

Isomorphic Antidirected Path Decompositions of Complete Symmetric Graphs

Tay-Woei Shyu*

Department of Banking and Finance
Kai Nan University
Lu-Chu, Tao-Yuan, Taiwan 338, R.O.C.
e-mail: twhsu@mail.knu.edu.tw

Chiang Lin

Department of Mathematics
National Central University
Chung-Li, Taiwan 320, R.O.C.

ABSTRACT. A path in a digraph is antidirected if the two adjacent edges of the path have opposing orientations. In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the edges of the complete symmetric graph to be decomposed into isomorphic antidirected paths.

1 Introduction

A $v_0 - v_r$ walk of length r in a graph G is a sequence of vertices of the form v_0, v_1, \dots, v_r where $v_{i-1}v_i \in E(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$; this walk is denoted by $v_0v_1 \dots v_r$. A trail is a walk in which all edges are distinct. A trail is closed if its starting vertex and ending vertex are the same. An Eulerian trail of a graph G is a closed trail containing all edges of G . A path is a trail in which all vertices are distinct, and a path of length l is denoted by P_l . A path in a digraph is antidirected if two adjacent edges of the path have opposing orientations. To describe the edge oriented from the vertex u to the vertex v we shall write $u \rightarrow v$ or $v \leftarrow u$. The antidirected path will be designated

*Research supported in part by the National Science Council under grand NSC90-2115-M-424-002.

by listing their vertices and orienting the edges between them. When l is odd, there is a unique nonisomorphic antidirected path of length l , denoted by \overleftrightarrow{P}_l . When $l \geq 2$ is even, there are two nonisomorphic antidirected paths of length l . (See Fig.1 for two nonisomorphic antidirected paths of length 2.) We use \overleftrightarrow{P}_l to denote the antidirected path with the edges incident to the end vertices of the path oriented away from the end vertices.



Fig. 1

In a digraph G , an antidirected Hamiltonian path is an antidirected path that passes every vertex of G ; an antidirected Hamiltonian circuit is an antidirected cycle (i.e., a cycle with adjacent edges having opposing directions) that passes every vertex of G . In [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], the existences of antidirected Hamiltonian paths and antidirected Hamiltonian circuits in tournaments were investigated.

For a simple graph G , we use $D(G)$ to denote the digraph obtained from G by replacing each edge e of G by two oppositely oriented edges with the same ends of e . Let K_n be the complete graph on vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The directed graph $D(K_n)$ is abbreviated to DK_n . We call DK_n the *complete symmetric graph* on n vertices. Suppose G and H are graphs (digraphs, respectively). If the edges of graph G can be decomposed into subgraphs isomorphic to H , then we say that G has an H -decomposition.

In [5], the following result concerning the isomorphic path decomposition of complete graphs was proved.

Theorem 1 K_n has a P_l -decomposition if and only if $l \leq n - 1$ and $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2l}$. □

In this paper we deal with the isomorphic antidirected path decomposition of complete symmetric graphs, and obtain the following.

Theorem. DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- A. n or l is odd.
- B. $l \leq n - 1$.
- C. $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$.

2 Main Result

In this section we deal with the \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition of DK_n . We begin with the case where l is odd.

Lemma 2 Suppose that l is an odd integer. If a graph G has a P_l -decomposition. Then $D(G)$ has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition.

Proof. This follows from the fact that if l is an odd integer, then $D(P_l)$ can be decomposed into two antirected paths of length l . □

Corollary 3 If l is an odd integer, $l \leq n - 1$ and $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$, then DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition.

Proof. Since l is odd, the condition $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ implies $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2l}$. Thus, by Theorem 1, K_n has a P_l -decomposition. Then, by Lemma 2, DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition. □

Now we treat the case where l is even. We introduce some definitions. For integers $n \geq k \geq 1$, The crown $C_{n,k}$ is defined to be the graph with vertex set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ and edge set $\{a_i b_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + k \pmod{n}\}$. Crowns $C_{n,k}$ are bipartite graphs with regular degree k . In this paper we consider the crown $C_{n,n-1}$, which is just the graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph with bipartition $(\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\})$ by taking away the perfect matching $\{a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots, a_n b_n\}$. A path P in the crown $C_{n,n-1}$ is said to be *subscript distinct*, if $S \cap T = \emptyset$ where $S = \{i : a_i \text{ is a vertex of } P\}$ and $T = \{i : b_i \text{ is a vertex of } P\}$. For example, in $C_{6,5}$ the path $a_5 b_2 a_3 b_4 a_6$ is subscript distinct, but the path $a_1 b_2 a_6 b_5 a_2 b_4$ is not. If a subscript distinct path in $C_{n,n-1}$ has end vertices in $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, we call it an *S.E. path*; here, S stands for subscript, E stands for end vertex. Note that an S.E. path has even length. A P_l -decomposition of $C_{n,n-1}$ is called an *S.E. P_l -decomposition*, if each member in the decomposition is an S.E. path.

