

# C-Perfect $K$ -Uniform Hypergraphs

Changiz Eslahchi and Arash Rafiey  
Department of Mathematics  
Shahid Beheshti University  
Tehran, Iran  
*ch-eslahchi@cc.sbu.ac.ir*  
*arash@cs.rhul.ac.uk*

## Abstract

In this paper the concept of clique number of uniform hypergraph is defined and its relationship with circular chromatic number and clique number is studied. For every positive integer  $k, p$  and  $q$ ,  $2q \leq p$  we construct a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with small clique number whose circular chromatic number is equal to  $\frac{p}{q}$ . We define the concept and study the properties of  $c$ -perfect  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs .

**Keywords :** Hypergraph, Circular Coloring,  $C$ -Perfect Hypergraph

## 1 Introduction

For the necessary definitions and notations, we refer the reader to standard texts of graph theory such as [6]. In this paper  $p, q, r, k, m, n$ , and  $l$  are positive integers such that  $(2q \leq p)$  and  $k > 2$ . Also, we consider only finite hypergraphs. The hypergraph  $H = (V, E)$  is called  $k$ -uniform whenever every edge  $e$  of  $H$  is of size  $k$ . The hypergraph  $H' = (V', E')$  is a subhypergraph of  $H = (V, E)$  whenever  $V' \subset V$ , and  $E' \subset E$  and it is an induced subhypergraph of  $H$  if for every edge  $e$  of  $H$ ,  $e \subset V'$  implies that  $e \in E'$ . The complement of a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  denoted by  $\overline{H}$ , is a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with vertex set  $V(H)$  and a  $k$ -subset of  $V(H)$  is an edge of  $\overline{H}$  if and only if it is not an edge of  $H$ . A subset of the vertices of  $H$  is independent if it does not contain any edges of  $H$  and the independent

number of  $H$ , denoted by  $\alpha(H)$ , is the largest size of independent sets of  $H$ . Now for the sake of references, we state some definition and result from [2].

A  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  is called complete if every  $k$ -subset of the vertices is an edge of  $H$ . A mapping  $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(K)$  is a homomorphism from hypergraph  $H$  to hypergraph  $K$  if for every edge  $e$  of  $H$ , there exists an edge  $e'$  of  $K$  such that  $e' \subseteq f(e)$ . The hypergraph  $H$  is called vertex transitive if for every vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H$  there is a bijection homomorphism  $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(H)$  such that  $f(x) = y$ .

A  $(p, q)$ -coloring of  $H$  is a mapping  $c : V \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that for every edge  $e \in E$ , there exist vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $e$  satisfying  $q \leq |c(x) - c(y)| \leq p - q$ .

The circular chromatic number of  $H$ ,  $\chi_c(H)$ , is defined as

$$\chi_c(H) = \inf \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid \text{there exists a } (p, q) \text{-coloring of } H \right\}$$

We have shown that [2] the infimum in the definition of circular chromatic number can be replaced by minimum.

It is obvious that if  $H'$  is an induced subhypergraph of  $H$  then  $\chi_c(H') \leq \chi_c(H)$ .

Let  $H_q^p(k)$  denote the  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with vertex set  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and a  $k$ -subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  of  $V(H)$  is an edge of  $H_q^p(k)$  if and only if there exist  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  such that  $q \leq |x_i - x_j| \leq p - q$ .

If  $k = 2$  then  $H_q^p(k)$  is the graph  $G_q^p$  defined by Zhu [7]. It was shown in [2] that  $\chi_c(H_q^p(k)) = \frac{p}{q}$ , and it is vertex transitive.

let  $S$  be the collection of independent sets of a hypergraph  $H$ . The mapping  $c$  from  $S$  to the interval  $[0, 1]$  is a fractional-coloring of  $H$  if for every vertex  $x$  of  $H$  we have  $\sum_{s \in S, x \in s} c(s) = 1$ . The value of fractional-coloring  $c$  is  $\sum_{s \in S} c(s)$ . The fractional coloring number of  $H$ , denoted by  $\chi_f(H)$ , is the infimum values of the fractional-colorings of  $H$ . The authors [2] have shown that  $\alpha(H_q^p(k)) = q$ ,  $H_q^p(k)$  is vertex transitive, and  $\chi_f(H_q^p(k)) = \frac{p}{q}$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two hypergraphs then

1)  $\chi_c(H) = \min \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid \text{there exists a homomorphism } f : H \rightarrow G_q^p \right\}$ .

