

# Non-isomorphic Minimal Bicovers of $K_8$

R.G. Stanton

Department of Computer Science  
University of Manitoba  
Winnipeg, Canada R3T 2N2

**ABSTRACT.** The number  $g_2^{(4)}(8)$  is the minimal number of blocks that contain all pairs from a set of 8 elements exactly twice under the restriction that the longest block have size 4 (this longest block need not be unique). Thus the blocks have lengths 2, 3, and 4. We show that there are three solutions to this problem.

## 1 Introduction

Suppose we have a set  $V$  of  $v$  elements,  $V = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, v\}$ . A minimal bicover of this set, with longest block of length  $k$ , is given by a family  $F$  of  $g_2^{(k)}(v)$  blocks whose lengths may be 2, 3,  $\dots$ ,  $k$ , where every pair from  $V$  occurs exactly twice among the blocks of  $F$  and where the cardinality of  $F$  is minimal among the cardinalities of all such possible bicovers.

It is trivial that

$$g_2^{(2)}(v) = 2 \binom{v}{2} = v(v-1).$$

For  $g_2^{(3)}(v)$ , we immediately find the results in the 3 cases of  $v$  congruent to 0, 1, 2, modulo 3. For if  $v = 3n$ , there is a bicover using only triples; thus

$$g_2^{(3)}(3n) = n(3n-1).$$

Similarly, if  $v = 3n + 1$ , there is a BIBD  $(3n + 1, n(3n + 1), 3n, 3, 2)$ , and so

$$g_2^{(3)}(3n + 1) = n(3n + 1).$$

If  $v = 3n + 2$ , the situation is slightly different. If  $n = 2t$ , then  $v = 6t + 2$ . We illustrate the situation for  $n = 2$  (the construction is perfectly general). It is well known (see, for example, [1]) that  $D(2, 3, 8) = \left[ \frac{8}{3} \left[ \frac{7}{2} \right] \right] = 8$  and that the missing pairs form a 1-factor, say (12), (34), (56), (78). Also,

$D(2, 3, 7) = 7$  and these 7 blocks miss pairs 18, 28, 38, 48, 58, 68, 78. Put the 4 + 7 missing pairs in blocks 128, 348, 568, and we have 18 triples and only 2 missing pairs (78). This illustrates the general construction with

$$D_2(2, 3, 6t + 2) = 12t^2 + 6t \text{ triples}$$

and 2 pairs. Thus

$$g_2^{(3)}(6t + 2) = 12t^2 + 6t + 2,$$

or we may write

$$g_2^{(3)}(6t + 2) = D_2(2, 3, 6t + 2) + 2.$$

If  $n = 2t + 1$ , then  $v = 6t + 5$ . It is well known (cf. again [1]) that

$$D(2, 3, 6t + 5) = \left\lfloor \frac{6t + 5}{3} \left\lfloor \frac{6t + 4}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor - 1$$

and that this packing contains all pairs except for a cycle, say (1234). Now write down this packing again on the same  $v$  elements with 1 and 2 interchanged. The missing pairs are then in the cycle (2134) and these 2 cycles can be combined into 2 triples (123) and (124). Hence one has  $2(6t^2 + 9t + 2) + 2$  triples with only 2 missing pairs. Again, this is a set of  $D_2(2, 3, 6t + 5) = \left\lfloor \frac{6t+5}{3} \left\lfloor \frac{2(6t+4)}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor$  triples and 2 pairs for a total of  $12t^2 + 18t + 8$  blocks.

Thus, in both cases,

$$\begin{aligned} g_2^{(3)}(3n + 2) &= D_2(2, 3, 3n + 2) + 2 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{3n + 2}{3} (3n + 1) \right\rfloor + 2 \\ &= 3n^2 + 3n + 2. \end{aligned}$$

In a separate discussion [2], we have given results on  $g_2^{(4)}(v)$ . The purpose of the present note is to determine all possible solutions in the case  $v = 8$ , which is one of the early interesting cases.

## 2 Preamble for $k = 4, v = 8$

Since  $D_2(2, 4, 8) = \left\lfloor \frac{8}{4} \left\lfloor \frac{2(7)}{3} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor = 8$ , we see that there can be at most 8 quadruples in any bicover. However, there is a BIBD(10, 15, 6, 4, 2) and deletion of 2 elements leaves a bicover of an 8-set consisting of 2 pairs, 8 triples, and 5 quadruples. Clearly, one can not do better than this with fewer quadruples and so one must have at least 5 quadruples in a minimal bicover.

If there are  $b_i$  blocks of length  $i$  in the bicover, then

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 + b_3 + b_4 &= g, \\ b_2 + 3b_3 + 6b_4 &= 56. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $2b_3 + 5b_4 = 56 - g$ . If  $g = 15$ , then  $2b_3 + 5b_4 = 41$ , and we know that this is possible with  $b_3 = 8$ ,  $b_4 = 5$ . Also, we know that 8 quadruples omit 8 pairs and these might be covered by 2 triples and 2 pairs. So, even if this optimum case should be possible, we have  $g_2^{(4)}(8) \geq 12$ .

