# k-factor-covered Regular Graphs\*

Jia Shen

Heping Zhang<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou Gansu 730000, P. R. China

#### Abstract

A graph G is called f-factor-covered if every edge of G is contained in some f-factor. G is called f-factor-deleted if G-e contains a f-factor for every edge e. Bäbler proved that every r-regular, (r-1)-edge-connected graph of even order has a 1-factor. In the present article, we prove that every 2r-regular graph of odd order is both 2m-factor-covered and 2m-factor-deleted for all integers m,  $1 \le m \le r-1$ , and every r-regular, (r-1)-edge-connected graph of even order is both m-factor-covered and m-factor-deleted for all integers m,  $1 \le m \le \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ .

Keywords Regular graph; f-factor; k-factor; k-factor-covered graph; k-factor-deleted graph.

#### 1 Introduction

Let G be a graph with vertex-set V(G) and edge-set E(G). For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $E_G(v)$  denotes the set of edges of G incident to v, and the degree of v is  $d_G(v) := |E_G(v)|$ . Let  $f \colon V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  be a function, where  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  denotes the set of nonnegative integers. An f-factor of G is a spanning subgraph F such that  $d_F(v) = f(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ . An f-factor is said to be a k-factor if f(v) = k for all  $v \in V(G)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is a constant. A necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have an f-factor was given by Tutte [11].

An f-factor-covered graph G is a graph such that every edge of G is contained in some f-factor. An f-factor-deleted graph G is a graph such that G - e contains an f-factor for every edge  $e \in E(G)$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the NSFC and TRAPOYT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: zhanghp@lzu.edu.cn(H. Zhang)

Petersen [9] showed that every cubic graph with no more than two cutedges has a 1-factor. In 1938, Bäbler [1] generalized Petersen's result as follows: every r-regular and (r-1)-edge-connected graph of even order has a 1-factor. Further, Plesnik [10] obtained the following stronger result.

**Theorem 1.1 (Plesnik, [10])** Let G be an r-regular and (r-1)-edge-connected graph of even order. Then G has a 1-factor that contains no any given r-1 edges.

In 1972, Little [4] introduced the concept of 1-factor-covered graph. By virtue of Plesnik's theorem, we can easily derive the following result.

**Theorem 1.2** If G is an r-regular, (r-1)-edge-connected graph of even order,  $r \geq 2$ , then G is both 1-factor-covered and 1-factor-deleted.

On the other hand, Katerinis proved the following result, which examines the existence of a k-factor in a vertex-deleted subgraph of a regular graph of odd order.

Theorem 1.3 (Katerinis, [3]) Let G be a 2r-regular, 2r-edge-connected graph of odd order and m be an integer such that  $1 \le m \le r$ . Then for every  $u \in V(G)$ , the graph G - u has an m-factor.

This article presents further generalizations of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. First, we consider r-regular graphs G of odd order. Obviously r must be even; if G has an m-factor, m must be even too. The following result is proved in Section 2.

**Theorem 1.4** If G is a 2r-regular graph of odd order, then G is both 2m-factor-covered and 2m-factor-deleted for every integer m,  $1 \le m \le r - 1$ .

For r-regular graphs of even order, we obtain the following main result of this article, which is a further generalization of Bäbler's theorem.

**Theorem 1.5** Let G be an r-regular and (r-1)-edge-connected graph of even order. Then G is both m-factor-covered and m-factor-deleted for every integer m,  $1 \le m \le \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ .

This main theorem can be proved by Kano's theorem [2]. In Section 3 we give a direct proof by Tutte's f-factor theorem [11] and Liu's characterization theorem [6] of both f-factor-covered and f-factor deleted graphs.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The following classical theorem is useful for the proof of theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.1 (Petersen, [9]) (1) Every r-regular bipartite graph is the union of edge-disjoint 1-factors.

