

Two results on BCI-subset of finite groups *

Wei Jin^a and Weijun Liu^{b†}

^aSchool of Mathematics, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410075, P. R. China

^bSchool of Science, Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu, 226007, P. R. China

Abstract

In paper [7], S. J. Xu and W. Jin proved that a cyclic group of order pq , for two different odd primes p and q , is a 3-BCI-group, and a finite p -group is a weak $(p - 1)$ -BCI-group. As a continuation of their works, in this paper, we prove that a cyclic group of order $2p$ is a 3-BCI-group, and a finite p -group is a $(p - 1)$ -BCI-group.

Keywords: bi-Cayley graph; BCI-subset; Isomorphism

1 Introduction

For a graph X , we use $V(X)$, $E(X)$, and $A = \text{Aut}(X)$ to denote its vertex set, edge set and the full automorphism group respectively. A graph X is said to be edge transitive if the action of A on $E(X)$ is transitive; X is said to be vertex transitive if the action of A on $V(X)$ is transitive.

For a group G , and a subset S of G such that $1 \notin S$, the Cayley digraph $X = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S is defined as the graph with vertex set G and arc set $\text{Arc}(X) = \{(x, sx) | x \in G, s \in S\}$. The above subset S is called a Cayley-subset of G . Each Cayley digraph X admits $R(G)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$, where $R(G)$ acts with nature action of G on X by right multiplication. If S is symmetric, that is, if $S = S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} | s \in S\}$, then (x, y) is an arc if and only if (y, x) is an arc. In this case, $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ can be viewed as an undirected graph, called a Cayley graph, simply by identifying two arcs (x, y) and (y, x) as an undirected edge.

A Cayley-subset S is called a CI-subset of G if for any Cayley-subset T , whenever $\text{Cay}(G, S) \cong \text{Cay}(G, T)$, we have $T = S^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is called a CI-graph of G . If each Cayley-subset S is a CI-subset, then G is called a CI-group. Further, for a positive integer m , if each Cayley-subset S of size at most m is a CI-subset, G is called a m -CI-group.

For a finite group G and a subset $S \subseteq G$ (possibly, S contains the identity element), the bi-Cayley graph $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S is the graph with vertex set $G \times \{0, 1\}$ and with edge set $\{(x, 0), (sx, 1)\}$, $x \in G, s \in S$. Then $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is a well-defined bipartite graph with two bipartition subsets, say $U = G \times \{0\}$, $W = G \times \{1\}$. Further, each $g \in G$ induces an automorphism:

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10871205) and the Central South University Postgraduate Education Innovation Project (Grant No. 3340-74334000001).

†Corresponding author: wjliu6210@126.com

$$R(g) : (x, 0) \mapsto (xg, 0), (x, 1) \mapsto (xg, 1)$$

of $BCay(G, S)$. We set $R(G) = \{R(g) | g \in G\} \leq A$. Then $R(G)$ acts regularly on both U and W . Conversely, by [1, Lemma 2.5], a bipartite graph admits a group acting regularly on both the bipartition subsets must be isomorphic to a bi-Cayley graph.

Let $BCay(G, S)$ be a bi-Cayley graph and T a subset of G . If $T = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then $BCay(G, S) \cong BCay(G, T)$ (see [4] or [5]). In general, the converse is not necessarily holds; for example, $G = \langle a, b | a^4 = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$, $S = \{1, a^2\}$ and $T = \{1, b\}$. Here we quote the following definition

Definition 1 ([7]) *Let G be a finite group, $S \subseteq G$ (possibly, S contains the identity element).*

(1) *S is called a BCI-subset of G , if for any $BCay(G, S) \cong BCay(G, T)$ implies that $T = gS^\alpha$, for some $g \in G, \alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$.*

(2) *G is called a BCI-group, if each subset $S \subseteq G$ is a BCI-subset.*

(3) *Let m be a positive integer, G is called a m -BCI-group, if each subset $S \subseteq G$ of size at most m is a BCI-subset.*

(4) *Let m be a positive integer, G is called a weak m -BCI-group, if each subset $S \subseteq G$ of size at most m such that $BCay(G, S)$ is connected and vertex transitive is a BCI-subset.*

The Cayley isomorphism problem of Cayley graphs, especially determining CI-graphs, CI-groups etc., have been an active topic in algebraic graph theory for a long time, see surveys in [2, 6] on this topic. As a generalization of the CI-property for Cayley graphs, S. J. Xu and W. Jin first gave the concept of BCI-subset for bi-Cayley graphs in [7], where they give a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite group being a 2-BCI-group, and proved that every cyclic group of order a product of two distinct odd primes is a 3-BCI-group and that every finite p -group is a weak $(p - 1)$ -BCI-group.

