Circumferences of 2-connected quasi-claw-free graphs

Aygul Mamut¹, Sawut Awut², Elkin Vumar^{1*}

¹College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, P.R. China

²Department of Mathematics, Xinjiang Yili Normal College, Yining 835000, P.R. China

Abstract

A graph G is quasi-claw-free if it satisfies the property: $d(x,y)=2\Rightarrow$ there exists $u\in N(x)\cap N(y)$ such that $N[u]\subseteq N[x]\cup N[y]$. In this paper, we prove that the circumference of a 2-connected quasi-claw-free graph G on n vertices is at least $\min\{3\delta+2,n\}$ or $G\in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is a class of nonhamiltonian graphs of connectivity 2. Moreover, we prove that if $n\leq 4\delta$, then G is hamiltonian or $G\in \mathcal{F}$.

Keywords: Circumference; claw-free graph; quasi-claw-free graph

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider only finite, undirected and simple graphs. Let G=(V,E) be a graph with vertex set V=V(G) and edge set E=E(G). Throughout we use n for |V| and we use δ for the minimum degree of vertices of G. The open and closed neighborhoods of a vertex u are denoted by $N(u)=\{x\in V: xu\in E\}$ and $N[u]=\{u\}\cup N(u)$, respectively. For each pair of vertices a,b at distance 2 we set $J(a,b)=\{u\in N(a)\cap N(b):N[u]\subseteq N[a]\cup N[b]\}$. A graph is called claw-free if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$. In [1], Ainouche introduced the concept of quasi-claw-free graphs, and extended many known results on claw-free graphs to quasi-claw-free graphs. A graph is quasi-claw-free, if every pair of vertices x,y at distance 2 satisfies the condition $J(x,y)\neq\emptyset$. Note that a claw-free graph is quasi-claw-free, but a quasi-claw-free graph is not necessarily $K_{1,r}$ -free for $r\geq 3$. There exist infinite classes of quasi-claw-free graphs which contain many induced $K_{1,r}$ for $r\geq 3$ (see [1]).

^{*}Corresponding author. Emails: vumar@xju.edu.cn

The circumference c(G) of a graph G is the length of a longest cycle in G. For terminology and notation not defined here see [2].

To state our result, we introduce a class of graphs. Let \mathcal{K}_3 denote the set of all graphs consisting of three disjoint complete graphs, where each of the components has order at least 3. The class \mathcal{F} is the set of all spanning subgraphs that can be obtained from a graph G in \mathcal{K}_3 by adding the edges of two triangles between two disjoint triple of vertices, each containing one vertex of each component of G. A graph is called 3-cyclable, if any three vertices lie on a common cycle. Note that \mathcal{F} is one of the three classes of 2-connected and not 3-cyclable graphs, which were first characterized by Watkins and Mensner [9].

In [5], M. Li proved the following result for 2-connected claw-free graphs.

Theorem 1 ([5]) Every 2-connected claw-free graph G on n vertices contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{3\delta+2,n\}$ or $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if $n \leq 4\delta$, then G is hamiltonian or $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Note that the second part of Theorem 1 was also obtained independently by H. Li [4]. In [7] R. Li obtained the following result for 2-connected quasi-claw-free graphs.

Theorem 2 ([7]) Let G be a 2-connected quasi-claw-free graph on n vertices. If $n \leq 4\delta$, then G is hamiltonian or $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this paper, we consider the circumferences of 2-connected quasi claw-free graphs and obtain the following Theorem 3, the proof of it will be given in Section 3.

