

UNITARY ANALOGUES OF SOME FORMULAE OF INGHAM

by

V.SITARAMAIAH and M.V.SUBBARAO ¹

1 Introduction

In 1927, among other results, A.E.Ingham (cf.[3]) established the following asymptotic formulae : As $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n)\sigma(n+k) \sim \frac{1}{3} \frac{\zeta^2(2)}{\zeta(4)} \sigma_{-3}(k)x^3, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi(n)\phi(n+k) \sim \frac{1}{3} x^3 \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p|k} \frac{p^3 - 2p + 1}{p(p^2 - 2)}. \quad (1.2)$$

In the above k denotes a positive integer and as usual ϕ is the Euler-totient function ; $\sigma_s(n)$ the sum of the s -th powers of the divisors of n , $\sigma_1(n) = \sigma(n)$ and $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann-Zeta function. In fact the formula(1.2) was stated by Ingham(cf.[3],eq.(18)) without proof.Later, L.Mirsky(cf.[4],eq.(30)) obtained the formula(1.2) with error term $o(x^2 \log^2 x)$ which he deduced in [4] as a particular case of a general result.

The object of the present paper is to obtain asymptotic formulae for the unitary analogues of the sums in (1.1) and (1.2).

¹Partially supported by an NSERC grant.
AMS subject Classification :11A25
Key words and Phrases :Euler totient,sum of divisor function, unitary divisors and their sum asymptotic estimates

A divisor d of n is called a unitary divisor (cf.[1]) if $(d, n/d) = 1$, where the symbol (a, b) , as usual, denotes the greatest common divisor of a and b . The notation $d||n$ means that d is a unitary divisor of n . Let $\sigma^*(n)$ denote the sum of the unitary divisors of n and ϕ^* denote the unitary analogue of the Euler-totient function ϕ . It is known (cf.[1]) that σ^*, ϕ^* are multiplicative, $\sigma^*(p^\alpha) = p^\alpha + 1$ and $\phi^*(p^\alpha) = p^\alpha - 1$, where p is a prime and α a positive integer. Also,

$$\phi^*(n) = n \sum_{d||n} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mu^*(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$ is the unitary analogue of the Möbius function (cf.[1]) $\omega(n)$ being the number of distinct prime factors of n with $\omega(1) = 0$.

In the present paper, we show that (see Theorem 3.1 and (3.18)),

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma^*(n) \sigma^*(n+k) = \frac{AB(k)x^3}{3} + O_k(x^2(\log x)^4), \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi^*(n) \phi^*(n+k) = \frac{A^*B^*(k)x^3}{3} + O_k(x^2(\log x)^4), \quad (1.5)$$

where $A, B(k), A^*$ and $B^*(k)$ are as given in (2.3),(2.10),(2.12) and (2.14).

We use Ingham's method (cf.[3]) in establishing (1.4) and we proceed as in Mirsky (cf.[4]) to prove (1.5). Incidentally we obtain an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv \ell \pmod{m}}} \frac{\phi^*(n)}{n}$ with uniform error term in ℓ and m (Lemma 2.8), for any integer ℓ and a positive integer m , which is required in the proof of (1.5). We develop preliminaries in §2 and the main results are proved in §3.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the following the letters, m, N, k, α, β , and γ denote positive integers. The letter p is reserved for primes. We use the notation $p^\alpha \parallel k$ to mean that $p^\alpha | k$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid k$.

Lemma 2.1. Let

$$G(m, N, k) = \sum_{\substack{r|m \\ (mr, N) | k}} \frac{\mu(r)(mr, N)}{r}, \quad (2.1)$$

where μ is the Möbius function. Then $G(m, N, k)$ is a multiplicative function of m and

$$G(p^\alpha, N, k) = \begin{cases} (p^\alpha, N)(1 - \frac{1}{p}), & \text{if } p^{\alpha+1} \nmid N, (p^{\alpha+1}, N) | k \\ 0, & \text{if } p^{\alpha+1} | N, p^{\alpha+1} | k \text{ or if } (p^\alpha, N) \nmid k \\ p^\alpha, & \text{if } p^{\alpha+1} | N, p^\alpha \parallel k. \end{cases}$$

