

Construction for *OGDD* of Type 4^4

Xuebin Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing, China, 210097

Abstract For a long time we had thought that there does not exist an *OGDD* of type 4^4 . In this article, an *OGDD* of type 4^4 will be constructed.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B05.

Key words and Phrases: orthogonal, group-divisible design.

1 Introduction

A *group-divisible design with block size 3* (briefly, 3-GDD) $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a set X and a partition \mathcal{G} of X into classes (usually called *groups*), and a set \mathcal{A} of 3-subsets of X , so that each pair $\{x, y\}$ of elements of X appears once in a 3-subset of \mathcal{A} if x and y are from different groups, and does not appear in a 3-subset of \mathcal{A} if x and y are from the same groups.

An *orthogonal group-divisible design* (briefly, *OGDD*) $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a pair of 3-GDDs $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ and $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ satisfying two orthogonality conditions:

- (i) if $\{x, y, z\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\{x, y, w\} \in \mathcal{B}$, then z and w are in different groups; and
- (ii) for two distinct intersecting triples $\{x, y, z\}$ and $\{u, v, z\}$ of \mathcal{A} , the triples $\{x, y, w\}$ and $\{u, v, t\}$ of \mathcal{B} satisfy $w \neq t$.

A *transversal design* (briefly, TD) $\text{TD}(3, 4)$ is a 3-GDD of type 4^3 .

For the existence of *OGDD* of type g^u , Colbourn and Gibbons [4] have done excellent work. The following were their concluding remarks:

*The main question that remains open is whether there is any value of g for which an *OGDD* of type g^4 exists. On the basis of the nonexistence when $g = 2$ and $g = 4$, one might be tempted to conjecture that the answer*

is negative.

In this article, an *OGDD* of type 4^4 will be constructed by hand.

2 Construction for an *OGDD* of type 4^4

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_0, G_1, G_2, H\}$ and $X = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup G_2 \cup H$, where $G_0 = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$, $G_1 = \{1, 4, 7, 10\}$, $G_2 = \{2, 5, 8, 11\}$, $H = \{a, b, c, d\}$.

Assume $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an *OGDD* of type 4^4 . \mathcal{A} can be partitioned into two parts, namely, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} such that the first part does not contain any point of H and the second part does.

Let

$$P_a = \{\{x, y\} : \{a, x, y\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

$$P_b = \{\{x, y\} : \{b, x, y\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

$$P_c = \{\{x, y\} : \{c, x, y\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

$$P_d = \{\{x, y\} : \{d, x, y\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

$$K = \{\{x, y\} : \{x, y, z\} \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

Similarly, \mathcal{B} can be partitioned into two parts, namely, \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} such that the first part does not contain any point of H and the second part does.

Let

$$Q_a = \{\{x, y\} : \{a, x, y\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$Q_b = \{\{x, y\} : \{b, x, y\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$Q_c = \{\{x, y\} : \{c, x, y\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$Q_d = \{\{x, y\} : \{d, x, y\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$L = \{\{x, y\} : \{x, y, z\} \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

It follows from the definition of *OGDD* that

- (i) $L = P_a \cup P_b \cup P_c \cup P_d$ and $K = Q_a \cup Q_b \cup Q_c \cup Q_d$;
- (ii) for $x \in H$, P_x is a partition of $X \setminus H$, so is Q_x ;
- (iii) $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{E}$ is a *TD*(3, 4);
- (iv) each point of $X \setminus H$ appears exactly twice in \mathcal{C} and twice in \mathcal{E} .

We will now construct an *OGDD* of type 4^4 .

It is easy to see that there are only two non-isomorphic Latin squares of side 4, so there are only two non-isomorphic *TD*(3, 4).

