

A σ_k type condition for heavy cycles in weighted graphs

Hikoe Enomoto¹

Department of Mathematics

Hiroshima University

Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 Japan

Jun Fujisawa²

Department of Mathematics

Keio University

Yokohama, 223-8522 Japan

Katsuhiro Ota³

Department of Mathematics

Keio University

Yokohama, 223-8522 Japan

Abstract

A weighted graph is one in which every edge e is assigned a non-negative number, called the weight of e . For a vertex v of a weighted graph, $d^w(v)$ is the sum of the weights of the edges incident with v . For a subgraph H of a weighted graph G , the weight of H is the sum of the weights of the edges belonging to H . In this paper, we give a new sufficient condition for a weighted graph to have a heavy cycle. Let G be a k -connected weighted graph where $2 \leq k$. Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of weight at least $2m/(k+1)$, if G satisfies the following conditions: (1) The weighted degree sum of any k independent vertices is at least m , (2) $w(xz) = w(yz)$ for every vertex $z \in N(x) \cap N(y)$ with $d(x,y) = 2$, and (3) In every triangle T of G , either all edges of T have different weights or all edges of T have the same weight.

Keywords. Weighted graph, heavy cycle, weighted degree sum

¹e-mail: enomoto@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

²e-mail: fujisawa@math.keio.ac.jp

³e-mail: ohta@math.keio.ac.jp

1 Terminology and notation

We only consider finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the set of vertices and edges of a graph G , respectively. A *weighted graph* is one in which each edge e is assigned a nonnegative number $w(e)$, called the *weight* of e . For a subgraph H of G , the *weight* of H is defined by

$$w(H) = \sum_{e \in E(H)} w(e).$$

For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, $N_G(v)$ is the set, and $d_G(v)$ the number, of neighbors of v in G . We define the *weighted degree* of v in G by

$$d_G^w(v) = \sum_{u \in N_G(v)} w(uv).$$

When no confusion occurs, we will denote $N_G(v)$, $d_G(v)$, and $d_G^w(v)$ by $N(v)$, $d(v)$, and $d^w(v)$, respectively. An (x, y) -*path* is a path whose end vertices are x and y . The distance between two vertices x and y , denoted by $d(x, y)$, is the minimum length of an (x, y) -path.

The number of vertices in a maximum independent set of G is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. For a positive integer $k \leq \alpha(G)$, $\sigma_k(G)$ denotes the minimum value of the degree sum of any k independent vertices, and $\sigma_k^w(G)$ denotes the minimum value of the weighted degree sum of any k independent vertices.

2 Results

There have been many results on the existence of long cycles in graphs. The following results are well-known.

Theorem A (Dirac [4]) *Let G be a 2-connected graph such that $d(v) \geq d$ for every vertex v in $V(G)$. Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of length at least $2d$.*

Theorem B (Pósa [6]) *Let G be a 2-connected graph such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq c$ for each pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v in $V(G)$. Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of length at least c .*

Theorem C (Fournier and Fraïsse [5]) *Let G be a k -connected graph where $2 \leq k < \alpha(G)$, such that $\sigma_{k+1}(G) \geq m$. Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of length at least $2m/(k+1)$.*

An unweighted graph can be regarded as a weighted graph in which each edge e is assigned weight $w(e) = 1$. Thus, in an unweighted graph, $d^w(v) = d(v)$ for every vertex v , and the weight of a cycle is simply the length of the cycle.

Theorem A and Theorem B were extended to weighted graphs by the following two theorems, respectively.

Theorem 1 (Bondy and Fan [2]) *Let G be a 2-connected weighted graph such that $d^w(v) \geq d$ for every vertex v in $V(G)$. Then G contains a cycle of weight at least $2d$ or every heaviest cycle in G is a Hamilton cycle.*

Theorem 2 (Bondy et al. [1]) *Let G be a 2-connected weighted graph such that $d^w(u) + d^w(v) \geq c$ for each pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v in $V(G)$. Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of weight at least c .*

And, Theorem C was extended to weighted graphs by the following theorem in the case $k = 2$.

Theorem 3 (Zhang et al. [7]) *Let G be a 2-connected weighted graph which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $\sigma_3^w(G) \geq m$.
- (2) $w(xz) = w(yz)$ for every vertex $z \in N(x) \cap N(y)$ with $d(x, y) = 2$.
- (3) In every triangle T of G , either all edges of T have different weights or all edges of T have the same weight.

Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of weight at least $2m/3$.

In this paper, we extend Theorem C to weighted graphs for all k . Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 4 *Let G be a k -connected weighted graph where $k \geq 2$. Suppose that G satisfies the following conditions.*

- (1) $\sigma_{k+1}^w(G) \geq m$.
- (2) $w(xz) = w(yz)$ for every vertex $z \in N(x) \cap N(y)$ with $d(x, y) = 2$.
- (3) In every triangle T of G , either all edges of T have different weights or all edges of T have the same weight.

Then G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle of weight at least $2m/(k+1)$.

In our proof of Theorem 4, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let G be a connected weighted graph satisfying Conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 4. Then G satisfies one of the following:*

- (a) all edges of G have the same weight, or
- (b) G is a complete multi-partite graph.