Lemma 4 Suppose that l is an even integer, and that the crown $C_{n,n-1}$ has an S.E. P_l -decomposition. Then DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition.

Proof. Suppose $l = 2t$. Let \mathfrak{R} be an S.E. P_l -decomposition of $C_{n,n-1}$. For each S.E. path $P : a_{i_1} b_{i_2} a_{i_3} b_{i_4} \dots b_{i_{2t}} a_{i_{2t+1}}$ in \mathfrak{R} , we associate an antirected path $\overleftrightarrow{P} : i_1 \rightarrow i_2 \leftarrow i_3 \rightarrow i_4 \leftarrow \dots \rightarrow i_{2t} \leftarrow i_{2t+1}$ of DK_n . It is easy to see that $\{\overleftrightarrow{P} : P \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ is a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition of DK_n . □

We use $\langle a_k, b_{l_j} \rangle_{j=1}^l$ to denote the following sequence of vertices: $a_{k_1} b_{l_1} a_{k_2} b_{l_2} \dots a_{k_t} b_{l_t}$, which is a walk in $C_{n,n-1}$. And $\langle a_{2+j} b_{n-j} \rangle_{j=1}^2 < a_{n-j} b_j \rangle_{j=1}^3 < a_5 \rangle$ is the walk $a_3 b_{n-1} a_4 b_{n-2} a_{n-1} b_1 a_{n-2} b_2 a_{n-3} b_3 a_5$.

Suppose W_1 is the walk $x_1 x_2 \dots x_t$, W_2 is the walk $y_1 y_2 \dots y_s$ in a graph such that $x_t = y_1$. Then we use $W_1 + W_2$ to denote the walk

$x_1x_2 \cdots x_t y_2 y_3 \cdots y_s$. For walks W_1, W_2, \dots, W_v in a graph, $W_1 + W_2 + \cdots + W_v$ is similarly defined. For an integer t and a walk $W : a_{i_1} b_{i_2} a_{i_3} b_{i_4} \cdots a_{i_{2t+1}}$ in the crown $C_{n,n-1}$, we use $W+t$ to denote the walk $a_{i_1+t} b_{i_2+t} a_{i_3+t} b_{i_4+t} \cdots a_{i_{2t+1}+t}$; here and in the sequel, the subscripts of a_i 's and b_i 's are taken modulo n . Suppose G is a subgraph of $C_{n,n-1}$ and H is a subgraph of G such that the edges of G can be decomposed into subgraphs $H, H+1, H+2, \dots, H+k$ for some integer k . Then H is called a *base graph* of this decomposition.

Let Q be a trail in a graph. Suppose x, y are two vertices on Q . We use $d_Q(x, y)$ to denote the number of edges on Q between x and y . Suppose $Q : x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n$ is a trail in a graph. Then the trail $T : x_k x_{k+1} x_{k+2} \cdots x_s$ ($1 \leq k \leq s \leq n$) is called a *subtrail* of Q . For two vertices x, y on T , we have $d_T(x, y) = d_Q(x, y)$. In the following, for an integer i , $3 \leq i \leq n$, we use Q_i to denote the trail $a_1 b_i a_2 b_{i+1} a_3 b_{i+2} \cdots a_n b_{i+(n-1)} a_1$ in $C_{n,n-1}$.

Let $Q_k' = a_1 b_k a_2 b_{k+1} a_3 b_{k+2} \cdots a_n b_{k+(n-1)}$, i.e., we remove the last vertex of Q_k from Q_k .

