

SHORT EVEN CYCLES IN CAGES WITH ODD GIRTH

TAO JIANG

Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois
Urbana, IL 61801, USA
j-tao@math.uiuc.edu

ABSTRACT. A $(k; g)$ -cage is a smallest k -regular graph with girth g . Harary and Kovacs[2] conjectured that for all $k \geq 3$ and odd $g \geq 5$, there exists a $(k; g)$ -cage which contains a cycle of length $g + 1$. Among other results, we prove the conjecture for all $k \geq 3$ and $g \in \{5, 7\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider only simple graphs. The *odd girth* (*even girth*) of G is the length of a shortest odd (even) cycle in G . If there is no odd (even) cycle in G then the odd (even) girth of G is taken as ∞ . Let $g = g(G)$ denote the smaller of the odd and even girths, and let $h = h(G)$ denote the larger. Then g is called *girth* of G , and (g, h) is called the *girth pair* of G . A k -regular graph with girth g is called a $(k; g)$ -graph; the minimum number of vertices of a $(k; g)$ -graph is denoted by $f(k; g)$. A $(k; g)$ -graph with $f(k; g)$ vertices is called a $(k; g)$ -cage. Equivalently, a $(k; g)$ -cage is a smallest k -regular graph with girth at least g (see [1]). A k -regular graph with girth pair (g, h) is called a $(k; g, h)$ -graph; the minimum number of vertices of a $(k; g, h)$ -graph is denoted by $f(k; g, h)$.

The girth pairs were introduced by Harary and Kovacs[2] in 1983. Several interesting questions concerning girth pairs remain open. For example, it is clear that $f(k; g, h) \geq f(k; g)$, and this inequality may be strict; the unique $(k; 4)$ -cage is $K_{k,k}$, which does not contain a 5-cycle, thus $f(k; 4, 5) > f(k; 4)$. Related to this is the following conjecture by Harary and Kovacs[2].

1990 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 05C35, 05C55
Key words and phrases. cages, girth, cycle.

Conjecture. For all $k \geq 3$, and odd $g \geq 5$, $f(k; g, g + 1) = f(k; g)$.

In other words, they conjectured that for all $k \geq 3$ and odd $g \geq 5$, there exists a $(k; g)$ -cage with even girth $g + 1$. We prove this conjecture for all $k \geq 3$ and $g \in \{5, 7\}$. We do so by obtaining, for all $k \geq 3$ and odd $g \geq 5$, an upper bound on the smallest possible even girth of a $(k; g)$ -cage. Given a cycle C and two vertices x, y on it, we use $C[x, y]$ to denote the portion of C from x to y in the clockwise direction. We use $[m]$ to denote the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 1. Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition X, Y , where $|X| = |Y| = m$. If G contains more than $m^2 - m$ edges, then G contains a perfect matching.

Proof. By Konig-Egervary's Theorem, it suffices to show that a minimum vertex cover of G contains at least m vertices. Since $\Delta(G) \leq m$, each vertex can cover at most m edges. In order to cover more than $m^2 - m = m(m - 1)$ edges, at least m vertices are needed. Hence a minimum vertex cover contains at least m vertices. \square

The following lemma is interesting in its own right.

Lemma 2. Let G be a $(k; g)$ -cage, where $k \geq 3$ and $g \geq 5$. Then every edge of G is contained in at least $k - 1$ cycles of length at most $g + 1$.

Proof. Suppose there exists an edge uv which belongs to fewer than $k - 1$ cycles of length at most $g + 1$ in G . Suppose $N(u) - v = \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$ and $N(v) - u = \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$. Since G has girth $g \geq 5$, $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. Now construct a bipartite graph H with bipartition $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$ such that $x_i y_j \in E(H)$ if and only if path $u_i u v v_j$ does not belong to a cycle of length at most $g + 1$ in G . Since uv belongs to fewer than $k - 1$ cycles of length at most $g + 1$ in G , H has more than $(k - 1)^2 - (k - 1)$ edges. By Lemma 1 H contains a perfect matching. Without loss of generality, suppose $\{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_{k-1} y_{k-1}\}$ is a perfect matching in H . By our definition of H , for each $i \in [k - 1]$, $u_i u v v_i$ does not belong to a cycle of length at most $g + 1$ in G ; in other words every cycle in G containing $u_i u v v_i$ has length at least $g + 2$. Now let G'' be the graph obtained from G by deleting vertices u, v , and adding edges $u_i v_i$ for $i \in [k - 1]$. It's easy to verify that G'' is a k -regular graph with girth at least g , contradicting the minimality of G . \square