2) Let there exists a homomorphism from  $H$  to  $K$  then,

a)  $\chi(H) \leq \chi(K)$ ,

b)  $\chi_c(H) \leq \chi_c(K)$ .

3) Let  $H$  be a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph and  $\chi_c(H) = \frac{p}{q}$  then,

a)  $\chi(H) - 1 < \chi_c(H) \leq \chi(H)$ .

b) If  $c : V(H) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  be a  $(p, q)$ -coloring of  $H$ , then  $c$  is onto, and  $|V(H)| \geq p$ .

c) If  $\frac{p}{q} \leq \frac{p'}{q'}$  then  $H$  has a  $(p', q')$ -coloring.

d)  $\frac{|V(H)|}{\alpha(H)} \leq \chi_f(H) \leq \chi_c(H)$ ,

e) If  $H$  is vertex transitive then  $\chi_f(H) = \frac{|V(H)|}{\alpha(H)}$ .

## 2 Circular Coloring And Clique Number

In this section we only consider  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs.

**Definition 1** Let  $H$  be a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph. A subset  $A$  of  $V(H)$  is called a clique of  $H$  if every  $k$ -subset of  $A$  is an edge of  $H$ . The clique number of  $H$ , denoted by  $\omega(H)$ , is defined as

$$\omega(H) = \frac{\max\{|A| \mid A \text{ is a clique}\}}{k-1}.$$

The above definition is a generalization of the concept of clique number of graphs.

**Theorem 2** For every  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$ , we have  $\omega(H) \leq \chi_c(H)$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\omega(H) = \frac{p}{k-1}$  and  $A = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  be a clique of  $H$ . Let  $V(H_{k-1}^p(k)) = A$ . Define mapping  $f : H_{k-1}^p(k) \rightarrow H$  by  $f(x) = x$ . It is obvious that  $f$  is a homomorphism; therefore, by Theorem 1,  $\chi_c(H_{k-1}^p(k)) = \omega(H) \leq \chi_c(H)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3** For every  $\frac{p}{q} > 2$  and  $k \geq 4$  there exists a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  with  $\chi_c(H) = \frac{p}{q}$  and  $\omega(H) \leq \frac{k+1}{k-1}$ .

**Proof :** Let  $r$  be an integer such that  $qr > \lceil \frac{p}{q} \rceil (2k-4)$ . Consider the graph  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  and construct a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  as follows:  $V(H) = V(G_{qr}^{pr})$  and a  $k$ -subset  $e$  of  $V(H)$  is an edge if either  $e$  is a 1-edge or  $e$  is a  $(k-1)$ -edge (when a set  $e$  is called an  $l$ -edge if the induced subgraph of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  generated by  $V(e)$  has exactly  $l$  edges).

First we establish that  $\omega(H) \leq \frac{k+1}{k-1}$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\omega(H) > \frac{k+1}{k-1}$  and  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}\}$  is a clique of  $H$ .

Now we show that every  $k$ -subset of  $A$  is  $(k-1)$ -edge. Suppose it does not hold and a  $k$ -subset of  $A$  is an 1-edge. Without loss of generality assume that  $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  is 1-edge and  $a_0 a_1$  is the only edge of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  in this set. If  $\{a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}$  is a  $(k-1)$ -edge, then  $a_k$  is adjacent to all vertices  $\{a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  in  $G_{qr}^{pr}$ . Now since  $k > 3$  the set  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k\}$  is a  $(k-1)$ -edge too. Therefore  $a_1 a_k$  is also an edge of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  and  $\{a_0, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}$  is a  $k$ -edge, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{a_0, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}$  is an 1-edge. By the same way we can show that  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}$  is an 1-edge. Hence there exists  $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$  such that  $a_j a_k$  is not an edge of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$ . Now  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\} - \{a_j\}$  is 2-edge which is a contradiction ( $k \geq 4$ ).

Then every  $k$ -subsets of  $A$  are  $(k-1)$ -edge. Let  $T = G_{qr}^{pr}[A]$ . First of all we show that  $\Delta(T) \leq 2$ . Let  $\deg_T(a_0) \geq 3$  and  $a_1, a_2$ , and  $a_3$  are adjacent to  $a_0$ . Since  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k\}$  is a  $(k-1)$ -edge, there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that  $a_i$  is of degree 0 or 1 in the induced subgraph of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ . Now the set  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\} - \{a_i\}$  is a  $l$ -edge with  $l \geq k$ , a contradiction. Thus we have  $\Delta(T) \leq 2$  and so every component of  $T$  is a path or cycle. Let  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  be two nonadjacent vertices of degree 2 in  $T$  (it is obvious that there exist such vertices). Now the induced subgraph of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  generated by  $A - \{a_i, a_j\}$  has at most  $(k-2)$  edges, a contradiction. Then  $A$  is

not a clique of  $H$  and  $\omega(H) \leq \frac{k+1}{k-1}$ .

