

# Restricted Edge-Connectivity of de Bruijn Digraphs\*

Jun-Ming Xu<sup>†</sup>    Min Lü

Department of Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China

Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China

## Abstract

The restricted edge-connectivity of a graph is an important parameter to measure fault-tolerance of interconnection networks. This paper determines that the restricted edge-connectivity of the de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$  is equal to  $2d - 2$  for  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$  except  $B(2, 2)$ . As consequences, the super edge-connectedness of  $B(d, n)$  is obtained immediately.

**Keywords:** Connectivity, Restricted edge-connectivity, Super edge-connected, de Bruijn digraphs, Kautz digraphs

**AMS Subject Classification:** 05C40

## 1 Introduction

It is well-known that when the underlying topology of an interconnection network is modelled by a graph or digraph  $G$ , the edge-connectivity  $\lambda(G)$  of  $G$  is an important measurement for fault-tolerance of the network. This paper considers the de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$ . It has been shown that  $\lambda(B(d, n)) = d - 1$  and  $\lambda(K(d, n)) = d$  (see, for example, [9]). A connected graph  $G$  is said to be *super edge-connected* if every minimum edge-cut isolates a vertex of  $G$  [1]. Soneoka [8] showed that the  $B(d, n)$  is super edge-connected for any  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ , and Fàbrega and Fiol [4] proved that  $K(d, n)$  is super edge-connected for any  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

A quite natural question is how many edges must be removed to disconnect a graph such that every connected component of the resulting graph

---

\* The work was supported partially by NNSF of China (No.10271114).

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: xujm@ustc.edu.cn

contains no isolated vertex. To measure this type of edge-connectivity, Esfahanian and Hakimi [2, 3] introduced the concept of the restricted edge-connectivity of a graph. The definition given here is slightly different from the original definition. The *restricted edge-connectivity* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\lambda'(G)$ , is the minimum number  $\lambda'$  for which  $G$  has a  $\lambda'$ -edge cut  $F$  such that every connected component of  $G - F$  has at least two vertices. They solved the existence of  $\lambda'(G)$  for a given graph by proving that if  $G$  is neither  $K_{1,n}$  nor  $K_3$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda'(G) \leq \xi(G)$ , where  $\xi(G)$  is the minimum edge-degree of  $G$ . Clearly, if  $\lambda'(G) > \lambda(G)$  then  $G$  is super edge-connected. Since then one has paid much attention to the concept and determined the restricted edge-connectivity for many well-known graphs. In particular,  $\lambda'$  has been completely determined for the Kautz digraph  $K(d, n)$ , the undirected de Bruijn graph  $UB(d, n)$  and Kautz graph  $UK(d, n)$  (see, for example, [5, 6, 7, 10, 11]). In this paper, we determine  $\lambda'$  for de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$ .

**Theorem** For any de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$  with  $n \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 2$ ,

$$\lambda'(B(d, n)) = \begin{cases} \text{not exist,} & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq d \leq 3, \text{ or } n = d = 2; \\ 2d - 4, & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } d \geq 4; \\ 2d - 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of the theorem is in Section 3. Our way presented in this paper can prove the result for the Kautz digraph  $K(d, n)$  in [5]. However, the methods used in [5] do not work for the de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$ .

## 2 Some Lemmas

The de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$  has the vertex-set

$$V = \{x_1x_2 \cdots x_n : x_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

and the edge-set  $E$ , where for  $x, y \in V$ , if  $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ , then

$$(x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow y = x_2x_3 \cdots x_n\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

Clearly,  $B(d, 1)$  is a complete digraph of order  $d$  plus a self-loop at every vertex. It has been shown that  $B(d, n)$  is  $d$ -regular and  $(d-1)$ -connected. For more properties of de Bruijn digraphs, the reader is referred to Section 3.2 in [9].

Assume  $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$  and  $y = y_1y_2 \cdots y_n$  are two distinct vertices of  $B(d, n)$ . If the distance from  $x$  to  $y$  is equal to  $l$ , then the unique shortest  $(x, y)$ -path

$$P : x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \rightarrow x_2x_3 \cdots x_ny_{n-l+1} \rightarrow x_3 \cdots x_ny_{n-l+1}y_{n-l+2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_l \cdots x_ny_{n-l+1} \cdots y_{n-1} \rightarrow x_{l+1} \cdots x_ny_{n-l+1} \cdots y_n = y.$$

can be expressed as the following sequence:

$$P = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{l+1} \cdots x_n y_{n-l+1} \cdots y_n,$$

in which any subsequence of length  $n$  is a vertex in  $P$ .

