

Graphs  $K_{1*4,5}$ ,  $K_{1*5,4}$ ,  $K_{1*4,4}$ ,  $K_{2,3,4}$  have  
the property  $M(3)$

D.A. Mojdeh\*, A. Ahmadi Haji<sup>†</sup>,  
H. Abdollahzadeh Ahangar<sup>‡</sup>  
\*Department of Mathematics  
University of Mazandaran  
Babolsar, IRAN

<sup>†</sup>Islamic Azad University, Ghaemshahr Branch, IRAN

<sup>‡</sup> Islamic Azad University, Babol Branch, IRAN

\*dmojdeh@umz.ac.ir

Abdollah Khodkar  
Department of Mathematics  
State University of West Georgia  
Carrollton, GA 30118  
akhodkar@westga.edu

#### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and suppose that for each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , there exists a list of  $k$  colors,  $L(v)$ , such that there is a unique proper coloring for  $G$  from this collection of lists, then  $G$  is called a uniquely  $k$ -list colorable graph. We say that a graph  $G$  has the property  $M(k)$  if and only if it is not uniquely  $k$ -list colorable. M. Ghebleh and E. S. Mahmoodian characterized uniquely 3-list colorable complete multipartite graphs except for the graphs  $K_{1*4,5}$ ,  $K_{1*5,4}$ ,  $K_{1*4,4}$ ,  $K_{2,3,4}$ , and  $K_{2,2,r}$ ,  $4 \leq r \leq 8$ . In this paper we prove that the graphs  $K_{1*4,5}$ ,  $K_{1*5,4}$ ,  $K_{1*4,4}$ , and  $K_{2,3,4}$  have the property  $M(3)$ .

# 1 Introduction

We consider simple graphs which are finite and undirected. We will use standard notations such as  $K_n$  for the complete graph on  $n$  vertices,  $K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n}$  for the complete  $n$ -partite graph in which the  $i$ th part is of size  $m_i$ , and  $K_{s^*r}$  for a complete  $r$ -partite graph in which each part is of size  $s$ . Notations such as  $K_{s^*r, t}$  etc. are used similarly. A  $c$ -coloring (proper  $c$ -coloring) of a graph  $G$  is an assignment of  $c$  different colors to the vertices of  $G$ , such that adjacent vertices have different colors. For the necessary definitions and notations we refer the reader to standard texts, such as [8]. Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $L(v)$  denote a list of colors available for a vertex  $v$  of  $G$ . A *list coloring* from the given collection of lists is a proper coloring  $c$  such that the color of vertex  $v$ ,  $c(v)$ , is in  $L(v)$ . We will refer to such a coloring as an  *$L$ -coloring*. The idea of list colorings of graphs was introduced in Vizing [7] and in Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [1]. We note that a list coloring of  $K_n$  is just a *system of distinct representatives (SDR)* for the collection  $\mathcal{L} = \{L(v) \mid v \in V(K_n)\}$ . Suppose that for each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , there exists a list of  $k$  colors,  $L(v)$ , such that there is a unique proper coloring for  $G$  from this collection of lists, then  $G$  is called a *uniquely  $k$ -list colorable* graph or a  *$UkLC$*  graph for short. We say that a graph  $G$  has the *property  $M(k)$*  ( $M$  for Marshal Hall) if and only if it is not uniquely  $k$ -list colorable. So  $G$  has the property  $M(k)$  if for any collection of lists assigned to its vertices, each of size at least  $k$ , (without loss of generality we can assume that the size of list is  $k$ ) either there is no list coloring for  $G$  or there exist at least two list colorings. The concept of  *$UkLC$*  graphs also arise in finding defining sets for colorings of graphs (see [6]). A minimal defining set of an  $n$ -coloring of  $K_n \times K_n$  is just a *critical set* of a Latin square of order  $n$  (see [4]). Uniquely 2-list colorable graphs have been studied in [2, 5]. In particular, Mahdian and Mahmoodian [5] characterize  *$U2LC$*  graphs as follows:

**Theorem A** *A connected graph  $G$  has the property  $M(2)$  if and only if every block of  $G$  is either a cycle, a complete graph or a complete bipartite graph.*

Ghebleh and Mahmoodian [3] extensively study the unique colorability for complete multipartite graphs. In particular, they characterize  *$U3LC$*  complete multipartite graphs except for the graphs  $K_{2,2,r}$ ,  $4 \leq r \leq 8$ ,  $K_{1^*4,5}$ ,  $K_{1^*5,4}$ ,  $K_{1^*4,4}$ , and  $K_{2,3,4}$ . They prove:

**Theorem B** *If  $G$  is a complete multipartite graph which has an induced*

*UkLC subgraph, then  $G$  is UkLC.*

**Corollary C** *If  $G$  is a complete multipartite graph which has the property  $M(3)$ , then each induced subgraph of  $G$  has the property  $M(3)$ .*

The following problem is stated in [3].

**Problem** *Verify the property  $M(3)$  for the graphs  $K_{2,2,r}$ ,  $4 \leq r \leq 8$ ,  $K_{1*4,5}$ ,  $K_{1*5,4}$ ,  $K_{1*4,4}$ , and  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

In this paper we will show that the graphs  $K_{1*4,5}$ ,  $K_{1*5,4}$ ,  $K_{1*4,4}$ , and  $K_{2,3,4}$ , have the property  $M(3)$ . Note that, without loss of generality, we can assume the size of each list of colors is precisely 3. In order to show that a graph  $G$  has the property  $M(3)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $G$  admits at least two different  $L$ -colorings. Finally,  $||[m]||$  denotes the number of vertices of  $G$  with color  $m$  in an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  for  $G$ .