Theorem 3 Every 2-connected quasi-claw-free graph G on n vertices contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{3\delta+2,n\}$ or $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if $n \leq 4\delta$, then G is hamiltonian or $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

2 Notation and lemmas

For subgraphs H, K of G, let G-H denote the subgraph of G which is induced by $V(G) \setminus V(H)$, and let $N_K(H)$ denote the set of vertices in K that are adjacent to some vertex in H. Moreover, we abbreviate $N(K) := N_{G-K}(K)$. In particular, if K consists of one vertex v, we omit the brackets, and we use $d_H(v) = |N_H(v)|$ and d(v) = |N(v)|. If also H and K are edge-disjoint, we use e(H; K) to denote the set of edges between H and K. In case when $V(H) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ we write $e(v_1, \ldots, v_s; K)$ instead of $e(\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}; K)$.

Given a cycle C with a fixed cyclic orientation and vertices $x, y \in V(C)$, we use C[x, y], C[x, y), C(x, y) and C(x, y) to denote the corresponding subpaths between x and y of C, respectively including both x and y (with possibly x = y),

only x or only y (if x and y are distinct), and none of x and y (if there is at least a vertex between x and y on C). For a vertex $x \in V(C)$ we use x^+ and $x^$ to denote the successor and the predecessor of x on C, respectively. Moreover, $x^{++} := (x^+)^+$ and $x^{--} := (x^-)^-$ etc. If $Z \subseteq V(C)$, then $Z^+ = \{u^+ \mid u \in Z\}$ and $Z^- = \{u^- \mid u \in Z\}$. A path Q, which has its end vertices on C and openly disjoint from C, is called a C-chord. We use $Q_H[x,y]$ to denote a C-chord with end vertices x, y on C and all inner vertices in a component H of G - C.

For vertices a and b in a connected graph G, let $L_G(a, b)$ be the length of a longest (a, b)-path P in G, i.e., $L_G(a, b) = |P| - 1$. If G is connected with $n \ge 2$, we set $D(G) = \min\{L_G(a,b) : a,b \in V(G), a \neq b\}$. Obviously, for each pair of distinct vertices x, y of a connected graph G with $n \geq 2$, we have a path P[x, y]such that $|P[x, y]| \ge D(G) + 1$. For |G| = 1 set D(G) = 0.

Next we present some lemmas.

Lemma 1 Let G be a 2-connected quasi-claw-free graph and C a longest cycle in G with a fixed cyclic orientation. Let H be a component of G-C and $N(H) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$ in order around C.

- (a) $x_i^- x_i^+ \in E$ for i = 1, ..., s. (b) $e(x_i^+, x_i^{++}; x_j, x_j^+, x_j^{++}) = e(x_i^-, x_i^{--}; x_j, x_j^-, x_j^{--}) = \emptyset$ for any pair of distinct elements x_i, x_j of N(H).
- (c) $N(H) \cap N(K) = \emptyset$ for any pair of distinct components H and K of G C.
- (d) Let $u \in N_H(x_i)$, $v \in N_H(x_{i+1})$ for some $x_i \in N(H)$. Then $|C(x_i, x_{i+1})| \ge 1$ $4+L_H(u,v).$
- (e) Let K be a component of G C other than H and let $Q = Q_K[z_i, z_k]$ be a C-chord joining $C(x_j^+, x_{j+1}^-)$ and $C(x_k^+, x_{k+1}^-)$, where x_j, x_k are distinct elements of N(H), $z_j \in C(x_j^+, x_{j+1}^+)$, $z_k \in C(x_k^+, x_{k+1}^+)$, and all the internal vertices of Q are in K. Let $u_i \in N_H(x_i)$ and $v_i \in N_H(x_{i+1})$, i = j, k. Then

$$|C(x_j, z_j)| + |C(x_k, z_k)| \ge 3 + L_H(u_j, u_k) + (|Q| - 2)$$

$$|C(z_i, x_{i+1})| + |C(z_k, x_{k+1})| \ge 3 + L_H(v_i, v_k) + (|Q| - 2).$$

Proof. Claim (a) is Lemma 3 in Section 4 in [1]. The Claims (b) - (e) follow from the fact that C is a longest cycle in G.

The following lemma is derived from Lemma 2 and the proof of Theorem 3 in Section 4 in [1].