3 Proof of Lemma 1

Let G be a connected weighted graph satisfying Conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 4. Suppose that there exists $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ such that $w(e_1) \neq w(e_2)$. We need to prove that G is a complete multi-partite graph.

Since G is connected, we can choose a vertex x so that there exist $u, v \in N(x)$ such that $w(ux) \neq w(vx)$. Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ be a partition of $N(x)$ such that for $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$, $w(ux) = w(vx)$ if and only if $i = j$. Now we denote the weight of the edges joining x and V_i by w_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Claim 1 *Let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $v_i \in V_i, v_j \in V_j$. If $i \neq j$, $v_i v_j \in E(G)$.*

Proof. Since $w(xv_i) \neq w(xv_j)$, Condition (2) of Theorem 4 implies $d(v_i, v_j) \neq 2$. Hence $v_i v_j \in E(G)$. \square

Claim 2 *If there exists a vertex y such that $d(x, y) = 2$, then $vy \in E(G)$ for all $v \in N(x)$.*

Proof. The fact $d(x, y) = 2$ shows that there is a neighborhood v_1 of y in $N(x)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $v_1 \in V_1$. And Condition (2) of Theorem 4 implies $w(yv_1) = w_1$.

Now suppose that there exists a vertex $v \in \bigcup_{i=2}^n V_i$ with $yv \notin E(G)$. Then Claim 1 implies $v_1v \in E(G)$, and Condition (2) of Theorem 4 shows $w(v_1v) = w(yv_1) = w_1$. Hence, applying Condition (3) of Theorem 4 to the triangle xv_1v , we have $w(xv) = w_1$. This contradicts the definition of the partition $\bigcup V_i$. So we must have $yv \in E(G)$ for all $v \in \bigcup_{i=2}^n V_i$.

Applying the same argument to $v_2 \in V_2 \cap N(y)$ and $v \in V_1$, we have $yv \in E(G)$ for every $v \in V_1$. \square

If there exists a vertex y such that $d(x, y) = 2$, Condition (2) of Theorem 4 implies $w(v_iy) = w_i$ for all $v_i \in V_i$.

Claim 3 *There is no vertex z such that $d(x, z) = 3$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a vertex z such that $d(x, z) = 3$. Then z has a neighbor y such that $d(x, y) = 2$. Now Claim 2 implies that we have $v_1 \in N(y) \cap V_1$ and $v_2 \in N(y) \cap V_2$ with $w(yv_1) = w_1$ and $w(yv_2) = w_2$.

Since $d(z, v_1) = d(z, v_2) = 2$, Condition (2) of Theorem 4 shows $w(zv_1) = w(yv_1) = w_1$ and $w(zv_2) = w(yv_2) = w_2$. So we have $w_1 = w_2$, a contradiction. \square

Let $V_0 = \{x\} \cup \{y : d(x, y) = 2\}$. Then $\bigcup_{i=0}^n V_i$ is a partition of $V(G)$.

Claim 4 *Let $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $v_i \in V_i, v_j \in V_j$. Then $v_iv_j \in E(G)$.*

Proof. If $i \neq 0$ and $j \neq 0$, Claim 1 implies $v_iv_j \in E(G)$. So we may assume $i = 0$. If $v_i = x$, the definition of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ shows $v_iv_j \in E(G)$, and if $v_i \neq x$, Claim 2 implies $v_iv_j \in E(G)$. \square

Note that for all $v_0 \in V_0, v_0 = x$ or $d(x, v_0) = 2$. Hence for all $v_i \in V_i (1 \leq i \leq n), w(v_0v_i) = w_i$.

Claim 5 $v_0v_0' \notin E(G)$ for all $v_0, v_0' \in V_0$.

Proof. If $v_0 = x, d(x, v_0') = 2$ for all vertices $v_0' \in V_0 \setminus \{v_0\}$. Hence $v_0v_0' \notin E(G)$. So we may assume $v_0, v_0' \neq x$. Now we suppose $v_0v_0' \in E(G)$. Claim 2 implies that there exists $v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$ such that $v_1, v_2 \in N(v_0) \cap N(v_0')$. Now we have $w(v_0v_1) = w(v_0'v_1) = w_1, w(v_0v_2) = w(v_0'v_2) = w_2$. So applying Condition (3) of Theorem 4 to the triangles $v_0v_0'v_1$ and $v_0v_0'v_2$, we have $w_1 = w_2$, a contradiction. \square

Claim 6 *Let $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $t, u, v \in V_i$. If $tu, uv \notin E(G)$, then $tv \notin E(G)$.*