(2) Every 2r-regular graph is the union of edge-disjoint 2-factors.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** By Theorem 2.1, we know that every 2r-regular graph is the union of r edge-disjoint 2-factors, denoted by  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_r$ . For an edge  $e \in E(G)$ , assume without loss of generality that  $e \in H_1$ . Then  $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_i$ , and  $e \notin \bigcup_{i=2}^{m+1} H_i$ ,  $1 \le m < r$ , which are 2m-factors of G.  $\square$ 

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

For  $X,Y\subseteq V(G)$ , let  $f(X):=\sum_{v\in X}f(v)$  and  $d_G(X):=\sum_{v\in X}d_G(v)$ . Let  $E_G(X,Y)$  denote the set of edges with one end-vertex in X and the other in Y, and  $e_G(X,Y):=|E_G(X,Y)|$ .

To prove Theorem 1.5, we need Tutte's f-factor theorem. We first recall some definitions. For any given disjoint sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , a component C of  $G - (S \cup T)$  is called an odd or even component of  $G - (S \cup T)$  according to whether  $e_G(V(C), T) + f(V(C))$  is odd or even. Let  $q_G(S, T; f)$  denote the number of odd components of  $G - (S \cup T)$ .

Theorem 3.1 (Tutte, [11]) Let G be a graph and  $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  a function. G has an f-factor if and only if

$$\delta_G(S, T; f) := f(S) - f(T) + d_{G-S}(T) - q_G(S, T; f) \ge 0$$

for all disjoint sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ . Moreover,  $\delta_G(S, T; f) \equiv f(V(G)) \pmod{2}$  for all disjoint sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ .

The following result is a characterization of graphs which are both f-factor-covered and f-factor-deleted.

Theorem 3.2 (Liu, [6]) Let G be a graph and  $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  a function. Then G is both f-factor-covered and f-factor-deleted if and only if G is 2-edge-connected and for all disjoint sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ ,

$$\delta_G(S,T;f) := f(S) - f(T) + d_{G-S}(T) - q_G(S,T;f) \ge \varepsilon(S,T),$$

where  $\varepsilon(S,T)=2$  if S or T is not independent or  $S\cup T\neq\emptyset$  and  $G-(S\cup T)$  has an even component;  $\varepsilon(S,T)=0$ , otherwise.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** If r=2 or 3, Theorem 1.5 holds by Theorem 1.2. Therefore we assume that  $r \geq 4$  from now on. Suppose for a contradiction that for some integer m,  $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ , G is not m-factor-covered and m-factor-deleted. We know from Theorem 3.2 that there exist disjoint sets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$  such that

$$\delta_G(S,T;m) < \varepsilon(S,T) \le 2.$$

Since by Theorem 3.1  $\delta_G(S,T;m) \equiv m|V| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$\delta_G(S,T;m) \leq 0.$$

That is

$$m|T| - d_{G-S}(T) + q_G(S, T; m) \ge m|S|.$$
 (1)

Then  $S \cup T \neq \emptyset$ , for otherwise  $\varepsilon(S,T) = 0$  and  $\delta_G(S,T;m) = 0$ , contradicting  $\varepsilon(S,T) > \delta_G(S,T;m)$ .

Let  $W := G - (S \cup T)$  and let  $\omega(W)$  be the number of components of W. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1.  $\omega(W) = 0$ . Since  $\omega(W) \ge q_G(S, T; m)$ , (1) implies

$$m|T| - d_{G-S}(T) \ge m|S|, \tag{2}$$

and

$$|T| \geq |S|$$
.

Since G is r-regular, G itself is an r-factor. By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$r|T|-d_{G-S}(T)\leq r|S|.$$

Subtracting (2) from the above inequality, we have that

$$(r-m)|T| \leq (r-m)|S|,$$

so that

$$|T| \leq |S|$$
.

Therefore |T| = |S|, which together with (2) implies that  $d_{G-S}(T) = 0$ , and T is thus an independent set. Then we have

$$e(S,T)=d_G(T)=r|T|=r|S|=d_G(S),$$

and

$$d_{G-T}(S)=0,$$

that is, S is an independent set. Hence G is an r-regular bipartite graph. We know from Theorem 2.1 that G is the union of r edge-disjoint 1-factors. Since the union of m edge-disjoint 1-factors is an m-factor, by arguments analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.4 we can see that G is both m-factor-covered and m-factor-deleted, contradicting our supposition.

