Improved bounds for the product of the domination and chromatic numbers of a graph

Y. Caro

Department of Mathematics
School of Education
University of Haifa - ORANIM
Tivon
Isreal 36006

Y. Roditty

School of Mathematical Sciences Tel-Aviv University Ramat-Aviv, Tel-Aviv Isreal 69978

ABSTRACT. In this note we solve almost completely a problem raised by Topp and Volkmann [7] concerning the product of the domination and the chromatic numbers of a graph.

Graphs in this note are finite, without loops and multiple edges. We will use the notation of [5].

The k-domination number of a graph G, denoted by $\gamma_k(G)$, is equal to $\min\{|S|: \text{ every vertex of } V \setminus S \text{ is adjacent to at least } k \text{ vertices of } S\}.$

If k = 1, then $\gamma_k = \gamma$, where γ , is the domination number of a graph. Domination in graphs is a well-known area of graph theory, with a large number of papers and a recent volume of Discrete Mathematics is devoted to it (see [3]).

Let (see [4] and [7]) $B_{n,\delta}$, be the smallest integer B such that for every graph G on n vertices and minimum degree δ , the inequality $\gamma(G)\chi(G) \leq B$ holds. Furthermore, let $R_{n,\delta}$ the smallest integer R such that for every δ -regular graph with n vertices, the inequality $\gamma(G)\chi(G) < R$ holds.

In [7] the problem of estimating $B_{n,\delta}$ was restricted to connected graphs only, and it was proved there that for $\delta \geq 2$,

$$\frac{\delta}{(\delta+1)^2}n^2 \le B_{n,\delta} \le \frac{\delta}{(\delta-1)}(n+1)^2.$$

Our result, theorem 0.1 below, shows that both estimates are poor for large δ .

Let |V(G)| = n, and $\delta = \delta(G)$, where $\delta(G) = \min\{\deg(v) : v \in V(G)\}$.

Before establishing our result, recall the well-known theorem due to Lovasz,

Theorem A. (Lovasz (see [1], [2])): If $\delta \geq 1$ then,

$$\gamma_1(G) \leq \frac{n(1 + \log(\delta + 1))}{\delta + 1}.$$

Using Theorem A we prove:

Theorem 0.1.

1. There exists a constant 0 < c < 1 such that

$$\frac{cn^2(1+\log(\delta+1))}{\delta+1} \leq B_{n,\delta} \leq \frac{n^2(1+\log(\delta+1))}{\delta+1}.$$

2.

$$R_{n,\delta} \le n(1 + \log(\delta + 1)) \le n(1 + \log n).$$

Proof: Recall that $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$. Thus, by Theorem A, we have

$$\gamma_1(G) \cdot \chi(G) \leq \frac{n(1 + \log(\delta + l))}{\delta + 1} n = \frac{n^2(1 + \log(\delta + 1))}{\delta + 1}$$

proving the upper bound in (1).

If G is δ -regular, then, by the same argument,

$$\gamma_1(G) \cdot \chi(G) \leq \frac{n(1 + \log(\delta + 1))}{\delta + 1}(\delta + 1) = n(1 + \log(\delta + 1)) \leq n(1 + \log n)$$

proving the upper bound in (2).

Construct the connected graph H as follows. Identify a vertex of $K_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ with a vertex of the connected graph G with $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices constructed in [1]. Since

$$\gamma_1(G) \geq \frac{(1-o(1))\frac{n}{2}(1+\log(\delta+1))}{\delta+1},$$

and $\gamma_1(H) \ge \gamma_1(G)$ and $\chi(H) \ge \chi(K_{\frac{n}{2}+1}) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Hence,

$$\gamma_1(H)\cdot\chi(H)\geq\frac{(1-o(1))n^2(1+\log(\delta+1))}{4(\delta+1)},$$

proving the lower bound in (1). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, Transversal numbers of uniform hypergraphs, Graphs and Combinatorics 6 (1990), 1-4.
- [2] N. Alon, J.H. Spencer and P. Erdös, The probabilistic method, John Wiley & Sons Inc. 1992.
- [3] Topics on domination, Annals of Disc. Math. 48 (1991) ed. S.T. Hedetniemi and R.C. Laskar.
- [4] D. Gernet, Inequalities between the domination number and the chromatic number of a graph, *Disc. Math.* 76 (1989), 151-153.
- [5] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading (1969).
- [6] R. Laskar and K. Peters, Vertex and edge domination parameters in graphs, *Congr. Numer.* 48 (1985), 291-305.
- [7] J. Topp and L. Volkmann, Some upper bounds for the product of the domination number and the chromatic number of a graph, *Disc. Math.* 118 (1993), 289-292.