If  $g_2^{(4)}(8) = 12$ , then

$$2b_3 + 5b_4 = 56 - 12 = 44.$$

The only possibility is  $b_4 = 8$ ,  $b_3 = b_2 = 2$ . Let the frequency of an element in blocks of length  $i$  be  $\alpha_i$ ; then

$$\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 = 2(8 - 1) = 14.$$

Also  $\alpha_2 \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha_3 \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha_4 \leq 8$ . Hence  $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (2, 0, 4)$  or  $(1, 2, 3)$  or  $(0, 1, 4)$ . Let there be  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , of these types of elements. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c &= 8, \\ 2a + b &= 4, \\ 2b + c &= 6, \\ 4a + 3b + 4c &= 32. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation can be replaced by  $a + c = 8$ . Thus  $b = 0$ ,  $a = 2$ ,  $c = 6$ .

Let the  $a$ -elements be  $x$ ,  $y$ ; the  $c$ -elements be 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Then the blocks are

$$\begin{array}{lll} xy & 123 & x \text{ --- (three times)} \\ xy & 456 & y \text{ --- (three times)}. \end{array}$$

So, if we delete  $x$  and  $y$ , there remains a BIBD(6,10,5,3,2) containing 2 disjoint blocks.

In a design (6,10,5,3,2), let  $x_i$  be the number of blocks that intersect a specified block in  $i$  points. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 9, \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 &= 3(4) = 12, \\ x_2 + 3x_3 &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Add these equations with multipliers 1,  $-1$ , 1, and we find  $x_0 + x_3 = 0$ . So it is not possible to have such a design with 2 disjoint blocks. This proves that  $g_2^{(4)}(8) > 12$ .

### 3 Discussion of Case $g_2^{(4)}(8) = 13$

In this case  $b_2 + b_3 + b_4 = 13$ ,  $b_2 + 3b_3 + 6b_4 = 56$ . It follows that  $2b_3 + 5b_4 = 43$ , and hence  $b_4 = 7$ ,  $b_3 = 4$ ,  $b_2 = 2$ . Let  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ , be as in the last section; then  $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (2, 0, 4)$  or  $(2, 3, 2)$  or  $(1, 2, 3)$  or  $(0, 1, 4)$  or  $(0, 4, 2)$ . Let the number of elements of each of these types be  $a, b, c, d, e$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c + d + e &= 8, \\ 2a + 2b + c &= 4, \\ 3b + 2c + d + 4e &= 12, \\ 4a + 2b + 3c + 4d + 2e &= 28. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $2b + c + 2e = 32 - 28 = 4$ ; and so  $e = a$ . Now  $e = 0$  or  $1$ . If  $e = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} b + c + d &= 8, \\ 2b + c &= 4, \\ 3b + 2c + d &= 12, \\ 2b + 3c + 4d &= 28. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $c + 2d = 12$ , and the only solutions are  $c = 4, d = 4, b = 0$ ;  $c = 2, b = 1, d = 5$ ;  $c = 0, b = 2, d = 6$ .

If  $b = 2, d = 6$ , we have an immediate contradiction, since the  $b$ -elements form 2 pairs in both pairs and triples. If  $b = 1, c = 2, d = 5$ , then we may write the blocks as

$$\begin{array}{lll} xc_1 & x12 & x \\ xc_2 & xc_13 & x \\ & xc_24 & c_1 \\ & c_1c_25 & c_1 \\ & & c_1c_2 \\ & & c_2 \\ & & c_2 \end{array}$$

The elements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, must now be placed in the quadruples (4 times each). Element 5 must appear once with  $c_1$  and with  $c_2$ , twice with  $x$ . Element 3 must appear once with  $x$  and with  $c_1$ , twice with  $c_2$ ; this results in the following quadruples

$$\begin{array}{l} x541 \\ x532 \\ c_1542 \\ c_1431 \\ c_1c_212 \\ c_2531 \\ c_2432 \end{array}$$

Now the appearances of 1 and 2 are forced as shown (up to interchange). So we have a solution.

$xc_1$	$x12$	$x145$
$xc_2$	$xc_13$	$x235$
	$xc_24$	$c_1245$
	$c_1c_25$	$c_1134$
		$c_1c_212$
		$c_2135$
		$c_2234$

If  $c = d = 4$ , we can write the pairs as

$$c_1c_2, c_3c_4.$$

The triples contain 8 elements  $c_i$  and the quadruples contain 12 elements  $c_i$ . This forces at least 4 pairs  $c_i c_j$  in the triples, 5 pairs  $c_i c_j$  in the quadruples. Hence, with  $c_1c_2$  and  $c_3c_4$ , we have at least 11 pairs  $c_i c_j$  forced. Since there are 12 pairs needed, there must be a triple  $c_1c_2c_3$  in either the triples or the quadruples.