It remains to show that  $\alpha(H) = qr$ , because if it holds then by Theorem 1 we have  $\frac{qr}{qr} = \frac{|V(H)|}{\alpha(H)} \leq \chi_f(H) \leq \chi_c(H)$ . On the other hand since the map  $f : V(H) \rightarrow G_{qr}^{pr}$  defined by  $f(x) = x$  is a homomorphism then Theorem 1 implies that  $\chi_c(H) \leq \chi(G_{qr}^{pr}) = \frac{p}{q}$ , therefore  $\chi_c(H) = \frac{p}{q}$  and theorem is proved.

Suppose  $B$  is an independent set and  $0 \in B$ . Let  $C_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, qr - 1\}$ ,  $C'_0 = \{pr - qr + 1, pr - qr + 2, \dots, 0\}$ ,  $C = \{qr, qr + 1, \dots, pr - qr\}$ , and  $C'_t = \{t, t + 1, \dots, t + qr\}$ ,  $qr \leq t \leq pr - 2qr$ . For all  $t$ ,  $qr \leq t \leq pr - 2qr$ ,  $B$  has at most  $k - 2$  vertices of  $C'_t$ . Otherwise  $(B \cap C'_t) \cup \{0\}$  is not independent. Therefore  $|B \cap C| \leq \lceil \frac{pr - 2q + 1}{q} \rceil (k - 2)$ . Let  $B \cap C_0 = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{i_1}\}$  and  $B \cap C'_0 = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{i_2}\}$ . Hence  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ .

*Case 1)* Let there exist vertex  $c$  in  $B \cap C$ . Let  $a_i$  be the last element of  $C_0$  and  $b_j$  be the first element of  $C_1$  such that  $ca_i$  and  $cb_j$  are edges in  $G_{qr}^{pr}$ . In this case each of the sets  $\{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i_1}\}$ ,  $\{b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{i_2}\}$ ,  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}\}$ , and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}\}$  has at most  $k - 3$  elements. Otherwise, if for example  $|\{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i_1}\}| > k - 3$ , then the set  $\{c, a_i, \dots, a_{i+k-2}\}$  which is an edge of  $H$  is a subset of  $B$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $|C_0 \cap B| \leq 2k - 5$ ,  $|C'_0 \cap B| \leq 2k - 5$ , and hence  $|B| < qr$ .

*Case 2)* Let  $B \cap C$  is an empty set. If there is no edge  $a_i b_j$  in  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  then  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i_1}\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{i_2}\}$  are subset of an independent set of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$ , and since independence number of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  is  $qr$  we have  $|B| \leq qr$ . Assume that  $a_i b_j$  is an edge of  $G_{qr}^{pr}$  such that  $b_j - a_i = \max\{b_t - a_s \mid b_t a_s \text{ is an edge of } G_{qr}^{pr}\}$ . Therefore each of the sets  $\{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i_1}\}$  and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}\}$  has at most  $k - 3$  elements. Therefore,  $|C_0 \cap B| \leq 2k - 5$ . By the same way  $|C'_0 \cap B| \leq 2k - 5$  and therefore,  $|B| \leq qr$ . But since the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, qr - 1\}$  is an independent set, then  $\alpha(H) = qr$ .  $\square$

By a similar proof one can show that Theorem 3 follows for  $k = 3$  and  $\omega(H) \leq \frac{5}{2}$ .

**Definition 2** A  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  is called  $c$ -perfect if for every induced subhypergraph  $H_1$  of  $H$  provided  $\chi_c(H_1) > 2$ , we have  $\chi_c(H_1) = \omega(H_1)$ .

In the above definition the condition  $\chi_c(H) > 2$  is necessary, because if  $H_1$  has only one edge then  $\chi_c(H_1) = \chi(H_1) = 2$  but  $\omega(H_1) = \frac{k}{k-1}$  and therefore we never have a  $c$ -perfect hypergraph.

An example of a  $c$ -perfect hypergraph is the complete  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  because,  $\omega(H) = \chi_c(H) = \frac{|V(H)|}{k-1}$  and since every induced subhypergraph of  $H$  is complete,  $H$  is  $c$ -perfect.

