

Lah Matrix and Its Algebraic Properties

Tan Mingshu ¹ , Wang Tianming ²

^{1,2}Department of Applied Mathematics, Dalian University of Technology,
Dalian 116024 , People's Republic of China

Abstract

The $n \times n$ Lah matrix L_n is defined by $(L_n)_{ij} = l(i, j)$, where $l(i, j)$ is the unsigned Lah number. In this paper, we investigate the algebraic properties of L_n , and many important relations between L_n and Pascal matrix and Stirling matrix respectively. In addition, we obtain its exponential expansion and Pascal matrix factorization. Furthermore, we introduce a simple method to find and prove combinatorial identities.

AMS classification : 05A19 ; 05A10

Keywords: Lah number ; Lah matrix ; Stirling number ; Stirling matrix ; Pascal matrix

1. Introduction

The Lah number $\bar{l}(n, k) = (-1)^n l(n, k)$ was originated by Lah in [9], where n and k are nonnegative integers, $l(n, k) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!}$ is the unsigned Lah number, $l(n, 0) = 0$. Some well known results about $\bar{l}(n, k)$ have been

¹Corresponding author.

¹Current affiliation : Dept. of Computer Science, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing Wanzhou 404000, P.R.C.

²E-mail addresses : jsjtms@online.cq.cn (Tan Mingshu), Wangtm@dlut.edu.cn (Wang Tianming)

obtained in [3]:

$$(-x)_n = (-1)^n \langle x \rangle_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (x)_k \bar{l}(n, k)$$

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{l}(n, k) b_k \text{ is equivalent to } b_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{l}(n, k) a_k \quad (1.1)$$

$$\bar{l}(n, k) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=k}^n (-1)^j s(n, j) S(j, k) \quad (1.2)$$

where $(x)_n = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\langle x \rangle_n = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$, $(x)_0 = \langle x \rangle_0 = 1$, $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are two sequences.

For integers n and k with $n \geq k \geq 0$, the Stirling numbers of the first (unsigned) $s(n, k)$ and of the second kind $S(n, k)$ are defined as the coefficients in the following expansion of a variable x (see [3]):

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} s(n, k) x^k \text{ and } x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) (x)_k$$

Let n and k be positive integers, we define the $n \times n$ Lah matrices

$L_n, \bar{L}_n, \tilde{L}_n$ as follows respectively:

$$(L_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, (\bar{L}_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \bar{l}(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$(\tilde{L}_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \tilde{l}(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{l}(i, j) = (-1)^{i+j} l(i, j)$, $(A)_{ij}$ denotes the (i, j) -entry of matrix A .

The $n \times n$ Pascal matrix P_n and Stirling matrices s_n, S_n can be defined as follows respectively (see [3]).

$$(P_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \binom{i-1}{j-1} & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, (s_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} s(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$(S_n)_{ij} = \begin{cases} S(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The $n \times n$ generalized Pascal and Stirling matrix with one variable x are defined by (see [1,2]):

$$(P_n[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{i-j} \binom{i-1}{j-1} & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, (s_n[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{i-j} s(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

$$(S_n[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{i-j} S(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For the $k \times k$ Pascal matrix P_k , we define the $n \times n$ matrix \bar{P}_n and $\bar{P}_n[x]$ by :

$$\bar{P}_n = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-k} & 0 \\ 0 & P_k \end{pmatrix}, \bar{P}_n[x] = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-k} & 0 \\ 0 & P_k[x] \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, $\bar{P}_n = P_n$, and \bar{P}_1 is the identity matrix of order n (see [1]).

Lemma 1 ^[1]. *The Stirling matrix S_n of the second can be factorized by the Pascal matrices \bar{P}_k 's:*

$$(1) s_n = \bar{P}_n \bar{P}_{n-1} \cdots \bar{P}_2 \bar{P}_1$$

$$(2) S_n^{-1}[x] = s_n[-x]$$

$$(3) S_n^{-1}[x] = \bar{P}_1[-x] \bar{P}_2[-x] \cdots \bar{P}_{n-1}[-x] \bar{P}_n[-x]$$

$$(4) S_n[x] = \bar{P}_n[x] \bar{P}_{n-1}[x] \cdots \bar{P}_2[x] \bar{P}_1[x]$$

Pascal's matrix and its generalizations are studied in many papers [2, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Stirling matrix is studied carefully in [1]. In this paper, we investigate Lah matrices $L_n, \bar{L}_n, \tilde{L}_n$ and find an interesting fact that they have many similar properties to that of Pascal matrix and Stirling matrix.

