

Minimal blocking sets in $PG(2, 9)$

F. Pambianco

L. Storme

Abstract

We classify the minimal blocking sets of size 15 in $PG(2, 9)$. We show that the only examples are the projective triangle and the sporadic example arising from the secants to the unique complete 6-arc in $PG(2, 9)$. This classification was used to solve the open problem of the existence of maximal partial spreads of size 76 in $PG(3, 9)$. No such maximal partial spreads exist [13]. In [14], also the non-existence of maximal partial spreads of size 75 in $PG(3, 9)$ has been proven. So, the result presented here contributes to the proof that the largest maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q = 9)$ have size $q^2 - q + 2 = 74$.

1 Introduction

A *spread* of $PG(3, q)$ is a set of $q^2 + 1$ lines partitioning the point set of $PG(3, q)$. A *partial spread* of $PG(3, q)$ is a set of pairwise disjoint lines of $PG(3, q)$ not forming a spread. A partial spread is called *maximal* when it is not contained in a larger partial spread. Let \mathcal{S} be a maximal partial spread of size $q^2 + 1 - \delta$, then δ is called the *deficiency* of \mathcal{S} .

A lot of attention has been paid to the construction of maximal partial spreads. Until recently, the largest known maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q)$, $q > 3$, were constructed by Bruen [6], Bruen and Thas [7], Freeman [9] and Jungnickel [19], and were maximal partial spreads of size $q^2 - q + 2$.

This led to the conjecture that $q^2 - q + 2$ is the largest size for a maximal partial spread.

However, Heden recently found a maximal partial spread in $PG(3, 7)$ of size $(q^2 - q + 3) = 45$ [12].

The validity of this conjecture for $q = 8$ was recently proved by Barát, Del Fra, Innamorati and Storme [1].

Concentrating on $q = 9$, presently, it is known that the deficiency of a maximal partial spread in $PG(3, 9)$ satisfies $\delta \geq 6$.

So the first open case is whether there exists a maximal partial spread with deficiency $\delta = 6$.

The standard technique to study this problem is to rely on the link between maximal partial spreads of $PG(3, q)$ and blocking sets of $PG(2, q)$.

A plane of $PG(3, q)$ containing one line of a maximal partial spread \mathcal{S} is called a *rich* plane of \mathcal{S} . In the other case, this plane is called *poor*. A point not lying on a line of \mathcal{S} is called a *hole* of \mathcal{S} .

Let \mathcal{S} be a maximal partial spread of deficiency δ . Then a rich plane contains δ holes and a poor plane contains $q + \delta$ holes. Moreover, the holes in a poor plane Π form a *blocking set* in Π . This means that every line of Π contains at least one hole. For proofs, we refer to [21, Lemma 2.1]. A *trivial* blocking set is a blocking set containing a line.

When \mathcal{S} is maximal, no line consists entirely of holes. This means that the holes in Π form a *non-trivial* blocking set in Π .

Hence, lower bounds on the cardinality of non-trivial blocking sets in $PG(2, q)$, and information on the structure of minimal blocking sets in $PG(2, q)$, yield information on maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q)$.

Presently, the following results are known on non-trivial blocking sets in $PG(2, q)$, which have led to the following results on maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q)$.

Theorem 1.1 (1) (Bruen [5]) *The smallest non-trivial blocking sets in $PG(2, q)$, q square, have cardinality $q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ and are equal to Baer subplanes $PG(2, \sqrt{q})$.*

(2) (Blokhuis, Storme, Szőnyi [4]) *In $PG(2, q)$, q non-square, $q = p^h$, $h > 2$, $p \geq 5$, p prime, $|B| \geq q + q^{2/3} + 1$ for every non-trivial blocking set B .*

(3) (Blokhuis [2]) *In $PG(2, q)$, q prime, $q > 2$, $|B| \geq 3(q + 1)/2$ for every non-trivial blocking set B .*

(4) (Blokhuis, Storme, Szőnyi [4]) *In $PG(2, q)$, q square, $q = p^h$, $h > 2$, $p \geq 5$, p prime, every non-trivial blocking set B of cardinality $|B| < q + q^{2/3} + 1$ contains a Baer subplane.*

