

# A Note on Neighborhood Unions and Independent Cycles

Jill R. Faudree  
University of Alaska Fairbanks  
Fairbanks AK 99775

Ronald J. Gould  
Emory University  
Atlanta GA 30322

June 11, 2003

## Abstract

We prove that if  $G$  is a simple graph of order  $n \geq 3k$  such that  $|N(x) \cup N(y)| \geq 3k$  for all nonadjacent pairs of vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex independent cycles.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we only consider simple graphs. Other than the following instances, notation used is standard. We define  $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) = N(x_1) \cup N(x_2) \cup \dots \cup N(x_r)$  and  $N_H(x) = N(x) \cap V(H)$ . Also, when we refer to  $k$  independent cycles we will always mean  $k$  vertex independent cycles.

In 1963 Corradi and Hajnal in [1] produced the following result which proved a conjecture of Erdos:

**Theorem 1** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 3k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , with  $\delta(G) \geq 2k$ , then  $G$  contains  $k$  independent cycles.*

In 1989, Justesen in [2] generalized this result to degree sums of nonadjacent pairs and in 1999 Justesen's result was improved by Wang in [4] with the following sharp result:

**Theorem 2** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 3k$  such that  $\deg(u) + \deg(v) \geq 4k - 1$  for all pairs  $u, v$  of nonadjacent vertices, then  $G$  contains  $k$  independent cycles.*

A summary of results on independent cycles in graphs can be found in [3].

In this paper, we look at neighborhood unions that imply the existence of  $k$  independent cycles. Specifically we prove the following result:

**Theorem 3** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 3k$  such that  $|N(x, y)| \geq 3k$  for all nonadjacent pairs of vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex independent cycles.*

The result above is sharp in the sense that for any  $k$  the graph  $G = K_{3k-1} \cup K_2$  has  $|N(x, y)| = 3k - 1$  for all nonadjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  and does not have  $k$  independent cycles. Also, note that  $|N(x, y)| = 2$  for all nonadjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  does not guarantee the existence of single cycle. Thus, for  $k = 1$  and for any  $n$ , we need  $|N(x) \cup N(y)| \geq 3k$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 3

The proof will proceed by double induction on  $n$  and  $k$ .

The theorem is clearly true for small values of  $n$ . That is, for a graph  $G$  of order  $n = 3, 4$  or  $5$ , we have  $k = 1$  and so we assume  $|N(x, y)| \geq 3$ . Thus,  $G$  must contain a cycle. Thus, we assume the statement of the theorem is true for graphs of order less than  $n$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem. Let  $k = 1$ . Then  $|N(x, y)| \geq 3$  for all nonadjacent pairs of vertices. Thus  $G$  must contain a cycle.

Assume  $G$  does not contain  $k$  independent cycles for  $k \leq n/3$ . If  $G$  contains a triangle,  $T$ , then  $G - T$  clearly contains  $k - 1$  independent cycles by the inductive hypothesis. Thus,  $G$  contains  $k$  independent cycles. So we assume  $g(G) \geq 4$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots, C_{k-1}\}$  be a collection of  $k - 1$  vertex disjoint cycles which exist by the inductive hypothesis. Choose  $\mathcal{C}$  so that  $|V(\mathcal{C})|$  is minimized. Let  $L = G - V(\mathcal{C})$ . Note that our choice of  $\mathcal{C}$  implies that  $|V(L)| \geq 3$  since  $G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  contains  $k - 1$  independent cycles for any choice of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ .

Of all collections  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $|V(\mathcal{C})|$  is minimized, choose one such that  $L$  has a minimum number of connected components. Finally, of all collections  $\mathcal{C}$  with a minimum number of connected components, pick one such that the order of a maximum component of  $L$  is maximized. Note that each component of  $L$  must be a tree or a  $k$ th cycle can be found. Also, all cycles of  $\mathcal{C}$  are induced.

**Claim 1:**  $L$  has exactly one connected component.

Assume  $L$  has two or more components. Let  $v$  and  $w$  be end vertices of distinct trees in  $L$  such that  $w$  is in a component of maximum order. Then  $|N_{\mathcal{C}}(v, w)| \geq 3k - 2$ . So there exists  $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $|N_{C_i}(v, w)| \geq 4$ . By the minimality of  $|V(\mathcal{C})|$ , we know that  $C_i$  must be a 4-cycle with vertices (in order),  $u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4$ , such that without loss of generality  $vu_1, vu_3, wu_2, wu_4 \in E(G)$ .

Let  $C'_i$  be the cycle  $u_1 v u_3 u_4 u_1$ . Let  $C' = C - C_i \cup \{C'_i\}$ . Now  $L' = G - V(C')$  has a larger maximum connected component than  $L$ . This contradicts our choice of  $C$ . Thus,  $L$  has at most one component.

**Claim 2:** We can assume  $L$  is a path.

If  $L$  is not a path, pick a path  $P$  of maximum length in  $L$ . Let  $w$  be an end vertex of this path. Let  $v$  be an end vertex of  $L$  not on this path. As in the proof of claim 1, we can simultaneously insert  $v$  into  $C$  and append  $u_2$  to  $P$ . Continue this process until  $L$  is a path.

**Claim 3:** We can assume that at least one penultimate vertex on the path  $L$  has degree in  $G$  at least  $3k/2$ . Note that if  $L$  has only three vertices there is only one penultimate vertex.

Pick  $v, w$  to be end vertices of  $L$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $d(w) \geq 3k/2$ . If there does not exist a penultimate vertex with degree at least  $3k/2$ , then, as in the proof of Claim 1, we can simultaneously insert  $v$  into  $C$  and append  $u_2$  to  $L$ . Now  $w$  is a penultimate vertex with degree at least  $3k/2$ .

Label the vertices of the path  $L : x_1 x_2 \dots x_m$  and, without loss of generality, assume  $x_2$  is the penultimate vertex of degree at least  $3k/2$ . Now,  $|N_C(x_1, x_2, x_3)| = |N_C(x_1, x_3)| + |N_C(x_2)| \geq 3k - 2 + \frac{3k}{2} - 2 = \frac{9k}{2} - 4 > 4(k-1)$  for  $k \geq 1$ . But this means there exists  $C_i \in C$  such that  $|N_{C_i}(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \geq 5$  which contradicts the minimality of  $|V(C)|$ . Thus,  $G$  has  $k$  independent cycles completing the proof.

### 3 Conjecture

We conjecture that if the order of the graph  $n$  is larger relative to  $k$  (perhaps  $n \geq 4k$ ) then the neighborhood condition can be lowered (perhaps to  $2k$ ). This conjecture is motivated by the complete balanced bipartite graph of order  $4k$ . This conjecture, of course, requires that  $k \geq 2$ .

### References

- [1] K. Corradi and A. Hajnal, On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph. *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 14 (1963) 423-439.
- [2] P. Justesen, On independent circuits in finite graphs and conjecture of Erdos and Posa. *Annals of Discrete Math* 41 (1989) 299-306.
- [3] L. Lesniak, Independent cycles in graphs. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 17 (1995), 55-63.
- [4] H. Wang, On the maximum number of independent cycles in a graph. *Discrete Math.* 205 (1999), no. 1-3, 183-190.