Erratum to "Inducing regularization of graphs, multigraphs and pseudographs" [Ars Combin. 65 (2002) 129–133]

Joanna Górska and Zdzisław Skupień,

Faculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology,
al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: gorska@agh.edu.pl
skupien@agh.edu.pl

For $X \in \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}\}$, let X-graph stand for \mathcal{P} -graph (pseudograph) if $X = \mathcal{P}$, otherwise for \mathcal{M} -graph (multigraph) if $X = \mathcal{M}$. Define X_r^p to be the class of X-graphs F where r is an upper bound on maximum degree and p is the upper bound on the edge multiplicity in F. Given an X-graph G and large enough integers r and p, an r-regular X_r^p -graph F is called X_r^p -regularization (or inducing regularization within X_r^p) of G if F contains G as an induced sub-X-graph.

Given an X-graph G, let F be a smallest inducing X_r^p -regularization of G. Then $V(F) = V(G) \cup U$ where $U := \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$ is a set of t new vertices u_j where $t \geq 0$ is uniquely determined, see Theorem in [1]. Moreover, F is the edge-disjoint union of G, a bipartite V(G)-U multigraph G, and an X-graph G with G with G our aim here is to rectify the construction of G presented in [1] in case G and G are G and G in G are G and G in G being the sum of vertex G deficiencies in G.

Let h and s be nonnegative integers such that $\sigma = ht + s$ with s < t. Then h < r. Moreover, B is constructed so that $\deg_B(u_j) = h + 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ and $\deg_B(u_j) = h$ for $j = s + 1, \ldots, t$. The following description of H is erroneously omitted in $[1, p. 132^5]$:

"Let ${}^p \tilde{K}_t$ be the complete X-graph of order t, with edge multiplicity p, and with p loops at each vertex if $X = \mathcal{P}$ (presence of loops being indicated by tilde). A required H is a factor of ${}^p \tilde{K}_t$ such that $F = G \cup B \cup H$ is an r-regular X-graph. The construction can involve 2-factors (of which some comprise either loops if $X = \mathcal{P}$ or 2-cycles of ${}^p \tilde{K}_t$ if the allowed edge multiplicity is p > 1). In particular, a Hamilton cycle can be used to construct H in case $t > s \geq 2$. Note that ${}^p \tilde{K}_t$ can be represented as the

union of 2-factors and a single 1-factor (or a perfect matching, say M) in case t is even and p is odd. Otherwise, if t is odd or p is even, ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$ is the union of 2-factors. This is easily seen if t=2. Otherwise $t\geq 3$. Then (as proved by Walecki, see Lucas [2, Ch. 6, pp. 162–167]) the complete graph K_t is decomposable into Hamilton cycles if t is odd and into a matching M and Hamilton cycles if t is even. Hence the complete multigraph 2K_t with even t is decomposable into Hamilton cycles because then ${}^2K_t = K_t \oplus K_t$ and, moreover, the two perfect matchings, one each from either K_t , can be chosen so as to make up a Hamilton cycle of 2K_t . In case $K_t = \mathcal{P}_t$ loops of ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$ make up K_t 2-factors. Hence all remaining 2-factors in the decomposition can be assumed to be Hamilton cycles of ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$ (if $K_t = \mathcal{M}_t$).

Recall that in part U the graph B has s vertices of degree h+1 and t-s vertices of degree h. Notice that the parity requirement in Theorem of [1] (namely that (n+t)r be even) implies that $tr-\sigma$, which is to be the sum of vertex degrees in H, is even. Hence if r-h is odd then t-s is even since $t-s=t+th-\sigma=t(h-r+1)+(tr-\sigma)$ is the sum of even summands. On the other hand, if r-h is even then $s=t(r-h)-(tr-\sigma)$ is even, too.

Recall that $r-h \leq p(t-1)$ for $X=\mathcal{M}$ and $r-h \leq p(t+1)$ for $X=\mathcal{P}$. Let r-h be odd. We now get H as the union of a complete matching on the t-s vertices in U of degree h in B and of $\frac{1}{2}(r-h-1)$ 2-factors of ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$. Let r-h be even. If s=0 then H comprises $\frac{1}{2}(r-h)$ 2-factors of the ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$. Otherwise, for $s\geq 2$, let H include a path, P, on t-s+2 vertices such that all t-s vertices in U of degree h in B are inner vertices of P. We next add a complete matching on s-2 remaining vertices in U of degree h+1 in B so that all degrees in U could become h+2. We assume that the path P and the matching in question are extracted from a Hamilton cycle of ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$ where $t>s\geq 2$. We now add $\frac{1}{2}(r-h-2)$ 2-factors of the ${}^p\tilde{K}_t$ in order to complete H."

References

- [1] J. Górska, Z. Skupień, Inducing regularization of graphs, multigraphs and pseudographs, Ars Combin. 65 (2002) 129–133.
- [2] E. Lucas, Récréations Mathématiques, vol. II, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1883.