

A note on graphs containing all trees of a given size *

Hao LI

L R I, UMR 8623, Bât. 490
Université de Paris-Sud
91405 Orsay, France

Mariusz WOŹNIAK

Faculty of Applied Mathematics A G H
Al. Mickiewicza 30
30-059 Kraków, Poland

January 16, 2006

Abstract

There are some results and many conjectures with the conclusion that a graph G contains all trees of given size k . We prove some new results of this type.

1 Introduction

We shall use standard graph theory notation. We consider only finite, undirected graphs $G = (V, E)$ of order $n = |V|$ and size $e(G) = |E|$. All graphs will be assumed to have neither loops nor multiple edges.

In this note we are interested in graphs which contain all trees of given size k . There are some results and many conjectures with this conclusion.

The following simple fact is often referred to as a ‘folklore lemma’. Usually, it is presented in the following form:

Theorem 1 *Let T be a tree of size k . If $\delta(G) \geq k$, then G contains T . ■*

The most famous conjecture of this type is probably the well known Erdős-Sós conjecture.

Conjecture 2 (Erdős-Sós) *If G is a graph on n vertices and the number of edges of G is $e(G) > \frac{n(k-1)}{2}$ then G contains all trees of size k .*

*The work partially supported by French-Polish program POLONIUM. The research of the last author was also partly supported by KBN grant 2 P03A 016 18

The conjecture below was firstly formulated by Loeb1 in 1995 in the case $k = \frac{n}{2}$ and then generalised by Koml3s and S3s.

Conjecture 3 (Loebl-Koml3s-S3s) *If G is a graph on n vertices with at least $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices of degree at least k , then G contains all trees of size k .*

These two conjectures are still open (for some special cases of them see for example [6] as well as [2] and [5] or [1] or [3]). We mention that using the Regularity Lemma, Ajtai, Koml3s and Szemer3di proved an approximate form of the Loeb1-Koml3s-S3s conjecture (see [4]).

Observe that these two last conjectures can be formulated in a way which involves degrees of the vertices of the graph. Indeed, by dividing both sides of the size condition in the Erd3s-S3s conjecture by $n/2$ and denoting by $\bar{d}(G)$ the average degree of graph G , we get the following statement.

Erd3s-S3s conjecture (degree form) If $\bar{d}(G) > k - 1$, then G contains all trees of size k .

As remarked in [4], the Loeb1-Koml3s-S3s conjecture can be formulated as follows:

Loebl-Koml3s-S3s conjecture (degree form) If the median degree of G is at least k . then G contains all trees of size k .

It seems to be natural to consider other conditions concerning degrees of the graph. In particular, we may ask if the conditions below imply the existence of all trees of size k as subgraphs of G .

- (1) $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2k$ for pair of nonadjacent vertices of G .
- (2) $\max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq k$ for each pair of vertices of G with $\text{dist}(x, y) = 2$.

The above conditions are analogous to well-known conditions from hamiltonian problems, namely to Ore's condition and Fan's condition, respectively. Observe that the Fan-type condition (2) is weaker than Ore-type condition (1).

The answer in both cases is YES. Actually, we shall prove a somewhat stronger result. In order to formulate it, we need some additional definitions.

For a graph G , we define $B = \{v \in V(G) \mid d_G(v) \geq k\}$ and $S = V(G) - B$. The vertices of B and S will also be referred to as *big* vertices and *small* vertices, respectively. We denote by $N(x)$ the set of neighbours of x and by $N'(x)$ the **graph induced** by small neighbours of x and call it the *small neighbourhood* of x i.e.

$$N'(x) = G[N(x) \cap S].$$

We shall consider the following condition:

(*) For each big vertex of G , its small neighbourhood is a clique.

Our main result can be now formulated as follows:

Theorem 4 *Let G be a graph of order n having at least one vertex of degree at least k . If (*) holds, then G contains all trees of size k .*

Corollary 5 *If (2) holds, then G contains all trees of size k .*

Proof. We shall show that the condition (2) implies (*). Let us observe first that the fact that there is a vertex of degree at least k follows trivially from (2). So, suppose there is a big vertex of G having two nonadjacent small neighbours.. It suffices to observe that these two small vertices are then of distance two which contradicts (2). ■

Since, as remarked above, condition (2) is weaker than condition (1) we have also the following corollary.

Corollary 6 *If (1) holds, then G contains all trees of size at most k .* ■

2 Proof of the main result

Let G be a graph satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 4 and let T be a tree of size k . If T is a subgraph of G we are done. If not, we remove the pendent vertices of T one by one until the tree T' obtained in this way is a subgraph of the graph induced by big vertices of G . (Observe, that this is always possible since G contains at least one big vertex and the graph induced by this vertex contains K_1 .) Suppose that T' has p edges, $p < k$.

Let T^1, \dots, T^q be the non-singleton components of $T - E(T')$. A root of a removed subtree of T would then be the unique vertex in a T^i that is also an end-point of an edge in T' . Denote these roots by x_1, \dots, x_q , respectively.

Of course, we have

$$(**) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q e(T^i) = k - p.$$

Let us consider now the root x_1 as a vertex of G . By definition of T' , x_1 is a big vertex. This implies that it has at least $k - p$ neighbours outside of T' . All these vertices are small. For, otherwise by adding one edge joining x_1 with its big neighbour outside of T' we would get a bigger subtree of T as a subgraph of $G[B]$. By assumption, the small neighbourhood $N'(x_1)$ is complete in G . We choose arbitrary $e(T^1)$ vertices in $N'(x_1)$. Together with the vertex x_1 these vertices form a clique of size $e(T^1) + 1$ in which we embed easily the tree T^1 .

Similarly we proceed with other removed trees. By (**), it is always possible, even in the “worst” case when all small neighbourhoods of vertices x_i coincide. This finishes the proof of our theorem. ■

References

- [1] C.BAZGAN, H.LI AND M.WOŹNIAK, On the Loebel-Komlós-Sós conjecture, *J. Graph Theory*, **34** (2000), 269–276.
- [2] S.BRANDT AND E.DOBSON, The Erdős-Sós conjecture for graphs of girth 5, *Discrete Math.* **150** (1996), 411–414.
- [3] E.DOBSON, Constructing trees in graphs whose complement has no $K_{2,s}$, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **11** (2002), 343–347.
- [4] J.KOMLÓS AND M.SIMONOVITS, Szemerédi’s Regularity Lemma and its Applications in Graph Theory, in: D.Miklós, V.T.Sós and T.Szőnyi (eds), *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty*, Vol. 2, János Bolyai Mathematical Society, Budapest, 1996, pages 295–352.
- [5] J-F.SACLÉ AND M.WOŹNIAK, A note on the Erdős-Sós conjecture for graphs without C_4 , *J. Combin. Theory, Series B* **70** (2) (1997), 367–372.
- [6] M.WOŹNIAK, On the Erdős-Sós conjecture, *J. Graph Theory* **21** (2) (1996), 229–234.