Proof : Let

$$G'(m, n) = \begin{cases} (m, n), & \text{if } (m, n) | k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} G(p^\alpha, N, k) &= \sum_{0 \leq a \leq 1} \frac{\mu(p^a)}{p^a} G'(p^{\alpha+a}, N) \\ &= G'(p^\alpha, N) - \frac{1}{p} G'(p^{\alpha+1}, N) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (p^\alpha, N) - \frac{1}{p}(p^{\alpha+1}, N), & \text{if } (p^{\alpha+1}, N) | k, \\ (p^\alpha, N), & \text{if } (p^{\alpha+1}, N) \nmid k \text{ and } (p^\alpha, N) | k, \\ 0, & \text{if } (p^\alpha, N) \nmid k. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

If $(p^{\alpha+1}, N) \neq p^{\alpha+1}$, clearly $(p^{\alpha+1}, N) = (p^\alpha, N)$. Thus either $(p^{\alpha+1}, N) = (p^\alpha, N)$ or " $(p^{\alpha+1}, N) = p^{\alpha+1}$ and $(p^\alpha, N) = p^\alpha$." Further, $(p^\alpha, N) | k$ and $(p^{\alpha+1}, N) \nmid k$ if and only if $p^{\alpha+1} | N$ and $p^\alpha \nmid k$. Using this in (2.2), Lemma 2.1 follows.

Lemma 2.2. We have

$$\sum = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(m, N, k)}{m^2} = AH(N, k),$$

where

$$A = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p+1)} \right) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$H(N, k) = \prod_{p|N} \frac{p(p+1)}{p^2 + p + 1} \prod_{\substack{p^\beta \parallel N \\ p^\beta \nmid k}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{\beta-1}(p+1)} \right) \prod_{\substack{p^\beta \nmid N \\ p^\beta \nmid k \\ p^\gamma \parallel k}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^\gamma} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof : From (2.1), it is clear that

$$|G(m, N, k)| \leq k \sum_{r|m} \frac{\mu^2(r)}{r} = k \frac{\psi(m)}{m} \leq k\tau(m), \quad (2.5)$$

ψ being the Dedekind ψ -function and $\tau(m)$ the number of divisors of m . Hence the infinite series \sum converges absolutely. Also, the general term of this series is a multiplicative function of m . Hence the series can be expanded as an Euler-Infinite product (cf.[2],Theorem 286). Writing $G(m) = G(m, N, k)$ and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain,

$$\sum = \prod_{p \nmid N} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{p(p+1)} \right\} \prod_{p^\beta \parallel N} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(p^\alpha)}{p^{2\alpha}} \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let $p^\beta \parallel N$ and $p^\gamma \parallel k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(p^\alpha)}{p^{2\alpha}} &= \frac{p-1}{p} \sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha+1} \nmid N \\ (p^{\alpha+1}, N) | k}} \frac{(p^\alpha, N)}{p^{2\alpha}} + \sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha+1} \parallel N \\ (p^\alpha, N) | k}} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot p^\beta \sum_{\alpha=\beta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha}}, & \text{if } p^\beta | k \\ \frac{1}{p^\gamma}, & \text{if } p^\beta \nmid k. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^{\beta-1}(p+1)}, & \text{if } p^\beta | k \\ \frac{1}{p^\gamma}, & \text{if } p^\beta \nmid k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.7) into (2.6), we obtain Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. Let

$$I(m, k) = \sum_{t|m} \frac{\mu(t)}{t} H(mt, k), \quad (2.8)$$

where $H(N, k)$ is as given in (2.4). Then $I(m, k)$ is a multiplicative function of m and

$$\frac{p^2 + p + 1}{p(p+1)} \cdot I(p^\alpha, k) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^\alpha}\right), & \text{if } p^{\alpha+1} | k, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^{\alpha-1}(p+1)} - \frac{1}{p^{\alpha+1}}, & \text{if } p^\alpha || k, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } p \nmid k, \\ \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^\gamma}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), & \text{if } p^\gamma || k, p^\alpha \nmid k. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Proof : $I(p^\alpha, k) = H(p^\alpha, k) - \frac{1}{p}H(p^{\alpha+1}, k)$. Now (2.9) follows from the definition of $H(N, k)$ given in (2.4).