Lemma 2 ([1]) Let C be a longest cycle in a 2-connected quasi-claw-free graph G and H a component of G-C. There exists an independent set I in G with cardinality |N(H)| + 1 such that $N(x) \cap N(y) = \emptyset$ for any pair of distinct elements x, y of I.

Let K_4^- denote the graph obtained from K_4 by deleting one edge. The following lemma is due to Jung.

Lemma 3 ([3]) Let H be a 2-connected graph. There exist distinct vertices v_1, v_2 and v_3 in V(H) such that

(i) $D(H) \ge d_H(v_i)$ for i = 1, 2 and $L_H(v_1, v_2) \ge d_H(v_3)$;

(ii)
$$D(H) \ge d_H(v_3) - 1$$
 with strict inequality unless $H = K_4^-$.

3 Proof of Theorem 3

In this section let G be a 2-connected nonhamitonian quasi claw-free graph and let C be a longest cycle in G with a given orientation.

In the following we first distinguish the cases pertaining to the first part of Theorem 3, and then using the obtained lower bound of c(G) we prove the second part of Theorem 3.

Case 1. There is a component, say H, of G - C with at most two vertices.

We label $N(H) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ in cyclic order aroud C, where the subscripts are taken modulo s. By Lemma 1 we have $|C| \ge 4s$, and consequently $|C| \ge 4\delta \ge 3\delta + 2$ if |H| = 1.

Suppose $H=\{v_1,v_2\}$. If $|N_C(v_i)|< s$ for some $v_i\in\{v_1,v_2\}$, then also $|C|\geq 4s\geq 4\delta$. Thus assume $|N_C(v_i)|=s$ for i=1,2, and therefore $|C(x_k,x_{k+1}]|\geq 5$ for each $x_k\in N(H)$. Then $|C|\geq 5s=3(s+1)+2s-3$, and $|C|\geq 3\delta+2$ unless s=2 and $|C(x_k,x_{k+1})|=5$ for k=1,2, in this final case it is not difficult to verify $G\in\mathcal{F}$.

Case 2. There is a component, say H, of G - C such that H has a cut vertex.

Let B_1, \ldots, B_t be all end blocks of H with the unique cut vertices c_i of H in $V(B_i), i = 1, \ldots, t$.

Case 2.1. There exist distinct end blocks B_i, B_j such that $|N_C(B_i - c_i) \cup N_C(B_i - c_i)| \ge 2$.

It suffices to consider i=1=j-1. Label $X=N_C(B_1-c_1)\cup N_C(B_2-c_2)=\{z_1,z_2,...z_r\}$ in cyclic order around C, where the subscripts are taken modulo r. By the assumption of this subcase, there exist distinct $z_p,z_q\in X$ such that $z_k\in N_C(B_1-c_1)$ and $z_{k+1}\in N_C(B_2-c_2)$ or vice versa (k=p,q). For $k\in\{p,q\}$, we have $|C(x_k,x_{k+1}]|\geq (D(B_1)+L_H(c_1,c_2)+D(B_2)+1)+3\geq D(B_1)+D(B_2)+4$. Note that $|C(x_j,x_{j+1}]|\geq 4$ for $x_j\in X\setminus\{x_p,x_q\}$. By Lemma 3, there exist $v_i\in V(B_i-c_i)$ such that $D(B_i)\geq d_{B_i}(v_i)=d_H(v_i)$ for i=1,2. Now we have $|C|\geq 4r+2D(B_1)+2D(B_2)\geq 2d(v_1)+2d(v_2)\geq 4\delta$.

