

A Note on Restrained Domination in Trees

Johannes H. Hattingh and Andrew R. Plummer

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University Plaza
Georgia State University
Atlanta, Georgia 30303, USA

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a restrained dominating set if every vertex not in S is adjacent to a vertex in S and to a vertex in $V - S$. The restrained domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_r(G)$, is the smallest cardinality of a restrained dominating set of G . It is known that if T is a tree of order n , then $\gamma_r(T) \geq \lceil (n + 2)/3 \rceil$. In this note we provide a simple constructive characterization of the extremal trees T of order n achieving this lower bound.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we follow the notation of [1]. Specifically, let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E . Moreover, the notation P_n will denote the path of order n . A set $S \subseteq V$ is a *dominating set* of G if every vertex not in S is adjacent to a vertex in S . The *domination number* of G , denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. The concept of domination in graphs, with its many variations, is now well studied in graph theory. The recent book of Chartrand and Lesniak [1] includes a chapter on domination. A thorough study of domination appears in [5, 6].

In this paper, we continue the study of a variation of the domination theme, namely that of restrained domination [2, 3, 4, 7, 8]. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a *restrained dominating set* if every vertex not in S is adjacent to a vertex

in S and to a vertex in $V - S$. Every graph has a restrained dominating set, since $S = V$ is such a set. The *restrained domination number* of G , denoted by $\gamma_r(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a restrained dominating set of G . A restrained dominating set of cardinality $\gamma_r(G)$ will be called a $\gamma_r(G)$ - set.

The concept of restrained domination was introduced by Telle and Proskurowski [8], albeit indirectly, as a vertex partitioning problem. Here conditions are imposed on a set S , the complementary set $V - S$ and on edges between the sets S and $V - S$. For example, if we require that every vertex in $V - S$ should be adjacent to some other vertex of $V - S$ (the condition on the set $V - S$) and to some vertex in S (the condition on edges between the sets S and $V - S$), then S is a restrained dominating set.

One application of domination is that of prisoners and guards. For security, each prisoner must be seen by some guard; the concept is that of domination. However, in order to protect the rights of prisoners, we may also require that each prisoner is seen by another prisoner; the concept is that of restrained domination.

It is known [2] that if T is a tree of order n , then $\gamma_r(T) \geq \lceil (n + 2)/3 \rceil$.

We refer to a vertex of degree 1 in T as a *leaf* of T . A vertex adjacent to a leaf we call a *remote vertex* of T . For a vertex v of T , we shall use the expression, *attach a P_m at v* , to refer to the operation of taking the union of T and a path P_m and joining one of the ends of this path to v with an edge.

For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{T}_n = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } n \text{ such that } \gamma_r(T) = \lceil (n + 2)/3 \rceil\}$. A constructive characterization of the extremal trees T of order n achieving this lower bound were characterized in [2]. For the purpose of stating this characterization, we define a **type (1)** operation on a tree T as attaching a P_2 at v where v is a vertex of T not belonging to some minimum restrained dominating set of T , and a **type (2)** operation as attaching a P_3 at v where v belongs to some minimum restrained dominating set of T . For $i = 1, 2$, let T_i be the tree obtained from $K(1, 3)$ by subdividing i edges once.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{3k} = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k \text{ which can be obtained from the tree } T_2 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{3k+1} = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k + 1 \text{ which can be obtained from } P_4 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\}$. Finally, let $\mathcal{C}_{3k+2} = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k + 2 \text{ which can be obtained from } P_5 \text{ or from the tree } T_1 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\} \cup \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k + 2 \text{ which can be constructed from the tree } T_2 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\}$.

followed by one operation of type (1) and then by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}.

It was established in [2] that

Theorem 1 For $n \geq 4$, $T_n = C_n$.

The purpose of this note is to provide a simpler constructive characterization of the extremal trees T of order n achieving this lower bound.

We denote the set of leaves of a tree T by $L(T)$. For $v \in V(T)$ and $\ell \in L(T)$, the path $vx_1 \dots x_k \ell$ is called a $v - L$ endpath if $\deg x_i = 2$ for each i . If the vertex v need not be specified, a $v - L$ path is also called an endpath.

2 Extremal trees T with $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil (n + 2)/3 \rceil$

Let \mathcal{T} be the class of all trees T of order n such that $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil$. We will constructively characterize the trees in \mathcal{T} . In order to state the characterization, we define three simple operations on a tree T .

O1. Join a leaf or a remote vertex, or a vertex v or x of T on an endpath xyz to a vertex of K_1 , where $n(T) \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

O2. Join a remote vertex, or a vertex v of T which lies on an endpath vxx to a leaf of P_2 , where $n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $n(T) \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

O3. Join a leaf of T to ℓ disjoint copies of P_3 for some $\ell \geq 1$.