We make use of the following crucial results in the next sections.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $L$  be a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$  such that  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring  $c$ . Assume for a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  there exists a color  $r \in L(v)$  which is not used in  $c$ . Then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(x) = c(x)$  if  $x \in V(G) \setminus \{v\}$  and  $c'(v) = r$ . ■

**Lemma 2** *Suppose that  $G$  is a complete multipartite graph,  $L$  is a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$ , and that  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring  $c$ . Let  $s \neq t$  be two colors and let  $X = c^{-1}(s)$  and  $Y = c^{-1}(t)$ . If  $t \in \bigcap_{x \in X} L(x)$  and  $s \in \bigcap_{y \in Y} L(y)$  then a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  exists for  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(v) = t$  if  $v \in X$ ,  $c'(v) = s$  if  $v \in Y$  and  $c'(v) = c(v)$  if  $v \in V(G) \setminus (X \cup Y)$ . ■

**Lemma 3** *Let  $\{x_1\}, \dots, \{x_m\}$ , and  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the partite sets of the graph  $G = K_{1*m,n}$  and let  $L$  be a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$*

such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . If for some  $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  we have  $|L(x_i) \cap A| \leq 1$  for all  $i \notin J$ , where  $A = \{c(v_1), c(v_2), \dots, c(v_n)\} \cup \{c(x_i) \mid i \in J\}$ , then  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $S = \{x_i \mid i \notin J\}$ . Then  $G[S]$ , the subgraph induced by  $S$ , is a complete graph and has the property  $M(2)$  by Theorem A. If we put  $L'(x_i) = L(x_i) \setminus A$  then  $|L'(x_i)| \geq 2$  for  $i \notin J$ . So there exists a new  $L'$ -coloring for the subgraph  $G[S]$  which is extendible to  $K_{1*m,n}$ . ■

## 2 The graphs $K_{1*4,5}$ and $K_{1*4,4}$

In this section we show that the graphs  $K_{1*4,5}$  and  $K_{1*4,4}$  have the property  $M(3)$ . Throughout this section we assume  $\{x_1\}, \dots, \{x_4\}$ , and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$  are the partite sets of the graph  $G = K_{1*4,5}$ . Moreover, we assume  $L$  is a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$  such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . The goal is to find an  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $G$ .

In Lemmas 4 and 5 we assume the following properties hold.

1.  $c(x_i) = i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and if  $A = \{c(v_1), \dots, c(v_5)\}$  then  $|A| \geq 2$ .
2. If  $c(v_i) \neq c(v_j)$  for some  $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ , then  $c(v_i) \notin L(v_j)$ .
3. Each color in  $\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$  is used in the  $L$ -coloring  $c$ .

**Lemma 4** *There exists a 2-subset  $E$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , such that  $L(v_i) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $c(v_i) = a$  for some  $i$ . Obviously  $a \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Let  $b \in L(v_i)$  and  $b \neq a$ . If  $b \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  then by Property 3 we must have  $c(v_j) = b$  for some  $j$ . Now  $c(v_i) \neq c(v_j)$  and  $c(v_j) \in L(v_i)$  contradict with Property 2. So  $b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . This forces to have  $|L(v_i) \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\}| = 2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ . So there is a color  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  which appears in at least three of  $L(v_1), L(v_2), L(v_3), L(v_4)$ , and  $L(v_5)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume the color 1 appears in  $L(v_1), L(v_2)$  and  $L(v_3)$ . If  $1 \in L(v_4)$  or  $1 \in L(v_5)$  then it is easy to find  $E$  with the required property. Otherwise

$L(v_4) \cap L(v_5) \cap \{2, 3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a \in L(v_4) \cap L(v_5) \cap \{2, 3, 4\}$ . Then  $E = \{1, a\}$  is the required set. ■

The following lemma shows that  $K_{1+4,5}$  has the property  $M(3)$  under certain conditions.

**Lemma 5** *If  $|L(x_i) \cap A| = 2$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $G = K_{1+4,5}$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, there exists a 2-subset  $E$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $L(v_i) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ . We can assume that  $E = \{1, 2\}$ . If  $1 \in L(x_2)$  and  $2 \in L(x_1)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Now assume that  $1 \notin L(x_2)$  or  $2 \notin L(x_1)$ . Note that if  $1 \in L(x_2)$  or  $2 \in L(x_1)$  then  $|L(x_2) \cap A| \leq 1$  or  $|L(x_1) \cap A| \leq 1$ , respectively. Now we consider three cases.

**Case 1.**  $|L(x_1) \cap A| = 2$ .

Let  $L(x_1) \cap A = \{5, 6\}$ . If  $L(x_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $G$  admits a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  defined by:  $c'(x_2) \in L(x_2) \cap A$ ,  $c'(x_1) \in \{5, 6\} \setminus \{c'(x_2)\}$ ,  $c'(x_3) = 3$ ,  $c'(x_4) = 4$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

If  $L(x_2) \cap A = \emptyset$  then  $L(x_2) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $3 \in L(x_2)$ . If  $a \in L(x_3) \cap A$ , we introduce a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(x_3) = a$ ,  $c'(x_1) \in \{5, 6\} \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $c'(x_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(x_4) = 4$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

If  $L(x_3) \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $L(x_3) \cap \{2, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ . Now if  $2 \in L(x_3)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $4 \in L(x_3)$  we proceed as follows. If  $L(x_4) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(x_4) \in L(x_4) \cap A$ ,  $c'(x_1) \in \{5, 6\} \setminus \{c'(x_4)\}$ ,  $c'(x_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(x_3) = 4$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

If  $L(x_4) \cap A = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{1\}$ .

**Case 2.**  $|L(x_2) \cap A| = 2$ .

An argument similar to that described in Case 1 settles this case.

**Case 3.**  $|L(x_i) \cap A| \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

By the assumptions  $|L(x_3) \cap A| = 2$  or  $|L(x_4) \cap A| = 2$ . So without loss of generality we may assume  $L(x_4) = \{4, s, t\}$ , where  $\{s, t\} \subseteq A$ . Now we consider two subcases.

**Subcase 3.1.**  $A \cap (L(x_1) \cup L(x_2)) = \emptyset$ .

Since  $1 \notin L(x_2)$  or  $2 \notin L(x_1)$  we can assume  $3 \in L(x_1)$  and  $4 \in L(x_2)$ . If  $L(x_3) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(x_1) = 3$ ,  $c'(x_2) = 4$ ,

$c'(x_3) \in L(x_3) \cap A, c'(x_4) \in \{s, t\} \setminus \{c'(x_3)\}$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ . If  $L(x_3) \cap A = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{4\}$ .

