

# The values $\sqrt{2q}$ and $\log_2 q$ : their relationship with $k$ -arcs

Emanuela Ughi

## Abstract

We show that the secants of an arc of size near to  $\sqrt{2q}$  cover almost half plane; also, a random union of - about -  $\log_2 q$  arcs of this size is such that its secants cover the plane.

The values  $\sqrt{2q}$  and  $\log 2q$  arise naturally in some propositions about the study of (complete)  $k$ -arcs and  $k$ -saturating sets (i.e. sets which cover by their secants the whole plane) of small order, in a projective plane of order  $q$ .

For example, let us recall

1) [Lunelli-Sce bound] If  $K$  is a complete  $k$ -arc, then

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 + \sqrt{8q + 1} \right) \approx \sqrt{2q}$$

2) [Ughi] The points of 3 lines of a Baer subplane form a saturating set where

$$k = 3\sqrt{q}$$

3) [Kovacs] There exists a saturating set  $K$  with

$$k \leq 6\sqrt{3q} \cdot \sqrt{\log_2 q}$$

4) [Kim-Vu] There exists a  $k$ -arc (in fact, a lot of them) for which  $k$  satisfies

$$k \leq \sqrt{q} \log^c q$$

where  $c$  is a constant.

In order to better understand the meaning of these values, in this paper we will prove that, roughly speaking, a  $k$ -arc - where  $k \sim \sqrt{2q}$  - covers more than (approximately) half plane, and that it is possible to obtain a saturating set by collecting about  $\log_2 q^2$  such arcs.

From now on, let  $K$  a  $k$ -arc in a projective plane  $\pi$  of order  $q$  and cardinality  $q^2 + q + 1$ .

Write  $K = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ . Put

$$A_1 = \{P_1\}$$

$$A_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P \in \pi | P \text{ is covered by a secant of } \{P_1, \dots, P_i\} \\ \text{but is not covered by a secant of } \{P_1, \dots, P_{i-1}\} \end{array} \right\} \text{ when } i \geq 2.$$

The sets  $A_i$  are obviously disjoint, and

$$\# \text{ points covered by } K = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} |A_i|.$$

Then

$$|A_1| = 1$$

$$|A_2| = q$$

$$|A_3| = 1 + 2(q - 1)$$

$$|A_4| = 1 + 3(q - 1 - 1) = 3q - 5.$$

Let us put

$$b_i = 1 + (i - 1) \cdot \left[ q - 1 - \binom{i - 2}{2} \right] = qi - q - \frac{1}{2}i^3 + 3i^2 - \frac{13}{2}i + 5$$

$$c_i = 1 + (i - 1) \cdot [q - 1 - (i - 3)] = qi - q - i^2 + 3i - 1.$$

In general, it is possible to prove

**Proposition 1**

$$b_i \leq |A_i|$$

**Proposition 2**

$$|A_i| \leq c_i$$

Proof.

Obvious for  $i = 1$ . Now let  $i$  be  $\geq 2$ .

Let us consider the  $(i - 1)$  lines  $r_1 = \overline{P_1 P_i}, \dots, r_{i-1} = \overline{P_{i-1} P_i}$ .

When we add  $P_i$  to  $\{P_1, \dots, P_{i-1}\}$  we obtain - as new covered points -

1) the point  $P_i$

2) on each line  $r_j$ , all the points of  $r_j$  except those which were already covered by  $\{P_1, \dots, P_{i-1}\}$ .

So we can write

$$A_i = P_i \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{j=1, \dots, i-1} (r_j \setminus P_i) \setminus A_{i-1} \right\}.$$

Let

$$N = \# \text{ points intercepted on a fixed line } r_j \text{ by the } \binom{i-2}{2} \text{ secants of } \left\{ P_1, \dots, \underset{\text{dropped out}}{\dot{P}_j}, \dots, P_{i-1} \right\}.$$

Then obviously  $N \leq \binom{i-2}{2}$ ; moreover  $N \geq (i-3)$ ; we can suppose - without loss of generality - that  $j = 1$ . Then the points

$$P_2\bar{P}_3 \cap r_1, \dots, P_2\bar{P}_{i-1} \cap r_1$$

are in fact  $(i-3)$  distinct points of  $r_1$  already covered by  $\{P_1, \dots, P_{i-1}\}$ .