Case 2.2.
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} N_C(B_i - c_i) = \{x\}.$$

For any $y_p \in N(x) \cap V(B_p - c_p)$ and $y_q \in N(x) \cap V(B_q - c_q)$ with $p \neq q$, we have $d(y_p, y_q) = 2$. Since $x^+ \notin N_C(B_i - c_i)$ (i = 1, ..., t), we deduce

that $x \notin J(y_p, y_q)$, and this in turn implies that $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_t = c$ and $J(y_p, y_q) = \{c\}$. Since G is 2-connected and C is a longest cycle, c has a neighbor z in $V(C) \setminus \{x^-, x, x^+\}$. But then, by definition of $J(y_p, y_q)$, $z(\neq x)$ has a neighbor y_p or y_q in $V(B_p - c_p) \cup V(B_q - c_q)$, a contradiction.

Case 3. All components of G - C are 2-connected.

Let H be a 2-connected component of G-C. We label $N(H)=\{x_1,\ldots,x_s\}$ in cyclic order around C, where the subscripts are taken modulo s. For convenience, we abbreviate $d_{C_i}(v):=|N(v)\cap C(x_i,x_{i+1})|$ for a vertex v of G and for $i=1,\ldots,s$, and D:=D(H)

We call a segment $C[x_i,x_{i+1}]$ good if $|N_H(x_i)\cup N_H(x_{i+1})|\geq 2$. Let q:=q(H) denote the number of good segments on C with respect to H. Note that $q\geq 2$ since G is 2-connected. For $x_i\in N(H)$, by Lemma $1(d), |C(x_i,x_{i+1})|\geq 4+\varepsilon_i D$, where $\varepsilon_i=1$ or 0 according to whether $C[x_i,x_{i+1}]$ is good or not. Thus, by Lemma $3(i), |C|\geq 4s+qD=3(D+s)+s+(q-3)D\geq 3\delta+2$ unless q=2. Also $|C|\geq 12>3\delta+2$ if $\delta\leq 3$. Hence in the rest of Case 3 we may assume q=2 and $\delta\geq 4$.

Case 3.1. $s \ge 3$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C[x_1,x_2]$ and $C[x_t,x_{t+1}]$ are the only good segments on C with respect to H, where $3 \le t \le s$. Then there exists a vertex $y \in V(H)$ such that $N_H(x_2) \cup N_H(x_3) \cup \cdots \cup N_H(x_t) = \{y\}$, and moreover, if $t \ne s$, then $N_H(x_{t+1}) \cup \cdots \cup N_H(x_s) \cup N_H(x_1) = \{y'\}$ for some $y' \in V(H-y)$.

We pick x_p, x_q with $2 \le p < q \le t$. By Lemma 1(c), we have $d(x_p) = d_C(x_p) + 1$ and $d(x_q) = d_C(x_q) + 1$. For $x_i \in N(H) \setminus \{x_p, x_q\}$ set $t_i = 1$, if $N_H(x_i) \ne \{y\}$, and $t_i = 0$ otherwise.

The following two claims can be obtained by constructing appropriate cycles.

Claim 1 Let
$$x_i \in N(H)$$
 and $z \in N(x_p) \cap C[x_i^{++}, x_{i+1}^{--}], u \in N(x_q) \cap C[x_i^{++}, x_{i+1}^{--}].$ If $z \neq u$, then $|C[z^+, u^-]| \geq 1$ when $z \in C[x_i, u]$ and $|C[u^+, z^-]| \geq 1$ when $u \in C[x_i, z].$

Claim 2 Let $x_i \in N(H) \setminus \{x_p, x_q\}$ and let z_i and u_i be the first and last elements of $N(x_p) \cup N(x_q)$ on $C[x_i^{++}, x_{i+1}^{--}]$. Then $|C(x_i, z_i)| \ge 2 + t_i D$, and moreover $|C(u_i, x_{i+1}]| \ge 3 + t_{i+1} D$, if $x_{i+1} \notin \{x_p, x_q\}$.

Claim 3 $|C(x_1, x_2]| \ge d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q) + D + 2$, $|C(x_t, x_{t+1})| \ge d_{C_t}(x_p) + d_{C_t}(x_q) + D + 1$ and $|C(x_i, x_{i+1})| \ge d_{C_i}(x_p) + d_{C_i}(x_q) + 1$ for $x_i \in N(H) \setminus \{x_1, x_t\}$.