A pair of directed edges are said to be *symmetric* if they have the same end-vertices but different orientations. The de Bruijn digraph contains pairs of symmetric edges. If there are a pair of symmetric edges between two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , then it is not difficult to see that the coordinates of  $x$  and  $y$  are alternately in two different digits  $a$  and  $b$ , that is,  $x = abab \cdots ab$  and  $y = baba \cdots ba$  if  $n$  is even, while  $x = abab \cdots aba$  and  $y = baba \cdots bab$  if  $n$  is odd, where  $a \neq b$ .

We follow [9] for graph-theoretical terminology and notation not defined here. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a strongly connected digraph (loops and parallel edges are here allowed). An edge-set  $F$  of  $G$  is called a *restricted edge-cut* ( $R$ -edge-cut, in short) if  $G - F$  is not strongly connected and every strongly connected component has at least two vertices. The *restricted edge-connectivity*  $\lambda'(G)$  is the minimum cardinality over all  $R$ -edge-cuts in  $G$ . We observe that there are no  $R$ -edge-cuts in  $B(2, 1)$ ,  $B(2, 2)$  and  $B(3, 1)$ , and call these digraphs *trivial*, and otherwise *nontrivial*.

**Lemma 1** If  $B(d, n)$  is nontrivial, then  $\lambda'(B(d, n)) \leq 2d - 2$  for any  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

**Proof** Let  $G$  be a nontrivial  $B(d, n)$ , and suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are two different vertices in  $G$  with a pair of symmetric edges between them. Then the set of edges  $E_G^+(\{x, y\})$  is an edge-cut in  $G$  and  $|E_G^+(\{x, y\})| = 2d - 2$ . Thus, we only need to show that  $E_G^+(\{x, y\})$  is an  $R$ -edge-cut. To the end, it is sufficient to show that  $G - \{x, y\}$  is strongly connected. Let  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$  and  $v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$  be an arbitrary pair of vertices in  $G - \{x, y\}$ . We can obtain the result by showing that  $u$  and  $v$  are strongly connected in  $G - \{x, y\}$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume  $n$  is even,  $x = abab \cdots ab$  and  $y = baba \cdots ba$ , where  $a \neq b$  and  $a, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, d - 1\}$ .

We first consider the case of  $n > 2$ . Let  $z = aab \cdots aba$  and  $w = ab \cdots abaa$ . Then  $z$  is an in-neighbor of  $x$ , and  $w$  is an out-neighbor of  $y$ . Moreover,  $(z, w) \in E(B(d, n))$ . Suppose that the distance from  $u$  to  $z$  is equal to  $l$  and the distance from  $w$  to  $v$  is equal to  $l'$ . Denote the shortest  $(u, z)$ -path by  $Q = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_l aab \cdots aba$  and the shortest  $(w, v)$ -path by  $Q' = ab \cdots abaa v_{n-l'+1} \cdots v_n$ . When  $l \leq n - 2$ , any subsequence of length  $n$  in  $Q$  contains  $aa$ , so  $Q$  contains neither  $x$  nor  $y$ . When  $l = n - 1$  any subsequence of length  $n$  in  $Q$  contains  $aa$  except the first subsequence of length  $n$ , which is  $u$ . So  $Q$  contains neither  $x$  nor  $y$  for  $l \leq n - 1$ . For  $l = n$ ,  $Q$  contains  $y$  only when  $u = u_1 bab \cdots ab$  with  $u_1 \neq a$ , which is an

in-neighbor of  $y$ . Similarly,  $Q'$  contains neither  $x$  nor  $y$  for  $l' \leq n - 1$ , and contains  $x$  only when  $v = bab \cdots bv_n$  with  $v_n \neq a$ , which is an out-neighbor of  $x$ . We show that  $u$  can reach  $v$  in  $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$  by constructing a  $(u, v)$ -walk according to the following three cases, respectively.

**Case 1** If both  $Q$  and  $Q'$  contain neither  $x$  nor  $y$ , then  $u$  can reach  $v$  in  $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$  via a  $(u, v)$ -walk  $Q + (z, w) + Q'$ .