The statements now follow.

■

**Proposition 3** *If  $k = \lceil \sqrt{2q} \rceil + 2$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \text{ points covered by secants of } K = \\ & = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} |A_i| \geq \frac{1}{8} (4q^2 - 46q - 56\sqrt{2q} - 52) \approx \text{half plane}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

Put

$$a = \lceil \sqrt{2q} + 2 \rceil = \lceil \sqrt{2q} \rceil + 2.$$

Observe that  $b_i < 0$  when  $i > a$ , but still - obviously -  $|A_i| \geq 0$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} |A_i| & \geq \sum_{i=1, \dots, a} b_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1, \dots, a} (2qi - 2q - i^3 + 6i^2 - 13i + 10) = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1, \dots, a} [-i^3 + 6i^2 + (2q - 13)i + 10 - 2q] = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ - \left( \frac{a(a+1)}{2} \right)^2 + 6 \frac{a(a+1)(2a+1)}{6} + \frac{(2q-13)a(a+1)}{2} + (10-2q)a \right\} \geq \\ & \geq \frac{1}{8} (4q^2 - 46q - 56\sqrt{2q} - 52). \end{aligned}$$

■

**Proposition 4** *If  $K$  is a complete  $k$ -arc then*

$$q^2 + q + 1 = \# \text{ covered points} = \\ = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} |A_i| \leq \frac{1}{6} \{-2k^3 + (6 + 3q)k^2 + (2 - 3q)k\} .$$

Proof.

It is a straightforward computation, using the inequalities

$$A_i \leq c_i = qi - q - i^2 + 3i - 1 .$$

■

Remark . This proposition seems qualitatively different from that of Lunelli-Sce, but the integer bounds (on  $k$ ) they gives are essentially the same.

But, our proposition says not only that a complete  $k$ -arc is such that  $k \gtrsim \sqrt{2q}$ , but also that a complete  $k$ -arc for which  $k$  is near to  $\sqrt{2q}$  is very "strange", in the sense that for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and every secant  $P_i\bar{P}_j$  the points intersected on  $P_i\bar{P}_j$  by the secants of  $\{P_1, \dots, \hat{P}_i, \dots, \hat{P}_j, \dots, P_k\}$  are nearly as few as possible.

I do hope that this observation can be useful in a exhaustive research of complete  $k$ -arcs ( at least for small  $k$ ), because it is possible now to exclude that that certain arcs (whose size is smaller than  $\sqrt{2q}$  ) can be extended to complete arcs of order near to  $\sqrt{2q}$ .

From our arguments it is now possible to give a construction which -even if weaker than the previous quoted ones - seems enlightening. Let

$$X = \left\{ K \mid K \text{ is a } k\text{-arc, where } k = \left\lceil \sqrt{2q} + 2 \right\rceil \right\} .$$

Roughly speaking, the idea is as follows: choose random  $K_1$  in  $X$ . Then you can cover about half plane. Now, choose -random again -  $K_2$  in  $X$ . Then it seems that you should cover about half of the not previously covered points, and so on. So, the "right" number of choices seems to be near to  $\log_2 |\pi|$ .

**Proposition 5** *Let  $\pi$  be a plane of order  $q$ , such that  $\text{Aut}(\pi)$  is 1-transitive over the points of the plane. Let us suppose  $q \geq 41$ . Then, in  $\pi$  there is a  $k$ -set  $W$  , obtained as union (not necessarily disjoint) of  $k$ -arcs with size  $= \lceil \sqrt{2q} + 2 \rceil$ , such that*

$$|W| \leq 2 \log_2 (q^2 + q + 1) \left\lceil \sqrt{2q} + 2 \right\rceil$$

and  $W$  covers by its secants all the plane.

Proof.