Lemma 2.4. We have

$$\sum' = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(m, k)}{m^2} = B(k),$$

where

$$B(k) = \prod_{p \nmid k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2 + p + 1}\right) \prod_{p^\gamma || k} \left\{1 + \frac{p^{3\gamma+1} + 2p^{3\gamma} + 2p^{\gamma-1} - p^2 - 1}{p^{3\gamma-1}(p^2 + p + 1)^2}\right\}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof : From (2.5) and Lemma 2.2,

$$|H(N, k)| \leq \frac{k}{A} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(m)}{m^2} = O(k).$$

Hence

$$|I(m, k)| = O\left(k \sum_{t|m} \frac{\mu^2(t)}{t}\right) = O\left(k \frac{\psi(m)}{m}\right) = O(k\tau(m)), \quad (2.11)$$

so that the series \sum' converges absolutely . Expanding this series as an Euler Infinite product, we obtain Lemma 2.4, by using Lemma 2.3 and on simplification.

The following lemmas (Lemmas 2.5-2.7) can be obtained on lines similar to those of Lemmas 2.2-2.4. We suppress the details of proof.

Lemma 2.5 We have for any integer ℓ and a positive integer m ,

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^*(d)G(d, m, \ell)}{d^2} = A^*H^*(m, \ell),$$

where

$$A^* = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p+1)}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$H^*(m, \ell) = \prod_{p|m} \frac{p(p+1)}{p^2+p-1} \prod_{\substack{p^\beta || m \\ p^\alpha | \ell}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\beta-1}(p+1)}\right) \prod_{\substack{p^\beta || m \\ p^\beta \nmid \ell \\ p^\gamma || \ell}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^\gamma}\right). \quad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.6. If $H^*(m, \ell)$ is as given in (2.13), let

$$I^*(d, k) = \sum_{r|d} \frac{\mu(r)H^*(dr, k)}{r}.$$

Then $I^*(d, k)$ is a multiplicative function of d and

$$\frac{p^2+p-1}{p(p+1)} \cdot I^*(p^\alpha, k) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^\alpha}\right), & \text{if } p^{\alpha+1} | k, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^{\alpha-1}(p+1)} + \frac{1}{p^{\alpha+1}}, & \text{if } p^\alpha || k, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } p \nmid k, \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^\gamma}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), & \text{if } p^\gamma || k, p^\alpha \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.7.

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^*(d)I^*(d, k)}{d^2} = B^*(k),$$

where

$$B^*(k) = \prod_{p \nmid k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2 + p - 1}\right) \prod_{p^{\gamma} \parallel k} \left\{1 - \frac{p^{3\gamma+1} + p^2 + 1}{p^{3\gamma-1}(p^2 + p + 1)(p^2 + p - 1)}\right\}. \quad (2.14)$$

Lemma 2.8. We have for any integer ℓ and any positive integer m ,

$$\sum^* = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv \ell \pmod{m}}} \frac{\phi^*(n)}{n} = \frac{x}{m} A^* H^*(m, \ell) + O(|\ell| \log^2 x), \quad (2.15)$$

where A^* and $H^*(m, \ell)$ are as given in Lemma 2.5, the O -constant in (2.15) is uniform in ℓ, m and x .

Proof : Since $\phi^*(n) = n \sum_{\substack{d\delta=n \\ (d,\delta)=1}} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum^* &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ d\delta \equiv \ell \pmod{m} \\ (d,\delta)=1}} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d \\ (d,\delta)=1 \\ d\delta \equiv \ell \pmod{m}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \sum_{i|d} \mu(i) \sum_{\substack{u \leq x/di \\ dtu \equiv \ell \pmod{m}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \sum_{i|d} \mu(i) \left\{ \frac{x(dt, m)}{dtm} + O(1) \right\} \\ &= \frac{x}{m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d^2} \sum_{\substack{i|d \\ (dt, m) | \ell}} \frac{\mu(i)(dt, m)}{t} + O\left(\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\tau(d)}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{m} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^*(d)G(d, m, \ell)}{d^2} + O\left(\frac{x}{m} \sum_{d > x} \frac{|G(d, m, \ell)|}{d^2}\right) + O(\log^2 x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.5),

$$\sum_{d>x} \frac{|G(d, m, \ell)|}{d^2} = O\left(|\ell| \sum_{d>x} \frac{\psi(d)/d}{d^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{|\ell|}{x}\right), \quad (2.17)$$

since $\sum_{d\leq x} \frac{\psi(d)}{d} = O(x)$ and partial summation. Substituting (2.17) into (2.16), we obtain Lemma 2.8 from Lemma 2.5.