Case 2.  $\omega(W) \geq 1$ . Note that

$$e_G(S,T) = d_G(T) - d_{G-S}(T) = r|T| - d_{G-S}(T),$$

and

$$e_G(S,W) = e_G(S \cup T, W) - e_G(T, W)$$
  
 
$$\geq (r-1)\omega(W) - e_G(T, W),$$

since G is (r-1)-edge-connected. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(S) &= r|S| &\geq e_G(S,T) + e_G(S,W) \\ &\geq (r-1)\omega(W) - e_G(T,W) + r|T| - d_{G-S}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$e_G(T, W) = d_{G-S}(T) - 2e_G(T, T),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} r|S| & \geq (r-1)\omega(W) + 2e_G(T,T) - 2d_{G-S}(T) + r|T| \\ & = (r-3)\omega(W) + 2e_G(T,T) + 2[\omega(W) - d_{G-S}(T) + m|T|] \\ & + (r-2m)|T| \\ & \geq (r-3)\omega(W) + 2e_G(T,T) + 2m|S| + (r-2m)|T| \text{ (by (1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r \geq 4$  by our assumption,  $e_G(T,T) \geq 0$  and  $\omega(W) \geq 1$ , the above inequalities imply that

$$(r-2m)|S| \ge (r-3) + (r-2m)|T|,$$

which implies that 2m < r and

$$|S| > |T|. \tag{3}$$

We now consider two subcases as follows.

Subcase 2.1. m is even. For every odd component C of W we have

$$m|V(C)| + e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
.

Since m is even,  $e_G(V(C), T)$  must be odd. Hence

$$e_G(V(C),T) \geq 1$$
,

so that

$$d_{G-S}(T) \ge q_G(S, T; m).$$

Combining the above inequality with (1), we have that

$$m|T| \geq m|S|$$
,

contradicting (3).

Subcase 2.2. m is odd. For every odd component C of W, we have

$$m|V(C)| + e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

which is equivalent to

$$|V(C)| + e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
.

Thus a component C of  $G - (S \cup T)$  is an odd component with respect to some m-factor in G if and only if C is an odd component with respect to some 1-factor in G. Then we have

$$q_G(S,T;m)=q_G(S,T;1).$$

By Bäbler's theorem, G has a 1-factor. By Theorem 3.1, we have that

$$q_G(S,T;1) + |T| - d_{G-S}(T) \le |S|,$$

that is,

$$q_G(S,T;m) + |T| - d_{G-S}(T) \le |S|.$$
 (4)

Subtracting (4) from (1) we have that

$$(m-1)|T| \geq (m-1)|S|.$$

If m=1, we know from Theorem 1.2 that G is 1-factor-covered and 1-factor-deleted, contradicting our supposition. Then we have m>1. Thus we have

$$|T| \geq |S|$$
,

contradicting (3). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

#### References

 F. Bäbler, Über die Zerlegung regulärer Streckenkomplexe ungerader Ordnung, Comment. Math. Helverici 10 (1938) 275-287.

٠.

- [2] M. Kano, Sufficient conditions for a graph to have factors, Discrete Math. 80 (1990) 159-165.
- [3] P. Katerinis, Regular factors in vertex-delete subgraphs of regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* **131** (1992) 357-361.
- [4] C.H.C. Little, A theorem on connected graphs in which every edge belongs to a 1-factor, J. Austra. Math. Soc. 18 (1974) 450-452.
- [5] G. Liu, On (g, f)-covered graphs, Acta Math. Sci. 8 (1988) 181-184.
- [6] G. Liu, On (g, f)-uniform graphs, Adv. Math. (China) 29 (2000) 285-287.
- [7] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, J. Combin. Theory 8 (1970) 391-416.
- [8] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, Ann. Discrete Math. 29, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [9] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regularen Graph, Acta Math. 15 (1891) 193-220.
- [10] J. Plesnik, Connectivity of regular graphs and the existence of 1factors, Mathematicky Časopis 22 (1972) 310-318.
- [11] W. T. Tutte, The factors of graphs, Canad. J. Math. 4 (1952), 314-328.
- [12] W. T. Tutte, A short proof of the factor theorem for finite graphs, Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954) 347-352.
- [13] W. T. Tutte, Graph factors, Combinatorica 1 (1981) 79-97.