**Subcase 3.2.**  $A \cap (L(x_1) \cup L(x_2)) \neq \emptyset$ .

This implies that one of the color lists  $L(x_1)$  or  $L(x_2)$  has a color from set  $A$  and other list has one of the colors 3 or 4. Without loss of generality we assume that  $5 \in L(x_1)$  and  $3 \in L(x_2)$ , where  $5 \in A$ . If  $(L(x_3) \cap A) \setminus \{5\} \neq \emptyset$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(x_1) = 5, c'(x_2) = 3, c'(x_3) \in (L(x_3) \cap A) \setminus \{5\}, c'(x_4) = 4$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

So let  $(L(x_3) \cap A) \setminus \{5\} = \emptyset$ . If  $4 \notin L(x_1) \cup L(x_3)$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{4\}$ . Now let  $4 \in L(x_1) \cup L(x_3)$ . If  $4 \in L(x_3)$ , then we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(x_1) = 5, c'(x_2) = 3, c'(x_3) = 4, c'(x_4) \in \{s, t\} \setminus \{5\}$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

If  $4 \notin L(x_3)$  then  $4 \in L(x_1)$  and  $L(x_3) \cap \{2, 5\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $2 \in L(x_3)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2 since  $3 \in L(x_2)$ . If  $2 \notin L(x_3)$  then  $5 \in L(x_3)$ . Now  $G$  admits a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  defined by:  $c'(x_1) = 4, c'(x_2) = 3, c'(x_3) = 5, c'(x_4) \in \{s, t\} \setminus \{5\}$  and  $c'(v_i) \in \{1, 2\}$ . ■

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 6** *The graph  $K_{1*4,5}$  has the property  $M(3)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_4\}$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$  be the partite sets of the graph  $G = K_{1*4,5}$ . Let  $L$  be a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$  such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . By Lemma 1 we can assume  $L$  has Property 3. Moreover, if  $c(v_i) \neq c(v_j)$  and  $c(v_i) \in L(v_j)$  for some  $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$  then we can define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(u) = c(u)$  if  $u \neq v_j$  and  $c'(v_j) = c(v_i)$ . Therefore, we can also assume  $L$  has Property 2. Now let  $A = \{c(v_1), c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_4), c(v_5)\}$ . If  $|L(x_i) \cap A| \leq 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , then the result follows by Lemma 3 with  $J = \emptyset$ . Finally, if  $|L(x_i) \cap A| = 2$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then the result follows by Lemma 5. This completes the proof. ■

The graph  $K_{1*4,4}$  is an induced subgraph of  $K_{1*4,5}$ . So by Theorem 6 and Corollary C we have the following result.

**Corollary 7** *The graph  $K_{1*4,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$ .*

### 3 The graph $K_{1*5,4}$

In this section we show that the graph  $G = K_{1*5,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$ . Throughout this section we assume  $\{y_1\}, \{y_2\}, \{y_3\}, \{y_4\}, \{y_5\}$  and  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  are the partite sets of the graph  $G$ . Moreover, we assume  $L$  is a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$  such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . The goal is to find an  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $G$ . In Lemmas 8 and 9 we assume the following properties hold.

1.  $c(y_i) = i, 1 \leq i \leq 5, c(w_1) = c(w_2) = 6$  and  $c(w_3) = c(w_4) = 7$ .
2. If  $c(w_i) \neq c(w_j)$  for some  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ , then  $c(w_i) \notin L(w_j)$ .
3. Each color in  $\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$  is used in the  $L$ -coloring  $c$ .

**Lemma 8** *If  $|L(w_1) \cap L(w_2)| = 1$  and  $|L(w_3) \cap L(w_4)| = 1$ , then there exists a 2-subset  $F$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , such that  $L(w_i) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $|L(w_1) \cap L(w_2)| = 1$ , we can assume  $L(w_1) = \{1, 2, 6\}$  and  $L(w_2) = \{3, 4, 6\}$ . This implies that  $L(w_1) \cap L(w_3) \neq \emptyset$  or  $L(w_2) \cap L(w_3) \neq \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $L(w_2) \cap L(w_3) \neq \emptyset$  and  $3 \in L(w_2) \cap L(w_3)$ . If  $\{4, 5\} \not\subseteq L(w_4)$ , since  $|L(w_3) \cap L(w_4)| = 1$ , we must have  $L(w_4) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a \in L(w_4) \cap \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $F = \{a, 3\}$  is the required subset. If  $\{4, 5\} \subseteq L(w_4)$  then  $L(w_3) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $b \in L(w_3) \cap \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $F = \{b, 4\}$  is the required subset. ■

The following lemma shows that  $K_{1*5,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$  under certain conditions.

**Lemma 9** *If  $L(y_j) = \{j, 6, 7\}$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ ,  $|L(w_1) \cap L(w_2)| = 1$  and  $|L(w_3) \cap L(w_4)| = 1$ , then a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  exists for  $G$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 8 there exists a 2-subset  $F$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , such that  $L(w_i) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $F = \{1, 2\}$ . If  $2 \in L(y_1)$  and  $1 \in L(y_2)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. So  $2 \notin L(y_1)$  or  $1 \notin L(y_2)$ . We consider three cases:

**Case 1.**  $L(y_1) = \{1, 6, 7\}$ .

If  $a \in L(y_2) \cap \{6, 7\}$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $c'(y_2) = a$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 5$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

If  $L(y_2) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$  then  $L(y_2) \cap \{3, 4, 5\} \neq \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality let  $3 \in L(y_2)$ . If  $2 \in L(y_3)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Now let  $2 \notin L(y_3)$ . If  $b \in L(y_3) \cap \{6, 7\}$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(y_1) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{b\}$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = b$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 5$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

If  $L(y_3) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$  then  $a \in L(y_3) \cap \{4, 5\}$ . Let  $4 \in L(y_3)$  (the case  $5 \in L(y_3)$  is similar). If  $b \in L(y_4) \cap \{6, 7\}$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(y_1) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{b\}$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_4) = b$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 5$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Now we suppose that  $L(y_4) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ . If  $5 \notin L(y_4)$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{1, 5\}$ . So let  $5 \in L(y_4)$ . If  $a \in L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\}$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(y_1) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 5$ ,  $c'(y_5) = a$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Finally, if  $L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{1\}$ .

**Case 2.**  $L(y_2) = \{2, 6, 7\}$ .

An argument similar to that described in Case 1 takes care of this case.

**Case 3.**  $|L(y_i) \cap \{6, 7\}| \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

We consider two subcases:

**Subcase 3.1.**  $(L(y_1) \cup L(y_2)) \cap \{6, 7\} \neq \emptyset$

Since  $1 \notin L(y_2)$  or  $2 \notin L(y_1)$  it follows that one of the color lists  $L(y_1)$  or  $L(y_2)$  has a color from set  $\{6, 7\}$  and the other list has a color from set  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ . We may assume that  $6 \in L(y_1)$  and  $3 \in L(y_2)$ . If  $7 \in L(y_3)$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 7$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 5$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

If  $7 \notin L(y_3)$ , since  $L(y_i) = \{i, 6, 7\}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , we must have  $L(y_4) = \{4, 6, 7\}$  or  $L(y_5) = \{5, 6, 7\}$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $L(y_5) = \{5, 6, 7\}$ . If  $5 \in L(y_3)$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 5$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 7$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Now let  $5 \notin L(y_3)$ . Consider the following two subcases.

