Isolated toughness and fractional (g, f)-factors of graphs *†

Yinghong Ma¹, Aiyun Wang¹, JianXiang Li²,

¹ School of Management,

Shandong Normal University, Shandong, China

Department of Mathematics,

Hunan University of Science and Technology, Hunan, China

Abstract: Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G), the isolated toughness of G is defined as $I(G) = min\{|S|/i(G-S) : S \subseteq A\}$ $V(G), i(G-S) \geq 2$ if G is not complete. Otherwise, set I(G) = |V(G)| - |V(G)|1. Let a and b be positive integers such that $1 \le a \le b$, and g(x) and f(x) be positive integral-valued functions defined on V(G) such that $a \leq 1$ $g(x) \le f(x) \le b$. Let $h(e) \in [0,1]$ be a function defined on E(G), $d_G^h(x) =$ $\sum_{e \in E_x} h(e)$ where $E_x = \{xy : xy \in E(G)\}$. Then $d_G^h(x)$ is called the fractional degree of x in G. We call h an indictor function if $g(x) \leq d_G^h(x) \leq$ f(x) holds for each $x \in V(G)$. Let $E^h = \{e : e \in E(G), h(e) \neq 0\}$ and G_h be a spanning subgraph of G such that $E(G_h) = E^h$. We call G_h a fractional (g, f)-factor. The main results in this paper are to present some sufficient conditions about isolated toughness for the existence of fractional (g, f)-factors. If 1 = g(x) < f(x) = b, this condition can be improved and the improved bound is not only sharpness but also a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have fractional [1, b]-factor. **Keywords:** isolated toughness, fractional (g, f)-factor, fractional [a, b]-

factor
AMS(2001) Subject Classification: 05C70

^{*}This work is supported by NSFC of China (Grant number 10471078).

[†]The corresponding author: Yinghong Ma, E-mail: yinghongma71@163.com. Mail address: School of Management, Shandong Normal university, Jinan, 250014,P.R. China

1 Introduction.

All graphs considered in this paper will be simple undirected graphs. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a graph, where V(G) and E(G) denote the vertex set and edge set of G, respectively. We use $d_G(x)$ to denote the degree of x in G and $\delta(G)$ to denote the minimum vertex degree of G. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph of G induced by G is denoted by G[S]. We write G - S for $G[V(G) \setminus S]$. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, we use i(G - S) to denote the number of isolated vertices of G - S. A subset G of G is independent if no two vertices of G are adjacent. The neighborhood G of a subset G is the set of vertices in G in G and G is an adjacent to at least one vertex in G for G is an adjacent to at least one vertex in G for G in G in

Let $g(x) \leq f(x)$ be two nonnegative integral-valued functions defined on V(G). Fractional factors can be considered as the generalization of factors. It defined as follows: Let $h(e) \in [0,1]$ be a function defined on E(G), $d_G^h(x) = \sum_{e \in E_x} h(e)$ where $E_x = \{xy : xy \in E(G)\}$. Then $d_G^h(x)$ is called fractional degree of x in G. We call h an indictor function if $g(x) \leq d_G^h(x) \leq f(x)$ holds for each $x \in V(G)$. Let $E^h = \{e : e \in E(G), h(e) \neq 0\}$ and G_h be a spanning subgraph of G such that $E(G_h) = E^h$. We call G_h a fractional (g, f)-factor. Similarly, the fractional k-factor and fractional [a, b]-factor of G can be defined as above, where a, b and k are positive integers.

of G can be defined as above, where a, b and k are positive integers. A graph G has a fractional k-factor does not imply that G has a k-factor. For example, an odd cycle C is a fractional 1-factor if we set $h(e) = \frac{1}{2}$ for each $e \in C$ but not a 1-factor. Therefore, the set of fractional factors is much larger than factors.

Let f(x) be any function, we define $f(S) = \sum_{x \in S} f(x)$.

A necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have fractional 1-factors is gave in [1].

Lemma 1.1. [1] A graph G has a fractional 1-factor if and only if $i(G-S) \leq |S|$ holds for any $S \subseteq V(G)$.

Anstee et al. obtained the characterization of fractional (g, f)factors.

Lemma 1.2. [3] Let G be a graph and let $g(x) \leq f(x)$ be two nonnegative integral-valued functions defined on V(G). Then G has a fractional (g, f)-factor if and only if for any $S \subseteq V(G)$,

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \le f(S)$$

holds, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x)\}.$

The isolated toughness of a graph G is introduced by Ma and Liu [4], defined as $I(G) = min\{|S|/i(G-S): S \subseteq V(G), i(G-S) \ge 2\}$ if G is not complete; Otherwise, set I(G) = |V(G)| - 1, we give a sufficient condition for graphs to have fractional (g, f)-factors. By Lemma 1.1 it is clearly that a graph G has a fractional 1-factor if and only if $I(G) \ge 1$.

The main purpose in this paper is to present the following results.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)+1}{4a}$ and the isolated toughness $I(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a+1)}{4a}$, where $a \leq b$ are positive integers. If for any two nonnegative integral-valued functions g(x) and f(x) defined on V(G) such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$, G has fractional (g, f)-factors.

