

A characterization of $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometries, $1 < \alpha < s + 1$, satisfying the axiom of Pasch

Sara Cauchie

Abstract. In this paper, a characterization of two classes of $(q, q + 1)$ -geometries, that are fully embedded in a projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$, is obtained. The first class is the one of the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometry $H_q^{n,m}$, having points the points of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ that are not contained in an m -dimensional subspace $\Pi[m]$ of $\text{PG}(n, q)$, for $0 \leq m \leq n - 3$, and lines the lines of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ skew to $\Pi[m]$. The second class is the one of the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometry $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$ having the same point set as $H_q^{n,m}$, but with $-1 \leq m \leq n - 3$, and lines the lines skew to $\Pi[m]$ that are not contained in a certain partition of the point set of $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$. Our characterization uses the axiom of Pasch, which is also known as axiom of Veblen-Young. It is a generalization of the characterization for partial geometries satisfying the axiom of Pasch by J. A. Thas and F. De Clerck. A characterization for $H_q^{n,m}$ was already proved by H. Cuypers. His result however does not include $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$.

Keywords: (α, β) -geometries, characterization, projective embedding

AMS subject classification: 51E30, 51A45

1 Introduction

A *partial linear space* of order (s, t) is a connected incidence structure $S = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \text{I})$, with \mathcal{P} a finite non-empty set of elements called *points*, \mathcal{L} a family of subsets of \mathcal{P} called *lines* and I a symmetric incidence relation satisfying the following axioms. (i) Any two distinct points are incident with at most one line. (ii) Each line is incident with exactly $s + 1$ points, $s \geq 1$. (iii) Each point is incident with exactly $t + 1$ lines, $t \geq 1$.

An *antiflag* of a partial linear space S is a pair (x, L) , with x a point of S , L a line of S and such that x is not incident with L . Two points p_1 and p_2 are *collinear* if there is a line L of S such that $p_1 \text{ I } L \text{ I } p_2$; we denote $p_1 \sim p_2$. Two lines L_1 and L_2 are *concurrent* if there is a point p of S such that $L_1 \text{ I } p \text{ I } L_2$; we denote $L_1 \sim L_2$. The *incidence number* of an antiflag (x, L) of S is the number, denoted by $i(x, L)$, of points collinear with the point $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and incident with the line $L \in \mathcal{L}$.

An (α, β) -geometry is a partial linear space $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ of order (s, t) , for some s and t , such that for each antiflag (x, L) of \mathcal{S} , $i(x, L) = \alpha$ or $i(x, L) = \beta$.

An (α, β) -geometry $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ is *fully embedded* in a projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$ if \mathcal{P} is a subset of the point set of $\text{PG}(n, q)$, \mathcal{L} is a subset of the line set of $\text{PG}(n, q)$, \mathcal{I} is the incidence inherited from $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and $s = q$. In what follows, we always assume that the points of \mathcal{S} span $\text{PG}(n, q)$.

An (α, β) -geometry that satisfies $\alpha = \beta$, is called a *partial geometry*, and it is denoted by $\text{pg}(s, t, \alpha)$. Partial geometries fully embedded in a projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$ have been studied by F. De Clerck and J. A. Thas [4]. They have proved that the only partial geometry fully embeddable in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, for which $1 < \alpha < q + 1$ and $\alpha < t + 1$, is the partial geometry H_q^n , defined as follows. Let H be an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subspace of $\text{PG}(n, q)$. Points of H_q^n are the points of $\text{PG}(n, q) \setminus H$, lines of H_q^n are the lines of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ that have no point in common with H , incidence is the incidence of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ restricted to the points and lines of H_q^n . It is easy to prove that H_q^n is indeed a partial geometry and that it has parameters $s = q$, $t = q^{n-1} - 1$ and $\alpha = q$.

In [1, 2] we have introduced the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometries $H_q^{n,m}$ and $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$. Both of them are fully embeddable in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. $H_q^{n,m}$ has points the points of $\text{PG}(n, q) \setminus \Pi[m]$, where $\Pi[m]$ is an m -dimensional subspace of $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $0 \leq m \leq n - 3$, and lines the lines of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ skew to $\Pi[m]$. Note that for $m = n - 2$, this construction gives the partial geometry H_q^n defined in the previous paragraph. For $m = -1$, it gives the partial geometry of points and lines of the projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$. $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$ has the same point set as $H_q^{n,m}$, but now with $-1 \leq m \leq n - 3$, and its lines are defined as follows. Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l\}$ be a partition of the points of $\text{PG}(n, q) \setminus \Pi[m]$, where $l = (q^{n-m} - 1)/(q^{m'-m} - 1)$, such that for $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\sigma_i = \Omega_i[m'] \setminus \Pi[m]$, with $\Omega_i[m']$ an m' -dimensional subspace of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ that contains $\Pi[m]$, and with $m + 2 \leq m' \leq n - 2$. The lines of \mathcal{S} are the lines that intersect $q + 1$ distinct elements of Σ in a point. A necessary and sufficient condition for this partition and the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometry to exist is that $(m' - m) \mid (n - m)$.

In this paper a characterization of the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometries $H_q^{n,m}$ and $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$ will be obtained. This characterization is an extension of the existing characterization for the partial geometry H_q^n , which we will shortly describe in the next section. In section 3 we will generalize the definitions of section 2 to (α, β) -geometries. In section 4 an important lemma will be proved, while in section 5 some new terminology will be defined. In section 6 a characterization for $H_q^{n,m}$ obtained in 1995 by H. Cuypers [3] is recalled. In section 7 our characterization theorem is stated and proved.

2 A characterization of the partial geometry H_q^n

In [5], J. A. Thas and F. De Clerck characterized the partial geometry H_q^n . Before stating their characterization theorem, we will explain the terminology that is used.

An (α, β) -geometry $S = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ satisfies the *axiom of Pasch* (also called *axiom of Veblen* or *axiom of Veblen-Young*) if $\forall L_1, L_2, M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{L}, L_1 \neq L_2, L_1 I x I L_2, x \notin M_1, x \notin M_2, L_i \sim M_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\} \Rightarrow M_1 \sim M_2$. Note that for $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and for $\alpha = \beta = t + 1$, the axiom of Pasch is trivially satisfied.

Let $S = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ be a partial geometry, for which $\alpha \notin \{1, s + 1, t + 1\}$, that satisfies the axiom of Pasch. Let L and $M, L \neq M$, be two concurrent lines of S with intersection point x . Then the *substructure* $S(L, M) = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, I^*)$ of S is defined as follows: \mathcal{L}^* is the set of the $s(\alpha - 1)$ lines N , such that $x \notin N$ and $L \sim N \sim M$, together with the set of the α lines through x that are concurrent with at least one of these $s(\alpha - 1)$ lines; \mathcal{P}^* is the set of points of S that lie on the lines of \mathcal{L}^* and $I^* = I \cap ((\mathcal{P}^* \times \mathcal{L}^*) \cup (\mathcal{L}^* \times \mathcal{P}^*))$. As S satisfies the axiom of Pasch, $S(L, M) = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, I^*)$ is a $\text{pg}(s, \alpha - 1, \alpha)$. Note that for $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{L}^*, N_1 \neq N_2$, the substructures $S(N_1, N_2)$ and $S(L, M)$ coincide. Moreover for each antiflag (x, N) of S , there is exactly one substructure $S(L, M)$ that contains both x and N . This substructure we will denote by $S(x, N)$.

Let x and y be two non-collinear points of S . There are $(t + 1)/\alpha$ subgeometries $S(L, M)$ of S that contain both x and y . We denote these subgeometries by $S_i^* = (\mathcal{P}_i^*, \mathcal{L}_i^*, I_i^*), i = 1, \dots, (t + 1)/\alpha$. The *line of the second type* $\langle x, y \rangle$ is defined to be the set $\mathcal{P}_1^* \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{(t+1)/\alpha}^*$. It follows immediately that no two distinct points of the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ are collinear in S (for more explanation see [5]), and that for $z_1, z_2 \in \langle x, y \rangle, z_1 \neq z_2$, the lines $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$ coincide. As $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a set of two by two non-collinear points of the partial geometry $S_i^*, i \in \{1, \dots, (t + 1)/\alpha\}$, it follows that $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq s + 1 - s/\alpha$. If $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s + 1 - s/\alpha$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{P}, x$ not collinear with y , then the partial geometry S is called *regular*.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]) *The partial geometry $S = \text{pg}(s, t, \alpha), \alpha \notin \{1, s + 1, t + 1\}$, is isomorphic to an H_q^n if and only if*

1. S satisfies the axiom of Pasch;
2. S is regular;
3. $2s > s^4 - \alpha s^3 + \alpha^2 s^2 + \alpha^3 s - 2\alpha^4$.

Remark. The third assumption of the theorem is derived from a necessary condition for a graph to be the point graph of a partial geometry. This necessary condition is commonly known as the *Bose condition for a graph*. Note that the

third assumption of theorem 2.1 turns out to be a very strong condition, as for $\alpha \neq s$, this condition is almost never satisfied.

3 (α, β) -geometries that satisfy the axiom of Pasch

In this section we will generalize the concepts defined in section 2 for partial geometries to similar concepts for (α, β) -geometries. The terminology given in this section will be the one used in the rest of this paper.