**3.1.1**  $6 \notin L(y_3) \cup L(y_4)$ .

Then  $\{2, 4\} \cap L(y_3) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $2 \in L(y_3)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $4 \in L(y_3)$  and  $a \in L(y_4) \cap \{5, 7\}$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_4) = a$ ,  $c'(y_5) \in \{5, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$  and



$c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

If  $4 \in L(y_3)$  and  $L(y_4) \cap \{5, 7\} = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{1, 5\}$ .

**3.1.2**  $6 \in L(y_3) \cup L(y_4)$ .

Let  $6 \in L(y_3)$ . (The case  $6 \in L(y_4)$  can be settled in a similar fashion.)

If  $5 \in L(y_1)$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 5$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 7$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

If  $5 \notin L(y_1)$  and  $4 \notin L(y_1) \cup L(y_3)$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{4, 5\}$ .

Now let  $5 \notin L(y_1)$  and  $4 \in L(y_1) \cup L(y_3)$ . We only consider the case  $4 \in L(y_1)$ . The case  $4 \in L(y_3)$  can be settled in a similar fashion. If  $a \in \{5, 7\} \cap L(y_4)$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_4) = a$ ,  $c'(y_5) \in \{5, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

If  $\{5, 7\} \cap L(y_4) = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{5\}$ .

**Subcase 3.2.**  $(L(y_1) \cup L(y_2)) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ .

Without loss of generality we assume  $3 \in L(y_1)$  and  $4 \in L(y_2)$ . Now consider two subcases.

**3.2.1.**  $|L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\}| \leq 1$ .

So  $L(y_3) = \{3, 6, 7\}$  or  $L(y_4) = \{4, 6, 7\}$ . Let  $L(y_3) = \{3, 6, 7\}$ . (The case  $L(y_4) = \{4, 6, 7\}$  is similar.) If  $a \in L(y_4) \cap \{6, 7\}$  we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_3) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $c'(y_4) = a$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 5$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Now let  $L(y_4) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ . If  $5 \in L(y_4)$  and  $a \in L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\}$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_3) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 5$ ,  $c'(y_5) = a$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

If  $5 \in L(y_4)$  and  $L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{3\}$ .

If  $5 \notin L(y_4)$  then  $L(y_4) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Now if  $2 \in L(y_4)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $2 \notin L(y_4)$  then  $1 \in L(y_4)$  and  $L(y_4) = \{1, 3, 4\}$ . Now if  $5 \notin L(y_1)$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{3, 5\}$ . So let  $5 \in L(y_1)$ . If  $a \in L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\}$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 5$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_3) \in \{6, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_5) = a$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Finally, if  $L(y_5) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{3\}$ .

**3.2.2.**  $L(y_5) = \{5, 6, 7\}$ .

If  $(L(y_3) \cup L(y_4)) \cap \{6, 7\} = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{5\}$ . Now let  $L(y_3) \cap \{6, 7\} \neq \emptyset$ . (The case  $L(y_4) \cap \{6, 7\} \neq \emptyset$  can be settled in a similar fashion.) Without loss of generality we may assume  $6 \in L(y_3)$ .

If  $a \in L(y_4) \cap \{5, 7\}$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_4) = a$ ,  $c'(y_5) \in \{5, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

Now let  $L(y_4) \cap \{5, 7\} = \emptyset$ . If  $6 \notin L(y_4)$  then  $L(y_4) \cap \{2, 3\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $2 \in L(y_4)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $2 \notin L(y_4)$  then  $3 \in L(y_4)$ . Now if  $5 \notin L(y_1)$  we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{3, 5\}$ . If  $5 \in L(y_1)$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 5$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_3) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_5) = 7$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$ .

If  $6 \in L(y_4)$  and  $\{5, 7\} \cap L(y_3) = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \{5\}$ . If  $6 \in L(y_4)$  and  $a \in \{5, 7\} \cap L(y_3)$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(y_1) = 3$ ,  $c'(y_2) = 4$ ,  $c'(y_3) = a$ ,  $c'(y_4) = 6$ ,  $c'(y_5) \in \{5, 7\} \setminus \{a\}$  and  $c'(w_i) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . This completes the proof. ■

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 10** *The graph  $K_{1*5,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{y_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , and  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  be the partite sets of the graph  $G = K_{1*5,4}$ . Let  $L$  be a 3-list assignment to  $V(G)$  such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . By Lemma 1 we can assume  $L$  has Property 3. Moreover, if  $c(w_i) \neq c(w_j)$  and  $c(w_i) \in L(w_j)$  for some  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  then we can define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by  $c'(u) = c(u)$  if  $u \neq w_j$  and  $c'(w_j) = c(w_i)$ . Therefore, we can also assume  $L$  has Property 2. Let  $A = \{c(w_i) | 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ . If  $|A| = 1$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \emptyset$ . If  $|A| = 3$  or  $|A| = 4$  we add new edges between the vertices with different colors in  $c$ . The resulting graph is  $K_9 \setminus e$  or  $K_9$ , respectively. These graphs have the property  $M(3)$  (see [4]). So there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $G$ . Now let  $|A| = 2$  and  $A = \{6, 7\}$ . We consider two cases:

**Case 1.**  $||6|| = ||7|| = 2$ .

Without loss of generality we can assume  $c(w_1) = c(w_2) = 6$  and  $c(w_3) = c(w_4) = 7$ . Let  $L(w_1) \cap L(w_2) = \{6\}$  and  $L(w_3) \cap L(w_4) = \{7\}$ . If  $L(y_j) = \{j, 6, 7\}$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , the result follows by Lemma 9. If  $|L(y_j) \cap \{6, 7\}| \leq 1$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , we apply Lemma 3 with  $J = \emptyset$ .

Let  $|L(w_1) \cap L(w_2)| > 1$ . Suppose that  $S = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, w_1\}$ . Then  $G[S]$ , the subgraph induced by  $S$ , is a complete graph on six vertices and has the property  $M(2)$  by Theorem A. If we put  $L'(u) = L(u) \setminus \{7\}$  for  $u \in S \setminus \{w_1\}$  and  $L'(w_1) = L(w_1) \cap L(w_2)$ , then  $|L'(u)| \geq 2$  for  $u \in S$ . Therefore, there exists a new  $L'$ -coloring for the subgraph  $G[S]$  which is extendible to  $G$ .

