

Flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 4)$ symmetric designs

Weidong Fang, Huili Dong, Shenglin Zhou*

*Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology,
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, China*

Abstract Let \mathcal{D} be a $2-(v, k, 4)$ symmetric design, and G be a subgroup of the full automorphism group of \mathcal{D} . In this paper, we prove that if $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is flag-transitive, point-primitive then G is of affine or almost simple type. We prove further that if a nontrivial $2-(v, k, 4)$ symmetric design has a flag-transitive, point-primitive, almost simple automorphism group G , then $\text{Soc}(G)$ is not a sporadic simple group.

Keywords Symmetric design, automorphism group, flag-transitive, point-primitive, sporadic group

MR(2000) Subject Classification 05B05, 05B25, 20B25

1 Introduction

A *symmetric* $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ *design* is an incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (P, \mathcal{B})$ where P is a set of v points and \mathcal{B} is a set of b blocks with an incidence relation satisfying every block is incident with exactly k points, every 2-element subset of points is incident with exactly λ blocks, and $b = v$. The design is called *nontrivial* if $\lambda < k < v - 1$. We study nontrivial $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ symmetric designs here which denoted by (v, k, λ) -symmetric designs for simplicity. An *automorphism* of a design \mathcal{D} is a permutation of the points which also permutes the blocks, preserving the incidence relation. The set of automorphisms of a design with the composition of functions is a group. A permutation group $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is *transitive* on \mathcal{D} if for any $\alpha, \beta \in P$, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $\alpha^g = \beta$, and G is *point-primitive* on \mathcal{D} if G is a primitive permutation group on the point set P , otherwise is called *point-imprimitive*. A *flag* in a block design is a point-block pair, such that the point is incident with the block. Thus to say that G is

*Corresponding author: slzhou@scut.edu.cn. The project was sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM and Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China(No. 200805611097).

flag-transitive means that if α_1, α_2 are points, B_1, B_2 are blocks, and α_i is incident with B_i for $i = 1, 2$, then there is an automorphism of \mathcal{D} taking (α_1, B_1) to (α_2, B_2) .

Symmetric $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs with λ small are of interest. For example, those with $\lambda = 1$ are the *projective planes*, while those with $\lambda = 2$ are called *biplanes*. Flag-transitivity is just one of many conditions that can be imposed on the automorphism group G of a symmetric design \mathcal{D} . For the flag-transitive projective planes, Kantor [7] proved that either \mathcal{D} is a Desarguesian projective plane and $PSL(3, n) \leq G$, or G is a sharply flag-transitive Frobenius group of odd order $(n^2 + n + 1)(n + 1)$, where n is even and $n^2 + n + 1$ is prime. In [12, 13, 14, 15], using the theorem of classification of finite simple groups, Regueiro reduced the classification of flag-transitive biplanes to the situation where the automorphism group is a one-dimensional affine group. After the work of Regueiro on biplanes, it is necessary to consider the classification of flag-transitive (v, k, λ) -symmetric designs with $\lambda \leq 4$. In 2009, Law, Praeger and Reichard also suggested the following problem.

Problem 1.1. (Law, Praeger, Reichard [8, Problem 1]) *Reduce the classification of flag-transitive $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ symmetric designs with $\lambda = 3$ or 4 to the case of one-dimensional affine automorphism groups.*

For the case $\lambda = 3$, a $(v, k, 3)$ -symmetric design is called a *triplane*. Recently, in [17, 18, 19, 20] the authors reduced the classification of flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 3)$ symmetric designs to the case that $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is of affine type, and proved the following result.

Proposition 1.2. *If \mathcal{D} is a nontrivial $2-(v, k, 3)$ symmetric design with a flag-transitive automorphism group G , then one of the following holds:*

- (i) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(45, 12, 3)$,
- (ii) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(11, 6, 3)$,
- (iii) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(15, 7, 3)$,
- (iv) G is of affine type.