Let $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ be a proper (α, β) -geometry of order (s, t) , satisfying the axiom of Pasch, with $1 < \alpha < \beta < t + 1$. Let L and M be two distinct concurrent lines of \mathcal{S} , with $L \cap M = \{x\}$. A *substructure* $S(L, M)$ of \mathcal{S} can be defined in the same way as is done for a partial geometry in section 2. However, as \mathcal{S} is an (α, β) -geometry, for each antiflag (p, L_p) of $S(L, M)$, we have $i(p, L_p) = \alpha$ or $i(p, L_p) = \beta$. Hence it is possible that $S(L, M)$ contains a point z_1 through which there are α lines of $S(L, M)$ and a point z_2 through which there are β lines of $S(L, M)$. In this case $S(L, M)$ is clearly not an (α, β) -geometry, as by definition the number of lines through a point in an (α, β) -geometry has to be a constant. If the number of lines of $S(L, M)$ through a point of $S(L, M)$ is a constant, then $S(L, M)$ is a $\text{pg}(s, \alpha - 1, \alpha)$ or a $\text{pg}(s, \beta - 1, \beta)$. This is easy to prove, as \mathcal{S} satisfies the axiom of Pasch. A substructure $S(L, M)$ that is a $\text{pg}(s, \alpha - 1, \alpha)$ we call an α -*substructure*. A substructure $S(L, M)$ that is a $\text{pg}(s, \beta - 1, \beta)$ we call a β -*substructure*. A substructure $S(L, M)$ that is not a partial geometry, we call a *mixed substructure*. In what follows, we will denote a substructure $S(L, M)$ sometimes as π, ρ or σ .

The number of substructures through two distinct non-collinear points of \mathcal{S} is not necessarily a constant, as the number of lines through a point in a substructure is not a constant. This number is however a constant if $\beta = s + 1$. Indeed, assume that $\beta = s + 1$ and let x and y be distinct non-collinear points of \mathcal{S} . Let L be any line of \mathcal{S} through x . As $x \not\sim y$, exactly α points of L are collinear with y . Hence there are exactly $(t + 1)/\alpha$ substructures $S(L, M)$ that contain both x and y . As x and y were arbitrarily chosen distinct non-collinear points of \mathcal{S} , it follows that the number of substructures through any two distinct non-collinear points of \mathcal{S} is a constant and equal to $(t + 1)/\alpha$.

We define a *line of the second type* $\langle x, y \rangle$ through two distinct non-collinear points x and y of \mathcal{S} as the intersection of all substructures $S(L, M)$ containing both x and y . Note that there are at least two distinct substructures through x and y , as by assumption $t + 1 > \alpha$. From the definition, it follows that each two distinct points of the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ are non-collinear in \mathcal{S} . Note that the notation $\langle x, y \rangle$ is also used for a line of \mathcal{S} through x and y , the context makes clear whether it is a line of \mathcal{S} or a line of the second type.

An $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry \mathcal{S} of order (s, t) , with $1 < \alpha < s + 1 < t + 1$, that satisfies the axiom of Pasch, is called *regular with respect to non-collinear*

points if and only if each line of the second type and each line of \mathcal{S} that are both contained in a substructure $S(L, M)$, intersect in at least one point. Note that this implies that they intersect in exactly one point, as a line of the second type cannot contain two distinct collinear points of \mathcal{S} .

4 An important lemma

We will prove that for a proper $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry \mathcal{S} of order (s, t) , $1 < \alpha < s + 1 < t + 1$, that satisfies the axiom of Pasch, that is regular with respect to non-collinear points, and such that there is at least one α -substructure, it follows that $\alpha = s$.

First we make the following observation. Let \mathcal{S} be an $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry that satisfies the axiom of Pasch and such that \mathcal{S} is regular with respect to non-collinear points. Assume that \mathcal{S} contains an α -substructure $S(L, M)$. The points and lines of $S(L, M)$ form a $\text{pg}(s, \alpha - 1, \alpha)$. As $\alpha < s + 1$, $S(L, M)$ contains two non-collinear points x and y . As \mathcal{S} is regular with respect to non-collinear points, each line of $S(L, M)$ contains exactly one point of $\langle x, y \rangle$. So $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s + 1 - s/\alpha$, and hence $\alpha | s$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let \mathcal{S} be a proper $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry of order (s, t) , $1 < \alpha < s + 1 < t + 1$, that satisfies the axiom of Pasch, that is regular with respect to non-collinear points and such that there is at least one α -substructure. Then $\alpha = s$.*

Proof. Assume first that there is no mixed substructure $S(L, M)$. Then both an α -substructure and an $(s + 1)$ -substructure exist. Note that in an $(s + 1)$ -substructure, any two distinct points of \mathcal{S} are collinear in \mathcal{S} .

Let x and y be two non-collinear points of \mathcal{S} . Each substructure through $\langle x, y \rangle$ is an α -substructure. As $\alpha < t + 1$, there are at least two distinct substructures π_1 and π_2 through $\langle x, y \rangle$. Let p be a point of \mathcal{S} in π_1 , $p \notin \langle x, y \rangle$. Let N be a line of \mathcal{S} contained in π_2 . There are α or $s + 1$ lines through p intersecting N in a point. As $\alpha > 1$, there exists a line L_1 of \mathcal{S} through p intersecting N in a point, such that $L_1 \not\subset \pi_1$. Let L_2 be a line of \mathcal{S} contained in π_1 and not incident with p . The line L_2 intersects $\langle x, y \rangle$ (and hence also π_2) in a point. Let $x_1 = p, x_2, \dots, x_{s+1}$ be the points of L_1 . Define \mathcal{P}' as the set of points contained in the $s + 1$ substructures $S(x_i, L_2)$, for $i = 1, \dots, s + 1$. As $\pi_1 = S(p, L_2)$, all points of π_1 belong to \mathcal{P}' . In particular the points of $\langle x, y \rangle$ belong to \mathcal{P}' .

We will prove that each line of \mathcal{S} that contains at least two points of \mathcal{P}' , contains $s + 1$ points of \mathcal{P}' . Let z and z' be points of \mathcal{P}' , $z \neq z'$. Suppose that $z \sim z'$. We denote the line of \mathcal{S} containing z and z' by M . We need to show that all points of M are points of \mathcal{P}' . If $z \perp L_2$, if $M = L_1$, or if $z' \in S(z, L_2)$, then the result follows immediately. So we may suppose that $z' \notin S(z, L_2)$, $M \neq L_1$ and that $z \perp L_2$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $L_1 \sim M$. Let w be the point of L_1 that is contained in the substructure $S(z', L_2)$. Let $z'' \in M$, $z \neq z'' \neq z'$. We have to prove that $z'' \in \mathcal{P}'$. If $z'' \in L_1$, then clearly $z'' \in \mathcal{P}'$. So suppose that $z'' \not\in L_1$. As $w, z' \in S(z', L_2)$, the line $\langle w, z' \rangle$ (which can be either a line of \mathcal{S} or a line of the second type) has a point u in common with L_2 . The line $\langle u, z'' \rangle$ (which can be either a line of \mathcal{S} or a line of the second type) has a point w' in common with L_1 , as both $\langle u, z'' \rangle$ and L_1 belong to the substructure $S(L_1, M)$. All the points of this line $\langle u, z'' \rangle = \langle u, w' \rangle$ are elements of $S(w', L_2)$. Hence z'' is a point of $S(w', L_2)$, $w' \in L_1$, and so $z'' \in \mathcal{P}'$.

Case 2: $L_1 \not\sim M$. Let M' be a line that does not belong to π_1 , such that $z \in M'$, $L_1 \sim M'$ and M' skew to L_2 . Note that M' exists, as there are at least $\alpha > 1$ lines through z intersecting L_1 and at most one of these lines contains a point of L_2 . From case 1 it follows that the $s + 1$ points of M' are contained in \mathcal{P}' . Moreover, the $s + 1$ substructures $S(x_i, L_2)$, for $x_i \in L_1$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), coincide with the $s + 1$ substructures $S(x'_i, L_2)$, for $x'_i \in M'$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). By construction M and M' intersect. Applying again case 1 gives us that each point of the line M is contained in one of the substructures $S(x'_i, L_2)$, for $x'_i \in M'$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). Hence each point of M is contained in \mathcal{P}' .

So we have proved that if a line contains two points of \mathcal{P}' , then each point of this line belongs to \mathcal{P}' . Define \mathcal{L}' as the set of lines of \mathcal{S} containing at least two distinct points of \mathcal{P}' . Let $\mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{I}')$, with \mathcal{I}' the restriction of \mathcal{I} to $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$. Now we distinguish two cases.

Assume that there is an $(s + 1)$ -substructure ρ through L_1 in \mathcal{S}' . Let u be a point of ρ not incident with L_1 . The point u is contained in \mathcal{S}' , hence $u \in S(x', L_2)$ for a point $x' \in L_1$. As u and x' belong to ρ , the line $\langle x', u \rangle$ is a line of \mathcal{S} contained in $S(x', L_2)$. Every two lines of \mathcal{S} in a substructure of \mathcal{S} intersect, hence $\langle x', u \rangle$ intersects L_2 in a point v . So ρ contains the point v of L_2 . As $L_2 \subset \pi_1$, $v \in \pi_1$. Moreover ρ contains the point p that is the intersection point of L_1 and π_1 . It follows that ρ intersects π_1 in the line $\langle v, p \rangle$. As $\langle v, p \rangle$ belongs to ρ , it is a line of \mathcal{S} . In π_1 the line $\langle v, p \rangle$ intersects the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ of the second type in a point w (here we use the regularity of \mathcal{S} with respect to non-collinear points). Let $L_1^w = \langle v, p \rangle, \dots, L_{s+1}^w$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), be the $s + 1$ lines through w in ρ . As $x \neq y$, we have that $w \neq x$ or $w \neq y$. So we may assume that $w \neq x$. Each substructure $S(L_i^w, x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$) contains the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ of the second type, and hence is an α -substructure. These substructures contain all points of \mathcal{S}' . Through w there are $(s + 1)\alpha$ lines of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{S}' , namely α lines in each substructure $S(x, L_i^w)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$).

