{n-d}{d} \cdots \binom{d}{d} / \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)! = n! / \left((d!)^{\frac{n}{d}} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)!\right)$$

ways. Each subset yields a cycle in  $(d-1)!$  ways. So the number of permutations  $\pi$  with cycles of length  $d$  is

$$n! / \left(d^{\frac{n}{d}} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)!\right).$$

Since by the proof of the lemma each permutation leaves invariant  $((n-1)!)^{n/d}$  elements of  $\Omega'_n$ , by Burnside's Lemma the number of orbits is:

$$\sum_{d|n} \frac{((n-1)!)^{\frac{n}{d}}}{d^{\frac{n}{d}} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)!}.$$

Substituting  $\frac{n}{d}$  for  $d$  we obtain the formula stated in the theorem. □

If  $n$  is a prime, the formula reduces to  $n^{-1}((n-1)! + ((n-1)!)^{n-1})$ . As in section 3 the number in the theorem is the number of orbits in  $\Omega'_n$  under  $S_n$  for  $n = 4$  as well. We tabulate some values resulting from Theorems 4 and 6, including the values for the case  $n = 4$ , to be discussed in the next section.

$n$	oriented triangle graphs on $\binom{n}{2}$ points	oriented triangle graphs on $\binom{n}{2}$ points with trans. oriented maximum cliques
2	1	1
3	2	1
4	112	18
5	8,948,032	66,360
6	1,601,279,890,171,392	4,147,236,620
7	8,048,575,239,544,313,784,372,575,680	19,902,009,929,142,960

Table 2

#### 4 The case $n = 4$

$\Omega_4$  consists of the  $2^{12}$  orientations of  $T_4$ , which is the graph of the octahedron. It contains 8 triangles of which 4 are spanned by triples  $\{xy, xz, xw\}$  and 4 by triples  $\{xy, yz, zx\}$ .  $S_4$  contains 1, 6, 8, 3, 6 permutations of type  $1^4, 1^2 2^1, 1^1 3^1, 2^2, 4^1$ , respectively. Except for  $1^2 2^1$  they satisfy the requirements of Lemma 2, and by the formula of Theorem 4 (see the note just after it) we find that there are

$$24^{-1}(2^{12} + 8 \cdot 2^4 + 3 \cdot 2^6 + 6 \cdot 2^3) = 186$$

orbits in  $\Omega_4$  under  $S_4$ .

The automorphism group  $K$  of  $T_4$  has order 48. The 24 automorphisms  $\phi_\pi$ ,  $\pi \in S_4$ , permute the triangles of type  $\{xy, xz, xw\}$ . The other 24 are the  $\psi\phi_\pi$ , where  $\psi$  is the permutation of  $D_4$  mapping every dyad onto its complement in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  (geometrically the reflection of the octahedron in its centre). They interchange the triangles of type  $\{xy, xz, xw\}$  with those of type  $\{xy, yz, zx\}$ . Note that  $\psi\phi_\pi = \phi_\pi\psi$ .

We now apply Burnside's Lemma for  $K$ . The sum of the numbers of fixed points of the  $\phi_\pi$  we know already:  $24 \cdot 186$ . For the  $\psi\phi_\pi$  with  $\pi$  of type  $1^4, 1^2 2^1, 1^1 3^1, 2^2, 4^1$ , respectively, the number of cycles of the permutation induced on the edge-set of  $T_4$  is easily checked to be 6, 7, 2, 8, 3, respectively. Only in the case of  $1^2 2^1$  it turns out to be impossible to orient the edges in every cycle in such a way that  $\psi\phi_\pi$  becomes an automorphism. (If, for instance,  $\pi = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ , then  $\psi\phi_\pi$  interchanges the dyads 13 and 14, so it would map the arc (non-arc) (13, 14) onto the non-arc (arc) (14, 13).)

