Disproof of a conjecture about average Steiner distance

Tao Jiang *

April 29, 2004

Abstract

Given a connected graph G and a subset S of vertices, the Steiner distance of S in G is the minimum number of edges in a tree in G that contains all of S. Given a positive integer m, let $\mu_m(G)$ denote the average Steiner distance over all sets S of m vertices in G. In particular, $\mu_2(G)$ is just the average distance of G, often denoted by $\mu(G)$. Dankelmann, Oellermann, and Swart [1] conjectured that if G is a connected graph of order n and $1 \le m \le m$, then $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \ge 3(\frac{m-1}{m+1})$. In this note, we disprove their conjecture by showing that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf\left\{\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)}:G\text{ is connected and }n(G)\geq m\right\}=2.$$

1 Introduction

We only consider connected simple graphs. Given two vertices u, v in a graph G, the distance between u and v, denoted by $dist_G(u, v)$, is the number of edges in a shortest u, v-path. The distance notion can

^{*}Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA, jiangt@muohio.edu.

be generalized for a set S of more than two vertices by considering a smallest connected subgraph (tree) of G that contains S. More specifically, given a set S of vertices in a connected simple graph G, we call a smallest tree in G that contains S a Steiner tree for S. The Steiner distance of S in G, denoted by $d_G(S)$, is defined to be the number of edges in a Steiner tree for S. In particular, when |S| = 2, the Steiner distance of S is the same as the distance between the two vertices in S.

The Steiner distance was introduced by Dankelmann, Oellermann, and Swart in [1]. Motivated by the extensive study of average distance of a graph in the literature, the authors introduced the average Steiner distance of a graph as follows. Given a positive integer m, the average Steiner m-distance of G, denoted by $\mu_m(G)$ is the average of $d_G(S)$ over all sets S of m vertices in G. Note that $\mu_2(G)$ is just the average distance of G, which is often denoted by $\mu(G)$ in the literature.

In [1], Dankelmann, Oellermann, and Swart quite thoroughly studied the function $\mu_m(G)$, obtaining various bounds (most of which are sharp) on $\mu_m(G)$ in terms of m and the order of G. Because of the interest in average distance $\mu(G)$ of a graph G, it is natural to wonder how $\mu_m(G)$ is generally related to $\mu(G)$. In [1] (Corollary 2.1), it is shown that $\mu_m(G) \leq (m-1)\mu(G)$ always, with equality achieved by complete graphs. In other words, one has $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \leq m-1$. For a lower bound, Danklemann, Oellerman, and Swart [1] conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1.1 [1] If G is a connected graph with n vertices and $3 \le m \le n$, then

$$\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \ge 3\frac{m-1}{m+1}.$$

This conjecture was verified for m=2,3 in the same paper. The authors also noted that the conjectured bound would be sharp since equality can be achieved for paths.

In this note, we disprove Conjecture 1.1 for all $m \ge 4$ by proving

Theorem 1.2 For all positive integers $m \ge 2$, we have for even m

$$2-\frac{2}{m} \le \inf\left\{\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)}: G \text{ is connected and } n(G) \ge m\right\}$$

 $\le 2-\frac{1}{2^{m-2}},$

and for odd m

$$2-rac{2}{m+1} \le \inf\left\{rac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)}: G \text{ is connected and } n(G) \ge m\right\}$$

 $\le 2-rac{1}{2^{m-2}}.$

Hence, in particular,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf\left\{\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)}:G \text{ is connected and } n(G)\geq m\right\}=2.$$

Note that while Theorem 1.2 disproves Conjecture 1.1 for $m \geq 4$, it does confirm the fact that Conjecture 1.1 holds for m = 2, 3.

2 Main results

For convenience, we split Theorem 1.2 into two propositions as follows. As usual, $[n]_k = n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)$. The *length* of a path is the number of edges in it.

Proposition 2.1 For all $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\inf\left\{\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)}: G \text{ is connected and } n(G) \geq m\right\} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{2^{m-2}}.$$

Proof. Let $M=2-\frac{1}{2^{m-2}}$. It suffices to prove that given any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a graph G with $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)}\leq M+\epsilon$. Let p be a large enough positive integer and $\gamma<\frac{1}{4}$ a small enough positive number such that $\frac{4m}{p+1}\leq\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\frac{M+2\gamma}{1-2\gamma}\cdot\frac{m+p-1}{p+1}\leq M+\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Such p and γ clearly exist. For the now fixed p, let q be a positive integer such that $\frac{2q^2}{[p+2q]_2}\geq\frac{1}{2}-\gamma$ and $\frac{2[q]_m}{[p+2q]_m}\geq\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}-\gamma$. It is easy to see that such q exists.

Let G denote the tree obtained from two vertex disjoint stars with q leaves, say with centers u, v, respectively, by joining u, v with a path of length p-1. Thus, G is a tree on p+2q vertices in which u, v each has q leaf neighbors. For convenience, let A denote the set of q leaf neighbors of u, and let B denote the set of q leaf neighbors of v. Note that removing A and B from G leaves a path on p vertices with u, v as endpoints.

