A Class of Optimal Quaternary Codes

Kishore Sinha
Department of Statistics
Birsa Agricultural University
Ranchi - 834006
India
Email: skish55@yahoo.co.in

Neelam Sinha
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
Mumbai, India
Email: nilamsinha@indiatimes.com

Abstract

A construction of optimal quaternary codes from symmetrical BIB design (4t-1,2t-1,t-1) is described.

1 Introduction

Binary and q-ary codes have been constructed from symmetrical BIB designs (BIBDs) and nested BIBDs respectively see, Stinson and van Rees (1984), Sinha (1994), and Sinha and Mitra (1999). It is known (see Tonchev, 1988, 1998) that, for any equidistant (n, M, d, q) code, $d \leq \frac{M(q-1)}{(M-1)q}$ (= d_{opt} , say) where the equality is achieved if and only if M is a multiple of q and each of the symbols $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$ occurs exactly M/q times in each colum of the $M \times n$ matrix formed by the codewords.

Furthermore, some of the designs constructed by Sinha (1994), and Sinha and Mitra (1999) are nearly optimal. These optimal q-ary codes were obtained from resolvable BIB designs, see Semakov and Zinoviev (1968)

op.cit. Tonchev (1988, 1998). Here, we describe a new construction of optimal quaternary codes from symmetrical BIBD (4t-1, 2t-1, t-1).

2 Construction

Theorem 2.1 The existence of a symmetrical BIBD (4t-1, 2t-1, t-1) implies the existence of optimal quaternary codes with the parameters

- (i) n = (4t-1)(2t-1), M = 4t, d = 3t(2t-1), $t \ge 4$ when there exists a Hadamard matrix of order 4t.
- (ii) n = (4t 1)s, M = 4t, d = 3ts, $s \ge 1$, when 4t 1 is prime.

Proof. (i) It is well known that a symmetrical BIBD (4t-1, 2t-1, t-1) exists, whenever there exists Hadamard matrix of order 4t. Let us form $^{4t-1}C_2$ all possible combinations of pairs of blocks of the symmetrical BIBD. Here, each pair of blocks give rise to a subset of (4t-1) elements having three sub-subsets, consisting of (a) the t-1 common elements, (b) the remaining t elements of the first block, and (c) the remaining t elements of the second block.

Now, corresponding to each subset, we form a column where the elements of first sub-subset are denoted by 1, second sub-subset by 2, third sub-subset by 3 and the rest of the (4t-1) elements by 0. Thus we get an arrangement of (4t-1)(2t-1) columns and (4t-1) rows. Now, by adding a row of 1's and identifying the rows as codewords, we get the optimal code (i). Here, $d = ^{4t-1}C_2 - \{^{t-1}C_2 + (t-1)t + ^tC_2\} = 3t(2t-1)$. For an optimal code, $d_{opt} = \frac{nM(q-1)}{(M-1)q} = 3t(2t-1)$, which shows that the code is optimal.

Example 1. Let us consider the symmetric BIBD (7, 3, 1) obtained by developing (1, 2, 4) mod 7. Now, we form pairwise intersection of blocks to obtain the 21 subsets each divided into 3 sub-subsets as

```
(41257)
            (4\ 12\ 36)
                                     (1\ 24\ 56)
(2\ 14\ 35)
                        (3\ 25\ 46)
                                     (52347)
            (12437)
(2\ 14\ 67)
                                     (43657)
                        (3\ 25\ 17)
(5\ 23\ 16)
            (2\ 35\ 67)
(6 34 15)
            (63427)
                       (3\ 46\ 17)
                                    (5\ 47\ 16)
            (7 54 13) (6 15 27)
                                    (1.56.37)
(7 45 26)
(7\ 26\ 13)
```

Further, we form 7×21 matrix, which alongwith a row of ones, yields an optimal quaternary code with n = 21, M = 8, d = 18 as given below.

(ii) When (4t-1) is a prime, BIBD (4t-1, 2t-1, t-1) is cyclic. We develop it once to form a difference set $(a_1a_2 \ldots a_{t-1}; b_1b_2 \ldots b_t; c_1c_2 \ldots c_t)$ where $a_1a_2 \ldots a_{t-1}$ are common between the first and second blocks $b_1b_2 \ldots b_t$ and $c_1c_2 \ldots c_t$ are remaining elements from first and second blocks, respectively. Now corresponding to each subset, we form a column as indicated above to get a matrix of order $(4t-1) \times (4t-1)$. Further, a row of 1s is added to this matrix and by identifying the rows as codewords, we get an optimal code as (4t-1, 4t, 3t, 4). This is then repeated s times column-wise to get an optimal code as (4t-1)s, 4t-1, 3ts, 4.

Remark 1. If s = 2t - 1 we get the optimal code as obtained above in (i).

Example 2. By combining first two blocks of BIBD (1, 2, 4) mod 7, we get BIBD (2, 14, 35) mod 7, optimal code as (7, 8, 6, 4) given below.

```
(2 0 0 3 2 3 1)
(1 2 0 0 3 2 3)
(3 1 2 0 0 3 2)
(2 3 1 2 0 0 3)
(3 2 3 1 2 0 0)
(0 3 2 3 1 2 0)
(0 0 3 2 3 1 2)
(1 1 1 1 1 1)
```

Remark 2. An intersting feature of the above structure is that, if we add a column of 1s instead of adding a row of 1s, we still get an optimal code column-wise as (7, 8, 6, 4).

Acknowledgement. The authors are highly grateful to the referee for improvement suggestions.

References

- Semakov, N.V., and Zinoviev, V.A. Equidistant maximal q-ary codes and resolvable balanced incomplete block designs, *Problemy Peredachi* Informatsii 4 (1968), 1-7.
- [2] Sinha, K. A class of q-ary codes, Discrete Math. 126 (1994), 439-440.
- [3] Sinha, K. and Mitra, R.K. Construction of nested balanced block designs, rectangular designs and q-ary codes, Annals of Combinatorics 3 (1999), 71-80.
- [4] Stinson, D.R., and van Rees, G.H.J. The equivalence of certain equidistant binary codes and symmetric BIBDs, Combinatorica 4 (1984), 357-362.
- [5] Tonchev, V.D. Combinatorial configurations: Designs, Codes, Graphs, Longman Wiley, New York (1988).
- [6] Tonchev, V.D. Handbook of coding theory, Ed. Pless, V.S. and Huffman, W., Elsevier Science B.V., New York (1998), 1229-1267.