Thus the number of oriented triangle graphs on 6 points is

$$48^{-1}(24 \cdot 186 + 2^6 + 8 \cdot 2^2 + 3 \cdot 2^8 + 6 \cdot 2^3) = 112.$$

Let  $\Omega''_4$  be the set of all  $\Delta \in \Omega_4$  having all 8 triangles transitively oriented.  $\Omega''_4$  is invariant under the action of  $K$  as well as under that of  $G$ . We want to count the number of orbits under  $K$ . Note that  $\Omega''_4 \subset \Omega'_4$  but that  $\Omega'_4$  is not invariant under  $K$ , since there are  $\Delta \in \Omega'_4$  in which one or more triangles of type  $\{xy, yz, zx\}$  are oriented cyclically.  $\Omega'_4$  contains  $6^4 = 1296$  elements, since each  $T_{4,i}$  can be oriented as a transitive triangle in 6 ways. According to the formula in theorem 6 they form 60 orbits under  $S_4$ . Elements of  $\Omega'_4$  that are not in the same of the 60 orbits could only be equivalent under the larger group if they belong to  $\Omega''_4$ .

We first determine the number of elements in  $\Omega''_4$ , omitting details. By inspection (use a planar drawing of the octahedral graph) one can establish that there are  $2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 6 = 324$  ways to orient  $T_4$  such that all 4 triangles  $\{xy, xz, xw\}$  become transitive whereas the triangle  $\{23, 34, 42\}$  becomes a cycle. For  $2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3^2 = 90$  of these also  $\{13, 34, 41\}$  becomes a cycle,



and for  $2^4 + 2^3 = 24$  of the latter  $\{12, 24, 41\}$  becomes a cycle too. Finally, in 6 cases all four triangles  $\{xy, yz, zx\}$  become cycles. Using inclusion and exclusion we find that the cardinality of  $\Omega_4''$  is

$$1296 - \binom{4}{1} \cdot 324 + \binom{4}{2} \cdot 90 - \binom{4}{3} \cdot 24 + \binom{4}{4} \cdot 6 = 450$$

(which we confirmed by computer search).

We now determine how many of the 60 orbits under  $S_4$  in  $\Omega_4'$  are in  $\Omega_4''$ , i.e. we determine the number of orbits in  $\Omega_4''$  under  $S_4$ . By Lemma 5 we have only to look at id., the 3 permutations of type  $2^2$  and the 6 of type  $4^1$ . Careful inspection (again we omit details) shows that they leave invariant 450, 26 and 4 elements, respectively, so the number of orbits is

$$24^{-1}(450 + 3 \cdot 26 + 6 \cdot 4) = 23.$$

Another inspection shows that for  $\pi$  of type  $1^4, 1^2 2^1, 1^1 3^1, 2^2, 4^1$  the permutation  $\psi\phi_\pi$  leaves invariant 18, 0, 0, 82, 8, respectively, of the 450 elements of  $\Omega_4''$ . So under  $K$  the number of orbits in  $\Omega_4''$ , and therefore the number of oriented triangle graphs on 6 points with all maximal cliques transitively oriented, is

$$48^{-1}(24 \cdot 23 + 1 \cdot 18 + 3 \cdot 82 + 6 \cdot 8) = 18.$$

We have seen that the 23 orbits in  $\Omega_4''$  under  $S_4$  reduce to 18 under  $K$ . We have also seen that, under  $K$ , there is no further equivalence between the 37 orbits in  $\Omega_4' - \Omega_4''$ . Each of them, however, is mapped by  $\psi$  onto one of the 126 orbits in  $\Omega_4 - \Omega_4'$ . Apparently the remaining 89 orbits (consisting of the elements in which a triangle of type  $\{xy, xz, xw\}$  as well as one of type  $\{xy, yz, zx\}$  is cyclically oriented), reduce to  $112 - 18 - 37 = 57$ .

## References

- [1] Th. Bezembinder, *Circularity in Conjoint Paired Comparisons*. In: J.-P. Doignon & J.-Cl. Falmagne (Eds.), *Mathematical Psychology, Current Developments*. Springer, New York, 1991.
- [2] C.H. Coombs, *A Theory of Data*. Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [3] A.P.M. Coxon & P.M. Davies, *The Users Guide to Multidimensional Scaling: with special Reference to the MDS(X) Library of Computer Programs*. Heinemann, London, 1982.
- [4] J.-Cl. Falmagne & J.-P. Doignon, *Bisector Spaces: Geometry for Triadic Data*. In: J.-P. Doignon & J.-Cl. Falmagne (Eds.), *Mathematical Psychology, Current Developments*. Springer, New York, 1991.
- [5] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969.
- [6] C.L. Liu, *Introduction to Combinatorial Mathematics*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.
- [7] J.W. Moon, *Topics on Tournaments*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, 1968.
- [8] W.S. Torgerson, *Theory and Methods of Scaling*. Wiley, New York, 1958.