Given a path P , we use $l(P)$ to denote the number of edges in it.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a simple graph with odd girth g and even girth h . Suppose u, v are two vertices in G and P_1, P_2 are two different u, v -paths whose lengths have the same parity, then $l(P_1) + l(P_2) \geq \min(2g, h)$.*

Proof. Let F be the subgraph formed by the set of edges belonging to exactly one of P_1 and P_2 . Since P_1, P_2 are different and $l(P_1), l(P_2)$ have the same parity, F is nonempty and contains an even number of edges. Furthermore, each vertex in F has degree 2 or 4. Hence F is an edge-disjoint union of cycles. If F contains an even cycle, then it contains at least h edges; if F does not contain an even cycle, then it must consist of an even number of odd cycles, in which case it contains at least $2g$ edges. Hence $l(P_1) + l(P_2) \geq e(F) \geq \min\{2g, h\}$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let G be a $(k; g)$ -cage, where $k \geq 3, g \geq 5$ and g is odd. If G contains a cycle of length g which shares at most one edge with every other cycle of length g in G , then there exists a $(k; g)$ -cage with even girth $g + 1$.*

Proof. We may assume that G has no cycle of length $g + 1$, since otherwise we are done. Let C_1 be a cycle of length g in G that shares at most one edge with every other cycle of length g . Suppose the vertices on C_1 are labeled with v_1, \dots, v_g in the clockwise direction. Consider edge v_1v_2 , by Lemma 2 there exists another cycle C_2 of length g containing it. By our assumption, $E(C_1) \cap E(C_2) = v_1v_2$. Now, let G'' be the graph obtained from G by replacing edges v_1v_2, v_3v_4 with edges v_1v_3, v_2v_4 . We show that G'' is a $(k; g)$ -cage containing a cycle of length $g + 1$. Clearly, G'' is k -regular. To show that G'' has girth at least g , we consider an arbitrary cycle C in G'' . If C avoids edges v_1v_3, v_2v_4 , then it is also a cycle in G and hence has length at least g . If C uses both v_1v_3 and v_2v_4 , then it consists of v_1v_3, v_2v_4 and two paths P_1, P_2 in G (and in G''), where either P_1 is a v_1, v_2 -path and P_2 is a v_3, v_4 -path or P_1 is a v_1, v_4 -path and P_2 is a v_2, v_3 -path. In the former case, P_1, P_2 each has length at least $g - 1$, since $P_1 \cup v_1v_2$ and $P_2 \cup v_3v_4$ are both cycles in G , and hence C has length at least $2(g - 1) + 2 > g$. In the latter case, $C \cup \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4\} - \{v_1v_3, v_2v_4\}$ is a cycle in G having the same length as C , hence C has length at least g . It remains to consider the case where C uses exactly one of v_1v_3, v_2v_4 . Without loss of generality, suppose C uses v_1v_3 but not v_2v_4 . Then C consists of edge v_1v_3 and a v_1, v_3 -path P , where P is a path in both G and G'' . It suffices to show that P has length at least $g - 1$. Since P is a path in G'' and hence avoids v_1v_2, v_3v_4 , $P \neq C_1[v_1, v_3], P \neq C_1[v_3, v_1]$. If P has length less than $g - 2$, then $P \cup C_1[v_1, v_3]$ would contain a cycle of length less than g in G ; if P has length $g - 2$, then $P \cup C_1[v_1, v_3]$ either

contains a cycle of length less than g in G or is itself a cycle of length g in G , which is different from C_1 and shares at least two common edges with C_1 , namely v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 , contradicting our assumption. Hence P has length at least $g - 1$. This proves that G'' has girth at least g . On the other hand, $C_1 \cup \{v_1v_3, v_2v_4\} - \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4\}$ is a cycle of length g in G'' . Since G'' also has the same number of vertices as G , G'' is a $(k; g)$ -cage. Now $(C_2 - v_1v_2) \cup v_2v_3 \cup v_1v_3$ is a cycle of length $g + 1$ in G'' . \square

Theorem 1. *For all $k \geq 3$ and odd $g \geq 5$, there exists a $(k; g)$ -cage with even girth at most $g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 1$ if $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\epsilon = 2$ if $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. First notice that $g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 1$ if $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\epsilon = 2$ if $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, is the largest even integer strictly less than $g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$. Hence it suffices to show that there exists a $(k; g)$ -cage with even girth less than $g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$.