Now from every perfect graph we construct a  $k$ -uniform  $c$ -perfect hypergraph.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G$  be a perfect graph, and  $H = (V(H), E(H))$  be a hypergraph such that  $V(H) = V(G)$  and  $e \subset V(H)$  is an edge of  $H$  if and only if  $|e| = k$  and  $e$  is contained in a clique of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is  $c$ -perfect.*

**Proof:** Let  $H_1$  be an induced subhypergraph of  $H$ , and  $G_1$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  generated by  $V(H_1)$ . It is easy to see that  $\omega(H_1) = \frac{\omega(G_1)}{k-1}$  and since  $G$  is perfect,  $\chi(G_1) = \omega(G_1)$ . Let  $c$  be a  $\omega(G_1)$ -coloring of  $G_1$ .

*Case 1)* Let  $\omega(G_1) \geq 2(k-1)$ . Consider the coloring  $c'$  of  $H_1$  define by  $c'(x) = c(x)$ . Since every edge  $e$  of  $H_1$  is a subset of a clique of  $G_1$ , there exists two vertices  $x, y \in e$  such that  $k-1 \leq |c(x) - c(y)| \leq \omega(G_1) - k + 1$  thus  $c'$  is an  $(\omega(G_1), k-1)$ -coloring of  $H_1$ . Therefore  $\chi_c(H_1) \leq \frac{\omega(G_1)}{k-1}$ . Now by Theorem 2 we have  $\chi_c(H_1) = \omega(H_1)$ .

*Case 2)*  $\omega(G_1) < 2(k-1)$ . We will show that  $\chi_c(H_1) = 2$ . Consider the mapping  $c' : V(H_1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by  $c'(x) = \lfloor \frac{c(x)}{k} \rfloor$  and let  $e$  be an edge of  $H_1$ . Since  $e$  is a  $k$ -subset of a clique of  $G_1$  then there exist at least two vertices  $x, y \in e$  such that  $c(x) < k$  and  $c(y) \geq k$ . Therefore  $c'(x) = 0$  and  $c'(y) = 1$ , and  $c'$  is a 2-coloring of  $H_1$ .  $\square$

We know that the complement of every perfect graph is perfect but it is not true for  $c$ -perfect hypergraphs. In the following we construct a hypergraph  $H$  which is  $c$ -perfect, but  $\overline{H}$  is not  $c$ -perfect.

Let  $V(H) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 3m-1\}$ ,  $m > 2k$ , and  $e \in E(H)$  if  $e$  is a  $k$ -subset of one of the sets  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ ,  $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, 2m-1\}$

or  $\{2m, 2m + 1, 2m + 2, \dots, 3m - 1\}$ . Since  $H$  is union of three copy of disjoint complete hypergraphs then  $H$  is  $c$ -perfect. Let  $H'$  be the induced subhypergraph of  $\overline{H}$  generated by the set  $\{0, m, m + 1, \dots, m + k - 2, 2m, 2m + 1, \dots, 3m - 1\}$ . By definition of  $H$ , the union of  $\{0, m, \dots, m + k - 2\}$  and every  $(k - 1)$ -subset of  $D = \{2m, 2m + 1, \dots, 3m - 1\}$  is a clique of  $\overline{H}$ . Therefore  $\omega(H') = \frac{2k-1}{k-1}$  implies from this fact that every clique of  $H'$  has at most  $2k - 1$  vertices. Now we show that  $H'$  has no any  $(2k - 1, k - 1)$ -coloring. Let  $c$  be a  $(2k - 1, k - 1)$ -coloring of  $H'$ . Since the vertices of a clique of  $H'$  of size at least  $k$  have different colors then,  $|c^{-1}(\{0, m, m + 1, \dots, m + k - 2\})| = k$ . On the other hand since every  $(k - 1)$ -subset of  $D$  with  $\{0, m, \dots, m + k - 2\}$  make a clique then  $|c^{-1}(D)| = k - 1$ . Therefore there exist vertices  $x, y \in D$  such that  $c(x) = c(y)$ . But  $x$  and  $y$  appear in a clique of size  $2k - 1$  and they must have different colors, a contradiction. Thus  $\chi_c(H') > \omega(H')$ . Now since  $\chi_c(H') > 2$ , then  $\overline{H}$  is not  $c$ -perfect.