**Case 2.**  $||6|| = 1$  or  $||7|| = 1$ .

Let  $||6|| = 1$  and  $c(w_1) = 6$ . (The case  $||7|| = 1$  is similar to this case.) Suppose that  $S = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, w_1\}$ . Then  $G[S]$ , the subgraph induced

by  $S$ , is a complete graph on six vertices and has the property  $M(2)$  by Theorem A. If we put  $L'(u) = L(u) \setminus \{7\}$  for  $u \in S$  then  $|L'(u)| \geq 2$ . So there exists a new  $L'$ -coloring for the subgraph  $G[S]$  which is extendible to  $G$ . ■

## 4 The graph $K_{2,3,4}$

In this section we show that the graph  $G = K_{2,3,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$ . Throughout this section we assume  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{d, e, f\}$  and  $\{x, y, z, t\}$  are the partite sets of the graph  $G$ . Moreover, we assume  $L$  is a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$  such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . The goal is to find an  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $G$ .

In Lemmas 11-18 we assume the following properties hold.

1.  $|c(\{a, b\})| = |c(\{d, e, f\})| = |c(\{x, y, z, t\})| = 2$ . Moreover,  $c(a) = 1$ ,  $c(b) = 2$ ,  $c(d) = c(e) = 3$  and  $c(f) = 4$ .
2. If  $u$  and  $v$  are two vertices in the same part such that  $c(u) \neq c(v)$  then  $c(u) \notin L(v)$ .
3. Each color in  $\bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$  is used in the  $L$ -coloring  $c$ .
4. Let  $5, 6 \in \{c(x), c(y), c(z), c(t)\}$ . If  $||5|| = ||6|| = 2$ , then we assume that  $c(x) = c(y) = 5$  and  $c(z) = c(t) = 6$ . If  $||5|| = 3$  and  $||6|| = 1$ , then we assume that  $c(x) = c(y) = c(z) = 5$  and  $c(t) = 6$ .

We make use of the following lemma which is similar to Lemmas 4 and 8.

**Lemma 11** *There exists a 2-subset  $F$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $L(v) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . Moreover, if  $|L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) \cap L(t)| \neq 2$  then there exist 2-subsets  $F_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , such that  $L(v) \cap F_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .*

**Proof.** An argument similar to that described in Lemma 4 shows that there exists an  $F_1 = \{r, s\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $L(v) \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

In order to find another 2-subset when  $|L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) \cap L(t)| \neq 2$ , without loss of generality, we may consider two cases. If  $r \in L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$  and  $s \in L(t)$ , we define  $F_2 = \{r, s'\}$ , where  $s' \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{r, s\}$ . If  $r \in L(x) \cap L(y)$  and  $s \in L(z) \cap L(t)$ , we define  $F_2 = \{r', s'\}$  where  $\{r', s'\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{r, s\}$ . ■

Lemmas 12-18 show that the graphs  $K_{2,3,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$  under certain conditions.

**Lemma 12** *If  $4 \in L(a) \cap L(b)$ , then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $L(f) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Otherwise we must have  $L(f) = \{4, 5, 6\}$ . Now if color 4 appears in at least three of the color lists of  $\{x, y, z, t\}$  then the result follows from Lemma 2. Otherwise, we can color the vertices  $x, y, z, t$  with at most two of the three colors 1, 2 and 3, the vertices  $a$  and  $b$  with color 4 and the vertices  $d, e, f$  with colors 5, 6,  $x$ , where  $x \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  is an unused color in partite set  $\{x, y, z, t\}$ . ■

**Lemma 13** *If  $3 \in L(a) \cap L(b)$ , then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $4 \in L(a) \cap L(b)$  then the result follows by Lemma 12. So let  $4 \notin L(a) \cap L(b)$ . This forces  $L(a) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  or  $L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . We assume  $L(a) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  (the case  $L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  is similar). If  $L(d) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(e) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$  we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c'$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $\{c'(d), c'(e)\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$  and  $c'(u) = c(u)$  for  $u \in \{f, x, y, z, t\}$ .

Otherwise,  $L(d) \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$  or  $L(e) \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $L(d) \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$ . This forces  $L(d) = \{3, 5, 6\}$ . We consider three cases.

**Case 1.**  $|L(f) \cap \{5, 6\}| = 1$ .

Assume  $L(f) = \{1, 4, 5\}$  (the cases  $L(f) = \{1, 4, 6\}$ ,  $L(f) = \{2, 4, 5\}$  and  $L(f) = \{2, 4, 6\}$  are similar). Consider the following two subcases.

**Subcase 1.1.**  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ .

We define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $\{c'(d), c'(e), c'(f)\} \subseteq \{5, 6\}$ , and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

**Subcase 1.2.**  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} = \emptyset$ .

This forces  $L(e) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . If  $L(a) \cap L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ , we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) \in \{5, 6\}$ ,  $c'(d) \in \{5, 6\} \setminus \{c'(a)\}$ ,  $c'(e) = c'(f) = 1$  and  $c'(v) \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

Otherwise,  $L(a) \cap L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} = \emptyset$ . If  $||5|| = 3$  (the case  $||6|| = 3$  is similar) we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = 6$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = c'(z) = 5$ ,  $c'(t) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $c'(e) \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{c'(t)\}$  and  $c'(f) \in \{1, 4\} \setminus \{c'(t)\}$ .

Now let  $||5|| = ||6|| = 2$  and consider the following three subcases.

**1.2.1.**  $4 \in L(x) \cap L(y)$ . (The case  $4 \in L(z) \cap L(t)$  is similar.)

We obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c'$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = 5$ ,  $c'(e) = c'(f) = 1$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = 4$  and  $c'(z) = c'(t) = 6$ .

**1.2.2.**  $4 \in (L(x) \cup L(y)) \setminus (L(x) \cap L(y))$ .

We can assume  $4 \in L(x)$  (the case  $4 \in L(y)$  is similar). So  $L(y) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(f) = 5$ ,  $c'(x) = 4$ ,  $c'(y) \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $c'(z) = c'(t) = 6$  and  $c'(e) \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{c'(y)\}$ .

**1.2.3.**  $4 \notin L(x) \cup L(y)$ .

Then  $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap \{1, 2, 3\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ , we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c'$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(f) = 5$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $c'(e) \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{c'(x)\}$  and  $c'(z) = c'(t) = 6$ .

Now let  $3 \in L(x) \cap L(y)$ . If  $4 \in L(z) \cap L(t)$  we use an  $L$ -coloring similar to that given in Subcase 1.2.1. Let  $4 \in L(z)$  and  $4 \notin L(t)$  (the case  $4 \notin L(z)$  and  $4 \in L(t)$  is similar). This forces  $L(t) \cap \{2, 3\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $2 \in L(t)$ , we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = 6$ ,  $c'(e) = c'(f) = 1$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = 5$ ,  $c'(z) = 4$  and  $c'(t) = 2$ .