In this paper we discuss nontrivial flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 4)$ symmetric designs. Here are some elementary results on symmetric designs.

Lemma 1.3. ([1, Theorem 2.7]) *Let p be a prime divisor of the order of the automorphism group of a (v, k, λ) -symmetric design such that $1 < \lambda < p$ and $\gcd(p, v) = 1$. Then $p \leq k$.*

Lemma 1.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design, and G be a flag-transitive primitive automorphism group of \mathcal{D} , then*

- (i) $k(k - 1) = 4(v - 1)$.
- (ii) $16v - 15$ is a square.
- (iii) $4v < k^2$, and hence $4|G| < |G_x|^3$, where x is a point in P .
- (iv) $k \mid 4 \gcd(v - 1, |G_x|)$.
- (v) $4(|G_x|_{v'})^2 > v$ where $|G_x|_{v'}$ denotes the part of $|G_x|$ coprime with v .
- (vi) $k \mid 4d_i$, where d_i is any subdegree of G ([4]).

Proof. Part (i) is well-known. Part (ii) follows from (i). (iii) The equality $k(k - 1) = 4(v - 1)$ implies $k^2 = 4v - 4 + k$, so clearly $4v < k^2$. Since $v = |G : G_x|$, and $k \leq |G_x|$, the result follows. (iv) Since $k \mid 4(v - 1)$, $r \mid |G_x|$ and $k = r$, we have that $r \mid 4 \gcd(|G_x|, v - 1)$. (v) By (iv) we have that $r \leq 4 \gcd(|G_x|, v - 1)$. Hence $4v < k^2 \leq 16 \gcd(|G_x|, v - 1)^2 = 16 \gcd(|G_x|_v |G_x|_{v'}, v - 1)^2$, and $v < 4 \gcd(|G_x|_{v'}, v - 1)^2$. Therefore $4(|G_x|_{v'})^2 > v$. (vi) Suppose that Γ is a nontrivial suborbit of G_x . Let $|\Gamma| = d_i$. Consider the action of G on the set $P \times P$. Let Δ be an orbital of G . Counting the number of triples (x, y, B) where $x \neq y \in B$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(x, y) \in \Delta$ in two ways, we find

$$4|\Delta| = vkt,$$

where vk is the number of flags (x, B) , t the number of triples that contain the flag (x, B) . Since G is flag-transitive, t is independent of the choice of the flag (x, B) , and since $|\Delta| = vd_i$, then $4vd_i = vkt$. It follows that $4d_i = kt$, so $k \mid 4d_i$. \square

In the next section we need the O’Nan-Scott theorem on primitive permutation groups. We restate it as follows.

Lemma 1.5. (O’Nan-Scott Theorem, [9]) *Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a primitive group of degree $|\Omega| = n$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) *Affine type: $G \leq \text{AGL}(\Omega)$, acting on a finite vector space Ω of prime power order n ;*
- (2) *Diagonal type: $T^k \leq G \leq T^k(\text{Out } T \times S_k)$, where T is a nonabelian simple group and $k \geq 2$. The stabiliser in T^k of a point of Ω is a diagonal subgroup of T^k (that is a subgroup conjugate in $(\text{Aut } T)^k$ to $D = \{(t, t, \dots, t) \mid t \in T\}$) and $n = |T^k : D| = |T|^{k-1}$.*
- (3) *Almost simple type: $T \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut } T$ for some nonabelian simple group T ;*

- (4) *Product type:* Here $\Omega = \Delta^\ell$ for some set Δ and $\ell > 1$, and $G \leq H \wr S_\ell$, where H is a primitive permutation group on Δ . $H \wr S_\ell$ has the natural action on Ω and G projects onto a transitive subgroup of S_ℓ . Thus $n = |\Delta|^\ell$.
- (5) *Twist wreath product type:* G is a twist wreath product $T \text{ twr}_\psi P$, where T is a nonabelian simple group, P is a transitive permutation group on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and ψ is a homomorphism from P_1 , the stabiliser of 1 in P , to $\text{Aut } T$ such that the image of ψ contains $\text{Inn } T$. The stabiliser in G of a point of Ω is P . Thus $n = |T|^k$.