(5) (Szőnyi [26]) *In $PG(2, q)$, $q = p^2$, p prime, every non-trivial blocking set B of cardinality $|B| < 3(q + 1)/2$ contains a Baer subplane.*

Theorem 1.2 (Polverino, Polverino and Storme [22, 23, 24]) *The smallest minimal blocking sets in $PG(2, p^3)$, $p = p_0^h$, p_0 prime, $p_0 \geq 7$, with exponent $e \geq h$, are:*

(1) *a line,*

(2) *a Baer subplane of cardinality $p^3 + p^{3/2} + 1$, when p is a square,*

(3) *a set of cardinality $p^3 + p^2 + 1$, equivalent to*

$$\{(x, T(x), 1) \mid x \in GF(p^3)\} \cup \{(x, T(x), 0) \mid x \in GF(p^3) \setminus \{0\}\},$$

with T the trace function from $GF(p^3)$ to $GF(p)$,
 (4) a set of cardinality $p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$, equivalent to

$$\{(x, x^p, 1) \mid x \in GF(p^3)\} \cup \{(x, x^p, 0) \mid x \in GF(p^3) \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Corollary 1.3 Let S be a maximal partial spread of $PG(3, q)$ of deficiency δ . Then

- (1) $\delta \geq \sqrt{q} + 1$ when q is square,
- (2) $\delta \geq q^{2/3} + 1$ when q is non-square, $q = p^h, h > 2, p \geq 5, p$ prime,
- (3) $\delta \geq (q + 3)/2$ when q is an odd prime.

Corollary 1.4 (Metsch and Storme [21]) (a) Suppose that δ is an integer and q square, $q = p^h, h > 2, p \geq 5, p$ prime, such that $0 < \delta < q^{2/3} + 1$.

If S is a maximal partial spread of $PG(3, q)$ with $q^2 + 1 - \delta$ lines, then $\delta = s(\sqrt{q} + 1)$ for an integer $s \geq 2$ and the set of holes is the union of s pairwise disjoint Baer subgeometries $PG(3, \sqrt{q})$.

(b) Suppose that δ is an integer and $q = p^2, p$ prime, $q > 4$, such that $0 < 2\delta \leq q + 1$.

If S is a maximal partial spread of $PG(3, q)$ with $q^2 + 1 - \delta$ lines, then $\delta = s(\sqrt{q} + 1)$ for an integer $s \geq 2$ and the set of holes is the union of s pairwise disjoint Baer subgeometries.

Theorem 1.5 (Metsch and Storme [21]) Let S be a maximal partial spread of $PG(3, q^3)$, q non-square, $q = p^h, h \geq 1, p$ prime, $p \geq 7$, of deficiency $\delta \leq q^2 + q + 1$. Then $\delta = q^2 + q + 1$ and the set of holes forms a projected subgeometry $PG(5, q)$ in $PG(3, q^3)$.

Theorem 1.6 (Metsch and Storme [21]) Let S be a maximal partial spread of $PG(3, q^3)$, $q = p^h, h \geq 2, h$ even, p prime, $p \geq 7$, of deficiency $\delta \leq q^2 + q + 1$.

Then, (1) $\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{3/2} + 1}$, $\delta \geq 2(q^{3/2} + 1)$, and the set of holes is the union of pairwise disjoint subgeometries $PG(3, q^{3/2})$, or (2) $\delta = q^2 + q + 1$ and the set of holes forms a projected subgeometry $PG(5, q)$ in $PG(3, q^3)$.

In the following theorems, for $q = p^3, p$ prime, $p \geq 17, \delta_0$ is the largest integer smaller than $(3p^3 + 27p^2 - 5p + 25)/25$. For $p = 7, 11, 13, \delta_0 = 90, \delta_0 = 285$ and $\delta_0 = 441$ respectively. For $q = p^3, p = p_0^h, p_0$ prime, $p_0 \geq 7, h > 1, \delta_0$ is defined as the largest integer smaller than $(3p^3 + 27p^2 - 5p + 25)/25$ and smaller than the value δ' for which $p^3 + \delta'$ is the cardinality of the smallest non-trivial minimal blocking set in $PG(2, p^3)$ of cardinality larger than $p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$.