We choose one *TD*(3, 4) as follows:

$$\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 4, 5\}, \{0, 7, 8\}, \{0, 10, 11\},$$

$$\{3, 1, 5\}, \{3, 4, 2\}, \{3, 7, 11\}, \{3, 10, 8\},$$

$$\{6, 1, 8\}, \{6, 4, 11\}, \{6, 7, 2\}, \{6, 10, 5\},$$

$$\{9, 1, 11\}, \{9, 4, 8\}, \{9, 7, 5\}, \{9, 10, 2\}.$$

When $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\{0, 4, 5\}$ are put into \mathcal{C} , the blocks of the *TD*(3, 4) can uniquely be partitioned into two parts with the condition (iv):

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 4, 5\}, \{3, 7, 11\}, \{3, 10, 8\}, \{6, 1, 8\}, \{6, 4, 11\}, \\ \{9, 7, 5\}, \{9, 10, 2\}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\{0, 7, 8\}, \{0, 10, 11\}, \{3, 1, 5\}, \{3, 4, 2\}, \{6, 7, 2\}, \{6, 10, 5\}, \\ \{9, 1, 11\}, \{9, 4, 8\}\}.$$

Hence we have K and L as follows:

$$L = \{\{7, 8\}, \{10, 11\}, \{1, 5\}, \{4, 2\}, \{7, 2\}, \{10, 5\}, \{1, 11\}, \{4, 8\} \\ \{0, 7\}, \{0, 8\}, \{0, 10\}, \{0, 11\}, \{3, 1\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 4\}, \{3, 2\} \\ \{6, 7\}, \{6, 2\}, \{6, 10\}, \{6, 5\}, \{9, 1\}, \{9, 11\}, \{9, 4\}, \{9, 8\}\}.$$

$$K = \{\{1, 2\}, \{4, 5\}, \{7, 11\}, \{10, 8\}, \{1, 8\}, \{4, 11\}, \{7, 5\}, \{10, 2\} \\ \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{0, 4\}, \{0, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{3, 11\}, \{3, 10\}, \{3, 8\} \\ \{6, 1\}, \{6, 8\}, \{6, 4\}, \{6, 11\}, \{9, 7\}, \{9, 5\}, \{9, 10\}, \{9, 2\}\}.$$

Now we want to arrange P_x and Q_x for $x \in H$ such that the conditions (i), (ii), (a) and (b) hold.

We first arrange the pairs of points of G_1 and G_2 .

When $\{1, 2\}$ is put into Q_a , $\{4, 5\}$ can not be put into Q_a , and $\{7, 11\}$ is put into Q_a ; and this forces $\{10, 5\}$ and $\{4, 8\}$ in P_a . $\{4, 5\}$ and $\{10, 8\}$ are put into Q_b and this forces $\{1, 11\}$ and $\{7, 2\}$ in P_b . Thus we have

$$Q_a = \{\{1, 2\}, \{7, 11\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$P_a = \{\{10, 5\}, \{4, 8\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$Q_b = \{\{4, 5\}, \{10, 8\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$P_b = \{\{1, 11\}, \{7, 2\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$Q_c = \{\{1, 8\}, \{7, 5\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$P_c = \{\{4, 2\}, \{10, 11\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$Q_d = \{\{4, 11\}, \{10, 2\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

$$P_d = \{\{1, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{0, -\}, \{3, -\}, \{6, -\}, \{9, -\}\}$$

Note

$$\{1, 2, 7, 11\} \cap \{4, 5, 8, 10\} = \emptyset, \{1, 8, 7, 5\} \cap \{4, 11, 10, 2\} = \emptyset.$$

Based on this observation, it is easy to see the following arrangement:
 Q_a and Q_b contain $\{0, x\}, \{3, y\}, \{6, z\}, \{9, t\}$ with $x, y \in G_1$ and $z, t \in G_2$;
 Q_c and Q_d contain $\{0, x\}, \{3, y\}, \{6, z\}, \{9, t\}$ with $x, y \in G_2$ and $z, t \in G_1$;
 P_a and P_b contain $\{0, x\}, \{3, y\}, \{6, z\}, \{9, t\}$ with $x, y \in G_2$ and $z, t \in G_1$;
 P_c and P_d contain $\{0, x\}, \{3, y\}, \{6, z\}, \{9, t\}$ with $x, y \in G_1$ and $z, t \in G_2$.