2 Imprimitve Case

Let \mathcal{D} be a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design. Suppose that $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is flag-transitive, point-imprimitve. In [11], C. E. Praeger and S. L. Zhou discussed the flag-transitive imprimitive (v, k, λ) -symmetric designs. From [11, Theorem 1.1 and Table 1 of Corollary 1.3], we know that if a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design admits a flag-transitive imprimitive automorphism group, then it has parameters $(15, 8, 4)$ or $(96, 20, 4)$. There is a unique example with the first parameter set, see [11, Proposition 1.5], namely the points and hyperplane complements of the projective geometry $PG(3, 2)$ relative to a subgroup S_5 or $S_5.3$ of the full automorphism group $PSL(4, 2) \cong A_8$. Recently, in [8], M. Law, C. E. Praeger and S. Reichard proved that there are four non-isomorphic symmetric designs with parameters $(90, 20, 4)$, the structures and automorphism groups of these designs are given in [8, Section 4], and they are all point-imprimitve.

Proposition 2.1. (i) (Praeger, Zhou [11, Proposition 1.5]) *The design of points and hyperplane complements of the projective geometry $PG(3, 2)$ is the unique design admitting a flag-transitive, point-imprimitve subgroup of automorphisms with parameters $(15, 8, 4)$.*

(ii) (Law, Praeger, Reichard [8, Theorem 1.1]) *There are up to isomorphism exactly four flag-transitive $(96, 20, 4)$ -symmetric designs. Furthermore, they are all point-imprimitve.*

This, together with [10], gives the following

Proposition 2.2. (Law, Praeger, Reichard [9, Corollary 1.2]) *All 2- (v, k, λ) symmetric designs with $\lambda \leq 4$ admitting a flag-transitive, point-imprimitve subgroup of automorphisms are known.*

Corollary 2.3. *If G is a group acting flag-transitively on a 2- $(v, k, 4)$ symmetric design \mathcal{D} other than $(15, 8, 4)$ and $(96, 20, 4)$, then G is point-primitive.*

The following lemma asserts that there exists a flag-transitive, point-primitive $(15, 8, 4)$ -symmetric design.

Lemma 2.4. *If \mathcal{D} is a flag-transitive, point-primitive $(15, 8, 4)$ -symmetric design, then $\mathcal{D} = PG_2(3, 2)$, $G = A_6, S_6, A_7$ or A_8 , and the stabiliser $G_x = S_4, S_4 \times Z_2, L_3(2)$ or $AGL_3(2)$ respectively.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} be a $(15, 8, 4)$ -symmetric design, and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ be point-primitive. As a primitive permutation group of degree 15, we know that G is one of the following ([3, p. 597]): $A_6, S_6, A_7, A_8 \cong L_4(2), A_{15}, S_{15}$, and the stabiliser G_x is $S_4, S_4 \times Z_2, L_3(2), AGL_3(2), 14.3, 14.4$ respectively.

If $\text{Soc}(G) = A_6$, then $G_x = S_4$ or $S_4 \times Z_2$, and the subdegrees of G acting on the stabiliser G_x are 1, 6, 8, and so G is a rank 3 primitive group acting on points set (and blocks set) of a symmetric $2-(15, 8, 4)$ design. By [5, Theorem] we know that this design exist and it is the symmetric $2-(15, 8, 4)$ design of points and complements of hyperplanes from $PG(3, 2)$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{D} is flag-transitive.

If $\text{Soc}(G) = A_{15}$ with natural action, there exists a prime $p = 13$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1.3, but $p > k$, which is a contradiction.