Theorem 1.7 (Ferret and Storme [8]) Let $p = p_0^h, p_0 \geq 7$ a prime, $h \geq 1$ odd. The set of holes of a maximal partial spread in $PG(3, p^3)$ of deficiency

$\delta \leq \delta_0$ is the union of pairwise disjoint projected subgeometries $PG(5, p)$ of cardinality $p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$, and so $\delta = s(p^2 + p + 1)$ for some integer s .

Theorem 1.8 (Ferret and Storme [8]) *Let $p = p_0^h$, $p_0 \geq 7$ a prime, $h > 1$ even. The set of holes of a maximal partial spread in $PG(3, p^3)$ of deficiency $\delta \leq \delta_0$ is the union of pairwise disjoint subgeometries $PG(3, p^{3/2})$ and projected subgeometries $PG(5, p)$ of cardinality $p^5 + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$ and so the deficiency δ of a maximal partial spread in $PG(3, p^3)$ can be written as $\delta = r(p^{3/2} + 1) + s(p^2 + p + 1)$ for some integers r and s .*

In $PG(2, 8)$, the following results on the smallest non-trivial blocking sets are known.

Theorem 1.9 (Innamorati and Zuanni [17]) *Let \mathcal{B} be a non-trivial minimal blocking set of size 13 in $PG(2, 8)$, then \mathcal{B} is projectively equivalent to the set*

$$\{(t, t + t^2 + t^4, 1) \mid t \in GF(8)\} \cup \{(t, t + t^2 + t^4, 0) \mid t \in GF(8) \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Theorem 1.10 (Barát, Del Fra, Innamorati and Storme [1]) *There do not exist minimal blocking sets of size 14 in $PG(2, 8)$.*

The two preceding results led to the following sharp result on the size of the largest maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, 8)$.

Theorem 1.11 (Barát, Del Fra, Innamorati and Storme [1]) *The largest maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, 8)$ have size $q^2 - q + 2$.*

In all of the preceding results on maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q)$ of deficiency δ , information on minimal blocking sets of size $q + \delta$ in $PG(2, q)$ was of crucial importance.

To prove the non-existence of maximal partial spreads of deficiency $\delta = 6$ in $PG(2, 9)$ in [13], we will classify the non-trivial blocking sets of size $15 = q + \delta$ in $PG(2, q = 9)$. We will show that next to the classical example of the projective triangle, there is a unique second example.

The minimal blocking sets of size 15 in $PG(2, q = 9)$ are minimal blocking sets of size $3(q + 1)/2$.

Regarding their classification in other planes $PG(2, q)$, for small odd values of q , we note that also in $PG(2, 7)$ and in $PG(2, 13)$, there is a unique example different from the projective triangle. But in $PG(2, q)$, $q = 11$, or q an odd prime number satisfying $17 \leq q \leq 37$, the projective triangles are the only examples of minimal blocking sets of size $3(q + 1)/2$ (see Blokhuis, Brouwer and Wilbrink [3]).

Regarding the classification of the largest maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, 9)$, we note that also the non-existence of maximal partial spreads of size 75 in $PG(3, 9)$ has been proven [14]. This altogether proves that the largest maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q = 9)$ have size $q^2 - q + 2 = 74$.

2 The known minimal blocking sets of size 15

Presently, there are two known examples of minimal blocking sets of size 15 in $PG(2, 9)$.

2.1 The projective triangle

The first example is the projective triangle [15, Lemma 13.6]. This is the set of points projectively equivalent to the set

$$\{(0, 1, a_0), (1, 0, a_1), (-a_2, 1, 0) \mid a_0, a_1, a_2 \text{ squares of } GF(9)\}.$$

There are exactly three non-concurrent 6-secants to the projective triangle. The intersection points of two of these 6-secants are called the *vertices* of the projective triangle.