Lemma 5 *Suppose n is odd. In the crown $C_{n,n-1}$, let Q be the trail $Q_4 + Q_6 + Q_8 + \cdots + Q_{n-1}$.*

- (1) *Suppose $4 \leq k \leq n-2$. For $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let $x = a_t$ be on Q_k' , and let $y = a_t$ be on Q_{k+2}' . Then $d_Q(x, y) = 2n$.*
- (2) *Suppose $4 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let $x = b_t$ be on Q_k' , and let $y = b_t$ be on Q_{k+2}' . Then $d_Q(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4n-4, & t = k, k+1 \\ 2n-4, & t = k+2, k+3, \dots, k+n-1. \end{cases}$*
- (3) *Suppose $4 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $x = a_t$ be on Q_k' , and let $y = b_t$ be on Q such that y is after x and closest to x . Then for $t = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, we have $y \in Q_k'$, and $d_Q(x, y) = 2n-2k+3$, for $t = k, k+1$, we have $y \in Q_{k+2}'$, and $d_Q(x, y) = 4n-2k-1$, for $t = k+2, k+3, \dots, n$, we have $y \in Q_{k+2}'$, and $d_Q(x, y) = 2n-2k-1$.*
- (4) *Suppose $4 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $x = b_t$ be on Q_k' , and let $y = a_t$ be on Q such that y is after x and closest to x . Then $d_Q(x, y) = 2k-3$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof. (1) Trivial.

(2) First consider $t = k$. Since $x = b_k$ is the second vertex on Q_k , and $y = b_k$ is the $(2n-3)$ -th vertex on Q_{k+2} , it is easy to see that there are $4n-4$ edges on Q which are between $x = b_k$ and $y = b_k$. The proof for $t = k+1$ is similar. Now consider $t = k+2$. Since $x = b_{k+2}$ is the sixth vertex on Q_k , and $y = b_{k+2}$ is the second vertex on Q_{k+2} , it is easy to see

that there are $2n - 4$ edges between $x = b_{k+2}$ and $y = b_{k+2}$. The proof for $t = k + 3, k + 4, \dots, n + k - 1$ are similar.

(3) Note that for $t = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, a_t is before b_t on Q'_k . Thus $y = b_t \in Q'_k$. Now consider $t = 1$. Since b_1 is the last $2(k - 1)$ vertices on Q_k , there are $2n + 1 - 2(k - 1) + 1 = 2n - 2k + 4$ vertices on Q which are between $x = a_1$ and $y = b_1$ (inclusively). Thus $d_Q(x, y) = 2n - 2k + 3$. The results for $t = 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$ follow easily. Next consider $t = k, k + 1$. First consider $t = k$. Note that b_k is before a_k on Q_k . Thus the b_k , which is after $x = a_k$ and closest to x , is on Q_{k+2} . We see that a_k is the $(2k - 1)$ -th vertices on Q_k , and the last four vertices on Q_{k+2} are b_k, a_n, b_{k+1}, a_1 . Thus there $4n + 1 - (2k - 2 + 3) = 4n - 2k$ vertices on Q between $x = a_k$ and $y = b_k$. Hence $d_Q(x, y) = 4n - 2k - 1$. The result for $t = k + 1$ follows easily.

Now consider $t = k + 2, k + 3, \dots, n$. Now $t = k + 2$. Since b_{k+2} is before a_{k+2} on Q_k , the b_{k+2} , which is after $x = a_{k+2}$ and closest to a_{k+2} , is on Q_{k+2} . We see that $x = a_{k+2}$ is the $(2k + 3)$ -th vertex on Q_k , and $y = b_{k+2}$ is the second vertex on Q_{k+2} . Thus there are $2n - (2k + 2) + 2 = 2n - 2k$ vertices between $x = a_{k+2}$ and $y = b_{k+2}$ (inclusively). Thus $d_Q(x, y) = 2n - 2k - 1$. The results for $t = k + 3, k + 4, \dots, n$ follow immediately.

(4) First consider $t = k$. Since b_k is the second vertex on Q_k , a_k is the $(2k - 1)$ -th vertex on Q_k , it follows that there are $2k - 3$ edges on Q which are between $x = b_k$ and $y = a_k$. Thus $d_Q(x, y) = 2k - 3$. The results for $t = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n$ follow immediately. Now consider $t = 1$. Since b_k is the second vertex on Q_k , and b_1 is after b_n on Q_k , we see that b_1 is the $2(n - k + 2)$ -th vertex on Q_k . The vertex $y = a_1$ is the first vertex on Q_{k+2} . Thus there are $2k - 3$ edges on Q which are between $x = b_1 \in Q'_k$ and $y = a_1 \in Q'_{k+2}$. Thus $d_Q(x, y) = 2k - 3$. The results for $t = 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$ follow immediately. \square

Suppose that T is a trail in $C_{n,n-1}$, we use $d(T)$ to denote the least number of edges between two vertices of T with the same subscript.