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all trees obtained from P_2 or P_4 by a finite sequence of Operations **O1- O3**.

We will show that $T \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let S be a $\gamma_r(T')$ -set of T' throughout the proofs of the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 Let $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ be a tree of order $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. If T is obtained from T' by Operation **O1**, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Let u be a leaf or a remote vertex, or a vertex w or x on an endpath $wxyz$ of T' , and suppose T is formed by attaching the singleton v to u . Then $S \cup \{v\}$ is a **RDS** of T , and so $\lceil \frac{n+3}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + 1$. Since $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, we have $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n(T)+2}{3} \rceil$. Thus, $T \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

Lemma 3 Let $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ be a tree of order $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If T is obtained from T' by Operation O2, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Suppose v is a remote vertex or v lies on the endpath vxx and T is obtained from T' by adding the path vyz' .

We show that $v \notin S$. First consider the case when v is a remote vertex adjacent to a leaf z . Suppose $v \in S$. Then $S' = S - \{z\}$ is a RDS of $T'' = T' - z$, and so $\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T'') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 1$, which is a contradiction when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Thus, $v \notin S$.

In the case when v lies on the endpath vxx , one may show, as in the previous paragraph, that $x \notin S$. But then $v \notin S$, as required.

In both cases, the set $S \cup \{z'\}$ is a RDS of T , and so $\lceil \frac{n+4}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + 1$. However, as $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we have $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n+4}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(T)+2}{3} \rceil$. Thus, $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4 Let $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ be a tree of order n . If T is obtained from T' by the Operation O3, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Let S be a $\gamma_r(T')$ -set of T' , and suppose v is a leaf of T' . Then $v \in S$. Let T be the tree which is obtained from T' by adding the paths $vx_iy_iz_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then $S \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i\}$ is a RDS of T , and so $\lceil \frac{n+3\ell+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + \ell$. Consequently, $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n(T)+2}{3} \rceil$, and so $T \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5 $T \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{C}$. We show that $T \in \mathcal{T}$, by using induction on $s(T)$, the number of operations required to construct the tree T . If $s(T) = 0$, then $T = P_2$ or $T = P_4$, both of which are in \mathcal{T} . Assume, then, for all trees $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ with $s(T') < k$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer, that T' is in \mathcal{T} . Let $T \in \mathcal{C}$ be a tree with $s(T) = k$. Then T is obtained from some tree T' by one of the Operations O1 – O3. But then $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $s(T') < k$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to T' , T' is in \mathcal{T} . Hence, by Lemmas 2,3 or 4, $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

To show that $T \in \mathcal{C}$ for a nontrivial $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we use induction on n , the order of the tree T . If $n = 2$, then $T = P_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. If $n = 3$, then $T \notin \mathcal{C}$. If

$n = 4$, then either $T = P_4$ or T is a star. If T is a star then $T \notin \mathcal{T}$. If $T = P_4$ then $T \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$ be a tree of order $n \geq 5$, and assume for all trees $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ of order $4 \leq n' < n$, that $T' \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $n(T) \geq 5$ and no stars are in \mathcal{T} , $\text{diam}(T) \geq 3$.

If $\text{diam}(T) = 3$, then T is a double star of order 5, has a remote vertex adjacent to two leaves, and is therefore constructible from P_4 by **O1**, whence $T \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, we may assume $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$.

Throughout S will be used to denote a $\gamma_r(T)$ -set of T .

Claim 1 *Suppose z is a leaf of T . If $S - \{z\}$ is a **RDS** of $T' = T - z$, then $n(T') \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and $T' \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Suppose $S - \{z\}$ is a **RDS** of T' . Then $\lceil \frac{n-1+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 1$. This yields a contradiction when $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence, $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, and $\gamma_r(T') = \frac{n+1}{3} = \lceil \frac{n(T')+2}{3} \rceil$. Thus, $T' \in \mathcal{T}$, with $n(T') = n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. By the induction assumption, $T' \in \mathcal{C}$. \diamond

Suppose vzx or vz is an endpath of T . If $v, x \in S$, then $S - \{z\}$ is a **RDS** of $T' = T - z$. By Claim 1, the tree $T' = (T - z) \in \mathcal{C}$ and T can be constructed from T' by Operation **O1**. Thus, if vzx or vz is an endpath of T , we may assume $v, x \notin S$.

Suppose v is a remote vertex adjacent to at least two leaves, and let z be a leaf adjacent to v . Then $S - \{z\}$ is a **RDS** of $T' = T - z$. By Claim 1, the tree $T' = (T - z) \in \mathcal{C}$ and T can be constructed from T' by Operation **O1**. Thus, we may assume that every remote vertex is adjacent to exactly one leaf.