**Case 2** If  $u = u_1bab \cdots ab$  with  $u_1 \neq a$  and  $Q'$  contains neither  $x$  nor  $y$ , then  $y$  is an out-neighbor of  $u$ . Let  $z_1 = baba \cdots abb$ . Then  $z_1$  is another out-neighbor of  $u$ . Let  $z_2 = abab \cdots bba$ , which is an out-neighbor of  $z_1$ . Then  $Q_1 = abab \cdots bbaabab \cdots abaa$  is a  $(z_2, w)$ -walk of length  $n$ , and contains neither  $x$  nor  $y$  since any subsequence of length  $n$  in  $Q_1$  contains  $bb$  or  $aa$ . Thus,  $u$  can reach  $v$  in  $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$  via a  $(u, v)$ -walk  $(u, z_1) + (z_1, z_2) + Q_1 + Q'$ .

**Case 3** If  $u = u_1bab \cdots ab$  with  $u_1 \neq a$  and  $v = bab \cdots abv_n$  with  $v_n \neq a$ , then  $(u, v) \in E(B(d, n))$ , and  $u$  can reach  $v$  in  $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$  via the edge.

When  $n = 2$ , we have  $d \geq 3$  since  $\lambda'(B(2, 2))$  doesn't exist. Then  $x = ab, y = ba$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $u = u_1u_2, v = v_1v_2$ . Then  $P = u_1u_2v_1v_2$  is the shortest path from  $u$  to  $v$ . If the vertex  $z = u_2v_1 \notin \{x, y\}$ , then we are done. If  $z = ab$ , then  $u = u_1a, v = bv_2$ . Since  $d \geq 3$ , we can construct another  $(u, v)$ -walk:  $u_1acbv_2$  where  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, d - 1\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ . The walk is in  $B(d, 2) - \{x, y\}$ . If  $z = ba$ , we can also construct a  $(u, v)$ -walk in  $B(d, 2) - \{x, y\}$  in the same way. So  $u$  can reach  $v$  via a  $(u, v)$ -walk in  $B(d, 2) - \{x, y\}$ .

Similarly,  $v$  can reach  $u$  via a  $(v, u)$ -walk in  $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$ . Thus,  $u$  and  $v$  are strongly connected in  $B(d, n) - \{x, y\}$ . The lemma follows. ■

**Lemma 2** Let  $H$  be a subgraph of  $B(d, n)$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , if  $|V(H)| = t$ , then  $|E(H)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1)$ .

**Proof** From the definition, it is clear that  $B(d, n)$  has the following properties for  $n \geq 2$ :

- (i) any two pairs of symmetric edges are not adjacent;
- (ii) any two vertices with a self-loop, if any, are not adjacent;
- (iii) the end-vertices of any pair of symmetric edges have no self-loops.

Let  $V_1$  be the set of the vertices with a self-loop in  $H$ . Suppose  $H_1$  is the subgraph of  $H$  induced by  $V_1$  and that  $H_2$  is the subgraph of  $H$  induced by  $V_2 = V(H) \setminus V_1$ . Use  $E_3$  to denote the set of the edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in  $H$ . Then

$$E(H) = E(H_1) \cup E(H_2) \cup E_3.$$

Assume  $|V_1| = p$ . By the property (ii),  $|E(H_1)| = |V_1| = p$ . Let  $E_{21} = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in E(H) \text{ and } (y, x) \in E(H)\}$ . By the properties (i) and (iii),  $E_{21}$  is a matching of  $H_2$  and, hence,  $|E_{21}| \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(t - p) \rfloor$ . Let  $E_{22} =$

$E(H_2) \setminus E_{21}$ . Since  $E_{22}$  contains no symmetric edges,  $|E_{22}| \leq \binom{t-p}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(t-p)(t-p-1)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E(H_2) &= |E_{21}| + |E_{22}| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(t-p) \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{2}(t-p)(t-p-1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(t-p) + \frac{1}{2}(t-p)(t-p-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(t-p)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the property (iii), for any vertex  $x \in V_1$  and any vertex  $y \in V_2$  there is at most one edge between them. Therefore,  $|E_3| \leq p(t-p)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |E(H)| &= |E(H_1)| + |E(H_2)| + |E_3| \\ &\leq p + \frac{1}{2}(t-p)^2 + p(t-p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - p^2 + 2p) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is true because  $-p^2 + 2p \leq 1$  for any  $p$ . The lemma follows. ■