A vertex lies on two 6-secants, four 2-secants and four tangents to the projective triangle. A non-vertex point of the projective triangle lies on one 6-secant, four 3-secants, one 2-secant and four tangents.

2.2 The sporadic blocking set

In $PG(2, 9)$, there is a unique complete 6-arc [15, p. 386]. The 15 bisecants to this complete 6-arc form a minimal blocking set in the dual projective plane.

So, dualizing this situation, a sporadic example of a minimal blocking set of size 15 arises.

The characteristic properties of this sporadic example are:

1. There are exactly six 5-secants to this blocking set which form a complete 6-arc of lines.
2. There are ten 3-secants to the blocking set. These ten 3-secants form a dual conic.
3. And furthermore, there are fifteen 2-secants to the blocking set. These fifteen 2-secants are the secants to a complete 6-arc in $PG(2, 9)$.

3 The classification of the minimal blocking sets of size 15

From now on, let B be a minimal blocking set of size 15 in $PG(2, 9)$. Since B is non-trivial, a line L intersects B in at most 6 points. Namely, for a fixed point $p \in L \setminus B$, the nine lines through p which are different from L all contain at least one point of B , so L contains at most 6 points of B . Blocking sets of size 15 in $PG(2, 9)$ having at least one 6-secant are called *blocking sets of Rédei-type* [25].

3.1 Introductory results

Lemma 3.1 *Every point of B lies on at least four tangents to B .*

Proof: Let $p \in B$ and let L be a tangent line to B at p . Consider $PG(2, 9) \setminus L$ and call this $AG(2, 9)$. Then a set $B \setminus L$ of size 14 remains.

A minimal blocking set in $AG(2, 9)$ contains at least 17 points [18]. This means that we need to add at least three points to $B \setminus L$ to get a blocking set in $AG(2, 9)$.

The only external lines to $B \setminus L$ in $AG(2, 9)$ are the tangents to B at p (different from L). Since at least three points need to be added to $B \setminus L$ to obtain a blocking set in $AG(2, 9)$, there are at least three external lines to $B \setminus L$ in $AG(2, 9)$; so p lies already on at least three tangents to B , different from L . Also L is a tangent line to B . Hence p lies on at least four tangents to B . \square

Lemma 3.2 *B has at least one secant with at least four points.*

Proof: Suppose there are only 1-, 2- and 3-secants. Let the number of them be denoted by a , b and c respectively. Then the following equations must hold by standard counting arguments.

$$a + b + c = 91$$

$$a + 2b + 3c = 150$$

$$2b + 6c = 210$$

From these equations, $b = -33$, which is a contradiction. \square

3.2 There are at least 5- and/or 6-secants

Suppose that there are only 1-, 2-, 3- and 4-secants. Let the respective numbers be a, b, c, d . Then the standard counting arguments imply that

$$\begin{aligned} b &= -3a + 201 \\ c &= 3a - 188 \\ d &= -a + 78 \end{aligned}$$

So $a \geq 63$.

It is impossible that there is a point lying on at least 9 tangents. Namely, if a point p of B lies on at least 9 tangents, then the 14 other points of B lie on the tenth line through p , which is impossible. If a point p not belonging to B lies on 9 tangents, then the tenth line contains the 6 remaining points of B , but this contradicts the fact that there are at most 4-secants to B . So, the tangents form a $(k, 8)$ -arc in the dual plane of $PG(2, 9)$. Table 5.4 of [16] shows us that a $(k, 8)$ -arc in $PG(2, 9)$ contains at most 65 elements, so there are at most 65 tangents to B .

So, there are only the following three possibilities:

a	b	c	d
63	12	1	15
64	9	4	14
65	6	7	13

Lemma 3.3 *Only the case $(a, b, c, d) = (65, 6, 7, 13)$ occurs.*

Proof: Otherwise, the number of 4-secants is at least 14. Two 4-secants always intersect in a point of B . For assume they intersect in a point p not in B . Then since the eight other lines through p all contain at least one point of B , $|B| \geq 2 \times 4 + 8 = 16$, which is false.

