

# A Generalization of Maximal $k$ -Multiple-Free Sets of Integers

Bolian Liu\* and Zhou Bo

Department of Mathematics  
South China Normal University  
Guangzhou, 510631  
P.R. of China

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $k$  and  $b$  be integers and  $k > 1$ . A set  $S$  of integers is called  $(k, b)$  linear-free (or  $(k, b)$ -LF for short) if  $x \in S$  implies  $kx + b \notin S$ . Let  $F(n, k, b) = \max\{|A|: A \text{ is } (k, b)\text{-LF and } A \subseteq [1, n]\}$ , where  $[1, n]$  denotes all integers between 1 and  $n$ . A subset  $A$  of  $[1, n]$  with  $|A| = F(n, k, b)$  is called a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ . In this paper a recurrence relation for  $F(n, k, b)$  is obtained and a method to construct a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$  is given.

Let  $k$  be an integer greater than one. A set  $S$  of integers is called  $k$ -multiple-free (or  $k$ -MF for short) if  $x \in S$  implies  $kx \notin S$ . Let

$$f_k(n) = \max\{|A|: A \subseteq [1, n] \text{ is } k\text{-multiple-free}\}.$$

For integers  $c$  and  $d$ , let  $[c, d] = \{x \mid x \text{ is an integer and } c \leq x \leq d\}$ ,  $[c, \infty) = \{x \mid x \text{ is an integer and } x \geq c\}$ . A subset  $A$  of  $[1, n]$  with  $|A| = f_k(n)$  is called a  $k$ -MF subset of  $[1, n]$ .

In [1] E.T.H. Wang investigated 2-MF subsets of  $[1, n]$  which is called double-free subsets and gave a recurrence relation and a formula for  $f_2(n)$ . In [2] Leung and Wei obtained a recurrence and a formula for  $f_k(n)$ .

Naturally the concept of the relation of multiple-free can be generalized to a relation of multiple and translation-free or linear-free relation. A set  $S$  of integers is called  $(k, b)$  linear-free (or  $(k, b)$ -LF for short) if  $x \in S$  implies  $kx + b \notin S$ . Clearly, if  $b = 0$ ,  $S$  is  $k$ -MF; if  $b = 0$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $S$  is double-free.

---

\*This research was supported by NNSF of P.R. China.

Let  $F(n, k, b) = \max\{|A| : A \subseteq [1, n] \text{ is } (k, b)\text{-LF}\}$ . A subset  $A$  of  $[1, n]$  with  $|A| = F(n, k, b)$  is called a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ .

In this paper applying a method for constructing a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ , we obtain a recurrence relation for  $F(n, k, b)$ .

We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *There exists a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ ,  $A$ , such that*

$$\left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right] \subseteq A$$

where  $\left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $B$  be a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ . If  $\left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right] \subseteq B$ , then we are done. Thus we may assume that there is an  $x \in \left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right]$ , but  $x \notin B$ . Observe that  $kx + b > n$  and hence,  $kx + b \notin B$ .

If  $x \not\equiv b \pmod{k}$ , then  $x \neq ka + b$  for any  $a \in B$ . Thus  $\tilde{B} = B \cup \{x\}$  is a  $(k, b)$ -LF set. But  $|\tilde{B}| = |B| + 1 > |B|$ , a contradiction to the maximality of  $B$ . Hence we have  $x \equiv b \pmod{k}$ .

In this case, we have  $x = k^c a + b \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} k^i$  for some  $c \geq 1$  and  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{k}$ . Consider the set  $\tilde{B} = B \setminus \{k^{c-1} a + b \sum_{i=0}^{c-2} k^i\} \cup \{x\}$ .  $\tilde{B}$  is a  $(k, b)$ -LF set and  $|\tilde{B}| \geq |B|$ . Thus,  $\tilde{B}$  is a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$  with  $x \in \tilde{B}$ .

Repeating the above procedure, we will eventually obtain a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$  with  $\left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right]$  being its subset.  $\square$

According to the above lemma, we can give a recurrence relation as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *For any positive integers  $n, k$  and integer  $b$  with  $k-1+b \neq 0$ , we have*

$$F(n, k, b) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + F\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor - b; k, b\right)$$

and  $F(n, k, b) = n$ , if  $n < k + b$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ . By lemma 1, we may assume that  $\left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right] \subseteq A$ . Since

$$k\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor - b\right) + 1 + b \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1 \text{ and } k\left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + b \leq n,$$

we know that  $\left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor - b, \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor \right] \cap A = \emptyset$ , and hence  $A - \left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right]$

is a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $\left[ 1, \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor - b \right]$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|A| &= \left| \left[ \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + 1, n \right] + F \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor - b}{k} \right\rfloor; k, b \right) \right| \\
&= n - \left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor + F \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{n-b}{k} \right\rfloor - b}{k} \right\rfloor; k, b \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