Let  $G$  be a nontrivial  $B(d, n)$  and  $F$  be a minimum  $R$ -edge-cut of  $G$ . Then,  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into two disjoint nonempty sets  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $F = E(X, Y)$ , where  $E(X, Y)$  denotes the set of the edges from  $X$  to  $Y$  in  $G$ . Let  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  be the sets of the initial and terminal vertices of the edges of  $F$ , respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(x, X_0) &= \min\{d_G(x, u) : u \in X_0\}, & m &= \max\{d_G(x, X_0) : x \in X\}; \\ d_G(Y_0, y) &= \min\{d_G(v, y) : v \in Y_0\}, & m' &= \max\{d_G(Y_0, y) : y \in Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $x_0 \in X_0$  and  $y_0 \in Y_0$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} X_m^-(x_0) &= \{x \in X : d_G(x, x_0) \leq m\}, \\ Y_{m'}^+(y_0) &= \{y \in Y : d_G(y_0, y) \leq m'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  is  $d$ -regular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_m^-(x_0)| &\leq 1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^m; \\ |Y_{m'}^+(y_0)| &\leq 1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{m'}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $|X_0| \leq |F|$  and  $|Y_0| \leq |F|$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &\leq \sum_{x_0 \in X_0} |X_m^-(x_0)| \leq |F|(1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^m); \\ |Y| &\leq \sum_{y_0 \in Y_0} |Y_{m'}^+(y_0)| \leq |F|(1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{m'}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We now consider the relationship between  $m$  and  $m'$ . Choose  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $d_G(x, X_0) = m$  and  $d_G(Y_0, y) = m'$ . Since any  $(x, y)$ -path in  $G$  must go through  $F$ , there exists an edge  $e = (x_0, y_0) \in F$  such that

$$d_G(x, x_0) + 1 + d_G(y_0, y) = d_G(x, y) \leq n.$$

Because of the choices of  $x$  and  $y$ , we have  $d_G(x, x_0) \geq m$  and  $d_G(y_0, y) \geq m'$ . Thus,

$$m' \leq d_G(y_0, y) \leq n - d_G(x, x_0) - 1 \leq n - m - 1.$$

It follows from (1) that

$$|V(G)| \leq |F| \frac{d^{m+1} + d^{n-m} - 2}{d - 1}. \quad (2)$$

Since  $G$  is  $d$ -regular,  $|E(X, Y)| = |E(Y, X)|$ . Without loss of generality, we can suppose  $m \leq m'$  in the following discussion.

**Lemma 3** If  $F$  is a minimum  $R$ -edge-cut of  $B(d, n)$ , then  $|F| \geq 2d - 2$  for any  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

**Proof** Let  $F$  be a minimum  $R$ -edge-cut of  $B(d, n)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $|F| \leq 2d - 3$ . We will deduce a contradiction by considering two cases.

**Case 1**  $m = 0$ . In this case, we have  $X = X_0$ . Let  $t = |X|$ . Then  $t \geq 2$  since  $F$  is an  $R$ -edge-cut. So  $2 \leq t \leq |F| \leq 2d - 3$  and  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $B(d, n)$  induced by  $X$ . We consider the number of the edges of  $H$ . On the one hand,  $|E(H)| = dt - |F| \geq dt - (2d - 3)$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2,  $|E(H)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1)$ . It follows that

$$dt - (2d - 3) \leq \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + 1),$$

which implies that

$$t^2 - 2dt + 4d - 5 \geq 0.$$

It, however, is impossible since the convex function  $f(t) = t^2 - 2dt + 4d - 5 < 0$  for  $2 \leq t \leq 2d - 3$  and  $d \geq 3$ .

**Case 2**  $m \geq 1$ . In this case, we have  $m \leq n - 2$  and  $n \geq 3$  since  $1 \leq m \leq m'$  and  $m + m' \leq n - 1$ . Note that the function  $f(m) = d^{m+1} + d^{n-m}$  is convex on the interval  $[1, n - 2]$  and  $f(1) = f(n - 2) = d^{n-1} + d^2$ . It

follows from (2) that, if  $|F| \leq 2d - 3$  and  $d \geq 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^n &= |V(B(d, n))| \leq |F| \frac{d^{m+1} + d^{n-m} - 2}{d - 1} \\
 &\leq (2d - 3) \frac{d^{n-1} + d^2 - 2}{d - 1} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 4d^2 - 2d - 6, & \text{for } n = 3; \\ 2d^3 + d^2 - 2d - 6, & \text{for } n = 4; \\ 2d^{n-1} - d^{n-2} - \dots - d^3 + d^2 - 2d - 6, & \text{for } n \geq 5. \end{cases} \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $d \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^3 - (4d^2 - 2d - 6) &= (d - 2)(d^2 - 2d - 2) + 2 > 0, \\
 d^4 - (2d^3 + d^2 - 2d - 6) &= d(d - 2)(d^2 - 1) + 6 > 0, \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

and, for  $n \geq 5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d^n - (2d^{n-1} - d^{n-2} - \dots - d^3 + d^2 - 2d - 6) \\
 &> d^n - 2d^{n-1} + d^3 - d^2 + 2d - 6 \\
 &= (d - 2)(d^{n-1} + d^2 + d + 4) + 2 \\
 &> 0. \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3), (4) and (5), we obtain a contradiction  $d^n < d^n$ .