Based on the above discussion, it is easy to obtain the following arrangement.

$$Q_a = \{\{1, 2\}, \{7, 11\}, \{0, 4\}, \{3, 10\}, \{6, 8\}, \{9, 5\}\}$$

$$P_a = \{\{10, 5\}, \{4, 8\}, \{0, 11\}, \{3, 2\}, \{6, 7\}, \{9, 1\}\}$$

$$Q_b = \{\{4, 5\}, \{10, 8\}, \{0, 1\}, \{3, 7\}, \{6, 11\}, \{9, 2\}\}$$

$$P_b = \{\{1, 11\}, \{7, 2\}, \{0, 8\}, \{3, 5\}, \{6, 10\}, \{9, 4\}\}$$

$$Q_c = \{\{1, 8\}, \{7, 5\}, \{0, 2\}, \{3, 11\}, \{6, 4\}, \{9, 10\}\},$$

$$P_c = \{\{4, 2\}, \{10, 11\}, \{0, 7\}, \{3, 1\}, \{6, 5\}, \{9, 8\}\}$$

$$Q_d = \{\{4, 11\}, \{10, 2\}, \{0, 5\}, \{3, 8\}, \{6, 1\}, \{9, 7\}\}$$

$$P_d = \{\{1, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{0, 10\}, \{3, 4\}, \{6, 2\}, \{9, 11\}\}$$

Hence an *OGDD* of type 4^4 is constructed as follows:

$$A = \{$$

$$\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 4, 5\}, \{3, 7, 11\}, \{3, 10, 8\}$$

$$\{6, 1, 8\}, \{6, 4, 11\}, \{9, 7, 5\}, \{9, 10, 2\}$$

$$\{a, 10, 5\}, \{a, 4, 8\}, \{a, 0, 11\}, \{a, 3, 2\}, \{a, 6, 7\}, \{a, 9, 1\}$$

$$\{b, 1, 11\}, \{b, 7, 2\}, \{b, 0, 8\}, \{b, 3, 5\}, \{b, 6, 10\}, \{b, 9, 4\}$$

$$\{c, 4, 2\}, \{c, 10, 11\}, \{c, 0, 7\}, \{c, 3, 1\}, \{c, 6, 5\}, \{c, 9, 8\}$$

$$\{d, 1, 5\}, \{d, 7, 8\}, \{d, 0, 10\}, \{d, 3, 4\}, \{d, 6, 2\}, \{d, 9, 11\}\}$$

$$B = \{$$

$$\{0, 7, 8\}, \{0, 10, 11\}, \{3, 1, 5\}, \{3, 4, 2\}$$

$$\{6, 7, 2\}, \{6, 10, 5\}, \{9, 1, 11\}, \{9, 4, 8\}$$

$$\{a, 1, 2\}, \{a, 7, 11\}, \{a, 0, 4\}, \{a, 3, 10\}, \{a, 6, 8\}, \{a, 9, 5\}$$

$$\{b, 4, 5\}, \{b, 10, 8\}, \{b, 0, 1\}, \{b, 3, 7\}, \{b, 6, 11\}, \{b, 9, 2\}$$

$$\{c, 1, 8\}, \{c, 7, 5\}, \{c, 0, 2\}, \{c, 3, 11\}, \{c, 6, 4\}, \{c, 9, 10\}$$

$$\{d, 4, 11\}, \{d, 10, 2\}, \{d, 0, 5\}, \{d, 3, 8\}, \{d, 6, 1\}, \{d, 9, 7\}$$

For convenience to the reader, we check the orthogonality as follows:

$$a : \{10, 5\} - 6; \{4, 8\} - 9; \{0, 11\} - 10; \{3, 2\} - 4; \{6, 7\} - 2; \{9, 1\} - 11;$$

$$b : \{1, 11\} - 9; \{7, 2\} - 6; \{0, 8\} - 7; \{3, 5\} - 1; \{6, 10\} - 5; \{9, 4\} - 8;$$

$$c : \{4, 2\} - 3; \{10, 11\} - 0; \{0, 7\} - 8; \{3, 1\} - 5; \{6, 5\} - 10; \{9, 8\} - 4;$$

$$d : \{1, 5\} - 3; \{7, 8\} - 0; \{0, 10\} - 11; \{3, 4\} - 2; \{6, 2\} - 7; \{9, 11\} - 1;$$

$$0 : \{1, 2\} - a; \{4, 5\} - b; \{a, 11\} - 7; \{b, 8\} - 10; \{c, 7\} - 5; \{d, 10\} - 2;$$