Proof of Claim 3. We only prove the inequality for $C(x_1, x_2]$, the other two inequalities can be proved in the same manner.

If $C(x_1^+, x_2^-) \cap (N(x_p) \cup N(x_q)) = \emptyset$, then obviously $d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q) \leq 2$, and consequently $|C(x_1, x_2)| \geq D + 4 \geq d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q) + D + 2$. Let z_1 and u_1 be the first and last elements of $N(x_p) \cup N(x_q)$ on $C(x_1^+, x_2^-)$, respectively. By Claim 2, $|C(x_1, z_1)| \geq D + 2$. If $x_2 \neq x_p$, then by Lemma 1(i) we have $x_2^-, x_2^{--} \not\in N(x_p) \cup N(x_q)$, and by Claim 1 we have $|C[z_1, u_1]| \geq d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q) - 3$. By Claim 2, $|C[z_1, x_2]| \geq d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q)$, and the specified inequality follows readily. Let $x_2 = x_p$. Since $x_2^-, x_2^{--} \not\in N(x_q)$ and $u_1 \in C[z_1, x_2^-]$, we have $|C[z_1, u_1]| \geq d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q) - 2$. Hence again $|C[z_1, x_2]| \geq d_{C_1}(x_p) + d_{C_1}(x_q)$, and the specified inequality follows. \square

Claim 4 There exists a vertex $v \in V(H - y)$ such that $D + 1 \ge d(v)$.

Proof of Claim 4. If $x_t \neq x_s$, then, as noted above, $N_H(x_{t+1}) \cup \cdots \cup N_H(x_s) \cup N_H(x_1) = \{y'\}$ for some $y' \in V(H-y)$. Since $|H| \geq 3$ and q = 2, we infer that $N_C(H-y-y') = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3 (ii) there exists a vertex $v \in V(H-y-y')$ such that $D \geq d_H(v) - 1 = d(v) - 1$. If $x_t = x_s$, then $N_C(H-y) \subseteq \{x_1\}$ since q = 2 and $t = s \geq 3$. In this case, by Lemma 3 (i), there exists a vertex $v \in V(H-y)$ such that $D \geq d_H(v) \geq d(v) - 1$.

Now by the above claims, we have $|C| \ge d_C(x_p) + d_C(x_q) + 2D + 4 = d(x_p) + d(x_q) + 2D + 2 \ge d(x_p) + d(x_q) + 2d(v)$. This settles Case 3.1.

Case 3.2. s = 2.

Let $N(H)=\{x_1,x_2\}$ in order around C. By Lemma 3 there exist distinct vertices $v_1,v_2\in V(H)$ such that $D\geq d_H(v_i)$ for i=1,2. Hence $D+2\geq d(v_1)\geq \delta$. If there is a component K of G-C other than H, then by the previous results, we may assume that K is 2-connected with $|N_C(K)|=2$. Applying Lemma 3 to K, we get $D(K)+2\geq \delta$. We prove seven claims to settle Case 3.2.

Claim 5 If there exists a component K of G-C other than H such that K has neighbors on both $C(x_1, x_2)$ and $C(x_2, x_1)$, then $|C| \ge 4\delta + 12$.

Proof of Claim 5. As noted above, we may assume K is 2-connected with $|N_C(K)|=2$. Let $N_C(K)=\{y_1,y_2\}$ such that $y_1\in C(x_1,x_2)$ and $y_2\in C(x_2,x_1)$. By Lemma 1(e), $|C(x_1,y_1)\cup C(x_2,y_2)|\geq D+D(K)+6$ and $C(y_1,x_2)\cup C(y_2,x_1)|\geq D+D(K)+6$, and consequently $|C|\geq 2D+2D(K)+16\geq 4\delta+12$.