If  $3 \in L(t)$ , then  $c'(v) \in \{3, 4\}$  for each  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) \in \{5, 6\}$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $c'(d) \in \{5, 6\} \setminus \{c'(a)\}$ ,  $c'(e) = c'(f) = 1$  and  $c'(u) \in \{3, 4\}$  for  $u \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

Finally, if  $4 \notin L(z) \cup L(t)$  then  $L(v) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . On the other hand  $L(b) \cap \{4, 5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . Now if  $L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  then a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  is defined by:  $\{c'(a), c'(b)\} \subseteq \{5, 6\}$ ,  $c'(u) = c(u)$  for  $u \in \{d, e, f\}$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . If  $4 \in L(b)$  then a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  is defined by:  $c'(a) = 1$ ,  $c'(b) = 4$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(f) = 5$ ,  $c'(e) = 2$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = 3$  and  $c'(z) = c'(t) = 6$ .

**Case 2.**  $\{5, 6\} \subseteq L(f)$ .

In this case we have  $L(f) = \{4, 5, 6\}$ . If  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ , we take the new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  given in Subcase 1.1. If  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} = \emptyset$  then we have  $L(e) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Now if  $||5|| = ||6|| = 2$ , then new  $L$ -colorings can

be obtained similar to those described in Subcases 1.2.1, 1.2.2 and 1.2.3. Finally, let  $||5|| = 3$  (the case  $||6|| = 3$ , is similar). If  $4 \in L(t)$  then the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $4 \notin L(t)$ , then  $L(t) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Now we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(f) = 6$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = c'(z) = 5$ ,  $c'(t) \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $c'(e) \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{c'(t)\}$ .

**Case 3.**  $L(f) \cap \{5, 6\} = \emptyset$ .

Then  $L(f) = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Consider two subcases.

**Subcase 3.1.**  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ .

We assume  $5 \in L(e)$  (the case  $6 \in L(e)$  is similar). If  $||5|| = ||6|| = 2$ , we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 5$ ,  $c'(z) = c'(t) = 6$ ,  $\{c'(x), c'(y)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 4\}$  and  $c'(f) \in L(f) \setminus \{c'(x), c'(y)\}$ . Now let  $||5|| = 3$  (the case  $||6|| = 3$  is similar). If  $3 \in L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$ , we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c'$  as follows:  $c'(a) = 1$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 5$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$ ,  $c'(t) = 6$  and  $c'(x) = c'(y) = c'(z) = 3$ .

If  $3 \notin L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$ , we first color the vertices  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  with at most two of the three colors 1, 2 and 4. Then we color the other vertices as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 5$ ,  $c'(t) = 6$  and  $c'(f) \in L(f) \setminus \{c'(x), c'(y), c'(z)\}$ .

**Subcase 3.2.**  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} = \emptyset$ .

Then we have  $L(e) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . If  $||5|| = ||6|| = 2$ , then new  $L$ -colorings are similar to those described in Subcases 1.2.1, 1.2.2. and 1.2.3. If  $||5|| = 3$  (the case  $||6|| = 3$  is similar) we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 3$ ,  $c'(d) = 6$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = c'(z) = 5$  and  $c'(t) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $c'(e) = c'(f) \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{c'(t)\}$ . ■

**Lemma 14** *If  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exist a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $1 \in L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f)$ . If  $L(a) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Otherwise,  $L(a) = \{1, 5, 6\}$ . If  $L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) \in \{5, 6\}$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = c'(f) = 1$ ,  $c'(v) \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  for each  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

Now let  $L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} = \emptyset$ . If color 1 appears in at least three color lists of the vertices  $x, y, z, t$  then the result follows by Lemma 2. Otherwise, we can color the vertices  $x, y, z, t$  with at most two of the three colors 2, 3 and 4, and use a remaining color for vertex  $b$ . Then we color vertex  $a$  with 5 and vertices  $d, e, f$  with 1. This completes the proof. ■

**Lemma 15** *If  $L(a) \cap L(b) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $5 \in L(a) \cap L(b)$ . If  $L(a) \cap L(b) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ , the result follows by Lemmas 12 or 13. If  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ , the result follows by Lemma 14. If  $6 \in L(f)$  a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  can be defined as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 3$ ,  $c'(f) = 6$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  if  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . Now let  $6 \notin L(f)$ . We consider two cases.

**Case 1.**  $5 \notin L(f)$ .

This forces  $L(f) = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . If  $||5|| = ||6|| = 2$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 3$ ,  $c'(z) = c'(t) = 6$  and  $\{c'(x), c'(y), c'(f)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 4\}$ .

Now let  $||5|| = 3$  (the case  $||6|| = 3$  is similar). If  $4 \in L(a) \cup L(b)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $4 \notin L(a) \cup L(b)$ , then we have  $6 \in L(a) \cup L(b)$ . Let  $6 \in L(a)$  (the case  $6 \in L(b)$  is similar.) If  $1 \in L(t)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. If  $4 \in L(t)$ , a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  can be defined by:  $c'(a) = 6$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 3$ ,  $c'(f) = 1$ ,  $c'(x) = c'(y) = c'(z) = 5$  and  $c'(t) = 4$ .

If  $L(t) \cap \{1, 4\} = \emptyset$  then  $L(t) = \{2, 3, 6\}$ . If  $3 \in L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $\{c'(d), c'(e), c'(f)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 4, 6\}$ , and  $c'(v) = 3$  if  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

Finally, if  $3 \notin L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$ , we color the vertices  $x, y$  and  $z$  by at most two of the three colors 1, 2 and 4. Therefore, we can obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c'$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 3$ ,  $c'(t) = 6$ ,  $\{c'(x), c'(y), c'(z)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 4\}$  and  $c'(f) \in L(f) \setminus \{c'(x), c'(y), c'(z)\}$ .

**Case 2.**  $5 \in L(f)$ .

Let  $L(f) = \{1, 4, 5\}$  (the case  $L(f) = \{2, 4, 5\}$  is similar). If  $4 \in L(a)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Let  $4 \notin L(a)$  and consider the following three subcases.

**Subcase 2.1.**  $\{5, 6\} \subseteq L(d) \cap L(e)$ .

We define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 6$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  if  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

**Subcase 2.2.**  $L(d) = \{3, 5, 6\}$  and  $\{5, 6\} \not\subseteq L(e)$ . (The case  $L(e) = \{3, 5, 6\}$  and  $\{5, 6\} \not\subseteq L(d)$  is similar.)