Now we count the lines of \mathcal{S} through w in \mathcal{S}' in another way. Let N_w be a line of \mathcal{S} through w in π_1 , $N_w \neq \langle p, v \rangle$. In each substructure through N_w in \mathcal{S}' there are α or $s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} through w . From the previous paragraph, we know that ρ intersects π_1 in the line $\langle p, v \rangle$. As $w \in \langle p, v \rangle$, the line N_w intersects ρ in the point w . The $s + 1$ lines L_i^w ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$) through w in ρ give $s + 1$ substructures $S(N_w, L_i^w)$ through N_w in \mathcal{S}' . Counting the lines of \mathcal{S} through w

in these substructures, we get that there are $cs + (s + 1 - c)(\alpha - 1) + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} through w in \mathcal{S}' , for $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq c \leq s + 1$. It follows that $(s + 1)\alpha = cs + (s + 1 - c)(\alpha - 1) + 1$, or $c = s/(s + 1 - \alpha)$. So $(s + 1 - \alpha) | s$, and as $\alpha \neq 1$, it follows that $s/2 + 1 \leq \alpha$. We noted in the beginning of this section that $\alpha | s$. Hence $\alpha = s$.

Assume next that there is no $(s + 1)$ -substructure through L_1 in \mathcal{S}' . Then either \mathcal{S}' contains an $(s + 1)$ -substructure not through L_1 , or \mathcal{S}' contains no $(s + 1)$ -substructure.

Assume that there is an $(s + 1)$ -substructure contained in \mathcal{S}' . This $(s + 1)$ -substructure in \mathcal{S}' cannot contain the line $\langle x, y \rangle$. So it contains a line N of \mathcal{S} that is skew to $\langle x, y \rangle$. The line N is not contained in π_1 . If N is contained in one of the substructures $S(x_i, L_2)$, with $x_i \in L_1$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, s + 1\}$), then clearly N intersects L_2 and hence also π_1 in a point. If N is not contained in any of the substructures $S(x_i, L_2)$, $x_i \in L_1$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, s + 1\}$), then it contains at most one point of each such substructure $S(x_i, L_2)$. Hence each point of N is contained in a distinct substructure $S(x_i, L_2)$, for $x_i \in L_1$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). As $\pi_1 = S(p, L_2)$, with p a point of the line L_1 , it follows that also in this case N intersects π_1 in a point. Let N' be a line of \mathcal{S} in π_1 , such that N' is skew to N . The $s + 1$ substructures $S(x_i, L_2)$, for $x_i \in L_1$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), coincide with the $s + 1$ substructures $S(x'_i, N')$, for $x'_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). So, replacing L_1 by N and L_2 by N' in the previous part of the proof, we get that $\alpha = s$.

Assume now that there is no $(s + 1)$ -substructure contained in \mathcal{S}' . As \mathcal{S} is a proper $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry and there are no mixed substructures, \mathcal{S} contains an $(s + 1)$ -substructure ρ' . Let $L_{w'}$ be a line of \mathcal{S} through x intersecting ρ' in a point w' . The substructure $S(L_{w'}, y)$ contains the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ of the second type, hence it is an α -substructure. In $S(L_{w'}, y)$ there are $s + 1 - \alpha$ lines of the second type through w' . Let $\langle w', u' \rangle$ be such a line of the second type through w' . Let M_1 and M_2 be two lines of \mathcal{S} through w' in ρ' . Then $S(u', M_1)$ and $S(u', M_2)$ are both α -substructures intersecting ρ' in the lines M_1 and M_2 of \mathcal{S} . Let p' be a point of $S(u', M_2)$, $p' \notin \langle u', w' \rangle$. Let $L_{p'}$ be a line of \mathcal{S} through p' intersecting M_1 in a point different from w' . Let M_3 be a line of \mathcal{S} in $S(u', M_2)$, $p' \notin M_3$. Let \mathcal{P}^* be the set of all the points of \mathcal{S} contained in the substructures $S(x_i, M_3)$, for $x_i \in L_{p'}$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). Let \mathcal{L}^* be the set of all lines intersecting \mathcal{P}^* in at least two points. As before, it follows that all points of \mathcal{S} on the lines that are element of \mathcal{L}^* , are elements of \mathcal{P}^* . Let I^* be the restriction of I to $(\mathcal{P}^* \times \mathcal{L}^*) \cup (\mathcal{L}^* \times \mathcal{P}^*)$. Then, replacing $\mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', I')$ by $\mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, I^*)$, the result follows in the same way as in the above.

We conclude that if there is no mixed substructure, then $\alpha = s$. Now assume that there is a mixed substructure σ in \mathcal{S} . Let p be a point of σ through which there are α lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . As $\alpha < s + 1$, there is a line $\langle p, p' \rangle$ of the second type through p in σ .

Let u be a point of σ through which there are $s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} . As \mathcal{S} is regular with respect to non-collinear points, there cannot be a line of the second type

through u in σ . In particular $u \notin \langle p, p' \rangle$, and hence $\langle p, p' \rangle$ contains exactly one point of each of the $s + 1$ lines through u in σ . It follows that $|\langle p, p' \rangle| = s + 1$.

Counting the lines of \mathcal{S} in σ that intersect $\langle p, p' \rangle$, we get that there are $\alpha(s + 1)$ such lines. These lines are all the lines of \mathcal{S} in σ , because of regularity with respect to non-collinear points. Counting the lines of \mathcal{S} in σ intersecting a line of \mathcal{S} in σ in a point, it follows that there are $c(\alpha - 1) + (s + 1 - c)s + 1$ such lines, for a $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq c \leq s + 1$. As \mathcal{S} satisfies the Pasch axiom, these are all the lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . Hence $\alpha(s + 1) = c(\alpha - 1) + (s + 1 - c)s + 1$, and hence $c = s + 1 - s/(s + 1 - \alpha)$. It follows that $(s + 1 - \alpha) | s$. So $s + 1 - \alpha = s$ or $s + 1 - \alpha \leq s/2$. If $s + 1 - \alpha = s$, then $\alpha = 1$, a contradiction with the assumption. So $s + 1 - \alpha \leq s/2$, and hence $s/2 + 1 \leq \alpha$.

We noted in the above that $\alpha | s$. From $s/2 + 1 \leq \alpha$, it now follows that $\alpha = s$.

□

5 The different types of substructures

Let \mathcal{S} be a proper $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry of order (s, t) , $1 < \alpha < s + 1 < t + 1$, that satisfies the axiom of Pasch, that is regular with respect to non-collinear points and such that there is at least one α -substructure. From lemma 4.1 it follows that $\alpha = s$. We will now count the number of points and lines of \mathcal{S} in an $(s + 1)$ -substructure, an s -substructure and a mixed substructure, assuming that such substructures exist.

By definition, the points and lines of \mathcal{S} in an $(s + 1)$ -substructure form a partial geometry $\text{pg}(s, s, s + 1)$. Therefore we will use from now on the term *projective plane* instead of $(s + 1)$ -substructure. In a projective plane there are $s^2 + s + 1$ points of \mathcal{S} and $s^2 + s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} , and every two points are collinear.

The points and lines of \mathcal{S} in an s -substructure are the points and lines of a partial geometry $\text{pg}(s, s - 1, s)$. Hence we will use the term *dual affine plane* instead of s -substructure. In a dual affine plane π , there are $s^2 + s$ points of \mathcal{S} and s^2 lines of \mathcal{S} . Through each point p of \mathcal{S} in π there is exactly one line of the second type. From the regularity with respect to non-collinear points, it follows that a line of the second type in π contains s points of \mathcal{S} .

A mixed substructure contains exactly one line of the second type. Indeed, let σ be a mixed substructure and let y be a point of \mathcal{S} in σ through which there are s lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . Then σ contains a line $\langle y, z \rangle$ of the second type through y . Let p be a point of σ through which there are $s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} . As \mathcal{S} is regular with respect to non-collinear points, $\langle y, z \rangle$ has exactly one point in common with each of the $s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} through p in σ . Hence $|\langle y, z \rangle| = s + 1$.

Let L be a line of \mathcal{S} in σ . Counting the lines of \mathcal{S} in σ that intersect L , we get that there are $1 + cs + (s + 1 - c)(s - 1) = s^2 + c$ such lines, where c is the number of points of L through which there are $s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . Now we count the number of lines of \mathcal{S} in σ in another way. Through each point of $\langle y, z \rangle$ there are s

lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . Each line of \mathcal{S} in σ intersects $\langle y, z \rangle$, so there are $(s + 1)s$ lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . It follows that $c = s$ or thus L contains exactly one point through which there are s lines of \mathcal{S} in σ . As \mathcal{S} is regular with respect to non-collinear points, this proves that σ contains exactly one line of the second type. Hence σ contains $s^2 + s + 1$ points of \mathcal{S} and $s^2 + s$ lines of \mathcal{S} . From now on we will speak of a *punctured affine plane* instead of a mixed substructure.

6 The characterization of $H_q^{n,m}$ by H. Cuypers

In [3] H. Cuypers characterized the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometry $H_q^{n,m}$. His characterization theorem is in a certain sense more general than ours, but in another sense it is more restrictive. We will explain this more in detail, after a short description of his result.

A *delta space* \mathcal{D} is a partial linear space (that has not necessarily an order), that satisfies the following axiom: for each antiflag (p, L) of \mathcal{D} , p is collinear with no, all but one or all points of L . To exclude some degenerate cases, it is assumed that each line has at least three points.