Let G be a $(k; g)$ -cage. We may assume that G has even girth $h(G) \geq g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$; otherwise we are done. We are going to modify G to obtain a $(k; g)$ -cage with even girth less than $g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$. By our assumption, G contains no cycles of length $g + 1$. Let C_1 be a cycle of length g . Among all other cycles of length g in G , we choose one that shares maximum number of edges with C_1 , call it C_2 . Suppose C_1, C_2 share t edges, by Lemma 4 we may assume that $t \geq 2$. It is easy to see that those common edges must be consecutive on both C_1 and C_2 , otherwise we would get a cycle of length less than g . Suppose the vertices on C_1 are labeled with v_1, \dots, v_g in the clockwise direction such that the common segment that C_1 and C_2 share is $C_1[v_1, v_{t+1}]$. Since $(C_1 - C_2) \cup (C_2 - C_1)$ is an even cycle of length $2g - 2t \geq h(G)$, we have $2t \leq 2g - h(G) \leq g - 2$ and hence $t \leq \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Let m be the even one of $\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ and $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil$. Let G'' be the graph obtained from G by deleting edges $v_1v_2, v_{m+1}v_{m+2}$ and adding edges v_1v_{m+1}, v_2v_{m+2} . Clearly, G'' is k -regular. We show that G'' has girth at least g . Let C be a cycle in G'' . If C uses neither or both of the new edges v_1v_{m+1}, v_2v_{m+2} , then we argue as in Lemma 4. It hence remains to consider the case where C contains exactly one of the new edges. Without loss of generality, suppose C contains v_1v_{m+1} but not v_2v_{m+2} . Then C consists of edge v_1v_{m+1} and a v_1, v_{m+1} -path P , where P is a path in both G and G'' . It suffices to show that P has length at least $g - 1$. Let $P_1 = C_1[v_1, v_{m+1}]$ and $P_2 = C_1[v_{m+1}, v_1]$; one of them has length $\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ and the other has length $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil$. Since P does not use edges $v_1v_2, v_{m+1}v_{m+2}$, $P \neq P_1, P \neq P_2$. Depending the parity of the length of P , we can apply Lemma 3 to either

P, P_1 or P, P_2 to get either $l(P) + l(P_1) \geq \min\{2g, h(G)\}$ or $l(P) + l(P_2) \geq \min\{2g, h(G)\}$. In either case, we have $l(P) \geq (g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor) - \lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil = g - 1$. This completes the proof that G'' has girth at least g . On the other hand, $C_1 \cup \{v_1v_{m+1}, v_2v_{m+2}\} - \{v_1v_2, v_{m+1}v_{m+2}\}$ is a cycle of length g in G'' . Since G'' also has the same number of vertices as G , G'' is a $(k; g)$ -cage. Now $C = (C_2 - C_1) \cup C_1[v_{t+1}, v_{m+1}] \cup v_1v_{m+1}$ (note that $t + 1 < m + 1$) is a cycle in G'' with even length $g + (m + 1) - 2t$. Since $m = \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ or $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil$ and $t \geq 2$, $g + (m + 1) - 2t \leq g + (\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor + 2) - 2t \leq g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 2$. Hence G'' has even girth less than $g + \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$. \square

Corollary 1. For all $k \geq 3$, $f(k; 5, 6) = f(k; 5)$, $f(k; 7, 8) = f(k; 7)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, for all $k \geq 3$, there exists a $(k; 5)$ -cage with even girth (at most) 6, and a $(k; 7)$ -cage with even girth (at most) 8. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks H. Fu and P. Kwok for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Fu, K. Huang, C. Rodger, *Connectivity of cages*, J. Graph Theory 24 (1997), 187-191.
- [2] F. Harary and P. Kovacs, *Regular graphs with given girth pair*, J. Graph Theory 7 (1983), 209-218.
- [3] W. Tutte, *A family of cubical graphs*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. d (1947), 459-474.
- [4] P-K. Wong, *Cages- a survey*, J. Graph Theory 6 (1982), 1-22.
- [5] G-H. Zhang, *Extremal regular graphs with prescribed odd girth*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B. 60 (1994), 222-238.