Remark 2.1. From Lemma 2.8 and partial summation we obtain the formula

$$\sum_{\substack{n\leq x \\ n\equiv \ell \pmod{m}}} \phi^*(n) = \frac{x^2}{2m} A^* H^*(m, \ell) + O(|\ell|x \log^2 x). \quad (2.18)$$

Taking $m = 1$ in (2.18), we obtain the formula

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \phi^*(n) = \frac{x^2 A^*}{2} + O(x \log^2 x) \quad (2.19)$$

originally established by E.Cohen (cf.[1],Corollary 4.1.2). The error term in (2.19) was improved to $O\left(x \log^{5/3} x (\log \log x)^{4/3}\right)$ by D.Suryanarayana and R.Sita Rama Chandra Rao (cf.[5],(1.5)).

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. We have

$$T \equiv \sum_{n\leq x} \sigma^*(n)\sigma^*(n+k) = \frac{AB(k)x^3}{3} + O_k(x^2(\log x)^4), \quad (3.1)$$

where A and $B(k)$ are as given in (2.3) and (2.10) respectively.

Proof : We proceed as in A.E.Ingham [3]. Let $X^2 = x(x+k)$. Using $\sigma^*(n) =$

$\sum_{\substack{d\delta=n \\ (d,\delta)=1}} d$, we can write

$$T = T_1 + T_2 - R, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$T_1 = \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ (d,\delta)=(d',\delta')=1 \\ d\delta+k=d'\delta' \\ dd' \leq X}} dd', \quad (3.3)$$

$$T_2 = \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ (d,\delta)=(d',\delta')=1 \\ d\delta+k=d'\delta' \\ \delta\delta' \leq X}} dd', \quad (3.4)$$

$$R = \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ (d,\delta)=(d',\delta')=1 \\ d\delta+k=d'\delta' \\ dd' \leq X \\ \delta\delta' \leq X}} dd', \quad (3.5)$$

We now estimate T_1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\leq \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ d\delta+k=d'\delta' \\ dd' \leq X}} dd' = \sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{\substack{d' \leq \frac{X}{d} \\ (d,d')|k}} d' \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{d'}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{\substack{d' \leq X/d \\ (d,d')|k}} d' \left\{ \frac{x(d,d')}{dd'} + O(1) \right\} \\ &\leq kx \sum_{d \leq x} \sum_{d' \leq X/d} 1 + O \left(\sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d' \leq X/d} d' \right) \\ &= O_k(x^2 \log x) + O_k(x^2 \log x) \\ &= O_k(x^2 \log x), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$T_1 = O_k(x^2 \log x). \quad (3.6)$$

We proceed to estimate R . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= \sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d' \leq X/d} d' \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{d'} \\ \delta(d\delta+k) \leq Xd'}} 1 \\
&\leq \sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d' \leq X/d} d' \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{d'} \\ \delta^2 d \leq Xd'}} 1 \\
&\leq \sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d' \leq X/d} d' \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq \sqrt{\frac{Xd'}{d}} \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{d'}}} 1 \\
&\leq \sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{\substack{d' \leq X/d \\ (d', d) | k}} d' \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{Xd'}{d}} \frac{(d, d')}{d'} + O(1) \right\} \\
&\leq k\sqrt{X} \sum_{d \leq x} \sqrt{d} \sum_{d' \leq \frac{X}{d}} \sqrt{d'} + O\left(\sum_{d \leq x} d \sum_{d' \leq \frac{X}{d}} d' \right) \\
&= O_k\left(\sqrt{X} X^{3/2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d} \right) + O_k(x^2 \log x) \\
&= O_k(x^2 \log x) + O_k(x^2 \log x) = O_k(x^2 \log x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$R = O_k(x^2 \log x). \quad (3.7)$$