We obtain the Pascal-type factorization and beautiful expansion of Lah matrix and the generalized Lah matrix. Furthermore, we apply some results to investigate some combinatorial identities.

2. Some elementary results on Lah matrix

By simple computation, we can obtain the following

$$\tilde{L}_n = \tilde{I}_n L_n \tilde{L}_n = \bar{L}_n \tilde{I}_n \tag{2.1}$$

$$\bar{L}_n^2 = I_n, L_n \tilde{L}_n = I_n \tag{2.2}$$

$$\tilde{L}_n^{-1} = \tilde{I}_n \tilde{L}_n, \quad L_n^{-1} = \tilde{I}_n L_n \tilde{I}_n \quad (2.3)$$

where $\tilde{I}_n = \text{diag}\{-1, 1, \dots, (-1)^n\}$, I_n is the identity matrix of order n .

We notice that (2.2) is equivalent to (1.1).

Let all elements of $n \times n$ matrix M be zero except $(M)_{i,i-1} = i(i-1)$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$). We can obtain all elements of the matrix $\frac{1}{k!} M^k$ are equal to zero except $(\frac{1}{k!} M^k)_{i,i-1} = l(i+k-1, i+k-2)$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$), $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Therefore, we get the following pretty potential expansion of L_n

Let n be a positive integer, $n \times n$ matrix M be defined as above, then we have

$$L_n = I_n + M + \frac{1}{2!} M^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} M^{n-1} \quad (2.4)$$

For example, for L_4

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 24 & 36 & 12 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^3$$

By (1.2), we obtain

$$L_n = s_n S_n \quad (2.5)$$

Let n be a positive integer and k be a nonnegative integer, and $s(i, j)$, $S(i, j)$ be the Stirling numbers. Because of (2.5), we have $s_n = L_n S_n^{-1}$ and $S_n = s_n^{-1} L_n$, and this leads to

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+k} \binom{n}{i} \frac{(n-1)!}{(i-1)!} s(i, k) = \begin{cases} 2s(n, k) & \text{if } n+k \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n (-1)^{i+n} \binom{i}{k} \frac{(i-1)!}{(k-1)!} S(n, i) = \begin{cases} 2S(n, k) & \text{if } n+k \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

3. The generalized Lah matrices

As we did for the Pascal triangular matrix , we introduce the generalized Lah matrices as follows:

Definition 1. For any real number x , the $n \times n$ Lah generalized matrices are defined by

$$(L_n[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{i-j}l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, (\bar{L}_n[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} (-1)^i x^{i-j}l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(\tilde{L}_n[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+j} x^{i-j}l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$L_n[0] = \bar{L}_n[0] = \tilde{L}_n[0] = I_n$$

By some simple computations , the following theorem holds:

Theorem 1. For any variables x and y , we have

- (1) $(\bar{L}_n[x])^2 = I_n$
- (2) $\bar{L}_n[x + y] = L_n[x]L_n[-y]\tilde{I}_n$
- (3) $\tilde{L}_n[x + y] = \tilde{L}_n[x]\tilde{L}_n[y]$
- (4) $L_n[x + y] = L_n[x]L_n[y]$
- (5) $L_n[x] = \tilde{L}_n[-x]$
- (6) $(\bar{L}_n[x])^{-1} = \tilde{L}_n[-x]$
- (7) For any positive integers m and j :

$$L_n[mx] = (L_n[x])^m, (L_n[j/m])^m = L_n[j], \tilde{L}_n[mx] = (\tilde{L}_n[x])^m,$$

$$(\tilde{L}_n[j/m])^m = \tilde{L}_n[j]$$

Theorem 2. $L_n[x]$ is related to the generalized Stirling matrices $s_n[x]$ and $S_n[x]$ for variable x :