In the present paper, we shall improve two results in [7] and prove the following two results:

Theorem 1.1 *A cyclic group of order $2p$ is a 3-BCI-group, where p is a prime.*

Theorem 1.2 *A cyclic group of order p^n is a $(p-1)$ -BCI-group, where p is a prime, n is a positive integer.*

2 Preliminary results

This section collects several known results which will be used in the third section.

Firstly, by [4, 5], we have the following proposition, which allows us assume that S contains the identity element of the group G if necessary when consider the bi-Cayley graph $BCay(G, S)$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $BCay(G, S)$ be a bi-Cayley graph. Then*

$$BCay(G, S) \cong BCay(G, gS^\alpha)$$

for $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$.

By [1], the bi-Cayley graph $BCay(G, S)$ is connected if and only if $\langle SS^{-1} \rangle = G$, which implies the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2 ([4]) *Let G be a finite group, and $S \subseteq G$ with $1 \in S$. Then $BCay(G, S)$ is connected if and only if $G = \langle S \rangle$.*

Elements a and b of G are said to be fused if $a = b^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and to be inverse-fused if $a = (b^{-1})^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$, see [3]. The following two propositions are from [7].

Proposition 2.3 ([7])

- (1) *All finite groups are 1-BCI-groups.*
- (2) *Finite group G is 2-BCI-group if and only if for any two elements of the same order are fused or inverse-fused.*
- (3) *A cyclic group of order p is a BCI-group where p is a prime.*

Proposition 2.4 ([7]) *Let $X = BCay(G, S)$ be a finite, connected, and vertex transitive bi-Cayley graph. Denote $U = G \times \{0\}$, $W = G \times \{1\}$, $A = \text{Aut}(X)$, $A^+ = \{\alpha \in A \mid U^\alpha = U, W^\alpha = W\}$. Assume that for each $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(V(X))$, whenever $\sigma R(G)\sigma^{-1} \leq A^+$, we have $\sigma R(G)\sigma^{-1}$ is conjugate in A^+ to $R(G)$. Then S is a BCI-subset of G .*

Finally, we quote a result from [8].

Proposition 2.5 ([8]) *Let $G = \langle a, b \mid a^{2p} = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ be a dihedral group of order $4p$. Assume $S \subseteq G \setminus \{1\}$ such that $|S| = 3$. Then we have S is conjugate to $\{b, a, a^{-1}\}$ or $\{b, ba, ba^i\} (i = 2, 3, \dots, p)$ or $\{a^p, b, ba^i\} (i = 1, 2)$ under the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Further, if $\langle S \rangle = G$, then either S is a CI-subset or conjugate to $\{b, a, a^{-1}\}$ or $\{b, ba, ba^2\}$.*

3 Proof of Main Results

In this section, with the same notation as in Section 1 and 2, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Note that two graphs are isomorphic to each other if and only if there is a bijection between their connected components such that the corresponding components are isomorphic. Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a finite group, and let S, T be two subsets of G . Then $BCay(G, S) \cong BCay(G, T)$ if and only if $BCay(\langle S \rangle, S) \cong BCay(\langle T \rangle, T)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose $G = Z_{2p} = \langle a \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $2p$ where p is a prime. If $p = 2$, it is easy to check that Z_4 is a 3-BCI-group. So suppose $p > 2$. Since $\text{Aut}(G)$ is transitive on the same order elements of G , then by Proposition 2.3 (1) and (2), G is a 2-BCI-group. Thus, it suffices to show each 3-subset S of G is a BCI-subset. By Proposition 2.1, we may assume $S = \{1, x, y\}$. Then

- (i) $o(x) = 2, o(y) = p$;
- (ii) $o(x) = 2, o(y) = 2p$;
- (iii) $o(x) = o(y) = p$;

- (iv) $o(x) = o(y) = 2p$;
- (v) $o(x) = p, o(y) = 2p$.