The main term in (3.1) can be obtained from T_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ \delta\delta' \leq X \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{\delta'} \\ (d, \delta) = (\frac{d\delta+k}{\delta'}, \delta') = 1}} d \left(\frac{d\delta+k}{\delta'} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{\delta}{\delta'} \sum_{\substack{d \leq x/\delta \\ (d, \delta) = 1 \\ (\frac{d\delta+k}{\delta'}, \delta') = 1 \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{\delta'}} d^2 + k \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ \delta\delta' \leq X \\ d\delta \equiv -k \pmod{\delta'} \\ (d, \delta) = (\frac{d\delta+k}{\delta'}, \delta') = 1}} \frac{d}{\delta'} \\
&= \sum_1 + k \sum_2, \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

say. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_1 &= \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{\delta}{\delta'} \sum_{r|\delta'} \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{d \leq x/\delta \\ (d, \delta) = 1 \\ \delta' r | d\delta + k}} d^2 \\
&= \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{\delta}{\delta'} \sum_{r|\delta'} \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{t|\delta \\ (\delta t, \delta' r) | k}} \mu(t) t^2 \sum_{\substack{u \leq x/\delta t \\ \delta t u \equiv -k \pmod{\delta' r}}} u^2. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

If $(a, m) | b$, we have the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\ an \equiv b \pmod{m}}} 1 = \frac{y(a, m)}{m} + O(1),$$

so that by partial summation we obtain the formula

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\ an \equiv b \pmod{m}}} n^2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{y^3(a, m)}{m} + O(y^2). \tag{3.10}$$

Using (3.10) in (3.9), we obtain

$$\sum_1 = \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{\delta}{\delta'} \sum_{\substack{r|\delta' \\ t|\delta \\ (\delta t, \delta' r) | k}} \mu(r) \mu(t) t^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \frac{x^3}{\delta^3 t^3} \frac{(\delta t, \delta' r)}{\delta' r} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{\delta^2 t^2} \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{x^3}{3} \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{1}{(\delta\delta')^2} \sum_{\substack{r|\delta' \\ t|\delta \\ (\delta t, \delta' r) | k}} \frac{\mu(r)\mu(t)}{rt} (\delta t, \delta' r) + O\left(x^2 \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{\tau(\delta)\tau(\delta')}{\delta\delta'}\right) \\
&= \frac{x^3}{3} \sum_3 + \sum_4, \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

say. Clearly,

$$\sum_4 = O(x^2 \log^4 x). \tag{3.12}$$

We have by Lemma 2.2,(2.17), Lemma 2.4 and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_3 &= \sum_{\substack{arbt \leq X \\ (ar^2, bt^2) | k}} \frac{1}{(abrt)^2} \frac{\mu(r)}{r} \frac{\mu(t)}{t} (ar^2, bt^2) \\
&= \sum_{bt \leq X} \frac{\mu(t)}{b^2 t^3} \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{bt}} \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\substack{ar=m \\ (mr, bt^2) | k}} \frac{\mu(r)}{r} (mr, bt^2) \\
&= \sum_{bt \leq X} \frac{\mu(t)}{b^2 t^3} \sum_{m \leq \frac{X}{bt}} \frac{G(m, bt^2, k)}{m^2} \\
&= \sum_{bt \leq X} \frac{\mu(t)}{b^2 t^3} \left\{ AH(bt^2, k) + O_k\left(\frac{bt}{\lambda}\right) \right\} \\
&= A \sum_{bt \leq X} \frac{\mu(t)}{b^2 t^3} H(bt^2, k) + O_k\left(\frac{1}{X} \sum_{bt \leq X} \frac{1}{bt^2}\right) \\
&= A \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{bt=m} \frac{\mu(t)}{t} H(mt, k) + O_k\left(\frac{\log X}{X}\right) \\
&= A \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{I(m, k)}{m^2} + O_k\left(\frac{\log X}{X}\right) \\
&= AB(k) + O_k\left(\frac{1}{X}\right) + O_k\left(\frac{\log X}{X}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.12) into (3.11), we obtain

$$\sum_1 = \frac{AB(k)x^3}{3} + O_k(x^2 \log^4 x). \quad (3.14)$$

Clearly from (3.8),

$$\sum_2 \leq \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{1}{\delta'} \sum_{d \leq x/\delta} d = O\left(x^2 \sum_{\delta\delta' \leq X} \frac{1}{\delta'\delta^2}\right) = O_k(x^2 \log x). \quad (3.15)$$

Putting (3.15) and (3.14) into (3.8), we obtain

$$T_2 = \frac{AB(k)x^3}{3} + O_k(x^2 \log^4 x). \quad (3.16)$$

Theorem 3.1 follows from (3.16),(3.7), (3.6) and (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. We have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi^*(n)\phi^*(n+k)}{n(n+k)} = xA^*B^*(k) + O_k(\log^4 x), \quad (3.17)$$

where A^* and $B^*(k)$ are as given in (2.12) and (2.14).