Consider a 4-secant L . The (at least) 13 other 4-secants intersect L in a point of B , so some point p of $L \cap B$ lies on at least five 4-secants, the line L included. But then $|B| \geq 1 + 5 \times 3 = 16$ when counting the number of points of B on the lines through p , which is false. \square

Let L be a 4-secant. Let $L : Z = 0$ where the coordinates of a point are (x, y, z) . Let $r_1 = (0, 1, 0), r_2 = (1, 0, 0)$ be points of L not belonging to B . Let r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6 be the other points of $S = L \setminus B$. We identify r_1 with (∞) , r_2 with (0) , and the points $(1, y, 0)$ with (y) . We also identify the affine points $(x, y, 1)$ with (x, y) . Let $(a_i, b_i), i = 1, \dots, 11$, be the points of $B \setminus L$.

Then the following result is valid.

Lemma 3.4 *At most two of the points $r_i, i = 1, \dots, 6$, lie on a 3-secant.*

Proof: Suppose the points r_1 and r_2 lie on 3-secants to B . Let $p = (0, 0)$ be the intersection of these 3-secants. Since r_1 and r_2 are the points at

infinity of respectively the vertical and horizontal line through the origin p , the vertical and horizontal line through the origin contain three affine points of B , and this implies $\{a_i || i = 1, \dots, 11\} = \{b_i || i = 1, \dots, 11\} = GF(9)$ where every non-zero element appears once and where zero appears three times in the sequence of elements a_i , respectively b_i .

This shows that $\prod_{i=1}^{11}(X - a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{11}(X - b_i) = X^{11} - X^3$.

Let

$$\sigma_{k,l}(a_1, \dots, a_{11}; b_1, \dots, b_{11}) = \sum a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \cdot b_{j_1} \cdots b_{j_l}$$

where the sum is over all index sets $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ being disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, 11\}$ of cardinality k and l , respectively.

Then $\prod_i(X - a_i) = \prod_i(X - b_i) = X^{11} - X^3$ implies $\sigma_{1,0} = \sigma_{0,1} = \sigma_{2,0} = \sigma_{0,2} = 0$.

We now use the lacunary polynomial associated with the set $\{(a_i, b_i) || i = 1, \dots, 11\}$. This is the polynomial

$$H(X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{11}(X + a_i Y - b_i) = X^{11} + a(Y)X^{10} + b(Y)X^9 + \dots,$$

where $a(Y) = \sigma_{1,0}Y - \sigma_{0,1}$ and where $b(Y) = \sigma_{2,0}Y^2 - \sigma_{1,1}Y + \sigma_{0,2}$.

Since $\sigma_{1,0} = \sigma_{0,1} = \sigma_{2,0} = \sigma_{0,2} = 0$, $a(Y)$ is identically zero and $b(Y) = -cY$, for some constant c .

So, $H(X, y) = (X^9 - X)(X^2 - cy)$ for all $(\infty) \neq (y) \in S = L \setminus B$ since all affine lines through such a point must contain a point of B .

If $c \neq 0$, then $X^2 - cy$ cannot have a double root for a fixed value $y \neq 0$, so these points (y) lie on two 2-secants to the affine part. On the other hand, $c = 0$ would imply that all lines through p and a point of S are 3-secants. If $p \notin B$, then $|B| \geq 1 + 3 \times 6$, which is false. So $p \in B$, but then B is not minimal. \square

Lemma 3.5 *It is impossible that B has at most 4-secants.*

Proof: The preceding lemma shows that there are at least eight 2-secants to B since we know that there are at least four points r_i lying on two 2-secants to B . But the number b of 2-secants is $b = 6$ (Lemma 3.3). So we have a contradiction. \square

3.3 The computer search for a minimal blocking set of size 15 of Rédei-type

A minimal blocking set of size 15 of Rédei-type has at least one 6-secant L .

Using MAGMA [20], it was determined that there are two orbits of the group $P\Gamma L(2,9)$ on the subsets of size 4 of a line L . This gives two possibilities for the orbits of sets of 6 points on such a line. So there are two possibilities for $L \cap B$. The stabilizer group of the first 6-set acts transitively on the 6 points; the stabilizer group of the other 6-set has two orbits on the 6-set.