Let T be rooted at a leaf r of a longest path.

Let v be any vertex on a longest path at distance $\text{diam}(T) - 2$ from r . Suppose v lies on the endpath vyz' . Then, by the above remark, $v, y \notin S$. Suppose $\text{deg}(v) \geq 3$ and first assume v is a remote vertex adjacent to a leaf u . Since $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$, v has a parent vertex v_0 . Suppose $v_0 \in S$. If $\text{deg}(v) \geq 4$, since, by Claim 1, v is adjacent to one leaf only, x is on an endpath vzx where $x \notin S$. Since $v_0 \in S$, it follows that $S' = S - \{u, z\}$ is a **RDS** for $T' = T - u - x - z$. Hence, $\lceil \frac{(n-3)+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{deg}(v) = 3$. Consider $T' = T - u$. The vertex v in T' is on the endpath v_0vyz' . Since $v_0 \in S$, it follows that $S' = S - \{u\}$ is a **RDS** for T' . Thus, by Claim 1, $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ and T can be constructed from T' by Operation **O1**, whence $T \in \mathcal{C}$. So suppose $v_0 \notin S$. Then $S' = S - \{z'\}$ is a

RDS for $T' = T - y - z'$. Hence, $\lceil \frac{(n-2)+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 1$, which is a contradiction when $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ and $\gamma_r(T') = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(T')+2}{3} \rceil$. Thus, $T' \in \mathcal{T}$, with $n(T') = n - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $n(T') = n - 2 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. By the induction assumption, $T' \in \mathcal{C}$. The tree T can now be constructed from T' by applying Operation **O2**, whence $T \in \mathcal{C}$.

Hence we may assume v is not a remote vertex. Then v lies on the endpaths vxz and vyz' . It follows that $S' = S - \{z'\}$ is a **RDS** for $T' = T - y - z'$. Hence, by reasoning similar to that in the previous paragraph, the tree T can be constructed from T' by applying Operation **O2**, whence $T \in \mathcal{C}$.

Thus, we assume each vertex on a longest path at distance $\text{diam}(T) - 2$ or $\text{diam}(T) - 1$ from r has degree two.

Let v be any vertex on a longest path at distance $\text{diam}(T) - 3$ from r . Let $vx_1y_1z_1$ be an endpath of T . Then $x_1, y_1 \notin S$, and so $v \in S$.

Suppose $\deg(v) \geq 3$. If v is on an endpath vxz , it follows that $x, z \in S$. By the remark following Claim 1, $T \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose v is a remote vertex adjacent to a leaf u . By Claim 1, u is the only leaf adjacent to v . Moreover, $S' = S - \{u\}$ is a **RDS** for $T' = T - u$. Thus, by Claim 1, $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ and T can be constructed from T' by Operation **O1**, whence $T \in \mathcal{C}$.

So we may assume that v lies only on endpaths $vx_iy_iz_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let e be the edge that joins v with its parent, and let $T(v)$ be the component of $T - e$ that contains v . Then $T(v)$ consists of ℓ disjoint paths $x_iy_iz_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$) with v joined to x_i for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Since $x_iy_iz_i$ is an endpath of T , we have $x_i \notin S$, $y_i \notin S$ and $v \in S$. Then $S - \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i\}$ is a **RDS** of $T' = T - (T(v) - \{v\})$, and so $\lceil \frac{n-3\ell+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - \ell$, whence $\gamma_r(T') = \lceil \frac{n(T')+2}{3} \rceil$. Thus, $T' \in \mathcal{T}$, and by the induction assumption, $T' \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that v is a leaf of T' . The tree T can now be constructed from T' by applying Operation **O3**, whence $T \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

References

- [1] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs & Digraphs: Third Edition*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.
- [2] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, S.T. Hedetniemi, and L.R. Markus, Restrained domination in trees. *Discrete Math.* **211** (2000) 1–9.

- [3] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, M.A. Henning, and L.R. Markus, Restrained domination in graphs with minimum degree two. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **35** (2000) 239–254.
- [4] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, S.T. Hedetniemi, R.C. Laskar, and L.R. Markus, Restrained domination in graphs. *Discrete Math.* **203** (1999), 61–69.
- [5] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [6] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater (eds), *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [7] M.A. Henning, Graphs with large restrained domination number. *Discrete Math.* **197/198** (1999) 415–429.
- [8] J.A. Telle and A. Proskurowski, Algorithms for vertex partitioning problems on partial k -trees. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **10** (1997) 529–550.