Claim 6 If $x_i^+ \in N_C(K)$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $K \subseteq G-C-H$, then $|C| \ge 4\delta + 3$.

Proof of Claim 6. Suppose $x_1^+y \in E(G)$ for some $y \in V(K)$. In view of Claim 5, we may assume $N_C(K) \subseteq C(x_1, x_2)$, and then suppose that the another neighbor of K on C is $z \in C(x_1^+, x_2)$. By Lemma 1 (a), $x_1x_1^{++} \in E$, and using the edges $x_1x_1^{++}, x_1^+y$ and $x_1^-x_1^+$ we can construct a cycle that contains all

vertices in $C-C(z,x_2^-)$ and at least D+D(K)+2 vertices outside C. Hence $|C(z,x_2^-)| \geq D+D(K)+2$, and then $|C| \geq 2D+2D(K)+11 \geq 4\delta+3$. \square

Assuming $N(x_i^+) \subseteq V(C)$ and $N(x_i^-) \subseteq V(C)$ for i=1,2, we prove the following claim.

Claim 7 (i) If $x_i^{++} \in N(x_i) \cup N(x_i^-) \cup N(x_i^{--})$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $|C| \ge 3\delta + 2$;

(ii) if $x_i^{++} \in N(K)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and for some $K \subseteq G - C - H$, then $|C| \ge 3\delta + 3$.

Proof of Claim 7. We only prove (i) for the case $x_i^{++} \in N(x_i)$, the other cases can be proved in the same manner.

Suppose $x_1x_1^{++} \in E$. In view of Claim 6, we may assume $N(x_1^+) \subseteq V(C)$. If x_1^+ has neighbor on $C(x_1^{++}, x_2)$, then let z be such a neighbor closest to x_2 . Then by constructing an appropriate cycle, we can obtain $|C(z, x_2)| \geq D + 3$, and this implies $|C(x_1, x_2)| \geq d_{C_1}(x_1^+) + D + 4$. If x_1^+ has no neighbor on $C(x_1^{++}, x_2)$, then the latter inequality holds trivially. Since $|C(x_2, x_1)| \geq d_{C_2}(x_1^+) + D + 2$, we have $|C| \geq d(x_1^+) + 2D + 6 \geq 3\delta + 2$.

Now in view of Claims 5, 6 and 7, in the rest of the proof of Case 3.2, we assume the following:

All components
$$K$$
 of $G-C-H$ (if there is any) have neighbors on only one of $C(x_1,x_2)$ and $C(x_2,x_1)$, moreover $(N(x_i^+)\cup N(x_i^{++})\cup N(x_i^{-+})\cup N(x_i^{--}))\subseteq V(C)$ for $i=1,2$ and $x_ix_j^{++},x_ix_j^{--}\not\in E$ for $i,j\in\{1,2\}$.

Suppose $|C(x_i,x_{i+1})| \ge 2D+4$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$. Then, using Lemma 1(d) and Lemma 3, we obtain $|C| \ge 3D+8 = 3(D+2)+2 \ge 3\delta+2$. Hence we assume:

$$|C(x_i, x_{i+1})| < 2D + 4, i = 1, 2.$$
 (2)

Suppose for some $i \in \{1,2\}$, x_i^{++} and x_{i+1}^{--} have neighbor z and z' on $C(x_{i+1},x_i)$, respectively. If $z \in C(x_{i+1},z')$, then we can construct a cycle that contains all vertices in C - C(z,z') and at least D+1 vertices in H. Then $|C(z,z')| \geq D+1$. Using a similar argument, we can get $|C(x_{i+1},z)| \geq D+1$, and this contradicts assumption (2). Hence assumption (2) yields the following claim.