Fix  $K$  in  $X$ , and choose  $Q$  in  $\pi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by secant of } K) &\leq \\ \text{here the event is the choice of } Q \text{ in } \pi & \\ 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{8}(4q^2 - 46q - 56\sqrt{2q} - 52)}{q^2 + q + 1} &= \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon(q) \\ \text{where } \varepsilon(q) &= \frac{50q + 56\sqrt{2q} + 56}{8(q^2 + q + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(q) = 0$ , and that -for example -  $\varepsilon(q) < \frac{1}{5}$  for  $q \geq 41$ .

Let  $x = \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by secant of } K)$  .  
 here  $Q$  is fixed, and the event is the choice of  $K$  in  $X$

I will prove that  $x \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ .

First of all, we observe that  $x$  does not depend on  $Q$ , because of our assumptions on the group of collineations of the plane.

In fact, let  $Q'$  be another point, and  $\varphi$  a collineation sending  $Q$  in  $Q'$ . Then  $\varphi$  gives a bijection of the elements  $K$  of  $X$ , such that

$$K \text{ covers } Q \Leftrightarrow \varphi(K) \text{ covers } \varphi(Q) = Q' .$$

Let us consider now

$$P = \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by secant of } K) .$$

here the event is the choice of  $(Q, K)$  in  $\pi \times X$

This number can be computed in the two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_{Q \in \pi} \left[ \text{prob}(Q) \cdot \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by secants of } K) \right] = \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Q being fixed} \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\#\pi} \cdot x \right] = \frac{\#\pi}{\#\pi} \cdot x = x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_{K \in X} \left[ \text{prob}(K) \cdot \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by } K) \right] \leq \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad K \text{ being fixed} \\ &\leq \sum_{K \in X} \left[ \frac{1}{|X|} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \right) \right] = |X| \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \right) \end{aligned}$$

so we can conclude that  $x \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)$ .

Now, let  $Q$  be a fixed point. I want to prove that

$$\text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by secant of } K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_l) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)^l .$$

here the event is the independent choice of  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  in  $X$

In fact

$Q$  is not covered by  $K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_l \Rightarrow$

$Q$  is not covered by each one among  $K_1, \dots, K_l$

so that

$$\text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by secant of } K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_l) \leq \prod_{i=1, \dots, l} \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by } K_i) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)^l .$$

Consider now

$$y = \text{prob}(\exists Q \in \prod_i | Q \text{ is not covered by } K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_l) .$$

where the event is the independent choice of  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  in  $X$

Then

$$y \leq \sum_{Q \in \pi} \text{prob}(Q \text{ is not covered by } K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_l) \leq |\pi| (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)^l .$$

If we choose  $l$  such that the term on the right is  $< 1$ , then we are done, because there is a non zero probability of finding  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  in such a way that  $W = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_l$  satisfies our thesis.

It is enough to choose

$$l = \lceil \log_{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}} |\pi| \rceil + 2 = \lceil \log_{\frac{2}{1+2\epsilon}} |\pi| \rceil + 2 = \lceil (\log_{\frac{2}{1+2\epsilon}} 2) \cdot \log_2 |\pi| \rceil + 2$$

so that

$$l \leq (\log_{\frac{2}{1+2\epsilon}} 2) \cdot \log_2 |\pi| + 2 \quad \underset{\text{because } q \geq 41}{<} \quad 2 \log_2 |\pi| = 2 \log_2 (q^2 + q + 1) .$$

■

## References

- [1] L. LUNELLI and M. SCE, Considerazioni aritmetiche e risultati sperimentali sui  $\{K; n\}_q$ -archi, *Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Rend. A* **98** (1964), 3-52.

- [2] J.H. KIM and V.H. VU, Small complete arcs in projective planes, *to appear*.
- [3] S.J. KOVACS, Small saturated sets in finite projective planes, *Rendiconti di Matematica (VII)* **12** (1992), 157-166.
- [4] E. UGHI, Saturated Configurations of points in Projective Galois Spaces, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **8** (1987), 325-334.