A subset X of the pointset of a delta space \mathcal{D} is called a *subspace* if each line that contains two points of X , is completely contained in X . A subspace together with all the lines intersecting it in at least two points is again a partial linear space. Subspaces are usually identified with these partial linear spaces. The intersection of a set of subspaces is again a subspace. For a subset X of the point set of a delta space \mathcal{D} , we define $\langle X \rangle$ as the intersection of all the subspaces of \mathcal{D} containing X , and call it the subspace of \mathcal{D} *generated* by X . A *plane* is a subspace generated by two intersecting lines.

If a delta space is embeddable in a projective space, then it satisfies the axiom of Pasch and its planes can be embedded in a projective plane. It follows easily from the previous section that a delta space embedded in a projective plane is isomorphic to a projective plane, a dual affine plane or a projective plane from which a line is removed.

Theorem 6.1 ([3]) *Let \mathcal{S} be a connected partial linear space (which has not necessarily an order). Suppose that all planes of \mathcal{S} are projective or dual affine and that \mathcal{S} contains at least two planes one of them isomorphic to a projective plane. Then \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $H_q^{n,m}$.*

This theorem is stronger than our characterization theorem in the sense that the partial linear space \mathcal{S} is not assumed to have an order. By connectedness it follows immediately that the number of points on a line is a constant and equal to $q + 1$, where q is the order of the projective plane (which exists by assumption). The number of lines through a point is however not assumed to be constant. In our theorem, we start from an $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry, which by definition has an order (s, t) .

Moreover a priori it is possible in theorem 6.1 that for some antiflag (p, L) , $i(p, L) = 0$. For an $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry this cannot occur.

On the other hand, in theorem 6.1 the existence of mixed substructures is excluded, and for this reason the $(q, q + 1)$ -geometry $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$ is not characterized by theorem 6.1. Also, our theorem is for $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometries, we do not assume from the start that $\alpha = s$.

Our characterization theorem can therefore be seen as a certain extension to theorem 6.1, although in some sense theorem 6.1 is more general than ours.

7 A characterization of $\text{H}_q^{n,m}$ and $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$

In this section we prove our main characterization theorem.

Theorem 7.1 *Let \mathcal{S} be a proper $(\alpha, s + 1)$ -geometry of order (s, t) , $1 < \alpha < s + 1 < t + 1$, that satisfies the axiom of Pasch, that is regular with respect to non-collinear points, and such that there is at least one α -subgeometry. Then \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $\text{H}_q^{n,m}$ or $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$.*

Proof. From lemma 4.1 it follows that $\alpha = s$.

Step 1. Let $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle x, z \rangle$ be two distinct lines of the second type. We will construct a projective plane, an affine plane or a dual affine plane through the lines $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle x, z \rangle$, such that the points in this plane are two by two non-collinear in \mathcal{S} .

Note that $y \not\sim z$ in \mathcal{S} . Indeed, if $y \sim z$ then on the line $\langle y, z \rangle$ of \mathcal{S} there are at most $s - 1$ points collinear with x . This is a contradiction as \mathcal{S} is an $(s, s + 1)$ -geometry. In other words, non-collinearity is transitive.

Let L_x be a line of \mathcal{S} through x . The plane $\langle L_x, y \rangle$ is a dual affine plane or a punctured affine plane. As $\langle x, y \rangle$ is contained in $\langle L_x, y \rangle$, it follows that $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s$ or $s + 1$. In the same way it follows that $|\langle x, z \rangle| = s$ or $s + 1$. We consider each possibility separately.

The case $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s$ and $|\langle x, z \rangle| = s$

Let L be a line of \mathcal{S} through x . Then $S(y, L)$ and $S(z, L)$ are dual affine planes. As a dual affine plane contains exactly one line of the second type through x , $S(y, L)$ and $S(z, L)$ are distinct. Let M be a line of \mathcal{S} through y in $S(y, L)$. Let N be a line of \mathcal{S} through z intersecting M in a point, such that $N \not\subset S(z, L)$. Let \mathcal{P}' be the set of points of the substructures $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). As in lemma 4.1 it follows that each line that contains at least two elements of \mathcal{P}' , contains $s + 1$ elements of \mathcal{P}' . Let \mathcal{L}' be the set of lines of \mathcal{S} intersecting \mathcal{P}' in at least two points. Let $\mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \text{I}')$, with I' the restriction of I to $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$.

We will prove that all substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), are dual affine planes. To do so, we first prove that each contain a line of the second type.

By construction y and z belong to S' , and $S(y, L) \neq S(z, L)$. This implies that no two points of the line $\langle y, z \rangle$ belong to the same substructure $S(z_j, L)$, for $z_j \in N$.

Let w be a point of the line $\langle y, z \rangle$ of the second type. If $w \sim x$, then $i(y, \langle w, x \rangle) \leq s - 1$, a contradiction as S is an $(s, s + 1)$ -geometry. Hence w is not collinear with x in S . As w was arbitrarily chosen, no point of $\langle y, z \rangle$ is collinear with x in S .

If $|\langle y, z \rangle| = s + 1$, then each of the substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), contains a line of the second type through x and a point of the line $\langle y, z \rangle$.

Assume now that $|\langle y, z \rangle| = s$. Each of the s substructures $S(L, z_i)$, $z_i \in N$, that contains a point of $\langle y, z \rangle$, contains a line of the second type through x . Let $S(L, z_k)$ be the remaining substructure through L and a point z_k of N . Denote the intersection point of M and L by u . Then $y, z, u \in S(M, N)$. Clearly $u \notin \langle y, z \rangle$, as $u \sim y$ and $\langle y, z \rangle$ is a line of the second type. Moreover $S(M, N)$ is a dual affine plane, as by assumption $|\langle y, z \rangle| = s$. As S is regular with respect to non-collinear points, each of the lines of S through u in $S(M, N)$ intersects the line $\langle y, z \rangle$. Hence $S(L, z_k)$ intersects $S(M, N)$ in the unique line of the second type through u in $S(M, N)$.

We conclude that each substructure $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), contains a line of the second type, where s of these lines are incident with x and one of them is incident with x or u .

Assume first that $s \neq 2$. We will prove that each substructure $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), contains at least two lines of the second type. Let $x' \in L$, $x \neq x' \neq u$. In the dual affine planes $S(y, L)$ and $S(z, L)$, there is a line of the second type through x' . We denote these lines by $\langle x', y' \rangle$ and $\langle x', z' \rangle$ respectively. As non-collinearity is transitive, the line $\langle y', z' \rangle$ is also a line of the second type. Let M' be a line of S through y' in $S(y, L)$ such that $u, x \notin M'$. Let N' be a line through z' intersecting M' in a point, such that N' is skew to L . Then in the same way as we did above (replace x, M, N, y, z by x', M', N', y', z'), it follows that either all $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), contain a line of the second type through x' , or s of them contain a line of the second type through x' and the remaining one contains a line of the second type through u' , where $\{u'\} = L \cap M'$. From the construction it follows that $u' \in \{x, x', u\}$. In either case, all $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), contain at least two distinct lines of the second type and hence it are all dual affine planes.

Assume next that $s = 2$. By assumption $S(y, L)$ and $S(z, L)$ are dual affine planes. Let $S(p, L)$, for $p \in N$, be the remaining substructure through L in S' .

Assume that there are three lines L, L' and L'' of S through x in $S(p, L)$. Then $S(y, L)$, $S(y, L')$ and $S(y, L'')$ contain the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ of the second type, and

hence it are dual affine planes. A dual affine plane contains $s^2 + s = 6$ points, so we get that $|\mathcal{P}'| = 14$. However $S(y, L)$ and $S(z, L)$ contain together 9 different points of \mathcal{P}' . So $S(p, L)$ has to contain 8 points of \mathcal{S} . This is a contradiction, as a substructure $S(L, M)$ contains at most $s^2 + s + 1 = 7$ points of \mathcal{S} . So $S(p, L)$ contains a line of the second type through x .

Let x' be a point of L , $x' \neq x$. In the same way as above we prove that there is a line of the second type through x' in $S(p, L)$. Hence $S(p, L)$ contains at least two lines of the second type. This proves that $S(p, L)$ is a dual affine plane. So each substructure $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), is a dual affine plane.

Now we will prove that all the substructures contained in S' are dual affine planes.

Assume first that there would be a projective plane ρ contained in S' . From the above, it follows that ρ does not contain the line L . By definition of S' , each point of ρ is contained in one of the substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). Clearly ρ contains at least two points of a $S(L, z_j)$, for $z_j \in N$, as the $s^2 + s + 1$ points of \mathcal{S} in ρ are contained in the $s + 1$ substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). The line through these two points intersects L in a point r . So $\rho \cap L = \{r\}$ and ρ intersects each $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$) in a line of \mathcal{S} .

Let w be a point of L , $w \neq r$. Each substructure $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), is a dual affine plane, and hence it contains s lines of S' through w . Counting the lines of S' through w , we get that there are $(s + 1)(s - 1) + 1 = s^2$ such lines.

A line through w and a point of ρ contains two points of S' , hence it is a line of S' . Conversely each line L_w of S' through w intersects ρ . Indeed, L_w is contained in a substructure $S(L, z_j)$, for $z_j \in N$. We proved above that ρ intersects $S(L, z_j)$ in a line of \mathcal{S} , and that in $S(L, z_j)$ each two lines of \mathcal{S} intersect. It follows that the s^2 lines of \mathcal{S} through w in S' intersect ρ in a point.

The projective plane ρ contains $s^2 + s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} . Assume that c of these lines contain s points collinear with w . Counting the flags (p, L_p) , for $p \in \rho$ a point of \mathcal{S} collinear with w and L_p a line of \mathcal{S} in ρ , we get that $cs + (s^2 + s + 1 - c)(s + 1) = (s + 1)s^2$, or thus $c = s^2 + 2s + 1$. This is a contradiction, as by definition $c \leq s^2 + s + 1$. This proves that there is no projective plane contained in S' .