For the purpose of stating the next theorem, we introduce the following notations. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
I(n, k, b; 0) &= n, \\
I(n, k, b; i) &= \left\lfloor \frac{I(n, k, b; i-1) - b}{k} \right\rfloor \quad (i \geq 1), \\
\hat{A} &= \bigcup_{i \geq 0} [I(n, k, b; 2i+1) + 1, I(n, k, b; 2i)] \cap [1, \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** For any positive integers  $n, k$  ( $k > 1$ ) and nonnegative integer  $b$ ,  $\hat{A}$  is a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$

**Proof:** The proof is by induction on  $n$ . By definition, the maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$  is  $\{1\}$  which can also be expressed as  $[\lfloor \frac{1-b}{k} \rfloor + 1, 1] \cap [1, \infty)$ . Thus the basis of the induction holds. Assume that the induction hypothesis holds for all positive integer less than  $n$ , where  $n > 1$ . We shall prove that it also holds for  $n$ .

Let  $A$  be a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, n]$ . By lemma 1, we may assume that  $[I(n, k, b; 1) + 1, I(n, k, b; 0)] \subseteq A$ . Moreover, from the proof of theorem 1, we may assume that

$$A = [I(n, k, b; 1) + 1, I(n, k, b; 0)] \cup A_1,$$

where  $A_1$  is a maximal  $(k, b)$ -LF subset of  $[1, I(n, k, b; 2)]$ . By the induction hypothesis, we may assume that

$$A_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} [I(I(n, k, b; 2), k, b; 2i+1) + 1, I(I(n, k, b; 2), k, b; 2i)] \cap [1, \infty).$$

Note that  $I(I(n, k, b; 2), k, b; 0) = I(n, k, b; 2)$ . Using the definition of  $I(n, k, b; i)$ , we conclude by induction that  $I(I(n, k, b; 2), k, b; i) = I(n, k, b; i+2)$  for integers  $i \geq 0$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \bigcup_{i \geq 0} [I(n, k, b; 2i+3) + 1, I(n, k, b; 2i+2)] \cap [1, \infty) \\
&= \bigcup_{i \geq 1} [I(n, k, b; 2i+1) + 1, I(n, k, b; 2i)] \cap [1, \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= [I(n, k, b; 1) + 1, I(n, k, b; 0)] \cup A_1 \\
&= \bigcup_{i \geq 0} [I(n, k, b; 2i+1) + 1, I(n, k, b; 2i)] \cap [1, \infty) = \hat{A}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let the base  $k$  expansion of  $n$  be

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i k^i$$

where  $0 \leq a_i < k$  for each  $0 \leq i < m$ , and  $0 < a_m < k$ .

Let  $I'(n, k, b; i) = \max\{I(n, k, b; i), 0\}$ . We have

**Theorem 3.**  $F(n, k, b) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (I'(n, k, b; 2i) - I'(n, k, b; 2i + 1))$ . In particular, if  $\max_{0 \leq i \leq m} a_i + 1 - k \leq b \leq \min_{0 \leq i \leq m} a_i$ , then

$$F(n, k, b) = \frac{1}{k+1} (kn + \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i a_i)$$

**Proof:** By theorem 2, we have

$$F(n, k, b) = |\hat{A}| = \sum_{i \geq 0} (I'(n, k, b; 2i) - I'(n, k, b; 2i + 1)).$$

If  $\max_{0 \leq i \leq m} a_i + 1 - k \leq b \leq \min_{0 \leq i \leq m} a_i$ , then by induction it is easy to show that  $I(n, k, b; i) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k^i} \rfloor$  for integers  $i \geq 0$ . We have

$$I(n, k, b; 2i) - I(n, k, b; 2i + 1) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k^{2i}} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k^{2i+1}} \right\rfloor.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(n, k, b) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k^{2i}} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k^{2i+1}} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} (kn + \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i a_i). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

If  $b = 0$ , by theorem 3 we have

**Corollary (Theorem 3[2]).**  $f_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} (kn + \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i a_i)$ .

**Example 1:** Let  $n = 75$ ,  $k = 5$ , and  $b = 3$ .  $I(75, 5, 3; 0) = 75$ ,  $I(75, 5, 3; 1) = \lfloor \frac{75-3}{5} \rfloor = 14$ ,  $I(75, 5, 3; 2) = \lfloor \frac{14-3}{5} \rfloor = 2$ ,  $I(75, 5, 3; i) = 0$  for  $i \geq 4$ . By theorem 3,  $F(75, 5, 3) = (75 - 14) + (2 - 0) = 63$ .

**Example 2:** Let  $n = 63 = 2^5 + 2^4 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0$ ,  $k = 2$  and  $b = 1$ . Here  $a_i = 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 5$  and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i > 5$ . By theorem 3,  $F(63, 2, 1) = \frac{1}{3} (2 \times 63 + \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i) = \frac{1}{3} \times 2 \times 63 = 42$ .

## References

- [1] E.T.H. Wang, On double-free sets of integers, *Ars Combinatoria* **28** (1989), 97-100.
- [2] J.Y-T. Leung and W-D. Wei, Maximal  $k$ -multiple-free sets of integers, *Ars Combinatoria* **38** (1994), 113-117.