By the above discussion it is natural to look for  $k$ -uniform  $c$ -perfect hypergraph  $H$  such that  $\overline{H}$  is also  $c$ -perfect. Also we look for  $k$ -uniform  $c$ -perfect hypergraph  $H$ , such that  $\overline{H}$  is  $c$ -perfect, and  $\omega(H)$  and  $\omega(\overline{H})$  are arbitrary large. First we prove that for every  $m$  and  $n$  there exists a 3-uniform  $c$ -perfect hypergraph  $H$  such that  $\overline{H}$  is  $c$ -perfect, and  $\omega(H) = \frac{m+1}{2}$ ,  $\omega(\overline{H}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ .

Let  $V(H) = \{1, 2, \dots, m + n\}$  and  $e \in E(H)$  either  $e \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  or  $|e \cap \{m + 1, \dots, m + n\}| = 1$ . By the construction of  $H$  we have,  $\omega(H) = \frac{m+1}{2}$  and  $\omega(\overline{H}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\{1, 2, \dots, m, m + 1\}$  is a maximum clique, and  $\{m, m + 1, \dots, m + n\}$  is a maximum independent set of  $H$ . On the other hand every induced subhypergraph of  $H$  and  $\overline{H}$  has the same structure and therefore it is enough to prove that  $\chi_c(H) = \omega(H)$ . Assume  $\omega(H) \leq 2$ . Let  $P = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Clearly  $|P| \leq 3$ . Color one vertex of  $P$  by 1 and the others vertices of  $P$  by 2, and color vertices of  $V(H) - P$  by 1. It is a 2-coloring for  $H$ . Let  $\omega(H) > 2$ . Define the map  $c$  by:

$$c : V(H) \longrightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$$

$$c(i) = \begin{cases} i - 1 & 1 \leq i \leq m \\ m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Reader can check that  $c$  is a  $(m + 1, 2)$ -coloring. Therefore  $\omega(H) =$

$\chi_c(H)$ , and proof is complete.

**Theorem 5** *For every  $k > 3$  there exists a  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs  $H$ , such that  $H$  and  $\overline{H}$  are  $c$ -perfect,  $\omega(H)$  is arbitrary large and  $\omega(\overline{H}) > 2$ .*

At first we prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 6** *Suppose  $G'$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G') = \{m, m + 1, \dots, m + 2k - 1\}$ , and  $ij$  is an edge of  $G'$  if one of the following occurs :*

1)  $1 \leq |i - j| \leq k - 2$ .

2)  $m + 2 \leq i, j \leq m + 2k - 2$  and  $|i - j| > k$ .

*Then  $G'$  is perfect.*

**Proof:** We prove that  $\overline{G'}$  is perfect. By the construction of  $G'$ , the sets  $\{m + 1, \dots, m + k - 1\}$  and  $\{m + k + 1, \dots, m + 2k - 1\}$  are independent in  $\overline{G'}$ , the vertices of the set  $\{m + 1, m + k, m + 2k - 1\}$  are adjacent in  $\overline{G'}$ , and  $\deg_{\overline{G'}}(m + k) = 2$ . On the other hand every odd cycle of  $\overline{G'}$  has vertices  $m + 1, m + k, m + 2k - 1$ . Consider the mapping  $c : V(\overline{G'}) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$  defined by:

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & m + 1 \leq x \leq m + k - 1 \\ 2 & m + k + 1 \leq x \leq m + 2k - 1 \\ 3 & x = m + k \end{cases}$$

$c$  is a 3-coloring of  $\overline{G'}$  and since  $\omega(G') = 3$  we have  $\omega(G') = \chi(G')$ . Let  $G_1$  be an induced subgraph of  $\overline{G'}$ . If one of the vertices  $m + 1, m + k$  and  $m + 2k - 1$  is not in  $V(G_1)$  then  $G_1$  is bipartite graph and  $\omega(G_1) = \chi(G_1)$ , otherwise  $\omega(G_1) = \chi(G_1) = 3$ . Therefore  $\overline{G'}$  is perfect.  $\square$

Now since join of a complete graph to a perfect graph is perfect we have the following lemma.