Thus, we have  $|F| \geq 2d - 2$  if  $F$  is a minimum  $R$ -edge-cut of  $B(d, n)$ . The lemma follows. ■

### 3 Proof of Theorem

By the definition, it is clear that  $\lambda'(B(2, 1))$ ,  $\lambda'(B(2, 2))$  and  $\lambda'(B(3, 1))$  do not exist. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we only need to show  $\lambda'(B(d, 1)) = 2d - 4$  for  $d \geq 4$ .

Note that  $B(d, 1)$  is a complete digraph of order  $d$  plus a self-loop at every vertex. Let  $F = E(X, Y)$  be an  $R$ -edge-cut with  $|F| = \lambda'(B(d, 1))$ , and  $|X| = t$ . Then  $t \geq 2$  and  $|Y| = d - t \geq 2$ . So,  $2 \leq t \leq d - 2$ . For any pair of vertices  $x, y$ , there are a pair of symmetric edges between them. Thus,  $\lambda'(B(d, 1)) = |F| = t(d - t) \geq 2d - 4$  for  $2 \leq t \leq d - 2$ . On the other hand, choose  $F = E_B^+(\{0, 1\})$ . Since every vertex of  $B(d, 1)$  has a self-loop and every pair of vertices have a pair of symmetric edges between them,  $F$  is an  $R$ -edge-cut for  $d \geq 4$ . Thus,  $|F| = 2(d - 1) - 2 = 2d - 4$ , which implies  $\lambda'(B(d, 1)) \leq 2d - 4$ . so  $\lambda'(B(d, 1)) = 2d - 4$ . ■

**Corollary 1** (Soneoka [8]) The de Bruijn digraph  $B(d, n)$  is super edge-connected for any  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof* Since  $B(d, 1)$  is a complete digraph of order  $d$  with a loop at every vertex, it is clear that  $B(d, 1)$  is super edge-connected for any  $d \geq 2$ .

It is easy to see that  $B(2, 2)$  is super edge-connected. By Theorem 1, for  $d \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ , except  $B(2, 2)$ ,  $\lambda'(B(d, n)) = 2d - 2 > d - 1 = \lambda(B(d, n))$ , which means that  $B(d, n)$  is super edge-connected. ■

## References

- [1] Bauer, D., Boesch, F., Suffel, C., and Tindell, R., Connectivity extremal problems and the design of reliable probabilistic networks. *The Theory and Application of Graphs*, Wiley, New York, 1981, 45-54.
- [2] Esfahanian, A. H., Generalized measures of fault tolerance with application to  $n$ -cube networks. *IEEE Trans. Comput.* **38** (11) (1989), 1586-1591.
- [3] Esfahanian, A. H. and Hakimi, S. L., On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph. *Information Processing Letters*, **27** (1988), 195-199.
- [4] Fàbrega, J. and Fiol, M. A., Maximally connected digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, **13** (1989), 657-668.
- [5] Fan, Ying-Mei and Xu, Jun-Ming, Restricted edge-connectivity of Kautz graphs. *Applied Mathematics*, **17** (3) (2004), 329-332.
- [6] Fan, Y.-M., Xu, J.-M. and Lu, M., The restricted edge-connectivity of Kautz undirected graphs, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.
- [7] Ou, J.-P., Restricted edge connectivity of binary undirected Kautz graphs. *Chinese Quart. J. Math.* **19**(1) (2004), 47-50.
- [8] Soneoka, T., Super edge-connectivity of dense digraphs and graphs. *Discrete Applied. Math.*, **37/38** (1992), 511-523.
- [9] Xu, J.-M., *Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2001.
- [10] Xu Jun-Ming and Fan Ying-Mei, Super edge-connectivity of de Bruijn and Kautz undirected graphs. *Applied Math. J. Chinese Univ.* **19B** (2) (2004), 222-227.
- [11] Xu, J.-M., Lu, M. and Fan, Y.-M., The restricted edge-connectivity of de Bruijn undirected graphs, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.