$$L_n[x] = s_n[x]S_n[x] = S_n^{-1}[-x]S_n[x] \quad (3.1)$$

Proof $(s_n[x]S_n[x])_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^i x^{i-k} s(i, k)x^{k-j} S(k, j) = x^{i-j} \sum_{k=j}^i s(i, k)S(k, j)$

$$= x^{i-j}l(i, j) = (L_n[x])_{ij}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3. Lah matrix and its generalized matrix can be factorized by the Pascal matrices \bar{P}_k 's:

$$(1) L_n[x] = \bar{P}_1[x]\bar{P}_2[x]\cdots\bar{P}_{n-1}[x]\bar{P}_n[x]\bar{P}_n[x]\bar{P}_{n-1}[x]\cdots\bar{P}_2[x]\bar{P}_1[x] \quad (3.2)$$

$$(2) L_n = \bar{P}_1\bar{P}_2\cdots\bar{P}_{n-1}\bar{P}_n\bar{P}_n\bar{P}_{n-1}\cdots\bar{P}_2\bar{P}_1 \quad (3.3)$$

Proof (1) holds because of (3.1) and Lemma 1. (2) holds for (1) when $x = 1$. \square .

Clearly, all $\bar{L}_n[x]$, $\bar{L}_n[x]$, \bar{L}_n , \bar{L}_n and their inverse matrices matrices have similar factorizations. For example :

$$L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 24 & 36 & 12 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 4. For arbitrary variables x and y , let $\{a_n(x, y)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{b_n(x, y)\}_{n \geq 1}$ be two functional sequences, if $\Phi_n[x, y]$ is a lower triangular functional matrix such that $\Phi_n^2[x, y] = I_n$, then the following two formulas are equivalent :

$$a_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{n,k}(x, y)b_k(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{n,k}(x, y)a_k(x, y) \quad (3.4)$$

where $\varphi_{i,j}(x, y) = (\Phi_n[x, y])_{ij}$.

Proof We notice that this proof is equivalent to proving $\Phi_n^2[x, y] = I_n$. \square .

Clearly, (1.1) is a special case in (3.4). In fact, this is an inverse relation. We can find and prove some interesting combinatorial identities by Theorem 4.

Example 3.1 (Corollary 3 in [2]). For any given sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and integer with $m \geq 0$, considering the following system of equations:

$$y_i = \binom{i}{0}x_0 - \binom{i}{1}x_1 + \binom{i}{2}x_2 - \cdots + (-1)^i \binom{i}{i}x_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

then it follows that

$$x_i = \binom{i}{0}y_0 - \binom{i}{1}y_1 + \binom{i}{2}y_2 - \cdots + (-1)^i \binom{i}{i}y_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

here $\varphi_{i,j}(x, y) = (-1)^j \binom{i}{j}$, $\{a_n(x, y)\} = \{x_n\}$ and $\{b_n(x, y)\} = \{y_n\}$

Example 3.2 (Ljunggren, also see [8, (3.18)]) n, k are integers and x, y, z are real numbers, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{z+k}{k} (x-y)^{n-k} y^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{z}{k} x^{n-k} y^k$$

Let $\varphi_{i,j}(x, y) = (-1)^j \binom{i}{j} (x-y)^{i-j}$ if $i \geq j$, $b_k(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{z}{i} x^{k-i} y^i$,

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (x-y)^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{z}{i} x^{k-i} y^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{z}{i} y^i (-1)^n \sum_{k=i}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{k-i} (y-x)^{n-k} x^{k-i} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{z}{i} y^i y^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} = (-1)^n \binom{z+n}{n} y^n = a_n(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.3. Let x, y be two real numbers, and $\varphi_{i,j}(x, y) = (-1)^j \binom{i}{j} (i \geq j)$, $b_k(x, y) = (-1)^k \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{x+i}{k} (y-1)^i$

we can get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_{n,k}(x, y) b_k(x, y) = \binom{n+x}{n} y^n$$

Therefore, a combinatorial identity is derived:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{k+x}{k} y^k = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{x+k}{n} (y-1)^k$$

In addition, we notice that there are other applications by Theorem 4 if $a_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{n,k}(x, y) b_k(x, y)$ or $b_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{n,k}(x, y) a_k(x, y)$ is

easy to be calculated .

