Note on the number of rooted complete N-ary trees

Stephan Dominique Andres
Zentrum für angewandte Informatik Köln
Weyertal 80, 50931 Köln, Germany
andres@zpr.uni-koeln.de

May 22, 2006

Abstract

We determine a recursive formula for the number of rooted complete N-ary trees with n leaves, which generalizes the formula for the sequence of Wedderburn-Etherington numbers. The diagonal sequence of our new sequences equals to the sequence of numbers of rooted trees with $N + 1$ vertices.

Key words: parenthesis structure enumeration, complete N-ary rooted tree, N-ary operation, adder topology

1 Introduction

A problem occurring in hardware design is the following: Given an n-operand addition that has to be realized by a set of binary adders, how many possibilities are there to arrange the adders? [1] To be precise we do not care about commutative operations, which can be executed on the adders without changing the arrangement. In a mathematical language, we seek for the number of interpretations of x^n (or the number of ways to insert parentheses) when multiplication is commutative but not associative, or, from another point of view, we are looking for the number of isomorphism classes of n-leaf complete binary rooted trees (where every vertex has either 0 or 2 children). These numbers are known as Wedderburn-Etherington numbers, their sequence $(T_n)_n$ has the key A001190 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [2] and its generating function $B(x)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$B(x) = x + \frac{1}{2}\left(B(x)^2 + B(x^2)\right),$$

cf. [3]. So, a recursive method to calculate the sequence is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= 1, \\ T_{2n+2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i \cdot T_{2n+2-i} + \frac{T_{n+1}(T_{n+1}+1)}{2} \qquad \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ T_{2n+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i \cdot T_{2n+1-i} \qquad \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The aim of this paper is to generalize this formula from complete binary to complete N-ary trees (or from binary adders to N-ary adders as building blocks). Thus we want to determine the number $T_n^{(N)}$ of isomorphism classes of rooted trees with n leaves with the property that each vertex has either N or 0 children.

2 Recursive formula

To abbreviate notation, we call such a rooted complete N-ary tree with n leaves an *n-tree* whenever N is fixed. Let

$$p_i^{(D)} = \#\{(h_1, \ldots, h_D) | \forall l : h_l \geq 1, \sum_{l=1}^{D} h_l = i\} = \binom{i-1}{D-1} \tag{1}$$

be the number of unordered partitions of i into D pieces. Then we may formulate

Theorem 1 *For $N \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, $T_n^{(N)}$ can be calculated recursively via*

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{(N)} &= 1, \\ T_n^{(N)} &= \sum_{b=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_b) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b} i_j = N \\ i_j \geq 1\, \forall j}} \sum_{\substack{(k_1,\ldots,k_b) \\ 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_b \leq n \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b} i_j k_j = n}} \prod_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{D=1}^{i_j} \binom{i_j - 1}{D-1} \binom{T_{k_j}^{(N)}}{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly, $T_1^{(N)} = 1$. So consider an n-tree, $n > 1$. Then its root has N children that are roots of r_1-, r_2-, $\ldots$, r_N-trees, respectively, with $r_s \geq 1$ for all s, and $\sum_{s=1}^{N} r_s = n$. r_s is called *input size* of the s-th child's tree. Since we do not care about permutations we may assume without loss of generality that $r_1 \le r_2 \le \ldots \le r_N$. We call the set of those r_j-trees with the same input size a ***block***, and denote the number of blocks by b. The ***block size*** is the number of elements of a block. So we have blocks of (positive) block sizes $i_1, \ldots, i_b$ with respective input sizes $k_1, \ldots, k_b$ where w.l.o.g. $1 \le k_1 < \cdots < k_b \le n$. We state that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b} i_j k_j = n, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{b} i_j = N.$$