If $G = A_7$ or A_8 , the subdegrees are 1, 14, then the action of G is 2-transitive, this has been done by Kantor. From [6, Theorem] we know that there is a 2-transitive symmetric design, i.e. a projective space $PG_2(3, 2)$, with parameters $(15, 8, 4)$ which is the complement of a $(15, 7, 3)$ -symmetric design. It is easily know that \mathcal{D} is also flag-transitive. So Lemma 2.4 holds. \square

3 Primitive Case

From [8] we know that the automorphism group of flag-transitive $(96, 20, 4)$ -symmetric design cannot be point-primitive. Therefore, by Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, if a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design other than $(15, 8, 4)$ admits a flag-transitive automorphism group G , then it must be primitive. So we just need to analysis the primitive case. Here, we will investigate the case in which \mathcal{D} admits a primitive, flag-transitive automorphism group. The O’Nan-Scott Theorem (see Lemma 1.5) classifies primitive groups into the following five types:

- (1) Affine type.
- (2) Almost simple type.
- (3) Simple diagonal type.
- (4) Product type.

(5) Twisted wreath product type.

In this section we will prove that cases (3), (4) and (5) cannot occur. Here is the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If \mathcal{D} is a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design admitting a flag-transitive primitive automorphism group G , then G is of affine, or almost simple type.*

We will prove the theorem by a series of lemmas. Suppose that G has a product action on the set P of points. Then there is a group H acting primitively on a set Γ ($|\Gamma| \geq 5$) with almost simple or diagonal action, where

$$P = \Gamma^\ell, \ell \geq 2, \text{ and } G \leq H^\ell \rtimes S_\ell = H \wr S_\ell.$$

We first give the following general lemma on a flag-transitive, point-primitive 2- (v, k, λ) symmetric design which will be very useful for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. ([12, Lemma 4]) *If G is a primitive permutation group acting flag-transitively on a (v, k, λ) -symmetric design \mathcal{D} , with a product action on P , then $v = |\Gamma|^\ell \leq \lambda \ell^2 (|\Gamma| - 1)^2$, and $\ell = 2$ forces $\lambda > 4$.*

Lemma 3.3. *If D is a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design admitting a flag-transitive, primitive automorphism group G , then G does not have a nontrivial product action or twisted wreath action on the points of D .*

Proof. Let $|\Gamma| = m$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $m^\ell \leq 4\ell^2(m-1)^2$ which implies $\ell < 5$. If $\ell = 4$ then $m^4 \leq 64(m-1)^2$. It follows that $m = 5$ or 6 , and $v = 5^4$ or 6^4 respectively, but then in every case $16v - 15$ is not a square, contrary to Lemma 1.4(ii).

Therefore $\ell = 3$, and $m^3 \leq 36(m-1)^2 < 36m^2$ which implies $5 \leq m < 36$. On the one hand, it is easily known that the only value of m such that $16v - 15 = 16m^3 - 15$ is a square is $m = 34$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.4(i), $k \mid 4(v-1) = 4(m^3-1)$, also from the proof of [12, Lemma 4] we know that $k \mid \lambda \ell(m-1)$, so $k \mid \gcd(4(m^3-1), 12(m-1)) = 4(m-1)\gcd(3, m^3+m+1)$. Hence k divides $12(m-1)$. Suppose that $k = \frac{12(m-1)}{n}$ for some positive integer n , then from the equality $k(k-1) = 4(v-1)$ we have

$$\frac{12(m-1)}{n} \left(\frac{12(m-1)}{n} - 1 \right) = 4(m^3-1),$$

so

$$3[12(m-1) - n] = (m^2 + m + 1)n^2.$$

If $m = 34$, then $397n^2 + n - 396 = 0$, it follows that $n = \frac{396}{397}$ or $n = -1$, a contradiction.

Groups with a twisted wreath action are contained in twisted wreath groups $H \text{ twr}_\psi S_\ell$ with a product action and H is of diagonal type. Here we have also considered subgroups of G , thereby also ruling out groups with a twisted wreath action. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If \mathcal{D} is a $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design which admits a flag-transitive, primitive automorphism group G , then G is not of simple diagonal type.*

Proof. Suppose that G is of simple diagonal type. Then

$$\text{Soc}(G) = N = T^m, \quad m \geq 2,$$

for some nonabelian simple group T , where $T \cong N_x \triangleleft G_x \leq \text{Aut}(T) \wr S_m$. Here $v = |T|^{m-1} = |N_x|^{m-1}$.