Consider the affine plane $PG(2,9) \setminus L$. This shares 9 points with B . Every secant M to $B \setminus L$ intersects L in a point of B . For let p be a point of $L \setminus B$. Since L contains already 6 points of B , there only remain 9 other points in B , and since every one of the nine lines through p different from L must contain at least one point of B , these nine points of $B \setminus L$ must lie one by one on the nine lines through p different from L . So a point of $L \setminus B$ does not lie on a secant to $B \setminus L$; secants to $B \setminus L$ intersect L in a point of $L \cap B$.

Suppose the 9 points of $B \setminus L$ form a 9-arc, then the four points of $L \setminus B$ extend this 9-arc to a 10-arc since they only lie on tangents to $B \setminus L$. A 9-arc in $PG(2,9)$ consists of 9 points of a conic [16, p. 386], so can only be extended by the tenth point of this conic to a 10-arc.

So there are at least three collinear points in $B \setminus L$. The line containing these collinear points intersects L in a point of B . Using the preceding results on the stabilizer groups of the two possibilities for the 6-sets $B \cap L$, there are in total three possibilities for this intersection point.

So it is possible to determine 9 points of B , without having too many possibilities.

The computer search showed that the projective triangles are the only examples.

Theorem 3.6 *The projective triangles are the only minimal blocking sets of size 15 in $PG(2,9)$ that are of Rédei-type.*

3.4 The computer search for a minimal blocking set of size 15 having no 6-secants, but at least one 5-secant

First of all, MAGMA showed that the group $P\Gamma L(2,9)$ has two orbits on the 5-sets of a projective line. So, for the 5-secant L to B , there are two possibilities for $L \cap B$.

Consider now the affine part $B \setminus L$ of size 10. Here, the following result of Gács gives crucial information on the structure of this affine part.

Theorem 3.7 (Gács [10]) *In $PG(2,q)$, let B be a minimal blocking set of size $q+k$, and suppose there is a line L intersecting B in exactly $k-1$ points. Then there is a point $p \notin B$ such that every line joining p to a point of $L \setminus B$ contains two points of B . Hence $k \geq (q+3)/2$.*

Using this result, we see that there is a point p not in B such that the five lines joining p to the points of $L \setminus B$ each contain two points of B ; so these lines contain the 10 points of $B \setminus L$.

This information was used to conduct a computer search. The computer search showed that the only example that satisfies this condition is the sporadic example coming from the complete 6-arc in $PG(2, 9)$.

Theorem 3.8 *Every minimal blocking set in $PG(2, 9)$ of size 15 having at least one 5-secant, but no 6-secant, is projectively equivalent to the minimal blocking set arising from the complete 6-arc in $PG(2, 9)$.*

4 Application

As indicated in the introduction, this classification of the minimal blocking sets of size 15 in $PG(2, 9)$ was used in [13] to prove the non-existence of maximal partial spreads of size 76 (deficiency 6) in $PG(3, 9)$.

Theorem 4.1 *There do not exist maximal partial spreads of size 76 in $PG(3, 9)$.*

In [14], the non-existence of maximal partial spreads of size 75 in $PG(3, 9)$ has been proven. There exist in $PG(3, q = 9)$ maximal partial spreads of size $q^2 - q + 2 = 74$. So the size of the largest maximal partial spreads is now also known in $PG(3, 9)$.

Theorem 4.2 *The largest maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, q = 9)$ have size $q^2 - q + 2 = 74$.*

Acknowledgement

This research was carried out with the support of the Italian MIUR (progetto "Strutture geometriche, combinatorie e loro applicazioni") and of GN-SAGA.

L. Storme thanks the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders (Belgium) for a Research Grant.

References

- [1] J. Barát, A. Del Fra, S. Innamorati and L. Storme, Minimal blocking sets in $PG(2, 8)$ and maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, 8)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **31** (2004), 15-26.