Assume next that there is a punctured affine plane σ contained in S' . Then σ contains exactly one line $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ of the second type, with $|\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle| = s + 1$. From the above we know that L is not contained in σ . As in the case of a projective plane, one proves that σ contains a point r' of L . Let u be a point of L , $u \neq r'$. Through u there are s^2 lines of \mathcal{S} contained in S' , being the s lines through u in each dual affine plane $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). Clearly there are $s + 1$ lines through r' in σ , as $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ cannot be contained in a dual affine plane. Each of these lines is contained in a different $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). Now all lines through u in S' are contained in the $S(L, z_i)$, for

$z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), and so they intersect a line of σ through r' in a point. Hence all lines through u intersect σ .

There are $s^2 + s$ lines of S in σ . Let c be the number of lines of σ on which there are s points collinear with u . Let a be the number of points of σ , collinear with u , through which there are s lines of S in σ . Counting the flags (p, L_p) , for $p \in \sigma$ a point of S collinear with u and L_p a line of S in σ , we get that $cs + (s^2 + s - c)(s + 1) = as + (s^2 - a)(s + 1)$, or thus $c = s^2 + s + a$. By definition $c \leq s^2 + s$, and hence $a = 0$. This implies that no point of the line $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ of the second type is collinear with u .

Let u' be a point of L , $r' \neq u' \neq u$. In the same way as above we get that no point of $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ is collinear with u' . As $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ is contained in S' , it follows that $w_1 \in P'$. So $w_1 \in S(L, z_k)$, for a $z_k \in N$. Hence the dual affine plane $S(L, z_k)$ contains two lines of the second type through w_1 , namely $\langle w_1, u \rangle$ and $\langle w_1, u' \rangle$. This is a contradiction. Hence there cannot be a punctured affine plane contained in S' .

We conclude that each substructure contained in S' is a dual affine plane. There are $s + 1$ dual affine planes through L in S' . In each of them there is one line of the second type through x containing s points, so in total there are $(s + 1)(s - 1) = s^2 - 1$ points of S' that are not collinear with x . Now let P^* be the set of these $s^2 - 1$ points of S' , together with x . As non-collinearity is transitive, every two elements of P^* are non-collinear in S . As S' contains only dual affine planes, each line (of the second type) containing two elements of P^* , contains s elements of P^* . Let \mathcal{L}^* be the set of lines of the second type containing at least two elements of P^* . Let I^* be the natural incidence relation. Then $S^* = (P^*, \mathcal{L}^*, I^*)$ is a $2 - (s^2, s, 1)$ design, i.e. an affine plane of order s . As an affine plane is generated by any of its triangles, S^* is independent of the choice of S' .

The case $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s + 1$ and $|\langle x, z \rangle| = s + 1$

Let L be a line of S through x . Then $S(y, L)$ and $S(z, L)$ are punctured affine planes. Let M be a line of S through y in $S(L, y)$, with $M \cap L = \{u\}$. Let N be a line of S through z intersecting M in y' , $y' \neq u$. Let $S' = (P', \mathcal{L}', I')$ be the incidence structure defined as follows: P' is the set of points of S in the substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), \mathcal{L}' is the set of lines of S containing at least two elements of P' , and I' is the restriction of I to $(P' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times P')$.

Each point of the line $\langle y, z \rangle$ is contained in a distinct substructure $S(L, z_i)$, for a $z_i \in N$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, s + 1\}$). Because of the transitivity of non-collinearity, each point of the line $\langle y, z \rangle$ is not collinear with x . Hence at least s substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), contain a line of the second type through x .

If at least two substructures $S(L, z_j)$ and $S(L, z_k)$, for $z_j, z_k \in N$, $z_j \neq z_k$, are dual affine planes, then from the previous case it follows that each substructure

in S' is a dual affine plane. This is a contradiction, as $S(L, z)$ is a punctured affine plane.

Hence at most one of the $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), is a dual affine plane, at least $s - 1$ of these substructures are punctured affine planes, and at most one of these substructures is a projective plane. We deal with each of the possibilities separately.

Assume that exactly one of the substructures $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), is a dual affine plane and exactly one of them is a projective plane. In a dual affine plane there are $s^2 + s$ points of S , in a projective plane and a punctured affine plane there are $s^2 + s + 1$ points of S . So $|\mathcal{P}'| = s^3 + s^2 + s$.

The line $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a line of the second type contained in a punctured affine plane through L . Let $M_1, \dots, M_{s+1} = L$ be the $s + 1$ lines of S through x in the projective plane through L in S' . Then each $S(y, M_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$) is a punctured affine plane, as $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s + 1$. Clearly each point of $S(y, M_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), is contained in S' . Counting again the points of S' , we get that $|\mathcal{P}'| \geq s^3 + s^2 + s + 1$, a contradiction.

Assume that exactly one of the substructures $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), is a projective plane and none of them is a dual affine plane. The existence of a projective plane $S(\bar{z}, L)$, $\bar{z} \in N$, shows that $|\langle y, z \rangle| = s$. Now let M_z be a line of S through z in $S(z, L)$, with $M_z \cap L = \{u\}$. Then $S(y, M_z)$ is a dual affine plane. So through u there are s lines of S in $S(y, M_z)$ and each of these lines contains a point of $\langle y, z \rangle$. The line of the second type through u in $S(y, M_z)$ therefore has to be contained in $S(\bar{z}, L)$. This is a contradiction, as a projective plane contains no lines of the second type.

Assume that all the substructures $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), are punctured affine planes. Counting the points of S' we get that $|\mathcal{P}'| = s^3 + s^2 + s + 1$.

Let $L_1 = L, \dots, L_s$ be the s lines of S through x in $S(L, z)$. Then $S(y, L_i)$ are s punctured affine planes. Together they contain $s^3 + s + 1$ points of S' . Hence exactly s^2 points of S' are not contained in a punctured affine plane $S(y, L_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$). Let \mathcal{P}^* be the set of these s^2 points and the $s + 1$ points of the line $\langle x, y \rangle$.

We will prove that the points of \mathcal{P}^* are two by two non-collinear. Let therefore p be a point of \mathcal{P}^* and assume that $p \sim y$. Clearly $p \notin \langle x, y \rangle$. By definition of \mathcal{P}^* , the line $\langle y, p \rangle$ intersects $S(z, L)$ in a point of the line $\langle x, z \rangle$ of the second type. However, this implies that $i(x, \langle y, p \rangle) \leq s - 1$, a contradiction as S is an $(s, s + 1)$ -geometry. Hence all points of \mathcal{P}^* are non-collinear with y . By transitivity of the non-collinearity, it follows that every two points of \mathcal{P}^* are non-collinear in S . This implies that \mathcal{P}^* is the set of all points of S' not collinear with x , union $\{x\}$. As $|\mathcal{P}^*| = s^2 + s + 1$, in each $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), exactly s points are not collinear with x .

We will now prove that S' contains no dual affine planes. Assume therefore that S' contains a dual affine plane π . By definition of S' , the $s^2 + s$ points of S'

in π are contained in the substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$). So there is a substructure $S(L, z_k)$, for $z_k \in N$, that contains two points of π . The line through these two points intersects L in a point u . Hence $\pi \cap L = \{u\}$. As π is a dual affine plane, we know that there is a line $\langle u, w \rangle$ of the second type through u , with $|\langle u, w \rangle| = s$. But $u \in L$, so $\langle u, w \rangle$ is contained in an $S(L, z_j)$, for a $z_j \in N$. This is in contradiction with the assumption. So S' cannot contain a dual affine plane. It follows that each line of the second type contained in S' , contains $s + 1$ points of S' .

We define $S^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, \mathbf{I}^*)$ as follows: \mathcal{P}^* is as above, \mathcal{L}^* is the set of lines (of the second type) containing at least two points of \mathcal{P}^* , and \mathbf{I}^* is the natural incidence relation. Note that \mathcal{L}^* is well defined because of the transitivity of non-collinearity. It is easy to see that S^* is a $2 - (s^2 + s + 1, s + 1, 1)$ -design, i.e. a projective plane. As a projective plane is defined by any three of its points, S^* does not depend on the choice of S' .

Assume that exactly one of the substructures $S(z_i, L)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$) is a dual affine plane and none of them is a projective plane. We will prove that through each point of S' there is a line of the second type on which there are s points of S' . Let π be the dual affine plane through L . Through each point of π there is a line of the second type in π that contains s points of S . Now let $p \in S'$, $p \notin \pi$. By definition of S' , p belongs to a punctured affine plane $S(z_j, L)$, for $z_j \in N$. So there is a line L_p through p intersecting L in a point u . Let $\langle u, u' \rangle$ be the line of the second type through u in π . Then $S(L_p, u')$ is a dual affine plane through p . So $S(L_p, u')$ contains a line of the second type through p on which there are s points of S' . This shows that through each point of S' there is a line of the second type containing s points of S .

Now assume that there would be two lines of the second type containing s points of S through a point $w \in S'$. Let L_w be a line of S' through w . Then through L_w there are two dual affine planes contained in S' . From the first part of the proof, it follows that all substructures contained in S' are dual affine planes, a contradiction. Hence through each point of S' there is exactly one line of the second type that contains s points of S' .

Note that the line of the second type in each of the punctured affine planes through L in S' is incident with x . For the punctured affine planes containing a point of $\langle y, z \rangle$, this follows from transitivity of non-collinearity. Moreover if $|\langle y, z \rangle| = s$ and there would be a punctured affine plane $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$, that contains no point of $\langle y, z \rangle$, then π would contain a point y' of $\langle y, z \rangle$. So the lines $\langle y', x \rangle$ and $\langle y', z \rangle$ are two lines of the second type through y' containing s points of S' , a contradiction with what we proved above.

