# A combinatorial approach to improved Bonferroni inequalities

Klaus Dohmen
Institut für Informatik
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Unter den Linden 6
D-10099 Berlin, Germany
e-mail: dohmen@informatik.hu-berlin.de

AMS Classification (1991): 05A20 (primary), 60C05 (secondary)

#### Abstract

We reprove an important case of a recent topological result on improved Bonferroni inequalities due to Naiman and Wynn in a purely combinatorial manner. Our statement and proof involves the combinatorial concept of non-evasiveness instead of the topological concept of contractibility. In contradistinction to the proof of Naiman and Wynn, our proof does not require knowledge of simplicial homology theory.

### 1 Introduction

Bonferroni inequalities (also known as inclusion-exclusion inequalities) are an important tool in probability theory, reliability theory and statistics. For any finite collection of sets, any measure  $\mu$  on the algebra generated by these sets and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the traditional Bonferroni inequalities state that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right) \geq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{T}^*(V)\\|I|\leq n}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right) \quad (n \text{ even}),$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right) \leq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{T}^*(V)\\|I|\leq n}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right) \quad (n \text{ odd}),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}^*(V)$  is the set of non-empty subsets of V.

Recently, Naiman and Wynn [8] established a wide ranging generalization and improvement of these inequalities. Their main result involves the topological concept of contractibility, and in their proof a key role is played by the simplicial homology groups of an abstract simplicial complex.

In this paper, we consider a special but still important case of the main result of Naiman and Wynn [8], which is obtained by replacing the topological notion of contractibility by the combinatorial concept of non-evasiveness, which was introduced by Kahn, Saks and Sturtevant [6] in the context of graph complexity questions, and which was further investigated by Kurzweil [7] in the study of order complexes of finite groups. For this special but important case, we present a new and purely combinatorial proof, which, in contradistinction to the original proof of Naiman and Wynn [8] for the general case, does not require knowledge of simplicial homology theory. We finally deduce some recent results from this special case that already proved useful in the context of network reliability analysis.

#### 2 Preliminaries

We need some definitions and facts from combinatorial topology. For a detailed exposition, we recommend the textbook of Harzheim [5].

An abstract simplicial complex S is a set of non-empty subsets of some finite set such that  $I \in S$  and  $\emptyset \neq J \subset I$  imply  $J \in S$ . The elements of S resp. Vert(S) :=  $\bigcup_{I \in S} I$  are the faces resp. vertices of S. The dimension of a face I of S is one less than its cardinality and denoted by dim I. The Euler characteristic of S is defined by

$$\chi(S) := \sum_{I \in S} (-1)^{\dim I}.$$

With any abstract simplicial complex S and any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  we associate its k-skeleton  $S^k$ , that is, the abstract simplicial complex consisting of all faces of S whose dimension is less than or equal to k. A geometric realization of an abstract simplicial complex S is any topological space homeomorphic to

$$\bigcup_{I \in S} \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} t_i \mathbf{e}_i \middle| \text{ each } t_i \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} t_i = 1 \right\},\,$$

where  $\{e_v\}_{v\in V}$  is the standard basis of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^V$  and V = Vert(S). Recall that two topological spaces X and Y are homeomorphic if there is a bijective mapping  $\phi: X \to Y$  such that both  $\phi$  and its inverse  $\phi^{-1}$  are continuous. A topological space X is contractible if there is a continuous mapping  $\Phi: X \times [0,1] \to X$  such that  $\Phi(x,0) = x$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $\Phi(x,1) = x_0$  for any  $x \in X$  and some fixed  $x_0 \in X$ . Since contractibility is known to be a homeomorphism invariant, we may call an abstract simplicial complex contractible if it has a contractible geometric realization. For instance, the abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{P}^*(V)$  consisting of all non-empty subsets of some finite set V is contractible.