The fact that G is flag-transitive implies that G_x is transitive on the k blocks through x , so $N_x \triangleleft G_x$ implies that all the orbits of N_x on the set of k blocks through x have the same size, say, ℓ . Therefore ℓ divides k , so it divides $4(v-1)$, and also divides $|T|$, that is, ℓ divides $\gcd(|T|, 4(|T|^{m-1} - 1)) = \gcd(|T|, 4)$. So $\ell = 1, 2$ or 4 .

If $\ell = 2$ or 4 then, for a block $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $|N_x : N_{xB}| = 2$ or 4 . This is impossible since N_x is a nonabelian simple group.

Thus $\ell = 1$, and N_x fixes all the k blocks through x . Since N_x is a nonabelian simple group, then there exists an odd prime p such that $p \mid |G|$ and $p \geq 5$. Otherwise, $|N_x| = 2^a 3^b$, by $p^a q^b$ -Theorem, N_x is solvable, and so it is not simple, a contradiction. Choose an element $t \in N_x$, $o(t) = p \geq 5$. There is a point y which is not fixed by t . The point-pair $\{x, y\}$ is incident with exactly 4 blocks. Since y is in each of these blocks, the points in the t -orbit O of y , together with x , must be also incident with each of these blocks as these blocks are fixed by N_x . Since $|O| \geq 5$, then every pair of these 4 blocks is incident with at least $|O| + 1 \geq 6$ points, this contradicts the fact that there are exactly four points incident with two blocks. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Now Theorem 3.1 follows from Lemmas 3.3, 3.4. \square

4 The case where the socle is a sporadic group

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a nontrivial $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design with a flag-transitive, point-primitive, almost simple automorphism group G , then $\text{Soc}(G)$ can not be a sporadic simple group.*

Proof. We suppose there is a nontrivial $(v, k, 4)$ -symmetric design \mathcal{D} which has a flag-transitive, point-primitive, almost simple automorphism

group G with socle X , where X is a sporadic simple group, and arrive at a contradiction. We follow the same procedure as in [13, 17] for biplanes and triplanes.

Assume that the automorphism group G of \mathcal{D} is almost simple, such that $X \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ with X a sporadic group. Then $G = X$, or $G = \text{Aut}(X)$, since for all sporadic groups X either $\text{Aut}(X) = X$ or $\text{Aut}(X) = X.2$. We know that $v = |G : G_x|$, where $x \in P$ and G_x is a maximal subgroup of G . The lists of maximal subgroups of X and $\text{Aut}(X)$ appear in [2]. Note that they are complete except for the 2-local subgroups of the Monster group M , and any possible maximal subgroup of the Monster M which is not listed in [2] has socle isomorphic to one of the following simple groups: $L_2(13), L_2(27), Sz(8), U_3(4), U_3(8)$.

For each sporadic group (and its automorphism group), we rule out the maximal subgroups whose index $|G : G_x| = v$ such that $16v - 15$ is not a square. In the remaining cases, for those $v > 2$, we rule out the maximal subgroups whose order is too small to satisfy $4|G| < |G_x|^3$.