Let \mathcal{P}^* be the set of points of S' that are not collinear with x , union the point x . As there are s punctured affine planes and one dual affine plane through L , it follows that there are s lines of the second type through x containing $s + 1$ points of S , while the remaining line of the second type through x contains s points of S . Hence $|\mathcal{P}^*| = s^2 + s$. By transitivity of non-collinearity, we know that every

two points of \mathcal{P}^* are non-collinear.

Now we define an incidence structure $\mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{I}^*)$ as follows: \mathcal{P}^* is the set points of \mathcal{S}' defined above, \mathcal{L}^* is the set of all lines (of the second type) containing at least two points of \mathcal{P}^* , and \mathcal{I}^* is the natural incidence relation. As through each point of \mathcal{S}' there is at most one line of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} , $|\mathcal{P}^*| = s^2 + s$. It follows that through each point of \mathcal{P}^* there is exactly one line of \mathcal{L}^* on which there are s points of \mathcal{S} . Now we add a new point \tilde{w} to \mathcal{P}^* , and we define \tilde{w} to be incident with each line of \mathcal{L}^* that contains s points of \mathcal{S} . Then clearly this new incidence structure is a $2 - (s^2 + s + 1, s + 1, 1)$ design, i.e. a projective plane. As \tilde{w} was not a point of \mathcal{S} , it follows that $\mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{I}^*)$ is a dual affine plane. A projective plane is uniquely defined by any three of its points, hence \mathcal{S}^* is independent of the choice of \mathcal{S}' .

The case $|\langle x, y \rangle| = s$ and $|\langle x, z \rangle| = s + 1$

Let L be a line of \mathcal{S} through x . Then $S(L, y)$ is a dual affine plane, and $S(L, z)$ is a punctured affine plane. As is done in the previous two cases, we can construct a line N of \mathcal{S} that intersects both $S(L, y)$ and $S(L, z)$ in a point not on L . Let \mathcal{P}' be the set of all the points contained in the substructures $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$).

If there are two dual affine planes or two punctured affine planes $S(L, z_i)$ and $S(L, z_j)$, for $z_i, z_j \in N$, $z_i \neq z_j$, then we can apply one of the previous cases. So we may assume that all the substructures through L and a point of N , except for $S(L, y)$ and $S(L, z)$, are projective planes. However we proved above that at most one substructure $S(L, \tilde{z})$, for $\tilde{z} \in N$, is a projective plane. Hence $s = 2$.

Let $L_1 = L$, L_2 and L_3 be the three lines of \mathcal{S} through x in $S(L, \tilde{z})$. The substructures $\langle y, L_i \rangle$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$), contain the line $\langle x, y \rangle$ of the second type, with $|\langle x, y \rangle| = 2$, hence it are all dual affine planes. It follows that $|\mathcal{P}'| = 14$. The substructures $S(z, L_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) contain the line $\langle x, z \rangle$ of the second type, with $|\langle x, z \rangle| = 3$, hence it are all punctured affine planes. So $|\mathcal{P}'| = 15$. This is a contradiction. Hence this case does not occur.

We now studied all the possibilities. The incidence structures $\mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{I}^*)$, with \mathcal{P}^* as defined above, will be called planes of type IV, V and VI, when \mathcal{S}^* is respectively a projective plane, an affine plane and a dual affine plane.

Step 2. We define parallelism among the lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} as follows. Two lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} are parallel if they coincide or if they are disjoint subsets of a dual affine plane or a plane of type V or VI.

Clearly the parallelism defined in this way is reflexive and symmetric. It remains to prove that it is also transitive. Let therefore $\langle x, y \rangle$, $\langle u, v \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ be three lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} . Suppose that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is parallel to $\langle u, v \rangle$, that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is parallel to $\langle p, w \rangle$. If two of these lines coincide, then it follows immediately that $\langle u, v \rangle$ is parallel to $\langle p, w \rangle$. So we may assume that no two of them coincide.

From the definition of parallelism, it follows that the lines $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ are both contained in a dual affine plane, a plane of type V or a plane of type VI. Similarly, the lines $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ are both contained in a dual affine plane, a plane of type V or a plane of type VI. We have to consider three cases.

Assume that both the plane containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ and the one containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ are dual affine planes. Let π_1 (respectively π_2) be the dual affine plane containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ (respectively $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$). If $\pi_1 = \pi_2$, then $\langle u, v \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ are contained in the dual affine plane π_1 . By definition of parallelism it follows that $\langle u, v \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ are parallel. So we may assume that $\pi_1 \neq \pi_2$. In this case the lines $\langle u, v \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ are clearly disjoint.

Let M be a line of \mathcal{S} in π_1 and let N be a line of \mathcal{S} in π_2 skew to M . We define an incidence structure $S' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', I')$ as follows: \mathcal{P}' is the set of points contained in the substructures $S(M, z_i)$, with $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$); \mathcal{L}' is the set of lines containing at least two (and hence $s + 1$) points of \mathcal{P}' , I' is the restriction of I to $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$. By construction π_1 and π_2 are contained in S' .

Let L_p be a line of \mathcal{S} through p in π_2 . Then L_p intersects $\langle x, y \rangle$ in a point w' . Let $L_{w'}$ be a line of \mathcal{S} in π_1 through w' . The substructure $S(L_p, L_{w'})$ is a dual affine plane, a projective plane or a punctured affine plane. So it contains s or $s + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} through p and at most one line of the second type. We denote these lines by $N_1 = L_p, \dots, N_{s+1}$, where N_{s+1} can be a line of \mathcal{S} or a line of the second type. The substructures $S(w, N_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) are s dual affine planes, as each of them contains the line $\langle p, w \rangle$. The substructure $S(w, N_{s+1})$, is a dual affine plane, a plane of type V or VI.

Clearly $S(w, N_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$) intersect π_1 in a line. Assume that $S(w, N_j)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, s + 1\}$ intersects π_1 in a line L of \mathcal{S} . Then L intersects $\langle x, y \rangle$ in a point u' . As $\langle p, w \rangle$ has to intersect each line of \mathcal{S} in $S(w, N_j)$, $\langle p, w \rangle$ intersects L and hence $\langle x, y \rangle$ in the point u' . This is a contradiction as $\langle p, w \rangle$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$ are parallel. The plane π_1 contains exactly $s + 1$ lines of the second type. Hence $\langle u, v \rangle$ is one of the lines of the second type contained in either $S(w, N_k)$, for a $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, or in the plane $S(w, N_{s+1})$, which can be a dual affine plane, or a plane of type V or VI. By definition of parallelism, it follows in each case that $\langle p, w \rangle$ is parallel to $\langle u, v \rangle$.

Assume that the plane containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ is a dual affine plane but the plane containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ is not a dual affine plane. Then $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ are contained in a plane of type V or type VI. We call this plane of type V or VI the plane ω , while we call the dual affine plane through $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ the plane π . Let N be a line of \mathcal{S} in π . Let M be a line of \mathcal{S} through the point $p \in \omega$ that intersects N in a point. Let M' be a line of \mathcal{S} intersecting both $S(M, x)$ and $S(M, y)$ in a point not on M . Let $S' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', I')$ be the incidence structure defined as follows: \mathcal{P}' is the set of points of \mathcal{S} contained in the substructures $S(M, x_i)$, for $x_i \in M'$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$), \mathcal{L}' is the set of lines of \mathcal{S} containing at least two points of \mathcal{P}' and I' is the restriction of I to $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$. Then

S' contains both π and ω , as it contains three points of each of them.

The line $\langle x, p \rangle$ is a line of the second type through x in ω . Let N_x be a line of S through x in π . Then $S(p, N_x)$ is a dual affine plane or a punctured affine plane. So p is collinear with the s points of N_x different from x . Let M_1, \dots, M_s be the s lines of S through p and a point of N_x . Then each $S(w, M_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) is a dual affine plane containing the line $\langle p, w \rangle$, and as in the previous case one proves that each such substructure intersects π in a line of the second type different from $\langle x, y \rangle$. Now in the dual affine plane π there are exactly $s + 1$ lines of the second type. So $\langle u, v \rangle$ has to be one of the lines contained in a dual affine plane $S(w, M_i)$, for a $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. So $\langle w, p \rangle$ is parallel to $\langle u, v \rangle$.

Assume that none of the two planes containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ respectively containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ is a dual affine plane. In this case the points of the lines $\langle x, y \rangle$, $\langle p, w \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ belong to an equivalence class C of non-collinear points of S . Suppose that $z \notin C$. Then z is collinear with each point of C . In particular z is collinear with x . The plane $S(\langle z, x \rangle, y)$ is a dual affine plane. It contains a line of the second type through z that is parallel to $\langle x, y \rangle$. We denote this line by $\langle z, z' \rangle$. From the preceding case it follows that $\langle z, z' \rangle$ is parallel to both $\langle u, v \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$, and these lines are two by two disjoint.

As by assumption the plane containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ is not a dual affine plane, the line $\langle x, p \rangle$ is a line of the second type. So $S(\langle z, x \rangle, p)$ is a dual affine plane or a punctured affine plane. Let L_p be a line of S through p in $S(\langle z, x \rangle, p)$. Let L_y be a line of S through y in $S(\langle z, x \rangle, y)$, such that L_y is skew to L_p . Then we can again define an incidence structure $S' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', I')$ as follows: \mathcal{P}' is the set of points contained in the $S(L_p, z_i)$, with $z_i \in L_y$ ($i = 1, \dots, s + 1$); \mathcal{L}' is the set of lines containing at least two (and hence $s + 1$) points of \mathcal{P}' , I' is the restriction of I to $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$. As the plane containing $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ is a plane of type V or VI, and as from the above we know that there is exactly one plane of type V or VI in S' through the point p , it follows that the plane containing $\langle z, z' \rangle$ and $\langle p, w \rangle$ is a dual affine plane. In the same way one proves that $\langle z, z' \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ are contained in a dual affine plane. From a preceding case it now follows that $\langle p, w \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ are parallel.