We can not say the bound on I(G) are best possible in theorem 1.1, however, 1 = g(x) < f(x) = b, we obtain the following result which is much stronger than theorem 1.1, and the bound of I(G) in Theorem 1.2 is not only best possible but also a necessary and sufficient.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and b > 1 be a positive integer. Then G has fractional [1,b]-factors if and only if $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{h}$

For general positive integers a and $b(a \leq b)$, we obtained the results in [9].

Theorem 1.3. Let G be a graph and $a \leq b$ be positive integers. If $\delta(G) \geq a$ and the isolated toughness $I(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{a}{b}$, then G has a fractional [a, b]-factor.

In Theorem 1.3, if a = 1 < b, it is the case of Theorem 1.2, if a = b = k, it is the case in the Corollary 1.1[9].

Corollary 1.1. Suppose that G is a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $I(G) \geq k$, where k is a positive integer. Then G has a fractional k-factor.

2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

A subset I of V(G) is an independent set if no two vertices of I are adjacent in G and a set C of V(G) is a covering set if every edge of G has at least one end in G. It is easy to verify that a set $I \subseteq V(G)$ is an independent set of G if and only if V(G) - I is a covering set of G. To prove theorem 1.1, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [7] Let H be a sub-graph of G with $1 \le \delta(G) \le \Delta(G) \le b-1$ and b be a positive integer. Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{b-1} be a partition of the vertex set of H such that $x \in S_j$ if $d(x) \le j$ (we allow $S_j = \emptyset$). Then there is an independent set I and a covering set C of H such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} j(b-j)i_j$$

holds. Where $|I \cap S_j| = i_j$, $|C \cap S_j| = c_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, b-1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose there exists two positive integral-functions g and f which satisfy the conditions of the Theorem, but G does not have fractional (g, f)-factors. Then by Lemma 1.2, there exists a vertex set $S \subset V(G)$ such that

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) > f(S),$$
 (2.1)

where $T=\{x:x\in V(G)-S,d_{G-S}(x)\leq g(x)\}$. We assume that $S\neq\emptyset$. Otherwise, there is nothing to prove since $\delta(G)\geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)+1}{4a}>b\geq g(x)$ for any $x\in V(G)$. We also assume that $T\neq\emptyset$. Otherwise, $T=\emptyset$, then $g(T)-d_{G-S}(T)=0>0$ f(S) > 0 by (2.1), a contradiction.

For each $0 \le i \le b-1$, let $T^i = \{x : x \in T, d_{G-S}(x) = i\}$ (we allow $T^i = \emptyset$), put $H = G[T^1 \cup T^2 \cup \cdots \cup T^{b-1}], T^0$ is the isolated vertex set in T with $|T^0| = t_0$. For any $x \in T^i$, we have $d_H(x) \le i$. Thus, $\{T^i: i=1,2,\cdots b-1\}$ is a vertex partition of H. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, there exists an independent set I and a covering Cof V(H) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} j(b-j)i_j \tag{2.2}$$

holds, where $|I \cap T^{j}| = i_{j}$, $|C \cap T^{j}| = c_{j}$ $(j = 1, 2, \dots, b - 1)$.

Without loss of generalization, we choose I is the maximal independent set of H respect to C. Set $W = G - (S \cup T)$, U = $S \cup C \cup (N_{G-S}(I) \cap V(W))$. Then

$$|U| \le |S| + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} j i_j, \tag{2.3}$$

$$i(G-U) \ge t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} i_j.$$
 (2.4)

If $i(G-U) \geq 2$, by the definition of I(G),

$$|U| \ge i(G - U)I(G),\tag{2.5}$$

Combine (2.3) (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$|S| \ge \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (I(G) - j)i_j + I(G)t_0.$$
 (2.6)

On the other hand, Since $g(x) \leq b$ for any $x \in V(G)$, $g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq b|T| - d_{G-S}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)p_j + bt_0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j + bt_0$, where $p_j = |\{x : x \in V(H), d_{G-S}(x) = j\}|$. And since $f(x) \geq a$ for any $x \in V(G)$, we have $f(S) \geq a|S|$. Combining those two inequations and (2.6), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j + bt_0 > a|S| \ge a \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (I(G)-j)i_j + aI(G)t_0,$$

and aI(G) - b > 0 since $I(G) \ge \frac{(a+b)^2 + 2(b-a+1)}{4a}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j \ge \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (aI(G) - aj - b + j)i_j, \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.7) and (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{b-1} j(b-j)i_j > \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} (aI(G) - aj - b + j)i_j.$$

This implies that j(b-j) > aI(G) - aj - b + j for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, b-1\}$. But for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, b-1\}$, $j(b-j) + aj - j = -j^2 + (a+b-1)j \le \frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4}$ and $aI(G) - b \ge \frac{(b+a)^2 + 2(b-a+1)}{4} - b = \frac{(b+a-1)^2 + 1}{4} > \frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4}$, a contradiction. Therefore, G has fractional (g, f)-factors.

If i(G-U)=0, then by (2.4), we have $0 \ge t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} i_j$. Clearly, $t_0=i_j=0$ for all $j=1,2,\cdots b-1$. Then $T=\emptyset$, a contradiction.