Claim 8 Let $N(x_i^{++}) \cap C(x_{i+1}, x_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $N(x_{i+1}^{--}) \cap C(x_{i+1}, x_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let z and z' be the first and the last neighbors of x_i^{++} and x_{i+1}^{--} on $C(x_{i+1}, x_i)$, respectively. Then $z \in C(z', x_i)$. In particular, x_i^{++} and x_{i+1}^{--} have no common neighbor on $C(x_{i+1}, x_i)$.

Using similar arguments, we obtain, by Claim 8, the following claim.

Claim 9 For
$$i = 1, 2, |C(x_{i+1}, x_i)| \ge d_{C_{i+1}}(x_i^{++}) + d_{C_{i+1}}(x_{i+1}^{--}) + D + 1.$$

Now suppose $|C(x_i, x_{i+1})| \ge d_{C_i}(x_i^{++}) + d_{C_i}(x_{i+1}^{--}) + 1$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then by Claim 9 we obtain $|C| \ge d(x_i^{++}) + d(x_{i+1}^{--}) + 2D + 2 \ge 4\delta - 2 \ge 3\delta + 2$. Therefore we assume the following:

$$|C(x_i, x_{i+1})| \le d_{C_i}(x_i^{++}) + d_{C_i}(x_{i+1}^{--}), i = 1, 2.$$

The last inequalities clearly yield:

There is no vertex
$$y \in C(x_i, x_{i+1})$$
 satisfying $N(x_i^{++}) \cap C(x_i, x_{i+1}) \subseteq C(x_i, y]$ and $N(x_{i+1}^{--}) \cap C(x_i, x_{i+1}) \subseteq C[y, x_{i+1})$ (3) for $i = 1, 2$.

Claim 10 (i) If $N(x_i^{++}) \cap C(x_{i+1}, x_i) \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $|C| \geq 3\delta + 2$;

(ii) if
$$N(x_i^+) \cap C(x_{i+1}, x_i^-) \neq \emptyset$$
 for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $|C| \geq 3\delta + 2$.

Proof of Claim 10. We only prove (i), the proof of (ii) is similar.

Suppose x_1^{++} has neighbor on $C(x_2,x_1)$. Let z and z' be the first and last neighbors of x_1^{++} on $C(x_2,x_1)$. By Claim 7, we may assume $z' \in C(x_2,x_1^{--})$. Using similar cycle constructions as before, the choice of C implies that $|C(x_2,z)| \geq D+2$. Using this in combination with (2), we obtain that x_2^{++} has no neighbor on $C(z,x_1)$. By (3), there exist a vertex $u \in N(x_1^{--}) \cap C(x_2,z)$ and a vertex $u' \in N(x_2^{++}) \cap C(u,z]$ such that $C(u,u') \cap (N(x_1^{--}) \cup N(x_2^{++})) = \emptyset$. Then, with a slight abuse of notation, $C[x_1^{++},x_2] \cup C[x_2^{++},u] \cup C[u',z'] \cup C[x_1^{--},x_1] \cup x_1^{++}z' \cup x_2^{++}u' \cup x_1^{--}u$ gives rise to a cycle which contains all vertices of $C - C(u,u') - C(z',x_1^{--})$ and at least D+1 vertices in H. Hence $|C(u,u') \cup C(z',x_1^{--})| \geq D+1$. Since $N_{C_2}(x_2^{++}) \subseteq C(x_2,z)$, we have $|C(x_2,x_1]| \geq d_{C_2}(x_1^{++}) + d_{C_2}(x_2^{++}) + D+3$.

Similarly, $|C(x_1, x_2)| \ge d_{C_1}(x_1^{++}) + d_{C_1}(x_2^{++}) + 1$.

Combining the last two inequalities, we get $|C| \ge d(x_1^{++}) + d(x_2^{++}) + D + 4 \ge 3\delta + 2$.

Claim 11 If there exists an edge $e = z_i z_{i+1}$ with $z_i \in C(x_{i+1}^{++}, x_{i+1}^{--})$ and $z_{i+1} \in C(x_{i+1}^{++}, x_i^{--})$, then $|C| \ge 3\delta + 3$.