Proof : We have by Lemmas 2.8 and 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi^*(n)\phi^*(n+k)}{n(n+k)} &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi^*(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{d|n+k \\ (d, \frac{n+k}{d})=1}} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x+k} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv -k \pmod{d} \\ (\frac{n+k}{d}, d)=1}} \frac{\phi^*(n)}{n} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x+k} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv -k \pmod{dr}}} \frac{\phi^*(n)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{d \leq x+k} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d} \sum_{r|d} \mu(r) \left\{ \frac{x}{dr} A^* H^*(dr, k) + O_k(\log^2 x) \right\} \\
&= x A^* \sum_{d \leq x+k} \frac{\mu^*(d)}{d^2} \sum_{r|d} \frac{\mu(r) H^*(dr, k)}{r} + O_k \left(\log^2 x \sum_{d \leq x+k} \frac{\tau(d)}{d} \right) \\
&= x A^* \sum_{d \leq x+k} \frac{\mu^*(d) I^*(d, k)}{d^2} + O_k(\log^4 x) \\
&= x A^* B^*(k) + O_k(1) + O_k(\log^4 x) \\
&= x A^* B^*(k) + O_k(\log^4 x)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Theorem 3.2 follows.

From Theorem 3.2 and partial summation we obtain the formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi^*(n) \phi^*(n+k) = \frac{x^3 A^* B^*(k)}{3} + O_k(x^2 \log^4 x). \quad (3.18)$$

4 Concluding remarks

Apart from (1.1) and (1.2), A.E.Ingham (cf.[3]) established asymptotic formulae for the sums

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) \tau(n+k), & \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \tau(m) \tau(n-m) \\
&\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma(m) \sigma(n-m) \text{ and } \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \phi(m) \phi(n-m). & (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

At present, we are unable to establish asymptotic formulae for the corresponding unitary analogues, and hope to investigate these sums in a separate paper.

The sums (1.1),(1.2),(4.1) of Ingham are extensions of similar ones considered by Ramanujan for the case $k = 0$. Consequently, sums of this kind may be called Ramanujan-Ingham sums, following such usage by Yorchi Motohashi (see p.176 of his paper "On the distribution of the divisor function in arithmetic progressions, Acta Arithmetica XXII (1973),175-199).

It is curious to note that the order of $\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma^*(n)\sigma^*(n+k)$, for a fixed positive integer k , is the same as the order of this sum for $k = 0$, for it can be proved without much difficulty that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\sigma^*(n))^2 = \frac{\zeta(2)}{3\zeta(3)} x^3 + O(x^2(\log x)^{1/3}).$$

This is unlike the situation for the function $\tau(n)$ (denoting the number of divisors of n) for which Ramanujan showed the well known formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau^2(n) \sim \frac{1}{\pi^2} x(\log x)^3,$$

where as Ingham proved in [3] that for a positive integer k ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n)\tau(n+k) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sigma_{-1}(k)x(\log x)^2,$$

so that the sum above on the left has a lower order (by a factor of $\log x$) than for the same sum for $k = 0$.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee for his useful suggestions.

References

- [1] E.Cohen, *Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer*, Math.Z.74(1960),66-80.
- [2] G.H.Hardy and E.M.Wright, *An introduction to the Thoery of Numbers*, Fourth Edition,Oxfords Univewrsity Press,1960.
- [3] A.E.Ingham, *Some Asymptotic formulae in the theory of numbers*, Jour.London Math,Soc.2(1927),178-82.
- [4] L.Mirsky, *Summation formulae involving arithmetic functions*, Duke Math.J.16(1949),261-272.
- [5] D.Suryanarayana and R.Sita Rama Chandra Rao, *On $\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma^*(n)$ and $\sum_{n \leq x} \phi^*(n)$* , Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.41(1973),61-66.

Department of Mathematics,
Pondicherry Engineering College,
Pondicherry, 605 014,
India.
e-mail : ramaiahpec@yahoo.co.in

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Alberta,
Edmonton,
Alberta,
Canada T6G2G1.
e-mail : m.v.subbarao@ualberta.ca