We now estimate $\mu_m(G)$ and $\mu(G)$. Given a subset S of vertices in G, let d(S) denote the Steiner distance of S. Suppose we randomly choose a subset S of V(G) of size t, with each subset of V(G) of size t being chosen with equal probability. Then $\mu_t(G)$ is just the expected value of d(S), which we denote by E(d(S)).

Let S be a random 2-subset of V(G). Clearly, we have d(S)=p+1 if $|S\cap A|=|S\cap B|=1$. Also, $Prob(|S\cap A|=|S\cap B|=1)=\frac{q^2}{\binom{p+2q}{2}}=\frac{2q^2}{\lfloor p+2q\rfloor_2}\geq \frac{1}{2}-\gamma$ by our choice of p and q. Thus,

$$\mu(G) = \mu_2(G) = E(d(S)) \ge Prob(|S \cap A| = |S \cap B| = 1) \cdot (p+1)$$

$$\ge (\frac{1}{2} - \gamma)(p+1). \tag{1}$$

Let S be a random m-subset of V(G). We have d(S) = m if $S \subseteq A$ or $S \subseteq B$ and $d(S) \le m + p - 1$ otherwise. Furthermore, $Prob((S \subseteq A) \text{or}(S \subseteq B)) = \frac{2\binom{q}{m}}{\binom{p+2q}{m}} = \frac{2[q]_m}{[p+2q]_m} \ge \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} - \gamma$, by our choice of p and q. Hence,

$$\mu_m(G) = E(d(S)) \le \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2^{m-1}} - \gamma\right)\right](m+p-1) + \left(\frac{1}{2^{m-1}} - \gamma\right)m$$

$$\le \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} + \gamma\right)(m+p-1) + m. \tag{2}$$

By Equations (1) and (2) and our choice of p, q, γ , we have

$$\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \leq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} + \gamma}{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma} \cdot \frac{m + p - 1}{p + 1} + \frac{m}{(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma)(p + 1)}$$

$$\leq \frac{M + 2\gamma}{1 - 2\gamma} \cdot \frac{m + p - 1}{p + 1} + \frac{4m}{p + 1}$$

$$\leq (M + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = M + \epsilon.$$

The following proposition implies the lower bounds in Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.2 Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Let G be a connected graph on at least m vertices. If m is even, then $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \geq 2 - \frac{2}{m}$. If m is odd, then $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \geq 2 - \frac{2}{m+1}$.

Proof. Let W be any set of m vertices in G. Let d(W) denote the Steiner distance of W. Let T denote a Steiner tree for W. Then e(T) = d(W), where e(T) denotes the number of edges in T. For any pair u, v of vertices in W, let $P_{u,v}$ denote the unique u, v-path in T. Let $l(P_{u,v})$ denote the length of $P_{u,v}$. Let $\Pi = \{P_{u,v} : u, v \in W\}$, i.e. Π is the collection of all paths $P_{u,v}$ in T connecting two vertices u, v of W.

For any edge e in T, let f(e) denote the number of paths in Π that contain e. Let F and F' denote the two components of T-e. Suppose F contains a vertices of W and F' contains b vertices of W. Then a+b=m and $f(e)=ab\leq \beta_m$, where $\beta_m=\frac{m^2}{4}$ if m is even and $\beta_m=\frac{(m-1)(m+1)}{4}$ if m is odd. Hence, $\Sigma_{u,v\in W}l(P_{u,v})$ counts each edge of T at most β_m times. This yields

$$\sum_{u,v \in W} dist_G(u,v) \le \sum_{u,v \in W} l(P_{u,v}) \le \beta_m e(T) = \beta_m d(W)$$

Summing over all m-subsets W of V(G), we have

$$\sum_{W} \sum_{u,v \in W} dist_G(u,v) \le \beta_m \sum_{W} d(W). \tag{3}$$

Now, since each pair u, v is contained in $\binom{n-2}{m-2}$ m-subsets W,

$$\Sigma_{W}\Sigma_{u,v\in W}dist_{G}(u,v) = \binom{n-2}{m-2}\Sigma_{u,v\in V(G)}dist_{G}(u,v)$$
$$= \binom{n-2}{m-2}\binom{n}{2}\mu(G),$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of $\mu(G)$. Also, $\Sigma_W d(W) = \binom{n}{m} \mu_m(G)$ by the definition of $\mu_m(G)$. Hence inequality

(3) becomes

$$\binom{n-2}{m-2}\binom{n}{2}\mu(G) \leq \beta_m \binom{n}{m}\mu_m(G),$$

from which we have $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \geq \frac{m(m-1)}{\beta_m}$. Using $\beta_m = \frac{m^2}{4}$ for even m and $\beta_m = \frac{(m-1)(m+1)}{4}$ for odd m, we have $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \geq 2 - \frac{2}{m}$ for even m and $\frac{\mu_m(G)}{\mu(G)} \geq 2 - \frac{2}{m+1}$ for odd m.

References

- [1] P. Dankelmann, O. Oellermann, and H. Swart, *The average Steiner distance of a graph*, J. of Graph Theory **22** (1996), 15–22.
- [2] P. Dankelmann, H. Swart, and O. Oellermann, The average Steiner distance of graphs with prescribed properties, Discr. Appl. Math. 79 (1997), 91–103.
- [3] J. Plesník, On the sum of all distances in a graph or digraph, J. of Graph Theory 8 (1984), 1-24.