If i(G-U) = 1, by (2.4), we have $1 \ge t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} i_j$. If $t_0 = i_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, b-1$, it is the case when $i(G-U) = i_j = 1$

0. If $t_0=1$, then for all $j=1,2,\cdots,b-1$, $i_j=0$, and T is an isolated vertex and let $T=\{v\}$, since $a\leq g(x)\leq f(x)\leq b$ for any $x\in V(G)$, thus $g(T)-d_{G-S}(T)=g(v)\leq b$ and $f(S)\geq a|S|\geq ad_G(v)\geq a\delta(G)\geq \frac{(b+a)^2+2(b-a)+1}{4}\geq b$, a contradiction. If there is some $j_0\in\{1,2,\cdots,b-1\}$ such that $i_{j_0}=1$. Then H is a complete graph. Then by (2.3), we have $|U|\leq |S|+j_0$. On the other hand, $|U|\geq |S|+d_{G-S}(v)\geq \delta(G)$, therefore,

$$|S| \ge |U| - j_0 \ge \delta(G) - j_0.$$
 (2.8)

Then

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \le b|T| - d_{G-S}(T)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)p_j$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j = (b-j_0)j_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j.$$

And since $f(x) \ge a$ for any $x \in V(G)$, we have $f(S) \ge a|S| \ge a(|U|-j_0) \ge a(\delta(G)-j_0)$. By those inequations we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j + (b-j_0) \ge a(\delta(G) - j_0), \tag{2.9}$$

and by (2.2),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-1} (b-j)c_j \le j_0(b-j_0). \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, combing (2.1)(2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$a(\frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)+1}{4a}-j_0)-(b-j_0) \le a(\delta(G)-j_0)-(b-j_0) < j_0(b-j_0),$$

a contradiction since $\frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)+1}{4}-b \ge -j_0^2+(a+b-1)j_0 = -(j_0-\frac{a+b-1}{2})^2+\frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4}$. Hence, G has fractional (g,f)-factors.

In all the case, we proved that G has fractional (g, f)-factors. The following lemma which can also be obtained from lemma 1.2 when g(x) = a and f(x) = b.

Lemma 2.2. Let G be a graph and $a \leq b$ be positive integers. Then G has fractional [a, b]-factors if and only if for any $S \subset V(G)$,

$$a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) \le b|S|.$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) \le a - 1\}.$

Proof of Theorem 1.2

We can obtain the sufficiency of the Theorem by Theorem 1.3. but we give an independent and easier proof.

Let $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{h}$. If G is a complete, then G has fractional [1, b]factors. Suppose G is not complete. In order to prove G has [1,b]factors, we need only to prove that $i(G-S) \le b|S|$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$. If $S = \emptyset$, clearly, $i(G-S) = 0 \le |S| = 0$ since $\delta(G) \ge 1$. So we

also suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$.

If $i(G - S) \ge 2$ for some $S \subseteq V(G)$. Then $i(G - S) \le b|S|$ by $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{h}$.

If $i(G-S) \le 1$, Clearly $i(G-S) \le |S|$ since $S \ne \emptyset$. Suppose that G has a fractional [1,b]-factor, then by lemma 2.2, $i(G-S) \le b|S|$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$. If $i(G-S) \ge 2$, then $\frac{|S|}{i(G-S)} \ge \frac{1}{b}$, clearly $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{b}$. If $i(G-S) \leq 1$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$, then G is a complete, therefore, $I(G) = |V(G)| - 1 \ge \frac{1}{5}$ by the definition of I(G).

In all the case, we prove that G has fractional [1, b]-factors if and only if $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{h}$.

Remark: Although all the graphs that we considered are simple, the theorems we proved also hold for multi-graphs(without loop), since a multi-graph has the same isolated toughness as its underlying graph.

References

- [1] Edward R. Schinerman and D. H. Ullman, Fractional Graph theory, John Wiley and Son Inc., New York (1997).
- [2] K. Heinrich, P. Hell, et al., A simple existence criterion for (g, f)-factors, Discerte Math., 85(1990),313-317.
- [3] R. P. Anstee, Simplified existence theorems for (g,f)-factors, Discrete Applied math., 27(1990),29-38.

- [4] Y. H. Ma, G. Z. Liu, Isolated toughness and existence of fractional factors in graphs, *Acta Appl. Math. Sinica(Chinese)*, 26(2003),133-140.
- [5] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Mathematics*, 5(1973),215-228.
- [6] H. Enomoto, B. Jackson, P. Katerinis and A. Saito, Toughness and the existence of k-factors, J. Graph Theory, 9(1985),87-95.
- [7] P. Katerinis, Toughness of graphs and the existence of factors, *Discrete Math.*, 80(1990),81-92.
- [8] G. Z. Liu, L. Zhang, Fractional (g, f)-factors of graphs, Acta Math. Scientia, 21B(4)(2001),541-545.
- [9] Y. H. Ma, G. Z. Liu, Fractional factors and isolated toughness of graphs, Mathematica Appl.,19(1)(2006),188-194.