Lemma 6 *Suppose that l is an even integer ≥ 2 , and n is an odd integer such that $l \leq n - 1$, and $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$. Then $C_{n,n-1}$ has an S.E. P_l -decomposition.*

Proof. The edges of $C_{n,n-1}$ are labeled as follows. Each edge can be assumed to be $a_j b_k$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j < k < j + n$. We refer to this edge as an s -edge where $s = k - j$. Let G_1 be the spanning subgraph of $C_{n,n-1}$ such that G_1 consists of all the edges with labels $1, 2, \dots, \frac{l}{2}, n - \frac{l}{2}, n - \frac{l}{2} + 1, \dots, n - 1$. And let $G_2 = C_{n,n-1} - E(G_1)$. We will prove the existence of S.E. P_l -decomposition of $C_{n,n-1}$ by showing that both G_1 and G_2 have S.E. P_l -decompositions. Note that in case $l = n - 1$, G_2 is an empty graph.

The S.E. P_1 -decomposition of G_1 will be achieved by using a base graph Q defined as follows. When $l = 4m$ ($m \in N$), let Q be the path $\langle a_j b_{n+1-j} \rangle_{j=1}^{\frac{l}{4}} \langle a_{\frac{l}{4}+j} b_{\frac{3l}{4}+2-j} \rangle_{j=1}^{\frac{l}{4}} \langle a_{l+\frac{l}{2}} \rangle$, i.e., Q is the path $a_1 b_n a_2 b_{n-1} a_3 b_{n-2} \cdots a_{\frac{l}{4}} b_{n+1-\frac{l}{4}} a_{\frac{l}{4}+1} b_{\frac{3l}{4}+1} a_{\frac{l}{4}+2} b_{\frac{3l}{4}} \cdots a_{\frac{l}{2}} b_{\frac{l}{2}+2} a_{\frac{l}{2}+1}$. When $l = 2$, let Q be the path $a_1 b_n a_{n-1}$. When $l = 4m+2$ ($m \in N$), let Q be the path $\langle a_j b_{n+1-j} \rangle_{j=1}^{\frac{l+2}{4}} \langle a_{n-\frac{3l+2}{4}+j} b_{n-\frac{l-2}{4}-j} \rangle_{j=1}^{\frac{l-2}{4}} \langle a_{n-\frac{l}{2}} \rangle$, i.e., Q is the path $a_1 b_n a_2 b_{n-1} a_3 b_{n-2} \cdots a_{\frac{l+2}{4}} b_{n-\frac{l-2}{4}} a_{n-\frac{3l-2}{4}} b_{n-\frac{l+2}{4}} a_{n+1-\frac{3l-2}{4}} b_{n-1-\frac{l+2}{4}} \cdots a_{n-\frac{l}{2}-1} b_{n-\frac{l}{2}+1} a_{n-\frac{l}{2}}$. It is easy to see that Q is an S.E. path having length l and consisting of edges with labels in order of $n-1, n-2, \dots, n-\frac{l}{2}+1, n-\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2}-1, \dots, 2, 1$. We also see that G_1 can be decomposed into $Q, Q+1, Q+2, \dots, Q+(n-1)$, and each of them is an S.E. path of length l . Thus G_1 has an S.E. P_1 -decomposition.

Next we consider the decomposition of G_2 . As mentioned before, G_2 is an empty graph if $l = n-1$. Thus assume $l \leq n-2$. We will define an Eulerian trail of G_2 , and then cut the trail into paths which are needed for the decomposition.