Proof. If $i = 0$, Claim 5 implies that $tv \notin E(G)$. So we assume that $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose $tv \in E(G)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $i = 1$. Let $v_2 \in V_2$. Now, since $t, u, v \in V_1$, $w(xt) = w(xu) = w(xv) = w_1$. Then applying Condition (3) of Theorem 4 to the triangle xlv , we have $w(tv) = w(xt) = w_1$. On the other hand, Claim 4 implies $v_2t, v_2u, v_2v \in E(G)$. Since tu and $uv \notin E(G)$, Condition (2) of Theorem 4 shows $w(v_2t) = w(v_2u) = w(v_2v)$. Then applying Condition (3) of Theorem 4 to the triangle v_2lv , we have $w(tv) = w(v_2t)$. Hence, $w(v_2t) = w(tv) = w(xt) = w_1$. So applying Condition (3) of Theorem 4 to the triangle xlv_2 , we have $w_2 = w(xv_2) = w(xt) = w_1$, a contradiction. \square

Now on every V_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$), nonadjacency is an equivalence relation. Let $V_{i,1}, \dots, V_{i,m_i}$ be the equivalence classes of V_i . Then, for all vertices $u \in V_{i,j}$ and $v \in V_{i',j'}$, $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$. Hence, G is a complete multi-partite graph with partite sets $V_0, V_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m_i$). This completes the proof of Lemma 1. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 4

Let G be a weighted graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4. If $k \geq \alpha(G)$, the following theorem implies the assertion.

Theorem D (Chvátal and Erdős [3]) *Let G be a k -connected graph with at least three vertices. If $k \geq \alpha(G)$, then G contains a Hamilton cycle.*

So we may assume $2 \leq k < \alpha(G)$. Now Lemma 1 implies that all edges of G have the same weight or G is a complete multi-partite graph.

Assume that all edges of G have the same weight w_1 . If $w_1 = 0$, the assertion is obvious. If $w_1 \neq 0$, $d^w(v) = w_1 d(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Hence $\sigma_{k+1}(G) = \sigma_{k+1}^w(G)/w_1 \geq m/w_1$. Then Theorem C implies that G contains either a Hamilton cycle or a cycle C of length at least $2m/(k+1)w_1$. Now $w(C) = w_1|E(C)| \geq 2m/(k+1)$.

Hence, we may assume that G is a complete multi-partite graph. Let $n = |G|$ and V_1, \dots, V_t be the partite sets of G .

Claim 1 *If $x, y \in V_i$, then $w(xz) = w(yz)$ for every $z \in V(G) \setminus V_i$. In particular, $d^w(x) = d^w(y)$.*

Proof. Since x and y are in the same partite set V_i , $xy \notin E(G)$. Hence, Condition (2) implies $w(xz) = w(yz)$. And hence, the assertion $d^w(x) = d^w(y)$ is obvious. \square

Claim 2 *If G is not hamiltonian, then $|V_i| > n/2$ for some i such that $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|V_i| \leq n/2$ for all i ($1 \leq i \leq l$). Then for each $v \in V_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq l$),

$$d(v) = \sum_{r \neq j} |V_r| = n - |V_j| \geq n/2.$$

Hence, Theorem A implies that G has a Hamilton cycle, a contradiction. \square

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $|V_1| > n/2$. Let $p = |V_1|$ and $q = n - p$. Then, since G is k -connected, it is obvious that $k \leq q < p$. And let $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$, $V(G) \setminus V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q\}$.

Claim 3 $d^w(v) \geq m/(k+1)$ for all $v \in V_1$.

Proof. Since $k < p$, we can choose v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+1} in V_1 . Now, $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$ is independent, hence $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d^w(v_i) \geq m$. Then Claim 1 implies $d^w(v_1) = d^w(v_2) = \dots = d^w(v_{k+1})$, so $d^w(v_1) \geq m/(k+1)$. Using Claim 1 again, we have $d^w(v) \geq m/(k+1)$ for all $v \in V_1$. \square

Now we consider the cycle $C = v_1 u_1 v_2 u_2 \dots v_{q-1} u_{q-1} v_q u_q v_1$. Then Claim 1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} w(C) &= w(v_1 u_1) + w(u_1 v_2) + w(v_2 u_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + w(v_{q-1} u_{q-1}) + w(u_{q-1} v_q) + w(v_q u_q) + w(u_q v_1) \\ &= w(v_1 u_1) + w(u_1 v_1) + w(v_1 u_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + w(v_1 u_{q-1}) + w(u_{q-1} v_1) + w(v_1 u_q) + w(u_q v_1) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^q w(v_1 u_i) \\ &= 2d^w(v_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Claim 3, $w(C) \geq 2m/(k+1)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, H.J. Broersma, J. van den Heuvel and H.J. Veldman, Heavy cycles in weighted graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **22** (2002), 7–15.
- [2] J.A. Bondy and G. Fan, Optimal paths and cycles in weighted graphs, *Ann. Discrete Math.* **41** (1989), 53–69.
- [3] V. Chvátal and P. Erdős, A note on Hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* **2** (1972), 111–113.
- [4] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **2** (1952), 69–81.
- [5] I. Fournier and P. Fraïsse, On a conjecture of Bondy, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **39** (1985), 17–26.
- [6] L. Pósa, On the circuits of finite graphs, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl* **8** (1963), 335–361.
- [7] S. Zhang, X. Li and H.J. Broersma, A σ_3 type condition for heavy cycles in weighted graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **21** (2001), 159–166.