Theorem 5. For any real number x , it follows that

$$L_n[x] = I_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{L_0^{(k)}}{k!} x^k \quad (3.5)$$

where $(L_0^{(k)})_{ij} = \begin{cases} k!(i-j)_k l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$

This theorem holds because of (2.4).

$\tilde{L}_n[x]$ and $\bar{L}_n[x]$ have similar results like this.

Theorem 6. For any real number x and an integer $n \geq 2$, we have

$$(L_n[x] - I_n)^{n-1} = M_n \quad (3.6)$$

where M_n is a matrix with order n , in which all elements are equal to zero except its $(n, 1)$ -entry is $n!(n-1)!x^{n-1}$.

Proof . We argue by induction on n .

It is clear that for $n = 2$. Suppose it holds for $n-1 (n \geq 3)$. Let

$$L_n[x] = \begin{pmatrix} L_{n-1}[x] & 0 \\ Q_n[x] & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_n[x] - I_n = \begin{pmatrix} L_{n-1}^{(0)}[x] & 0 \\ Q_n[x] & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $L_{n-1}^{(0)}[x] = L_{n-1}[x] - I_{n-1}$, and a row matrix

$Q_n[x] = (l(n, 1)x^{n-1}, l(n, 2)x^{n-2}, \dots, l(n, n-1)x)$, then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (L_n[x] - I_n)^n &= \begin{pmatrix} L_{n-1}^{(0)} & 0 \\ Q_n[x] & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} (L_{n-1}^{(0)})^n & 0 \\ Q_n[x](L_{n-1}^{(0)})^{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ n!(n-1)!x^{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\stackrel{*}{=}$ holds by considering the inductive assumption . \square

Definition 2^[2]. Let x and λ be two real numbers and n be a positive

integer , we define the notation $x^{n|\lambda}$ as follows

$$x^{n|\lambda} = \begin{cases} x(x + \lambda) \cdots (x + (n - 1)\lambda) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2^[2]. *With any real numbers x, y, λ and a positive integer n , then*

$$(x + y)^{n|\lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^{(n-i)|\lambda} y^{i|\lambda}$$

Definition 3. The $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix $L_{n,\lambda}[x]$, in which n is a natural number and λ, x are real numbers , is defined by

$$(L_{n,\lambda}[x])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{(i-j)|\lambda} l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly , $L_{n,0}[x] = L_{n+1}[x]$, $L_{n,\lambda}[0] = I_{n+1}$.

Theorem 7. *For any real numbers x, y, λ and a natural number n , it follows that :*

$$L_{n,\lambda}[x + y] = L_{n,\lambda}[x]L_{n,\lambda}[y] \tag{3.7}$$

Proof By using Lemma 2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{n,\lambda}[x]L_{n,\lambda}[y])_{ij} &= \sum_{k=j}^i l(i, k)x^{(i-k)|\lambda}l(k, j)y^{(k-j)|\lambda} \\ &= l(i, j) \sum_{k=j}^i \binom{i-j}{k-j} x^{(i-k)|\lambda} y^{(k-j)|\lambda} = l(i, j)(x + y)^{(i-j)|\lambda} = (L_{n,\lambda}[x + y])_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof . \square

The theorem can lead to the following :

$$L_{n,\lambda}^{-1}[x] = L_{n,\lambda}[-x]$$

and for any integers j and $k(k > 0)$, we obtain

$$L_{n,\lambda}^j[1] = L_{n,\lambda}[j] \text{ and } L_{n,\lambda}^k[j/k] = L_{n,\lambda}^j[1]$$

In addition , it follows the following as Theorem 5 .

Theorem 8. *For any real numbers x and $\lambda \neq 0$*

$$L_{n,\lambda}[x] = I_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{L_{\lambda}^{(k)}}{k!} x^k \tag{3.8}$$

where $(L_\lambda^{(k)})_{ij} = \begin{cases} k!s(i-j, k)l(i, j)\lambda^{i-j-k} & \text{if } i \geq j+k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

See Theorem 5 for case $\lambda = 0$.

Clearly, $\tilde{L}_{n,\lambda}[x]$ has similar results as $L_{n,\lambda}[x]$.

Definition 4. The $n \times n$ matrix $L_n[x, y]$, where n is a natural number and x, y are real numbers, is defined by

$$(L_n[x, y])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{i-j}y^{i+j-2}l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\tilde{L}_n[x, y]$ and $\bar{L}_n[x, y]$ have similar definitions.