If subset S in case (i), we can assume $S = \{1, a^p, a^{2n}\}$, where $n = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$. Let $g = a^{-2n}$, then $gS = \{a^{-2n}, a^{p-2n}, 1\}$, where a^{-2n} has order p , a^{p-2n} has order $2p$, hence gS is contained in case (v). Similarly, we also can prove that if subset S in case either (ii) or (iv), there exists a subset T in case (v) such that $T = hS$ for some $h \in G$.

Recall that for two subsets S' and T' , if there exist $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $T' = gS'^{\alpha}$, then S' is a BCI-subset of G if and only if T' is a BCI-subset of G . Therefore without loss of generality, we can assume that subset S is contained in either case (iii) or (v).

Let $T \subseteq G, |T| = 3$. Assume that $1 \in T$ and $BCay(G, S) \cong BCay(G, T)$. Then, first, suppose that S is a subset in case (iii). Since $G \neq \langle S \rangle$, by Proposition 2.2, $BCay(G, S)$ is not connected, and so $BCay(G, T)$ is not connected too. Without loss of generality, we assume that T belongs to case (iii). Since all elements of order p are a^{2l} where $l = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$, it follows that we can suppose $S = \{1, a^{2i}, a^{2j}\}$, $T = \{1, a^{2m}, a^{2n}\}$, where $i, j, m, n \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}, i \neq j, m \neq n$. Further, because $\text{Aut}(G)$ is transitive on the same order elements of G , so S is conjugate to $\{1, a^2, a^{2k}\}$, T is conjugate to $\{1, a^2, a^{2r}\}$ where $k, r \in \{2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$. Hence, we can assume $S = \{1, a^2, a^{2k}\}$, $T = \{1, a^2, a^{2r}\}$, $k, r \in \{2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$.

By the above assumption that $BCay(G, S) \cong BCay(G, T)$, then by Lemma 3.1, we have $BCay(\langle S \rangle, S) \cong BCay(\langle T \rangle, T)$ and $\langle S \rangle = \langle T \rangle \cong Z_p$. Then by Proposition 2.3 (3), cyclic group Z_p is a BCI-group. Thus there exist $g \in \langle S \rangle, \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\langle S \rangle)$ such that $S = gT^{\alpha}$. Further, since $\langle S \rangle$ is a characteristic subgroup of G , there exists $\beta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\beta|_{\langle S \rangle} = \alpha$, it follows that $S = gT^{\beta}$. Therefore S is a BCI-subset of G .

Now suppose that S is a subset of case (v). Since $G = \langle S \rangle$, by Proposition 2.2, $BCay(G, S)$ is connected, and so $BCay(G, T)$ is also connected.

Since $\text{Aut}(G)$ is transitive on the same order elements of G , so S is conjugate to one of $\{1, a, a^{2i}\}$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$. Thus we may denote $S_i = \{1, a, a^{2i}\}$, and $X_i = BCay(G, S_i)$. Assume $\overline{G} = \langle a, ba^{2p} = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $4p$. Let $T_i = bS_i = \{b, ba, ba^{2i}\}$, $Y_i = Cay(\overline{G}, T_i)$, then define

$$\varphi : V(X_i) \mapsto V(Y_i)$$

$$(g, 0) \mapsto g$$

$$(g, 1) \mapsto bg,$$

where $g \in G, b \in \overline{G}, o(b) = 2$. It is easy to see that φ is a bijection from $V(X_i)$ to $V(Y_i)$. Further, since for each edge $\{(g, 0), (sg, 1)\}$ of $BCay(G, S_i)$ we have $\{(g, 0), (sg, 1)\}^{\varphi} = \{(g, bsg)\}$ ($bs \in T$) which is an edge of $Cay(\overline{G}, T_i)$, therefore $X_i \cong Y_i$.