**Lemma 7** Suppose  $G'$  is the graph in Lemma 6 and  $G = K_m * G'$  then  $G$  is perfect.

**Proof of Theorem 5** Let  $G = K_m * G'$  be the perfect graph constructed in Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, with vertex set  $\{1, \dots, m + 2k - 1\}$ , where  $1, \dots, m$  are the vertices of  $K_m$ . Let  $H$  be the  $k$ -uniform  $c$ -perfect hypergraph constructed from the graph  $G$  by using Theorem 4. We show that  $\overline{H}$  is  $c$ -perfect too. First we prove that  $A = \{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, m + 2k - 1\}$  is a clique of  $\overline{H}$  and therefore  $\omega(\overline{H}) \geq \frac{2k-1}{k-1}$ . Let  $e = \{m + i_1, m + i_2, \dots, m + i_k\}$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq 2k - 1$ , is a subset of  $A$ . If  $i_1 = 1$  then since  $m + 1$  is adjacent to only  $k - 2$  vertices in  $G'$  then  $e$  is not subset of a clique in  $G'$  and therefore it is an edge of  $\overline{H}$ . Similarly if  $i_k = 2k - 1$  since  $m + 2k - 1$  adjacent to  $k - 2$  vertices then  $e$  is an edge of  $\overline{H}$ . So suppose for every  $2 \leq j \leq k$ , we have  $2 \leq i_j \leq 2k - 2$ . Since  $k > 2$  there exist at least two integer  $i_{j_1}$  and  $i_{j_2}$  such that  $|i_{j_1} - i_{j_2}| = k$  therefore  $e$  is not a subset of a clique of  $G'$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $\overline{H}$ . Now consider the mapping

$$c : V(\overline{H}) \longrightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 2k - 1\}$$

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} k - 1 & x \leq m \\ x - m - 1 & x > m \end{cases}$$

If we show that  $c$  is a  $(2k - 1, k - 1)$ -coloring of  $\overline{H}$  then  $\chi(\overline{H}) \leq \frac{2k-1}{k-1}$  and since  $\chi_c(\overline{H}) \geq \omega(\overline{H})$  we have  $\chi_c(\overline{H}) = \omega(\overline{H}) = \frac{2k-1}{k-1}$ . Let  $e$  be an edge of  $\overline{H}$ .

*Case 1)* Let  $e$  be a subset of  $A$ . Since  $A$  has  $2k - 1$  elements then  $e$  has at least two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $|x - y| = k$  and therefore  $|c(x) - c(y)| = k$ .

*Case 2)* Let  $e \cap \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in e \cap \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . If  $m + 1$  or  $m + 2k - 1$  is in  $e$  then  $c(x) - c(m + 1) = k - 1$  or  $c(m + 2k - 1) - c(x) = k - 1$ . Let  $m + 1$  and  $m + 2k - 1$  are not in  $e$ . Since  $e$  is not an edge of  $H$ , there exist at least two vertices  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $m + 2 \leq a, b \leq m + 2k - 1$  such that  $k - 1 \leq |a - b| \leq k$  and therefore  $k - 1 \leq |c(a) - c(b)| \leq k$ . Thus for every edge of  $\overline{H}$  we find at least two vertices that satisfy the  $(2k - 1, k - 1)$ -coloring conditions. Hence  $c$  is a  $(2k - 1, k - 1)$ -coloring of  $\overline{H}$ .

Now suppose  $H'$  be an induced subhypergraph of  $\overline{H}$ . If  $A \subset V(H')$  there is nothing to prove. Let there exists  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  such that  $m+k+i \notin V(H')$ . Define mapping

$$c : V(H') \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

$$c(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq m+k-1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

One can check that  $c$  is a 2-coloring of  $H'$  and hence  $\overline{H}$  is  $c$ -perfect. Now since  $\omega(H) \geq \frac{m}{k-1}$  and  $\omega(\overline{H}) = \frac{2k-1}{k-1}$ , proof is complete.  $\square$

**Conjecture 1** *For every  $k, n$  and  $m$  there exists a  $k$ -uniform  $c$ -perfect hypergraph  $H$  such that  $\omega(H) \geq m$ ,  $\omega(\overline{H}) \geq n$  and  $\overline{H}$  is  $c$ -perfect.*

## References

- [1] J.A. BONDY AND P. HELL, *A Note on the Star Chromatic Number*, J. Graph Theory, Vol.14, No.4(1990),479-482.
- [2] CH.ESLAHCHI AND A.RAFIEY, *Circular Chromatic Number Of Hypergraph*, To be appear in Ars Combinatoria.
- [3] R. DIESTEL, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.
- [4] E. STEFFEN AND X. ZHU, *On the star chromatic numbers of graphs*, Combinatorica, 16(1996), 439-448.
- [5] A. VINCE, *Star chromatic number*, J. Graph Theory, 12(1988), 551-559.
- [6] B. WEST, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, 1998.
- [7] X. ZHU, *Circular chromatic number, a survey*, Discrete Math, No.1-3, Vol.229(2001), 371-410.