So we proved that the parallelism defined above is an equivalence relation. Note that each parallel class is a partition of the point set of S . The parallel classes, which we denote by $[\langle u, v \rangle]$, are called points of the second type, and the set of these classes is denoted by \mathcal{P}^* .

Step 3. We will define parallelism among the planes of type V.

Suppose that ω is a plane of type V. Let x be a point of S , $x \notin \omega$. As the parallel classes of the lines of the second type containing s points of S , partition the points of S , there are $s + 1$ lines $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$ that are parallel to lines of ω . We will prove that the lines $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$ are contained in a plane of type V.

Assume first that there is a line L of S containing x and a point u of ω . Let $\langle u, u' \rangle$ and $\langle u, u'' \rangle$ be two lines through u in ω . Then $|\langle u, u' \rangle| = s$ and

$|\langle u, u'' \rangle| = s$. Hence $S(L, u')$ and $S(L, u'')$ are dual affine planes. Let N be a line of \mathcal{S} intersecting $S(L, u')$ and $S(L, u'')$ in a point not on L . We define an incidence structure $\mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', I')$ as follows: \mathcal{P}' is the set of points of \mathcal{S} contained in $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s+1$), \mathcal{L}' is the set of lines of \mathcal{S} containing at least two (and hence $s+1$) points of \mathcal{P}' and I' is the restriction of I to $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$.

It is clear that x belongs to \mathcal{S}' . Also $S(L, u')$ and $S(L, u'')$ belong to \mathcal{S}' and hence \mathcal{S}' contains three distinct points u, u' and u'' of ω . This shows that ω is contained in \mathcal{S}' .

From the previous part of the proof, it follows that each substructure $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$ ($i = 1, \dots, s+1$), is a dual affine plane. As ω is contained in \mathcal{S}' , each $S(L, z_i)$ intersects ω in a line $\langle u, p_i \rangle$ of the second type through u . Hence each dual affine plane $S(L, z_i)$ contains a line of the second type through x parallel to $\langle u, p_i \rangle$. As $\langle x, y_i \rangle$ is the unique line through x parallel to $\langle u, p_i \rangle$, $\langle x, y_i \rangle$ is contained in $S(L, z_i)$. Hence the lines $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$ are each contained in a distinct dual affine plane $S(L, z_i)$, for $z_i \in N$.

Let \mathcal{P}'' be the set of points of \mathcal{S}' that are not collinear with x , union $\{x\}$. Then \mathcal{P}'' is the set of points of the lines $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$. Let \mathcal{L}'' be the set of lines of the second type containing at least two points of \mathcal{P}'' . Let I'' be the restriction of I' to $(\mathcal{P}'' \times \mathcal{L}'') \cup (\mathcal{L}'' \times \mathcal{P}'')$. Then \mathcal{S}'' is a plane of type V. So we have proved that there is a plane of type V through x , with point set the points of the lines $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$.

Next we assume that there is no line of \mathcal{S} through x and a point of ω . In this case x and the points of ω belong to an equivalence class C of non-collinear points in \mathcal{S} . Suppose that $v \notin C$. Then v is collinear with x and with each point of ω . Let $\langle v, z_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v, z_{s+1} \rangle$ be the lines containing v and parallel to $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$. From the preceding case it follows that the points on these lines are the points of a plane of type V. Indeed, $\langle v, z_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v, z_{s+1} \rangle$ are parallel to lines of ω and v is collinear in \mathcal{S} with each point of ω . As $\langle v, x \rangle$ is a line of \mathcal{S} , the same argument shows that the points on $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$ are the points of a plane of type V.

So we have proved that the points of the lines $\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_{s+1} \rangle$ are the points of a plane of type V. We define parallelism among planes of type V as follows: two planes ω and ω' of type V are parallel if some line of the second type in ω is parallel to a line of the second type in ω' . From the definition of parallelism for lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} , it follows that the new defined parallelism is an equivalence relation. Each parallel class of planes of type V partitions the point set of \mathcal{S} . The parallel classes, which we denote by $[\omega]$, are called lines of the third type, and the set of these classes is denoted by \mathcal{L}^* .

Step 4. We introduce a new incidence structure $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = (\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{I})$, with $\bar{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}^*$, with $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ the set of all lines of \mathcal{S} , all lines of the second type and all lines of the third type and with incidence relation \bar{I} defined as follows.

1. for $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$: $x \bar{I} L \iff x I L$;
2. for $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\langle y, z \rangle$ a line of the second type $x \bar{I} \langle y, z \rangle \iff x \in \langle y, z \rangle$;
3. for $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $[\omega] \in \mathcal{L}^*$: x is not incident with $[\omega]$;
4. for $[\langle y, z \rangle] \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$: $[\langle y, z \rangle]$ is not incident with L ;
5. for $[\langle y, z \rangle] \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ a line of the second type:
 - * If $|\langle u, v \rangle| = s$: $[\langle y, z \rangle] \bar{I} \langle u, v \rangle \iff \langle u, v \rangle \in [\langle y, z \rangle]$;
 - * If $|\langle u, v \rangle| = s + 1$: $[\langle y, z \rangle]$ is not incident with $\langle u, v \rangle$;
6. $[\langle y, z \rangle] \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $[\omega] \in \mathcal{L}^*$: $[\langle y, z \rangle] \bar{I} [\omega] \iff \langle y, z \rangle$ is parallel to a line in ω .

Step 5. We prove that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is the design of points and lines of a projective space.

We first prove that each two distinct points of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ are incident with exactly one line of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$.

Assume that $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$, $p_1 \neq p_2$. Then either p_1 is collinear in \mathcal{S} with p_2 , in which case the line of \mathcal{S} through p_1 and p_2 is the unique line of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ through p_1 and p_2 ; or p_1 and p_2 are not collinear in \mathcal{S} , in which case the line of the second type containing them is the unique line of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ through p_1 and p_2 .

Assume that $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}$, $[\langle x, y \rangle] \in \mathcal{P}^*$. In this case the unique line of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ through p_1 and $[\langle x, y \rangle]$ is the line of the second type through p_1 that belongs to the parallel class of $\langle x, y \rangle$.

Assume that $[\langle x, y \rangle], [\langle u, v \rangle] \in \mathcal{P}^*$, $[\langle x, y \rangle] \neq [\langle u, v \rangle]$. If $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ have a point in common, then x, y, u, v are contained in a plane ω of type V. Note that ω cannot be a plane of type VI, because in a plane of type VI all lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} belong to the same parallel class. So $[\langle x, y \rangle]$ and $[\langle u, v \rangle]$ are two points of the line $[\omega]$ and there is no other line in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ containing both these points. If $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$ have no point in common, then we can choose a line in $[\langle x, y \rangle]$ that does have a point in common with $\langle u, v \rangle$ (namely the line through u and $[\langle x, y \rangle]$). So the same argument as before shows that $[\langle x, y \rangle]$ and $[\langle u, v \rangle]$ are on exactly one line of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$.

Next we prove that every three distinct points of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, that are not incident with a common element of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$, generate a projective plane. From the definition of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ it follows that a dual affine plane and a punctured affine plane induce projective planes. Also planes of type IV, V and VI are projective planes, containing no lines of \mathcal{S} but lines of the second type and lines of \mathcal{L}^* . We have to consider the following cases.

Assume that $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathcal{P}$. Then clearly there is either a dual affine plane, a projective plane, a punctured affine plane or a plane of type IV, V or VI containing p_1, p_2 and p_3 . Hence in any case p_1, p_2 and p_3 are in a projective plane.

Assume that $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $[\langle x, y \rangle] \in \mathcal{P}^*$. The lines $\langle p_1, [\langle x, y \rangle] \rangle$ and $\langle p_2, [\langle x, y \rangle] \rangle$ are lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} .

If $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$ is a line of S , then the points of S in $S(\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle)$ are the points of a dual affine plane, and hence in \bar{S} it is a projective plane.

If $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing s points of S , then let L_{p_1} be a line of S through p_1 . The substructures $S(L_{p_1}, p_2)$ and $S(L_{p_1}, \langle x, y \rangle)$ are both dual affine planes. Let M be a line of S intersecting $S(L_{p_1}, p_2)$ and $S(L_{p_1}, \langle x, y \rangle)$ in a point not on L_{p_1} . We define an incidence structure $S' = (P', L', I')$ as follows. Let P' be the set of points of S contained in $S(L_p, z_i)$, for z_i a point of M , let L' be the set of lines of S containing at least two points of P' and let I' be the restriction of I to $(P' \times L') \cup (L' \times P')$. As S' contains the dual affine planes $S(L_{p_1}, p_2)$ and $S(L_{p_1}, \langle x, y \rangle)$, one proves as in the first part of the proof that there is a plane of type V through p_1, p_2 and $\langle x, y \rangle$.

If $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing $s + 1$ points of S , then as in the previous case we can define an incidence structure S' . It then follows that there is a plane of type VI through p_1, p_2 and $\langle x, y \rangle$.

Assume that $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathcal{P}^*$. The lines $\langle p, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$ and $\langle p, \langle u, v \rangle \rangle$ are lines of the second type that contain s points of S . We have proved above that there is a line $[\omega]$ of the third type, that contains both $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$. We have also proved that each point of S belongs to a plane ω' of type V with parallel class $[\omega]$. Hence there is a plane ω_p of type V through p with parallel class $[\omega]$. The plane ω_p is a projective plane containing the points $p, \langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$.