For $i = \frac{l}{2} + 3, \frac{l}{2} + 5, \frac{l}{2} + 7, \dots, n - \frac{l}{2}$, as defined in the paragraphs preceding Lemma 5, let Q_i be the trail $a_1 b_i a_2 b_{i+1} a_3 b_{i+2} \cdots a_n b_{i+(n-1)} a_1$. We see that each Q_i is in fact a Hamiltonian cycle of $C_{n, n-1}$ and consists of all the edges with labels $i-1$ and $i-2$. Thus $E(G_2) = \bigcup_{i \in A} E(Q_i)$, where

$A = \{\frac{l}{2} + 3, \frac{l}{2} + 5, \dots, n - \frac{l}{2}\}$. Let T be the trail $Q_{\frac{l}{2}+3} + Q_{\frac{l}{2}+5} + \cdots + Q_{n-\frac{l}{2}}$. Obviously T is an Eulerian trail of G_2 . To determine $d(T)$, we need to evaluate the minimum number of edges between two vertices x, y on T with the same subscript. Suppose x is before y on T . We consider four cases: (1) $x = a_t, y = a_t$, (2) $x = b_t, y = b_t$, (3) $x = a_t, y = b_t$, (4) $x = b_t, y = a_t$. In each case we will show $d_T(x, y) \geq l+1$.

As defined in Lemma 5, let Q be the trail $Q_4 + Q_6 + Q_8 + \cdots + Q_{n-1}$ in $C_{n, n-1}$. Obviously T is a subtrail of Q in $C_{n, n-1}$. For any two vertices u, v on T , we have $d_T(u, v) = d_Q(u, v)$.

Case 1. $x = a_t, y = a_t$

By Lemma 5(1), $d_Q(x, y) = 2n$. Thus $d_T(x, y) = 2n \geq l+1$.

Case 2. $x = b_t, y = b_t$

By Lemma 5(2), $d_Q(x, y) = 4n-4$ or $2n-4$, which implies $d_T(x, y) = d_Q(x, y) \geq l+1$.

Case 3. $x = a_t, y = b_t$

We use the result of Lemma 5(3). First consider $t = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k, k+1$. We have $d_Q(x, y) = 2n-2k+3$ or $4n-2k-1$, which implies $d_Q(x, y) \geq l+1$, since $k \leq n - \frac{l}{2}$. Now consider $t = k+2, k+3, \dots, n$. Since $x \in Q'_k$,

$y \in Q'_{k+2}$, we have $k+2 \leq n - \frac{l}{2}$. Thus $d_Q(x, y) = 2n - 2k - 1 \geq l + 3 \geq l + 1$. Hence $d_T(x, y) \geq l + 1$.

Case 4. $x = b_t, y = a_t$

By Lemma 5(4), $d_Q(x, y) = 2k - 3$. Thus $d_T(x, y) = d_Q(x, y) \geq l + 3 \geq l + 1$, since $k \geq \frac{l}{2} + 3$.

From above, we conclude that $d(T) \geq l + 1$. Now from the starting vertex we cut the trail T into subtrails with l edges. From the facts that $d(T) \geq l + 1$, the starting vertex of T is in $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, and l is even, we see that each subtrail is an S.E. path. Thus G_2 has an S.E. P_l -decomposition. This completes the proof. \square

The following corollary follows immediately from Lemma 4 and 6.

Corollary 7 *Suppose that l is an even integer, and n is an odd integer such that $l \leq n - 1$, and $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$. Then DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition.* \square

Proof of Theorem. (Necessity) Conditions B and C are obvious. We prove Condition A. Suppose that l is even. We will show that n is odd. Let \mathfrak{R} be a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition of DK_n . Each antidirected path in \mathfrak{R} contributes 0 or 2 to the indegree of every vertex of DK_n . Thus every vertex of DK_n has even indegree, which implies that n is odd.

(Sufficiency) Consider two cases.

Case 1. l is odd.

By Corollary 3, DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition.

Case 2. l is even.

By Condition A, n is odd. Then, by Corollary 7, DK_n has a \overleftrightarrow{P}_l -decomposition. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Grünbaum, Antidirected Hamiltonian paths in tournaments, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* **11** (1971), 249-257.
- [2] V. Petrovic, Antidirected Hamiltonian circuits and paths in tournaments, *In Proc. 4th Yugoslavian Seminar of Graph Theory*, Novi Sad, 1984, 259-269.

- [3] M. Rosenfeld, Antidirected Hamiltonian circuits in tournaments, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* **16** (1974), 234–242.
- [4] M. Rosenfeld, Antidirected Hamiltonian paths in tournaments, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* **12** (1972), 93–99.
- [5] M. Tarsi, Decomposition of complete multigraph into simple paths : nonbalanced handcuffed designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A.* **34** (1983), no.1, 60–70.
- [6] C. Thomassen, Antidirected Hamiltonian circuits and paths in tournaments, *Math. Ann.* **201** (1973), 231–238.