## 3 Improvements via contractibility

The main result of Naiman and Wynn [8] is the following:

**Theorem 3.1** (Naiman-Wynn) Let  $\{A_v\}_{v\in V}$  be a finite family of sets and  $S\subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(V)$  an abstract simplicial complex such that

$$S(\omega) = \left\{ I \in S \middle| \omega \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right\}$$

is contractible for any  $\omega \in \bigcup_{v \in V} A_v$ . Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any measure  $\mu$  on the algebra generated by  $\{A_v\}_{v \in V}$ , the following inequalities hold:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right)\geq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{S}\\|I|\leq n}}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)\quad (n\ even),$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right)\leq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{S}\\|I|\leq n}}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)\quad (n\ odd).$$

Remark. For  $S = \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}(V)$ , Theorem 3.1 specializes to the traditional Bonferroni inequalities. By Theorem 5 of Naiman and Wynn [8], the bounds provided by Theorem 3.1 are at least as sharp as the traditional ones, although less computational effort is required to compute them.

## 4 Improvements via non-evasiveness

Following Kahn, Saks and Sturtevant [6], by induction on the number of vertices we now define which abstract simplicial complexes are non-evasive:

1. Any abstract simplicial complex having only one vertex is non-evasive.

2. If S is an abstract simplicial complex such that both

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S} \backslash v &:= \{I \mid I \in \mathbb{S}, v \notin I\} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{S} / v &:= \{I \setminus \{v\} \mid I \in \mathbb{S}, v \in I, I \neq \{v\}\} \end{split}$$

are non-evasive for some vertex v of S, then S is non-evasive, too.

Note that both  $S \setminus v$  and S / v are abstract simplicial complexes having fewer vertices than S. Therefore, the class of non-evasive complexes is well defined.

**Example 4.1** A tree is a non-empty abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{T}$  whose faces are of dimension 0 or 1 and which is cycle-free in the usual graph-theoretic sense. By induction on the number of vertices it follows that trees are non-evasive: Any tree having only one vertex is non-evasive by means of Property 1 in the definition of non-evasiveness. Now, let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tree having more than one vertex, and let v be a leave of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T}\setminus v$  and  $\mathcal{T}/v$  are again trees, and by the induction hypothesis, they both are non-evasive. By this and Property 2,  $\mathcal{T}$  is non-evasive as well.

**Example 4.2** A cone is an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{C}$  having a vertex a which is contained in every maximal face of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We then refer to a as an apex of  $\mathcal{C}$  and to  $\mathcal{C}$  as a cone with apex a. For instance,  $\mathcal{P}^*(V)$  is a cone with apex v for any  $v \in V$ . By induction on the number of vertices we prove that cones are non-evasive: Any cone having only one vertex is non-evasive by means of Property 1. Now, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cone having more than one vertex, and let a be an apex of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, for any  $v \neq a$ ,  $\mathcal{C} \setminus v$  and  $\mathcal{C} / v$  are cones with apex a, and by the induction hypothesis, they both are non-evasive. Therefore, by Property 2,  $\mathcal{C}$  is non-evasive as well.

**Example 4.3** The complex  $S = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}\}$  (a triangle without its interior) is easily seen to be not non-evasive.

Kahn, Saks and Sturtevant [6] proved that non-evasiveness entrains contractibility. Thus, the following theorem is a specialization of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $\{A_v\}_{v\in V}$  be a finite family of sets and  $S\subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(V)$  an abstract simplicial complex such that

$$S(\omega) = \left\{ I \in S \middle| \omega \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right\}$$

is non-evasive for any  $\omega \in \bigcup_{v \in V} A_v$ . Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any measure  $\mu$  on the algebra generated by  $\{A_v\}_{v \in V}$ , the following inequalities hold:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right)\geq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{S}\\|I|\leq n}}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)\quad (n\ even),$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right)\leq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{S}\\|I|\leq n}}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)\quad (n\ odd).$$

In the following, a new and combinatorial proof of Theorem 4.4 is presented, which does not make use of results from simplicial homology theory:

Proof. The proof is based on the recurrence

(1) 
$$\chi(S) = 1 + \chi(S \setminus v) - \chi(S/v) \quad (v \in Vert(S)),$$

which follows by distincting the cases  $v \in I$  and  $v \notin I$ , and the identities

(2) 
$$S^k \setminus v = (S \setminus v)^k, \quad S^k / v = (S/v)^{k-1} \quad (k > 0).$$

By induction on the number of vertices in  $\delta$  we show that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\chi(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \le 1 \quad (n \text{ even}),$$

(4) 
$$\chi(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \geq 1 \quad (n \text{ odd}).$$