$$3 : \{7, 11\} - a; \{10, 8\} - b; \{a, 2\} - 1; \{b, 5\} - 4; \{c, 1\} - 8; \{d, 4\} - 11;$$

$$6 : \{1, 8\} - c; \{4, 11\} - d; \{a, 7\} - 11; \{b, 10\} - 8; \{c, 5\} - 7; \{d, 2\} - 10;$$

$$9 : \{7, 5\} - c; \{10, 2\} - d; \{a, 1\} - 2; \{b, 4\} - 5; \{c, 8\} - 1; \{d, 11\} - 4;$$

$$1 : \{0, 2\} - c; \{6, 8\} - a; \{a, 9\} - 5; \{b, 11\} - 6; \{c, 3\} - 11; \{d, 5\} - 0;$$

$$4 : \{0, 5\} - d; \{6, 11\} - b; \{a, 8\} - 6; \{b, 9\} - 2; \{c, 2\} - 0; \{d, 3\} - 8;$$

$$7 : \{3, 11\} - c; \{9, 5\} - a; \{a, 6\} - 8; \{b, 2\} - 9; \{c, 0\} - 2; \{d, 8\} - 3;$$

$$10 : \{3, 8\} - d; \{9, 2\} - b; \{a, 5\} - 9; \{b, 6\} - 11; \{c, 11\} - 3; \{d, 0\} - 5;$$

$$2 : \{0, 1\} - b; \{9, 10\} - c; \{a, 3\} - 10; \{b, 7\} - 3; \{c, 4\} - 6; \{d, 6\} - 1;$$

$$5 : \{0, 4\} - a; \{9, 7\} - d; \{a, 10\} - 3; \{b, 3\} - 7; \{c, 6\} - 4; \{d, 1\} - 6;$$

$$8 : \{6, 1\} - d; \{3, 10\} - a; \{a, 4\} - 0; \{b, 0\} - 1; \{c, 9\} - 10; \{d, 7\} - 9;$$

$$11 : \{3, 7\} - b; \{6, 4\} - c; \{a, 0\} - 4; \{b, 1\} - 0; \{c, 10\} - 9; \{d, 9\} - 7.$$

Note that

$$a : \{10, 5\} - 6; \{4, 8\} - 9; \{0, 11\} - 10; \{3, 2\} - 4; \{6, 7\} - 2; \{9, 1\} - 11$$

means

$$\{a, 10, 5\}, \{a, 4, 8\}, \{a, 0, 11\}, \{a, 3, 2\}, \{a, 6, 7\}, \{a, 9, 1\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

and

$$\{10, 5, 6\}\{4, 8, 9\}\{0, 11, 10\}\{3, 2, 4\}\{6, 7, 2\}\{9, 1, 11\} \in \mathcal{B}.$$

It follows from 6,9,10,4,2 and 11 are distinct and in different groups with a that the condition (i) holds with

$$\{x, y\} \in \{\{10, 5\}, \{4, 8\}, \{0, 11\}, \{3, 2\}, \{6, 7\}, \{9, 1\}\}$$

and the condition (ii) holds with $z = a$.

By the way, OGDDs of type 8^4 and 12^4 were constructed by Dukes in [2], we have no other direct construction for the case with four groups.

References

1. C.J.Colbourn and J.H.Dinitz, *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Boca Raton, New York, London, CRC Press, Inc. 1996.
2. P.Dukes, *Orthogonal 3-GDDs with four groups*, Australas. J. Combin. 26(2002), 225-232.
3. C.J.Colbourn, P.B.Gibbons, R.Mathon, R.C.Mullin and A.Rosa, *The spectrum of orthogonal Steiner triple systems*, Canadian J. Math. 46(1994), 239-252.
4. C.J.Colbourn and P.B.Gibbons, *Uniform Orthogonal Group Divisible Designs with Block Size Three*, New Zealand J. Math. 27(1998), 15-33.
5. D.R.Stinson and L.Zhu, *Orthogonal Steiner triple systems of order $6t + 3$* , Ars Combinatoria, 31(1991), 33-64.
6. Xuebin Zhang, *Construction of orthogonal group divisible designs*, J. of Combin. Math. and Combin. Comput., 20(1996), 121-128.