So we have  $L(e) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $1 \in L(e)$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = 6$ ,  $c'(e) = c'(f) = 1$  and  $c'(v) \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  if

$v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

If  $1 \notin L(e)$  then  $2 \in L(e)$  and  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $6 \in L(e)$  a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  is defined by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 6$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  if  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

Now let  $5 \in L(e)$  and consider the following two subcases.

**2.2.1.**  $6 \in L(a) \cup L(b)$ .

Let  $6 \in L(a)$  (the case  $6 \in L(b)$  is similar). Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 6$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = c'(f) = 5$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 3, 4\}$  if  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

**2.2.2.**  $6 \notin L(a) \cup L(b)$ .

Then  $L(a) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(b) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ . This forces  $L(a) = \{1, 3, 5\}$ ,  $L(b) = \{2, 4, 5\}$ ,  $L(d) = \{3, 5, 6\}$ ,  $L(e) = \{2, 3, 5\}$  and  $L(f) = \{1, 4, 5\}$ . Now one can apply Lemma 11 to find a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .

**Subcase 2.3.**  $|L(d) \cap \{5, 6\}| \leq 1$  and  $|L(e) \cap \{5, 6\}| \leq 1$ .

If  $6 \notin L(a) \cup L(b)$  then  $L(v) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{a, b\}$ . Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $\{c'(a), c'(b)\} \subseteq \{3, 4\}$ ,  $\{c'(d), c'(e), c'(f)\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$  and  $c'(u) = c(u)$  for  $u \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

Now assume  $6 \in L(a)$  (the case  $6 \in L(b)$  is similar). We leave for the reader to find a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$  if  $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) \cap L(t) = 2$ . Now let  $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) \cap L(t) \neq 2$ . By Lemma 11 there exist 2-subsets  $F_i \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $L(v) \cap F_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . It is straightforward to define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$  when  $F_i = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $F_i = \{1, 4\}$ ,  $F_i = \{2, 4\}$  or  $F_i = \{3, 4\}$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Finally, let  $F_1 = \{1, 3\}$  and  $F_2 = \{2, 3\}$ . We consider two subcases.

**2.3.1.**  $2 \in L(d) \cap L(e)$ .

Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 2$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 3\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

**2.3.2.**  $2 \notin L(d) \cap L(e)$ .

We can assume  $2 \in L(d)$  and  $1 \in L(e)$ . (The case  $1 \in L(d)$  and  $2 \in L(e)$  is similar.) If  $6 \in L(d) \cap L(e)$  we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 6$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 3\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

If  $6 \in L(d)$  and  $5 \in L(e)$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = 6$ ,  $c'(e) = 1$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(u) \in \{2, 3\}$  for  $u \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

If  $6 \in L(e)$  we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  as follows:  $c'(a) = c'(b) = 5$ ,  $c'(d) = 2$ ,  $c'(e) = 6$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 3\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .



If  $6 \notin L(d) \cup L(e)$  then  $5 \in L(d) \cap L(e)$ . Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 6$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = c'(f) = 5$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 3\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . ■

**Lemma 16** *If  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exist a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we assume  $5 \in L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f)$ . If  $L(a) \cap L(b) \cap \{3, 4, 5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ , the result follows by Lemma 12, 13 or 15. Otherwise,  $6 \in L(a) \cup L(b)$ . Let  $6 \in L(a)$  (the case  $6 \in L(b)$  is similar). Define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 6$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = c'(f) = 5$  and  $c'(v) \in \{1, 3, 4\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . ■

**Lemma 17** *Let  $L(a) = \{1, 5, 6\}$  or  $L(b) = \{2, 5, 6\}$ . If  $L(a) \cap L(b) = \emptyset$  and  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) = \emptyset$  then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $L(a) = \{1, 5, 6\}$  (the case  $L(b) = \{2, 5, 6\}$  is similar). By the assumptions we have  $L(b) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ . If  $L(d) = L(e)$  or  $2 \in L(f)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Otherwise,  $L(d) \neq L(e)$  and  $L(f) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $5 \in L(f)$  (the case  $6 \in L(f)$  is similar). If color 1 appears in at least three color lists of the vertices  $x, y, z, t$  the result follows by Lemma 2. Otherwise, the vertices  $x, y, z, t$  can be colored with at most two of the three colors 2, 3 and 4 and a remaining color is used for vertex  $b$ . In order to color the other vertices we consider two cases:

**Case 1.**  $L(v) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{d, e\}$ .

We use color 1 for vertex  $a$  and colors 5, 6 for vertices  $d, e$  and  $f$ .

**Case 2.** Only one of the relations  $L(d) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  or  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  holds.

Let  $L(d) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  (the other case is similar). This forces  $L(e) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . If  $5 \in L(d)$ , we color vertex  $a$  with 6, vertices  $d$  and  $f$  with 5 and vertex  $e$  with 1. If  $6 \in L(d)$  since  $L(f) \cap \{1, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  we can use color 5 for vertex  $a$  and colors 1 and 6 for vertices  $d, e$  and  $f$ . ■

**Lemma 18** *Let  $|L(v) \cap \{5, 6\}| = 2$  for some  $v \in \{d, e, f\}$ ,  $L(a) \cap L(b) = \emptyset$  and  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $L(a) = \{1, 5, 6\}$  or  $L(b) = \{2, 5, 6\}$ , the result follows by Lemma 17. So let  $L(u) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(u) \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$  for  $u \in \{a, b\}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $L(a) = \{1, 3, 5\}$  and  $L(b) = \{2, 4, 6\}$ . If  $L(d) = L(e)$  or  $2 \in L(f)$ , the result follows by Lemma 2. Now let  $L(d) \neq L(e)$  and  $2 \notin L(f)$ . This forces  $L(f) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $5 \in L(f)$  (the case  $6 \in L(f)$  is similar). By the assumptions we have  $L(d) = \{3, 5, 6\}$ ,  $L(e) = \{3, 5, 6\}$  or  $L(f) = \{4, 5, 6\}$ . First let  $L(d) = \{3, 5, 6\}$  (the case  $L(e) = \{3, 5, 6\}$  is similar). Since  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) = \emptyset$  it follows that  $5 \notin L(e)$  and so  $L(e) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $2 \in L(e)$  (the case  $1 \in L(e)$  is similar). Using Lemma 11 it is now straightforward to define a new  $L$  coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .

Finally, let  $L(f) = \{4, 5, 6\}$ . Since  $L(d) \neq L(e)$ , we can assume  $L(d) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $1 \in L(d)$  and  $5 \in L(e)$  (the other cases are similar). We leave for the reader to find a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$  if  $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) \cap L(t) = 2$ . Now let  $L(x) \cap L(y) \cap L(z) \cap L(t) \neq 2$ . By Lemma 11 there exist 2-subsets  $F_i \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $L(v) \cap F_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . It is straightforward to define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$  when  $F_i = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $F_i = \{1, 4\}$  or  $F_i = \{2, 4\}$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Now let  $\{F_1, F_2\} \subset \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ . We consider two cases:

**Case 1.**  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $1 \in L(d) \cap L(e)$  the result follows by Lemma 2. So let  $2 \in L(d) \cap L(e)$ . If  $F_i = \{1, 3\}$  for some  $i = 1, 2$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 5$ ,  $c'(b) = 6$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 2$ ,  $c'(f) = 4$  and  $c'(u) \in \{1, 3\}$  for  $u \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

If  $F_i = \{3, 4\}$  for some  $i = 1, 2$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 1$ ,  $c'(b) = 6$ ,  $c'(d) = c'(e) = 2$ ,  $c'(f) = 5$  and  $c'(u) \in \{3, 4\}$  for  $u \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

**Case 2.**  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$ .

So  $L(d) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(e) \cap \{5, 6\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $F_i = \{2, 3\}$  for some  $i = 1, 2$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 1$ ,  $c'(b) = 4$ ,  $\{c'(d), c'(e), c'(f)\} \subseteq \{5, 6\}$  and  $c'(v) \in \{2, 3\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ .

If  $F_i = \{3, 4\}$  for some  $i = 1, 2$ , we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(a) = 1$ ,  $c'(b) = 2$ ,  $\{c'(d), c'(e), c'(f)\} \subseteq \{5, 6\}$  and  $c'(v) \in \{3, 4\}$  for  $v \in \{x, y, z, t\}$ . ■

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 19** *The graph  $K_{2,3,4}$  has the property  $M(3)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{d, e, f\}$  and  $\{x, y, z, t\}$  be the partite sets of the graph  $G = K_{2,3,4}$ . Let  $L$  be a 3-list assignment to the vertices of  $G$  such that an  $L$ -coloring  $c$  exists for  $G$ . By Lemma 1 we can assume  $L$  has Property 3. Moreover, if  $x$  and  $y$  are two vertices in the same part such that  $c(x) \neq c(y)$  and  $c(x) \in L(y)$  then we define a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  by:  $c'(u) = c(u)$  if  $u \neq y$  and  $c'(y) = c(x)$ . Therefore, we can also assume  $L$  has Property 2. Now we consider two cases.

**Case 1.** All vertices of a part of  $K_{2,3,4}$  have the same color  $t$  in  $c$ .

If we remove the vertices of this part the remaining graph  $H$  is a complete bipartite graph. Define  $L'(u) = L(u) \setminus \{t\}$  for  $u \in V(H)$ . Since the restriction of  $c$  on  $V(H)$  is an  $L'$ -coloring for  $H$ , by Theorem A there exists a new  $L'$ -coloring  $c'$  for  $H$ . Obviously,  $c'$  is extendible to  $K_{2,3,4}$ .

**Case 2.** Each part has at least two colors in  $c$ .

Let  $c(i, j, k)$  denote an  $L$ -coloring which has  $i$  colors in partite set  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $j$  colors in partite set  $\{d, e, f\}$  and  $k$  colors in partite set  $\{x, y, z, t\}$ . We consider three subcases.

**Subcase 2.1.** The  $L$ -coloring is of the form  $c(2, 3, 4)$ ,  $c(2, 3, 3)$  or  $c(2, 2, 4)$ . Add a new edge between any two vertices in the same partite set with different colors in  $c$ . The resulting graph is  $K_9$  or  $K_9 \setminus e$ . Since both  $K_9$  and  $K_9 \setminus e$  have the property  $M(3)$  (see [4]) we obtain a new  $L$ -coloring  $c' \neq c$  for  $K_{2,3,4}$ .

**Suncase 2.2.** The  $L$  coloring is of the form  $c(2, 2, 2)$ .

If  $L(a) \cap L(b) \neq \emptyset$  we apply Lemmas 12, 13 or 15. If  $L(d) \cap L(e) \cap L(f) \neq \emptyset$  we apply Lemmas 14 or 16. Otherwise, if  $|L(u) \cap \{5, 6\}| = 2$  for some  $u \in \{a, b, d, e, f\}$  we apply Lemma 17 or Lemma 18. Finally, let  $|L(u) \cap \{5, 6\}| \leq 1$  for  $u \in \{a, b, d, e, f\}$ . Remove the vertices  $x, y, z, t$  from  $K_{2,3,4}$  and remove colors 5, 6 from  $L(v)$  for  $v \in \{a, b, d, e, f\}$ . Then the resulting graph is the complete bipartite graph  $K_{2,3}$ . This graph has the property  $M(2)$  by Theorem A. Obviously, this coloring is extendible to  $K_{2,3,4}$ .

**Subcase 2.3.** The  $L$ -coloring is of the form  $c(2, 3, 2)$  or  $c(2, 2, 3)$ .

A method similar to that described in Case 2 can be applied for these cases.

■

## References

- [1] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin and H. Taylor, *Choosability in graphs*, In Proceedings of West Coast Conferenc on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congr. Number. **26** (1979), 125–157.
- [2] Y. G. Ganjali, M. Ghebleh, H. Hajiabohassan, M. Mirzadeh and B. S. Sadjad, *uniquely 2-list colorable graphs*, Discrete Appl. **119** (2002), 217–225.
- [3] M. Ghebleh and E. S. Mahmoodian, *On uniquely list colorable graphs*, Ars Combin. **59** (2001), 307–318.
- [4] A. D. Keedwell, *Critical sets for latin squares, graphs, and block designs: a survey*, Congr. Numer. **113** (1996), 231–245.
- [5] M. Mahdian and E. S. Mahmoodian, *A characterization of uniquely 2-list colorable graphs*, Ars Combin. **51** (1999), 295–305.
- [6] E. S. Mahmoodian, R. Naserasr, and M. Zaker, *Defining sets in vertex coloring of graphs and latin rectangles*, Discrete Math. **167/168** (1997), 451–460.
- [7] V. G. Vizing, *Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors*, (Russian) Diskret. Analiz No. 29 Metody Diskret. Anal. v Teorii Kodov i Shem (1976), 3–10, 101.
- [8] D. B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, Prentice Hall, USA, (2001).