Further, define $\sigma : (x, 0) \mapsto (x^{-1}, 1), (x, 1) \mapsto (x^{-1}, 0)$ where $x \in G$, then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(BCay(G, S_i))$, $o(\sigma) = 2$ and $R(G)^{\sigma} = R(G)$. Thus $R(G) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \overline{G}$. Since $G \text{ char } \overline{G}$, by [7, Theorems 3.11 and 3.12], if bS is a CI-subset of \overline{G} , then S is a BCI-subset of G . By Proposition 2.5, all T_i are CI-subsets of \overline{G} except T_1 . Therefore S_i are BCI-subsets except S_1 . It follows that S_1 is also a BCI-subset. \square

In paper [7], authors proved that a finite p -group G is a weak $(p - 1)$ -BCI-group. When G is cyclic, the following proof improve the result: G is a $(p - 1)$ -BCI-group.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $G = Z_{p^n} = \langle a \rangle$ where p is a prime, n is a positive integer. Suppose $S \subseteq G$, $|S| = m < p$ and $1 \in S$.

First, if $p = 2$, then $m = 1$, by Proposition 2.3 (1) that G is a 1-BCI-group. So we assume $p \neq 2$. Let $X = \text{Bay}(G, S)$. Denote $U = G \times \{0\}$ and $W = G \times \{1\}$ are the two bipartition parts of X . And denote $A = \text{Aut}(X)$, $A^+ = \{\alpha \in A \mid U^\alpha = U, W^\alpha = W\}$. If $p \nmid |A_{(1,0)}^+|$, then G is the Sylow p -subgroup of A^+ , by Proposition 2.4, that S is a BCI-subset of G . Thus assume that $p \mid |A_{(1,0)}^+|$. If $\langle S \rangle = G$, then by Proposition 2.2, $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is connected, thus $p \nmid |A_{(1,0)}^+|$ a contradiction. Therefore $\langle S \rangle < G$. So $|\langle S \rangle| = p^i$, $i < n$ and $\langle S \rangle = \langle a^{p^j} \rangle$ where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Let $X_1 := \text{BCay}(\langle S \rangle, S)$, and $B = \text{Aut}(X_1)$. Since $m < p$, that $p \nmid |B_{(1,0)}|$, and so S is a BCI-subset of $\langle S \rangle$. For any subset T of G such that $1 \in T$ and $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{BCay}(G, T)$, by Lemma 3.1, we have $\langle S \rangle = \langle T \rangle$ and $\text{BCay}(\langle S \rangle, S) \cong \text{BCay}(\langle T \rangle, T)$. Since S is a BCI-subset of $\langle S \rangle$, there exist $g \in \langle S \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\langle S \rangle)$, such that $T = gS^\alpha$. Further, since $\langle S \rangle$ is a characteristic subgroup of G , there exists $\beta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that the restriction of β to $\langle S \rangle$ is equal to α . Therefore, $T = gS^\beta$ and S is a BCI-subset of G . \square

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referee for giving help and advice about the revised version of this paper.

References

- [1] S. F. Du, M. Y. Xu, A classification of semi-symmetric graphs of order $2pq$, *Com. in Algebra* **28** (2000), 2685–2715.
- [2] C. H. Li, On Isomorphisms of finite Cayley graphs – a survey, *Discrete Math.* **256** (2002), 301–334.
- [3] C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, The finite simple groups with at most two fusion classes of every order, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 3681–3704.
- [4] Z. P. Lu, On the automorphism groups of bi-Cayley graphs, *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Pekinensis* **39** (1), 2003, 1–5.
- [5] Z. P. Lu, C. Q. Wang, and M. Y. Xu, Semisymmetric cubic graphs constructed from bi-Cayley graphs of A_n , *Ars Combinatoria* **80** (2006), 177–187.
- [6] C. E. Praeger, Finite transitive permutation groups and finite vertex-transitive graphs, in *Graph Symmetry: Algebraic Methods and Applications*, NATO ASI Ser. C **497** (1997), 277–318.
- [7] S. J. Xu, W. Jin, Q. Shi, Y. Zhu, and J. J. Li, The BCI-Property of the bi-Cayley graphs (in Chinese), *J. of Guangxi Normal University: Natural Science Edition* **26** (2008) 33–36.
- [8] S. J. Xu, J. J. Qin, Y. P. Deng, Connected cubic Cayley graphs of dihedral groups of order $4p$ (in Chinese), *Submitted*.