## Note

## On Directed Odd or Even Minimum (s, t)-Cut Problem and Generalizations

Ottilia Fülöp\*
Institute of Mathematics, Technical University, Budapest

June 18, 2002

## Abstract

We show that if M(n, m) denotes the time of a (u, v)-minimum cut computation in a directed graph with  $n \ge 2$  nodes, m edges, and s and t are two distinct given nodes, then there exists an algorithm with  $O(n^2m + n \cdot M(n, m))$  running time for the directed minimum odd (or even) (s, t)-cut problem and for its certain generalizations.

Let  $\vec{G} = (V, \vec{E})$  be a directed graph with  $n \geq 2$  nodes and m edges, s and t two distinct given nodes of  $\vec{G}$ . The cut of the graph is a subset C of the nodes. An (s, t)-cut of the graph is a subset  $C \subset V$  with  $s \in C$  and  $t \notin C$ . The value of the cut f(C) is the number or the total capacity of the edges leaving C. A function f over all subsets of a ground set V is called submodular if all  $X, Y \subseteq V$  satisfy  $f(X) + f(Y) \geq f(X \cap Y) + f(X \cup Y)$ . An example of a submodular function is the cut value function. The notion of minimum cut and its generalization, the minimum of a submodular function, plays an important role in combinatorial optimization. See [5] for a survey of the application of submodular functions and [6] for that of minimum cuts.

Grötschel et al. ([4]) generalize the notion of an odd (cardinality) set and define a triple family as follows. A family of subsets of a ground set V forms a triple family over V if for all  $X \subseteq V$  and  $Y \subseteq V$  whenever three of the four sets  $X, Y, X \cap Y$  and  $X \cup Y$  are not in the triple family, then so is the fourth.

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail address: otti@math.bme.hu Supported from OTKA grant T-29772 and T-037547

We consider the following optimization problems related to (s, t)-minimum cuts in directed graphs:

- The odd (even) minimum (s, t)-cut problem asks for a cut C, such that  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$ , |C| is odd (even) with f(C) minimum.
- For a prescribed node subset T, the T-odd (T-even) minimum (s, t)-cut problem asks for a cut C, such that  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$ ,  $|C \cap T|$  is odd (even) with f(C) minimum.
- The problem of minimum (s, t)-cut with cardinality not divisible by a given integer p (or for a given node subset T the problem of minimum (s, t)-cut C with  $|C \cap T|$  not divisible by p).
- The problem of minimum (s, t)-Steiner cut asks for a cut C such that  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$ , C subdivides a given subset T of V (i.e.  $\emptyset \neq C \cap T \neq T$ ) with f(C) minimum.
- The problem of minimum (s, t)-generalized Steiner cut asks for a cut C such that  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$ , C subdivides at least one of the given subsets  $T_1, \ldots, T_k \subseteq V$  with f(C) minimum.

If we leave out the condition  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$  everywhere, all families of sets from each example are triple families over V (see [1], Section 1.3), but the above mentioned problems do not ask for the minimum value cut in these triple families like problems of [1]. Here each example asks for the minimum value (s, t)-cut in these triple families. Notice that if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a triple family over V, then for two arbitrarily fixed distinct nodes s and t  $\mathcal{G} \cap \{X \subset V : s \in X, t \notin X\}$  is not a triple family over V.

**Lemma 1** Let  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq 2^V$  be a triple family over V, s,  $t \in V$  two distinct given nodes from V. Then  $\mathcal{G}^* := \{X - \{s\}: X \in \mathcal{G}, s \in X, t \notin X\}$  forms a triple family over  $V - \{s, t\}$ .

**Proof.** Note that a subset A of  $V - \{s, t\}$  is not in  $\mathcal{G}^*$  iff  $A \cup \{s\}$  is not in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let A and B two arbitrarily fixed subsets of  $V - \{s, t\}$ . Suppose that three of the four sets A, B,  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cup B$  are not in  $\mathcal{G}^*$ , this means that three of the four sets  $A \cup \{s\}$ ,  $B \cup \{s\}$ ,  $(A \cap B) \cup \{s\}$  and  $(A \cup B) \cup \{s\}$  are not in the triple family  $\mathcal{G}$ , hence so is the fourth. If we leave out s from the fourth set we obtain the fourth set from A, B,  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cup B$ , this is a subset of  $V - \{s, t\}$ , which is not in  $\mathcal{G}^*$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq 2^V$  be a triple family over V, let  $s, t \in V$  be two distinct given nodes of V and let  $V_{s,t} := \{X \subset V : s \in X, t \notin X\}$ . Then there exists an algorithm with  $O(n^2m + n \cdot M(n, m))$  running time for finding an

f-minimizer set C over  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$ , where M(n, m) denotes the time of a submodular function minimization over  $V_{u,v}$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{G}^*$  be the triple family over  $V-\{s,t\}$  from Lemma 1. We use for  $\mathcal{G}^*$  our algorithm for minimizing submodular functions over triple families from [1], which may return  $\emptyset$  or  $V-\{s,t\}$ , with  $O(n^2m+n\cdot M(n,m))$  running time, where M(n,m) denotes the time of a (u,v)-minimum cut computation ([1], Section 4.2). A (u,v)-minimum cut computation in  $\mathcal{G}^*$  corresponds to computing a minimum cut with source s and u contracted and sink t and v contracted. Our algorithm from [1] uses two major tools: the Cheng-Hu flow-equivalent tree and a special uncrossing step, and means a factor O(n) improvement over the running time of the previous most efficient algorithm of Goemans and Ramakrishnan for triple families [3]. If  $Y_0$  is the output of the algorithm, i.e.  $Y_0 \subseteq V - \{s,t\}, Y_0 \in \mathcal{G}^*$  with  $f(Y_0)$  minimum, then  $C:=Y_0 \cup \{s\}$  is an f-minimizer over  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $s \in C$ ,  $t \notin C$ .

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank András Frank and András A. Benczúr for fruitful discussions.

## References

- [1] A.A. Benczúr and O. Fülöp, Fast algorithms for even/odd minimum cuts and generalizations, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1879, Algorithms-ESA 2000, Proceedings of the 8th Annual European Symposium, Saarbrücken, Germany, September 2000, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 88-99.
- [2] C.K. Cheng and T.C. Hu, Ancestor trees for arbitrary multi-terminal cut functions, Ann. Op. Res. 33, 1991, pp. 199-213.
- [3] M.X. Goemans and V.S. Ramakrishnan, Minimizing submodular functions over families of sets, Combinatorica 15(4), 1995, pp. 499-513
- [4] M. Grötschel, L. Lovász and A. Schrijver, Corrigendum to our paper The ellipsoid method and its consequences in combinatorial optimization, Combinatorica 4, 1984, pp. 291-295.
- [5] L. Lovász, Submodular functions and convexity, in: A. Bachem, M. Grötschel and B. Korte (eds.), Mathematical Programming: The State of the Art, Bonn, 1982, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 235-257.
- [6] J.C. Picard and M. Queyranne, Selected applications of minimum cuts in networks, I.N.F.O.R.: Canadian Journal of Operations Research and Information Processing 20, 1982, pp. 394-422.