If S has exactly one vertex, then  $\chi(S^{n-1}) = 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and in this case, the statement is clear. Now, assume that S has more than one vertex. Choose  $v \in \text{Vert}(S)$  such that both  $S \setminus v$  and S / v are non-evasive. Let n be even (the case where n is odd is treated in a similar way). From the recurrence (1), the identities (2) and the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$\chi(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = 1 + \chi((\mathbb{S}\backslash v)^{n-1}) - \chi((\mathbb{S}/v)^{n-2}) \le 1 + 1 - 1 = 1,$$

thus proving (3) and (4). Now, from (3) and (4) we conclude that

$$1_{\bigcup_{v \in V} A_v} \ge \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{B} \\ |I| \le n}} (-1)^{|I|-1} 1_{\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i} \quad (n \text{ even}),$$

$$1_{\bigcup_{v \in V} A_v} \le \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{B} \\ |I| \le n}} (-1)^{|I|-1} 1_{\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i} \quad (n \text{ odd}).$$

By integrating these inequalities with respect to  $\mu$ , the proof is finished.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of Theorem 4.4, we now deduce the main result of [1], which includes the main results of [2], [3] and [9] as special cases. For applications to network reliability analysis, the reader is referred to [3] and [4].

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $\{A_v\}_{v\in V}$  be a finite collection of sets, and let  $X\subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(V)$  be a union-closed set system such that  $\bigcap_{x\in X}A_x\subseteq \bigcup_{v\notin X}A_v$  for any  $X\in X$ . Then, for any measure  $\mu$  on the algebra generated by  $\{A_v\}_{v\in V}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right) \geq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathfrak{I}(V,\mathfrak{X})\\|I|\leq n}}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right) \quad (n \ even),$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{v\in V}A_v\right) \leq \sum_{\substack{I\in\mathfrak{I}(V,\mathfrak{X})\\|I|\leq n}}(-1)^{|I|-1}\mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right) \quad (n \ odd),$$

where in both cases  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\Im(V,\mathfrak{X}) := \{I \in \mathcal{P}^*(V) \mid I \not\supseteq X \,\forall \, X \in \mathfrak{X}\}.$$

**Proof.** Assume that the inequalities of Theorem 4.4 do not hold for  $S = \Im(V, \mathfrak{X})$ . Then, for some  $\omega \in \bigcup_{v \in V} A_v$ ,  $\Im(V, \mathfrak{X})(\omega)$  is not non-evasive and hence not a cone in view of Example 4.2. From this, it follows that  $\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathcal{P}^*(V_\omega)$  is a covering of  $V_\omega$ , where  $V_\omega := \{v \in V \mid \omega \in A_v\}$ . From this and the union-closedness of  $\mathfrak{X}$  we conclude that  $V_\omega \in \mathfrak{X}$  and consequently,

$$\omega \in \bigcap_{v \in V_{\omega}} A_v \subseteq \bigcup_{v \notin V_{\omega}} A_v.$$

Thus,  $\omega \in A_v$  for some  $v \notin V_{\omega}$ , which contradicts the definition of  $V_{\omega}$ .  $\square$ 

#### References

- [1] K. Dohmen, Improved Bonferroni inequalities via union-closed set systems, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, accepted for publication.
- [2] K. Dohmen, An improvement of the inclusion-exclusion principle, Arch. Math. 72 (1999), 298-303.

- [3] K. Dohmen, Improved inclusion-exclusion identities and inequalities based on a particular class of abstract tubes, Electron. J. Probab. 4 (1999), paper no. 5.
- [4] K. Dohmen, Improved Bonferroni bounds for the reliability of a communication network, Informatik-Bericht Nr. 114, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Dezember 1998.
- [5] E. Harzheim, Einführung in die Kombinatorische Topologie, Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt, 1978.
- [6] J. Kahn, M. Saks, and D. Sturtevant, A topological approach to evasiveness, Combinatorica 4 (1984), 297-306.
- [7] H. Kurzweil, A combinatorial technique for simplicial complexes and some applications to finite groups, Discrete Math. 82 (1990), 263-278.
- [8] D.Q. Naiman and H.P. Wynn, Abstract tubes, improved inclusionexclusion identities and inequalities and importance sampling, Ann. Statist. 25 (1997), 1954-1983.
- [9] H. Narushima, Principle of inclusion-exclusion on partially ordered sets, Discrete Math. 42 (1982), 243-250.