We will count at first the number of possibilities for a block with block size i and input size k, a number which we denote by $B(k,i)$. Then we derive the number $T(b,(i_1,\ldots,i_b),(k_1,\ldots,k_b))$ of trees for fixed $(r_1,\ldots,r_N)$, i.e., for fixed b, block sizes $i_1,\ldots,i_b$, and input sizes $k_1,\ldots,k_b$. Note that for distinct values of the 3-tupel $(b,(i_1,\ldots,i_b),(k_1,\ldots,k_b))$ trees cannot be isomorphic, since isomorphic trees must have the same number of blocks, corresponding block sizes, and corresponding input sizes, as we assume $k_1 < \cdots < k_b$. Therefore we obtain the total number of n-trees simply by adding $T(b,(i_1,\ldots,i_b),(k_1,\ldots,k_b))$ over all possibilities for $(b,(i_1,\ldots,i_b),(k_1,\ldots,k_b))$, i.e.,

$$T_n^{(N)} = \sum_{b=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_b) \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b} i_j = N \\ i_j \ge 1\ \forall j}} \sum_{\substack{(k_1,\ldots,k_b) \\ 1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_b \le n \\ \sum_{j=1}^{b} i_j k_j = n}} T(b,(i_1,\ldots,i_b),(k_1,\ldots,k_b)). \quad (2)$$

Now we consider a block with i elements, each one having input size k. Let D be the number of distinct k-trees occurring in the block. We have

$$\binom{T_k^{(N)}}{D}$$

possibilities to choose D such structures, and $p_i^{(D)}$ possibilities to partition the k-trees of the block in D subblocks each one having equal k-trees as elements. These choices are independent in the sense of non-isomorphism, so in total we have

$$p_i^{(D)} \binom{T_k^{(N)}}{D}$$

possibilities, and

$$B(k,i) = \sum_{D=1}^{i} p_i^{(D)} \binom{T_k^{(N)}}{D}. \quad (3)$$

Now, what happens in different blocks is independent of each other block again, thus we conclude

$$T(b, (i_1, \ldots, i_b), (k_1, \ldots, k_b)) = \prod_{j=1}^{b} B(k_j, i_j). \tag{4}$$

Combining (1), (2), (3), and (4) yields the theorem. Note that in the right-hand-side of the recursive formula the expression $T_n^{(N)}$ does not occur, since $k_b < n$ whenever $N \geq 2$. □

3 Final remarks

A very interesting sequence is the diagonal sequence

$$(T_{N^2}^{(N)})_{N=2,3,4,\ldots} = 2, 4, 9, 20, 48, 115, \ldots$$

Theorem 2 *$T_{N^2}^{(N)}$ equals to the number of rooted trees with $N+1$ vertices.*

Proof. We construct an isomorphism between rooted complete N-ary trees with N^2 leaves and rooted trees with $N+1$ vertices, $N \geq 2$, in the following way. Let T be a rooted complete N-ary tree with N^2 leaves. Delete all leaves to obtain a rooted tree with $N+1$ vertices. (Note that there are always $N+1$ inner vertices in T.) On the other hand, let R be a rooted tree with $N+1$ vertices. So every vertex has at most N children. Construct a complete N-ary tree from R by adding children in such a way that every vertex from R has exactly N children, and every new vertex has no children. Obviously, these mappings are one-to-one. □

Theorem 3 $\lim_{N\to\infty} T_{(n+1)(N-1)+1}^{(N)} = T_{n^2}^{(n)}$

Proof. Let T be a rooted complete N-ary tree with $(n+1)(N-1)+1$ leaves, and $N > n$. This means that T has exactly $n+1$ inner vertices, hence every inner vertex has at most n children which are inner vertices. So for every inner vertex we may delete $N-n$ of its children which are leaves to obtain a rooted complete n-ary tree with $(n+1)((N-1)-(N-n))+1 = n^2$ leaves. This construction can be reversed by adding children in an appropriate way. □

References

[1] A. Kinane, "Adder topologies for Multi-Operand Addition", Centre for Digital Video Processing, Dublin City University, *internet communication*

[2] N.J.A. Sloane et al., On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, `http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences`

[3] R.P. Stanley, "Enumerative Combinatorics", Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999, p.245, Exercise 6.52