It is easy to see that the following theorem holds by the similar arguments for $L_n[x]$ by Definition 4.

Theorem 9. Let x and y be any real numbers, then

- (a) $\bar{L}_n^{-1}[x, y] = \bar{L}_n[x, 1/y]$
- (b) $L_n[x, y]L_n[z, 1/y] = L_n[(x+z)y]$
- (c) $L_n^{-1}[x, y] = L_n[-x, 1/y]$

Definition 5. The $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix $L_{n,\lambda}[x]$, in which n is a natural number and x, y, λ are real numbers, is defined by

$$(L_{n,\lambda}[x, y])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x^{(i-j)|\lambda}y^{(j-1)|\lambda}l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. The matrix $L_{n,\lambda}[x, y]$ can be factorized :

$$L_{n,\lambda}[x, y] = L_{n,\lambda}[x]diag(1, y^{1|\lambda}, y^{2|\lambda}, \dots, y^{n|\lambda})$$

Theorem 10. For any real numbers x, y, z, λ and a natural number n .

$$L_{n,\lambda}[x+y, z] = L_{n,\lambda}[x]L_{n,\lambda}[y, z]$$

Considering the previous discussions, we want to generalize the matrix $L_{n,\lambda}[x, y]$ in two variables associated with an arbitrary sequence $\bar{a} =$

$\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

Definition 6 . Suppose λ, x, y are three real numbers , n is a natural number and $\bar{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an arbitrary sequence , then we define

$$(L_{n,\lambda}[x, y, \bar{a}])_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{j-1}x^{(i-j)|\lambda}y^{(j-1)|\lambda}l(i, j) & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. *The matrix $L_{n,\lambda}[x, y, \bar{a}]$ has the multiplicative factorization :*

$$L_{n,\lambda}[x, y, \bar{a}] = L_{n,\lambda}[x, y]diag(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

Proof The proof is clear by mathematical induction and Theorem 10 . \square

Theorem 11. *For any real numbers x, y, z, λ and any sequence $\bar{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, we have*

$$(1) L_{n,\lambda}[x + y, z, \bar{a}] = L_{n,\lambda}[x]L_{n,\lambda}[y, z, \bar{a}]$$

$$(2) L_{n,\lambda}[x + y, z, \bar{a}] = L_{n,\lambda}[x]L_{n,\lambda}[y]diag(a_0, a_1z^{1|\lambda}, \dots, a_nz^{n|\lambda})$$

4 . Conclusion

In this paper , we find some basic interesting properties and applications of Lah matrix and think there is further study to be made in the future . For example, various generalized Lah matrices and their properties and applications , and how to find more combinatorial identities by using (1.1) , Theorem 1(1) , and (3.4).

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank the anonymous referees for their critical reading and constructive comments .

References

- [1] Gi-Sang Cheon , Jin-Soo Kim . Stirling matrix via Pascal matrix .
Linear Algebra Appl.329(2001)49-59
- [2] M Bayat , H Teimoori . The Linear Algebra of the generalized Pascal
functional matrix . Linear Algebra Appl.295(1999)81-89
- [3] L Comtet . Advanced Combinatorics . Boston : D.Reidel Pub. Co. ,
1974(Translated from French)
- [4] R Braver , M Pirovino . The linear algebra of the Pascal matrix .
Linear Algebra Appl.174(1992)13-23
- [5] G S Call , D J Velleman . Pascal's matrices . Amer. Math. Monthly
100(1993)372-376
- [6] Zhang Zhizheng , Liu Maixue . An extension of the general-
ized Pascal matrix and its algebraic properties . Linear Algebra
Appl.271(1998)169-177
- [7] Zhao Xiqiang , Wang Tianming . The algebraic properties of the gen-
eralized Pascal functional matrices associated with the exponential
families . Linear Algebra Appl.318(2000)45-52
- [8] H W Gould . Combinatorial Identities . Morgantown , West Virginia
, 1972
- [9] I Lah . Eine neue art von zahlen .
ihre eigenschaften und anwendung in der Mathematischen Statistik ,
Mitteilungsbl,Math.Statist,7(1955)203-212