- [2] A. Blokhuis, On the size of a blocking set in $PG(2, p)$. *Combinatorica* **14** (1994), 111-114.
- [3] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer and H.A. Wilbrink, Blocking sets in $PG(2, p)$ for small p , and partial spreads in $PG(3, 7)$. *Adv. Geom.* (2003), suppl., Special issue dedicated to Adriano Barlotti, S245-S253.
- [4] A. Blokhuis, L. Storme and T. Szőnyi, Lacunary polynomials, multiple blocking sets and Baer subplanes. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **60** (1999), 321-332.
- [5] A.A. Bruen, Baer subplanes and blocking sets. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1970), 342-344.
- [6] A.A. Bruen, Partial spreads and replaceable nets. *Canad. J. Math.* **23** (1971), 381-391.
- [7] A.A. Bruen and J.A. Thas, Partial spreads, packings and Hermitian manifolds in $PG(3, q)$. *Math. Z.* **151** (1976), 207-214.
- [8] S. Ferret and L. Storme, Results on maximal partial spreads in $PG(3, p^3)$ and on related minihypers. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **29** (2003), 105-122.
- [9] J.W. Freeman, Reguli and pseudo-reguli in $PG(3, s^2)$. *Geom. Dedicata* **9** (1980), 267-280.
- [10] A. Gács, *The Rédei Method Applied to Finite Geometry*, PhD Thesis, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest (Hungary), 1997.
- [11] N. Hamada, A characterization of some $[n, k, d; q]$ -codes meeting the Griesmer bound using a minihyper in a finite projective geometry. *Discr. Math.* **116** (1993), 229-268.
- [12] O. Heden, A maximal partial spread of size 45 in $PG(3, 7)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **22** (2001), 331-334.
- [13] O. Heden, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco and L. Storme, On the non-existence of maximal partial spreads of size 76 in $PG(3, 9)$. *Ars. Combin.*, to appear.
- [14] O. Heden, S. Marcugini and F. Pambianco, The maximum size of a maximal partial spread in $PG(3, 9)$. (In preparation).
- [15] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields (Second Edition)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press 1998.

- [16] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and L. Storme, The packing problem in statistics, coding theory and finite projective spaces: update 2001. *Developments in Mathematics* Vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers. *Finite Geometries*, Proceedings of the *Fourth Isle of Thorns Conference* (Chelwood Gate, July 16-21, 2000) (Eds. A. Blokhuis, J.W.P. Hirschfeld, D. Jungnickel and J.A. Thas), pp. 201-246.
- [17] S. Innamorati and F. Zuanni, Minimum blocking configurations. *J. Geom.* **55** (1996), 86-98.
- [18] R.E. Jamison, Covering finite fields with cosets of subspaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 253-266.
- [19] D. Jungnickel, Maximal partial spreads and nets of small deficiency. *J. Algebra* **90** (1984), 119-132.
- [20] <http://www.maths.uysd.edu.au:8000/u/magma/index.html>
- [21] K. Metsch and L. Storme, Partial t -spreads in $PG(2t + 1, q)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **18** (1999), 199-216.
- [22] O. Polverino, Small minimal blocking sets and complete k -arcs in $PG(2, p^3)$. *Discrete Math.* **208/209** (1999), 469-476.
- [23] O. Polverino, Small blocking sets in $PG(2, p^3)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **20** (2000), 319-324.
- [24] O. Polverino and L. Storme, Minimal blocking sets in $PG(2, q^3)$. *Europ. J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 83-92.
- [25] L. Rédei, *Lückenhafte Polynome über endlichen Körpern*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1970) (english translation: *Lacunary Polynomials over Finite Fields*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1973)).
- [26] T. Szőnyi, Blocking sets in Desarguesian affine and projective planes. *Finite Fields Appl.* **3** (1997), 187-202.

Addresses of the authors:

F. Pambianco, Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Perugia, Via Vanvitelli 1, I-06123 Perugia, Italy (fernanda@dipmat.unipg.it)

L. Storme, Ghent University, Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra, Krijgslaan 281 - S22, 9000 Gent, Belgium (ls@cage.ugent.be, <http://cage.ugent.be/~ls>)