To illustrate this procedure, suppose $X = J_2$, the sporadic Hall-Janko group. Then $G = J_2$ or $J_2.2$, since $|\text{Out}(J_2)| = 2$. The maximal subgroups H of J_2 and $J_2.2$, with their orders, indices and $16v - 15$ are listed as follows([2, p.42]):

Order	Index	X	$X.2$	$16v - 15$
6048	100	$U_3(3)$	$: U_3(3) : 2$	5.317
2160	280	$3 \cdot PGL_2(9)$	$: 3 \cdot A_6 \cdot 2^2$	5.19.47
1920	315	$2_-^{1+4} : A_5$	$: 2_-^{1+4} \cdot S_5$	$3.5^2.67$
1152	525	$2^{2+4} : (3 \times S_3)$	$: H.2$	$3.5.13.43$
720	840	$A_4 \times A_5$	$: (A_4 \times A_5) : 2$	$3.5^2.179$
600	1008	$A_5 \times D_{10}$	$: (A_5 \times D_{10}) \cdot 2$	$3.41.131$
336	1800	$L_3(2) : 2$	$: L_3(2) : 2 \times 2$	$3.5.19.101$
300	2016	$5^2 : D_{12}$	$: U_3(3) : 2$	$3.11.977$
60	10080	A_5	$: S_5$	$3.5.13.827$

Table 1: Maximal subgroups of groups J_2 and $J_2.2$

From the last column of Table 1 we know that in every case $16v - 15$ is not a square, a contradiction. Hence $X \neq J_2$.

For the Monster group M , there are 43 classes of maximal subgroups known so far. These 43 classes of maximal subgroups G_x can be ruled out by the fact that $|G_x|^3 < 4|G|$ and $16v - 15$ is not a square. Any

other possible maximal subgroup N of M which is not listed in [2] satisfies $S \trianglelefteq N \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ where S is isomorphic to one of the following simple groups: $L_2(13)$, $L_2(27)$, $U_3(4)$, $U_3(8)$, $Sz(8)$. However, for any possible maximal subgroup N , its order is also too small to satisfy $4|M| < |N|^3$, a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On collineation groups of symmetric block designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **11**(1971), 272-381.
- [2] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, London: Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [3] C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz, The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, Boca Raton, FL: CRC press, 1996.
- [4] H. Davies, Flag-transitivity and primitivity, *Discrete Math.* **63**(1987), 91-93.
- [5] U. Dempwolff, Primitive rank 3 groups on symmetric designs, *Des. Codes and Cryptogr.* **22**(2001), 191-207.
- [6] W. M. Kantor, Classification of 2-transitive symmetric designs, *Graphs Comb.* **1** (1985), 165-166.
- [7] W. M. Kantor, Primitive permutation groups of odd degree, and an application to finite projective planes, *J. Algebra* **106** (1)(1987), 15-45.
- [8] M. Law, C. E. Praeger, S. Reichard, Flag-transitive symmetric 2-(96, 20, 4)-design, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **116**(2009), 1009-1022.
- [9] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **44**(1988), 389-396.
- [10] C. E. Praeger, The flag-transitive symmetric designs with 45 points, blocks of size 12, and 3 blocks on every point pair, *Des. Codes and Cryptogr.* **44**(2007), 115-132.
- [11] C. E. Praeger, S. L. Zhou, Imprimitve flag-transitive symmetric designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113**(7)(2006), 1381-1395.
- [12] E. O’Reilly Regueiro, On primitivity and reduction for flag-transitive symmetric designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **109** (2005), 135-148.

- [13] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with alternating or sporadic socle, *European J. Combin.* **26**(2005), 577-584.
- [14] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with classical socle, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **26**(2007), 529-552.
- [15] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with exceptional socle of Lie type, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **27**(2008), 479-491.
- [16] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [17] S. L. Zhou, H. L. Dong, Sporadic groups and flag-transitive triplanes, *Science in China Ser. A*, **52**(2)(2009), 394-400.
- [18] S. L. Zhou, H. L. Dong, Exceptional groups and flag-transitive triplanes, *Science in China Ser. A*, **52**(2009), DOI: 10.1007/s11425-009-0051-5.
- [19] S. L. Zhou, H. L. Dong, W. D. Fang, Finite classical groups and flag-transitive triplanes, *Discrete Math.*, **309**(16)(2009), 5183-5195.
- [20] S. L. Zhou, H. L. Dong, Alternating groups and flag-transitive triplanes, submitted, January, 2009.