Assume that $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle u, v \rangle, \langle p, q \rangle \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Let w be a point of \mathcal{P} . The line through w and $\langle x, y \rangle$ (respectively $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$) is a line of the second type that contains s points of S . Let r_1 (respectively r_2 and r_3) be a point of S on this line, that is distinct from w . Let L be a line of S through w . The substructures $S(L, r_1)$ and $S(L, r_2)$ are both dual affine planes. As before, we can prove that the points w, r_1 and r_2 are contained in a plane ω of type V. Hence $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing s points of S , while $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle p, q \rangle$ are both contained in the line $[\omega]$ that is an element of \mathcal{L}^* . Moreover for each point z of the line $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle, z \in \mathcal{P}$, the line $\langle w, z \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing s points of S and the point $\langle w, z \rangle$ belongs to the line $[\omega]$. Analogously, the substructures $S(L, r_1)$ and $S(L, r_3)$ are both dual affine planes, and hence it follows that the plane through w, r_1 and r_3 is a plane ω' of type V. The line $[\omega']$ is an element of \mathcal{L}^* , and it intersects $[\omega]$ in the point $\langle w, r_1 \rangle$.

Let $\rho = (\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}_w, \mathcal{I}_w)$ be the incidence structure defined as follows. The point set \mathcal{P}_w is the set of points of \mathcal{P}^* that lie on a line of \mathcal{L}^* that intersects both $[\omega]$ and $[\omega']$ in a point, together with the points of the lines of \mathcal{L}^* through $\langle x, y \rangle$ that intersect a line $[\omega'']$ in a point, $[\omega'']$ being a line of \mathcal{L}^* that intersects $[\omega]$ and $[\omega']$ in a distinct point. The line set \mathcal{L}_w is the set of all lines of \mathcal{L}^* containing two points of \mathcal{P}_w . Note that from the definition of \mathcal{P}_w it follows that each point of such a line belongs to \mathcal{P}_w . Finally \mathcal{I}_w is the restriction of I^* to $(\mathcal{P}_w \times \mathcal{L}_w) \cup (\mathcal{L}_w \times \mathcal{P}_w)$.

We will now prove that ρ is a projective plane. Let π be the projective plane through r_1, r_2 and r_3 . Note that we proved in a previous case that π exists. Let z'

be an arbitrary point of \mathcal{S} in the plane π .

If z' is a point of $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$, then we know that the line $\langle w, z' \rangle$ contains a point of the line $[\omega]$, which is a point of \mathcal{P}_w . Assume now that $z' \notin \langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$. The line $\langle z', r_3 \rangle$ either intersects $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ in a point of \mathcal{S} , or it is parallel to $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$, in which case it is a line of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} .

Assume first that $\langle z', r_3 \rangle$ intersects $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ in a point z'' of \mathcal{S} . Then z'' is a point of the plane ω of type V, hence $\langle w, z'' \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} . Also $\langle w, r_3 \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} . Hence the substructures $S(L, z'')$ and $S(L, r_3)$ are both dual affine planes. As we did before, we can prove that the plane through w, z'' and r_3 is a plane ω^* of type V. It follows that $\langle w, z' \rangle$ is a line of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} . The line $[\omega^*]$ intersects $[\omega]$ in the point $[\langle w, z'' \rangle]$, and it intersects $[\omega']$ in the point $[\langle w, r_3 \rangle]$. Hence $[\omega^*]$ is a line of \mathcal{L}_w . The point $[\langle w, z' \rangle]$ lies on this line, hence it is a point of \mathcal{P}_w .

Assume next that $\langle z', r_3 \rangle$ and $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ are parallel. Then these two lines both contain the point $[\langle z', r_3 \rangle]$ of \mathcal{P}^* . The line through w and $[\langle z', r_3 \rangle]$ is a line of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} . Let \bar{z} be a point of \mathcal{S} on this line, $\bar{z} \neq w$. The substructures $S(L, \bar{z})$ and $S(L, r_3)$ are both dual affine planes. It follows that the plane containing w, r_3 and \bar{z} is a plane $\bar{\omega}$ of type V. The line $[\bar{\omega}]$ is an element of \mathcal{L}^* . This line intersects $[\omega]$ in the point $[\langle z', r_3 \rangle]$, while it intersects $[\omega']$ in the point $[\langle w, r_3 \rangle]$. Hence $[\bar{\omega}]$ is an element of \mathcal{L}_w , and the point $[\langle w, z' \rangle]$ is an element of \mathcal{P}_w .

So with each point z of the plane $\langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle$ there corresponds a point $[\langle w, z \rangle]$ of ρ , and this point is unique. This proves that ρ is isomorphic to the projective plane $\langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle$. It follows also that ρ is a projective plane, and hence $\langle \{x, y\}, \{p, q\} \rangle$ and $\langle \{u, v\} \rangle$ generate a projective plane.

We conclude that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is the design of points and lines of a projective space $\text{PG}(n, s)$. As each two distinct points of \mathcal{S}^* generate a line of \mathcal{S}^* , it follows that $\mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{I}^*)$ is the design of points and lines of a projective subspace $\Psi[m]$ of $\text{PG}(n, s)$. As not every line of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ contains a point of \mathcal{S}^* , it is clear that $m \leq n - 2$.

Assume that there is no punctured affine plane. The lines of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ are the lines of \mathcal{S} , the lines of the second type containing s points of \mathcal{S} and a point of \mathcal{S}^* , and the lines of the form $[\omega]$, with ω a plane of type V. So \mathcal{P} is the set of all points of $\text{PG}(n, s) \setminus \Psi[m]$, \mathcal{L} is the set of all lines skew to $\Psi[m]$ and \mathcal{I} is the incidence of $\text{PG}(n, s)$. This proves that \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $\text{HG}_q^{n, m}$, $0 < m < n - 2$.

Assume next that there is a punctured affine plane. Then $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ contains lines on which there are $s + 1$ points of \mathcal{S} , that are not lines of \mathcal{S} . Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all these lines. As the points of \mathcal{S}^* are the points of the subspace $\Psi[m]$, the number of lines of \mathcal{S} through a point of \mathcal{S} that contain a point of \mathcal{S}^* , is a constant. As there are $t + 1$ lines of \mathcal{S} through each point of \mathcal{S} , the number of lines of \mathcal{B} through a point of \mathcal{S} is also a constant.

A punctured affine plane contains exactly one line of \mathcal{B} . Neither a dual affine plane, nor a projective plane can contain a line of \mathcal{B} . Hence a plane that contains two lines of \mathcal{B} , cannot contain a line of \mathcal{S} . This proves that the lines of \mathcal{B} through a point x of \mathcal{S} are the lines through x in an r -dimensional subspace $\Pi_x[r]$ of $\text{PG}(n, s)$, and this subspace contains no lines of \mathcal{S} through x . It immediately follows that the subspace $\Pi_x[r]$ can not contain lines of \mathcal{S} , as otherwise on such a line L of \mathcal{S} there would be no points that are collinear with x , a contradiction as \mathcal{S} is an $(s, s + 1)$ -geometry.

Let y be a point of $\Pi_x[r]$, y different from x . Then the subspace $\Pi_y[r']$ coincides with $\Pi_x[r]$. Indeed, all lines through y in $\Pi_x[r]$ are lines that do not belong to \mathcal{S} , so surely $\Pi_x[r] \subset \Pi_y[r']$. Now assume that $\Pi_y[r']$ is not a subspace of $\Pi_x[r]$. Then $\Pi_y[r']$ would contain a line L of \mathcal{S} through x . By definition of $\Pi_y[r']$, it follows that no point of L is collinear with y in \mathcal{S} , again a contradiction. This proves that $\Pi_y[r'] = \Pi_x[r]$. Hence for every point z of \mathcal{S} , the dimension of $\Pi_z[r']$ is r . We will prove that $\Psi[m] \subset \Pi_z[r]$, for each point z of \mathcal{S} . Indeed, if $\Psi[m]$ would not be contained in $\Pi_z[r]$, then there would be a line N of \mathcal{B} through z and a line $\langle z, u \rangle$, with u a point of $\Psi[m]$, such that the plane through u and N contains a line of \mathcal{S} through z . This is a contradiction, as such a plane cannot exist. Hence $\Psi[m] \subset \Pi_z[r]$, for each point z of \mathcal{S} , and thus $r \geq m + 2$. Two subspaces $\Pi_{p_1}[r]$ and $\Pi_{p_2}[r]$ either coincide, or they have no point of \mathcal{S} in common. Hence the subspaces $\Pi_z[r]$, for $z \in \mathcal{S}$, partition the points of $\text{PG}(n, s) \setminus \Psi[m]$. We conclude that \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $\text{SH}_q^{n,m}$. \square

References

- [1] S. Cauchie. Full embeddings of (α, β) -geometries in projective spaces. Part II. *Discrete Mathematics*, 266(1-3):153–183, 2003. In Proceedings of the 18th British Combinatorial Conference.
- [2] S. Cauchie, F. De Clerck, and N. Hamilton. Full embeddings of (α, β) -geometries in projective spaces. *Europ. J. Comb.*, 23(6):635–646, 2002.
- [3] Hans Cuypers. On delta spaces satisfying Pasch's axiom. *J. Geom.*, 53(1-2):67–75, 1995.
- [4] F. De Clerck and J. A. Thas. Partial geometries in finite projective spaces. *Arch. Math.*, 30:537–540, 1978.
- [5] J. A. Thas and F. De Clerck. Partial geometries satisfying the axiom of Pasch. *Simon Stevin*, 51(3):123–137, 1977/78.