If the triples contain  $c_1c_2c_3$ , then the quadruples are  $c_1, c_1, c_1c_3, c_2, c_2, c_2c_3, c_3$ ; this forces the triples to be  $c_1c_2c_3, c_1, c_2, c_3$ . Now  $c_4$  must be placed in the quadruples so as to meet 3 elements of  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ . This requires  $c_4$  not to meet any pairs in the quadruples. So we have quadruples

$$c_1c_4, c_1, c_1c_3, c_2c_4, c_2, c_2c_3, c_3c_4$$

and triples

$$c_1c_2c_3, c_1c_4, c_2c_4, c_3.$$

Now let  $c_312$  be a triple. Element 1 appears 4 times in the quadruples with  $c_1$  (twice),  $c_2$  (twice),  $c_3$  (once),  $c_4$  (twice). So 1 must appear with 3 of  $c_1c_4, c_1c_3, c_2c_4, c_2c_3, c_3c_4$ . This requires  $c_1c_41, c_2c_41, c_2c_31$ . A similar argument applies to element 2 and one has too many pairs (12). So this case can not occur.

On the other hand, if the quadruples contain  $c_1c_2c_3$ , then we have quadruples and triples

$c_1c_2c_3 -$	$c_1c_3 -$
$c_1c_4 - -$	$c_1c_4 -$
$c_1 - - -$	$c_2c_3 -$
$c_2c_4 - -$	$c_2c_4 -$
$c_2 - - -$	
$c_3c_4 - -$	
$c_3 - - -$	

Let 1 occur with  $c_1c_2c_3$ . Then it occurs in quadruples  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ , and this leads to a contradiction. So this case also can not occur.

We now consider the case  $e = 1$ . Then  $a = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} b + c + d &= 6 \\ 2b + c &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

The possibilities are  $c = 2, b = 0, d = 4$ , and  $c = 0, b = 1, d = 5$ . But  $e = 1$  implies  $b = 0$ . Hence  $a = 1, c = 2, d = 4, e = 1$ . Then we may write the blocks as

$$\begin{array}{lll} ac_1 & xc_1 & xa \\ ac_2 & xc_1 & xa \\ & x & ac_1 \\ & x & a \\ & & c_1 \\ & & c_1 \\ & & - \end{array}$$

with  $c_2$  occurring twice in the triples, thrice in the quadruples.

Let the  $d$ -elements be 1, 2, 3, 4. Call this set  $D$ .  $D$  occurs with  $xa$  and with  $a$  in the quadruples and with  $x$  in the triples. If  $ac_1c_2$  occurs in a quadruple, then we have triples  $xc_1, xc_1, xc_2, xc_2$ . Then the triples are  $xc_11, xc_12, xc_23, xc_24$ , and the quadruples are  $xa12, xa34, ac_1c_24, a123$ . Then 4 occurs in quadruples  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  and also in a triple with  $c_1$  or  $c_2$ . this is a contradiction. So we must have quadruples  $ac_1$  and  $ac_2$ . If we have triples  $xc_1, xc_1c_2, xc_2, x$ , then the quadruples are  $xa12, xa34, ac_1, ac_2$ , and  $c_1c_2, c_1, c_2$ . So we must take  $ac_113$  and  $ac_234$ . This forces us to have the quadruples  $c_1c_2, c_1, c_2$ , having 2 occurrences each of 1, 2, 3, 4. Now consider the elements that do not occur with  $c_i$  in the triples. They must appear twice with  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in the quadruples; so they occur with  $c_1c_2$ . This forces  $x14, c_1c_214, c_1234, c_2123$ . The triples then are  $xc_12, xc_23, xc_1c_2, x14$ . So we have a solution (Solution 2).

$$\begin{array}{lll} ac_1 & xc_12 & xa12 \\ ac_2 & xc_23 & xa34 \\ & xc_1c_2 & ac_113 \\ & x14 & ac_234 \\ & & c_1c_214 \\ & & c_1234 \\ & & c_2123 \end{array}$$

Finally, if we have triples  $xc_1, xc_1, xc_2, xc_2$ , then the quadruples are

$xa12, xa34, ac_1, ac_2, c_1c_2, c_1c_2, -$ . This produces solution 3:

$ac_1$	$xc_12$	$xa12$
$ac_2$	$xc_14$	$xa34$
	$xc_21$	$ac_113$
	$xc_23$	$ac_224$
		$c_1c_214$
		$c_1c_223$
		1234

#### 4 Conclusion

$g_2^{(4)}(8) = 13$  and the result is obtained by 3 non-isomorphic solutions. For convenience, we list them using symbols 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.

Solution 1:

68	128	1458
78	368	2358
	478	2456
	567	1346
		1267
		1357
		2347

Solution 2:

68	256	1258
78	357	3458
	567	1368
	145	2478
		1467
		2346
		1237

Solution 3:

68	256	1234
78	456	1258
	157	3458
	357	1368
		2478
		1467
		2367

## References

- [1] R.G. Stanton and M.J. Rogers, Packings and Coverings by Triples, *Ars Combinatoria* **13** (1982), 61–69.
- [2] R.G. Stanton, On the Bipacking Numbers  $g_2^{(4)}(v)$ , to appear.