Proof of Claim 11. Say i=1. By (3) we infer that either $N(x_1^{++}) \cap C(z_1, x_2) \neq \emptyset$ or $N(x_2^{--}) \cap C(x_1, z_1) \neq \emptyset$, say the former. Let u_1 be the first vertex of $N(x_1^{++})$ on $C(z_1, x_2)$. Again slightly abusing notation, we set $R = C[x_1^+, z_1] \cup x_1^{++}u_1 \cup C[u_1, x_2^{--}]$. We define a (z_2, x_1^{--}) -path Q as follows. If $N(x_2^{++}) \cap C(x_1, x_2^{--})$

 $C(z_2,x_1)\neq\emptyset, \text{ then let }u_2 \text{ denote the first neighbor of }N(x_2^{++}) \text{ on }C(z_2,x_1) \text{ and set }Q=C[x_2^{++},z_2]\cup x_2^{++}u_2\cup C[u_2,x_1]. \text{ If }N(x_2^{++})\cap C(z_2,x_1)=\emptyset, \text{ then by (3) there exist vertices }u_2'\in N(x_1^{--})\cap C(x_2^{++},z_2) \text{ and }u_2''\in N(x_2^{++})\cap C(u_2',z_2] \text{ such that }(N(x_1^{++})\cup N(x_2^{++}))\cap C(u_2',u_2'')=\emptyset. \text{ In this event we set }Q=C[u_2'',z_2]\cup u_2''x_2^{++}\cup C[x_2^{++},u_2']\cup u_2'x_1^{--}. \text{ Now we set }L=(C(x_1,x_2)-R)\cup (C(x_2,x_1)-Q). \text{ Note that the segment }C[x_1^{--},x_1],\ C[x_2^{--},x_2] \text{ together with }R \text{ and }Q \text{ and the edge }z_1z_2 \text{ give rise to a cycle which contains all vertices of }C-L \text{ and at least }D+1 \text{ vertices in }H. \text{ Hence }|L|\geq D+1. \text{ Since }(\{x_1,x_2\}\cup L)\cap (N(x_1^{++})\cup N(x_2^{++}))=\emptyset, \text{ we have }|C|\geq d(x_1^{++})+d(x_2^{++})+D+5\geq 3\delta+3.$

So far we have shown that either $c(G) \ge \min\{3\delta + 2, n\}$ or G belongs to \mathcal{F} . Now we prove the second part of Theorem 3. Obviously, $s \ge 2$. By Lemma 2 we have $n \ge (s+1)\delta + (s+1)$ so $s \le 2$. Thus s=2. Let v be any vertex in H. Then $|H| \ge d_H(v) + 1 \ge d(v) - 1 \ge \delta - 1$. Thus $n \ge |C| + |H| \ge 4\delta + 1$, a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

References

- [1] A. Ainouche, Quasi-claw-free graphs, Discrete Math. 179(1998) 13-26.
- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London, Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [3] H.A. Jung, Longest paths joining given vertices in a graph, Abh. Math. Sem. Uni. Hamburg 56(1986) 127-137.
- [4] H.Li, Hamiltonian cycles in 2-connected claw-free graphs, J. Graph Theory 4(1995) 447-457.
- [5] M. Li, Hamiltonicity in 2-connected claw-free graphs, J. Xinjiang Univ.13(1996) 22-32
- [6] R. Li, Hamiltonicity of 3-connected quasi-claw-free graphs, Discrete Math. 265(2003) 393-396.
- [7] R. Li, Hamiltonicity of 2-connected quasi-claw-free graphs, Discrete Math. **283**(2004) 145-150.
- [8] E. Vumar, On the circumference of 3-connected quasi-claw-free Graphs, Graphs and Combi. 22(2006) 271-282.
- [9] M.E. Watkins, D.M. Mesner, Cycles and connectivity in graphs, Can. J. Math. 19(1967) 1319-1328.