

# Dominating Sets and Independent Sets in a Tree

Min-Jen Jou

Department of Insurance  
Ling Tung University  
Taichung, Taiwan 40852, R.O.C.

e-mail: mjjou@mail.ltu.edu.tw

## Abstract

The domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum cardinality among all dominating sets of  $G$ , and the independence number  $\alpha(G)$  of  $G$  is the maximum cardinality among all independent sets of  $G$ . For any graph  $G$ , it is easy to see that  $\gamma(G) \leq \alpha(G)$ . In this paper, we present a characterization of trees  $T$  with  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a finite, undirected and simple graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The cardinality of  $V(G)$  is called the *order* of  $G$ , denoted by  $|G|$ . A set  $S$  of vertices in a graph  $G$  is a *dominating set* of  $G$  if each vertex not in  $S$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $S$ . The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum cardinality among all dominating sets of  $G$ . If  $S$  is a dominating set of  $G$  with cardinality  $\gamma(G)$ , we call  $S$  a  $\gamma$ -*set* of  $G$ . A set  $I$  of vertices in a graph  $G$  is an *independent set* of  $G$  if no two vertices of  $I$  are adjacent in  $G$ . The *independence number*  $\alpha(G)$  of  $G$  is the maximum cardinality among all independent sets of  $G$ . If  $I$  is an independent set of  $G$  with cardinality  $\alpha(G)$ , we call  $I$  an  $\alpha$ -*set* of  $G$ . A *tree*  $T$  is a connected graph with no cycles.

Over the past few years, several studies have been made on domination and independence [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The main purpose of this paper is to obtain a characterization of trees  $T$  with  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$ .

## 2. Preliminary

For any vertex  $v$ , the set of neighbors of  $v$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $N_G(v)$ , and  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . For any subset  $A \subseteq V(G)$ , denote  $N_G(A) = \cup_{v \in A} N_G(v)$  and  $N_G[A] = \cup_{v \in A} N_G[v]$ . A vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is a *leaf* if  $|N_G(v)| = 1$ . A vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is a *support vertex* if it is adjacent to a leaf in  $G$ . We denote the set  $L(G)$  the collection of all leaves of  $G$ , and the set  $U(G)$  the collection of all support vertices of  $G$ . Two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are *duplicated* if  $N_G(u) = N_G(v)$ . For a subset  $F \subseteq E(G)$ , the *deletion of  $F$  from  $G$*  is the graph  $G - F$  obtained from  $G$  by deleting all edges of  $F$ .

If  $u$  and  $v$  are duplicated vertices in a tree  $T$ , then both of them are leaves. The following lemma states that each  $\alpha$ -set of a tree  $T$  contains all duplicated leaves in  $T$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two distinct duplicated leaves adjacent to  $y$  in a tree  $T$ . Then*

- (1) *both  $u$  and  $v$  lie in every  $\alpha$ -set of  $T$ ;*
- (2) *the vertex  $y$  lies in every  $\gamma$ -set of  $T$ ;*
- (3)  $\gamma(T - u) = \gamma(T - v) = \gamma(T)$ .

**Proof.** (1) Suppose to the contrary that there exists an  $\alpha$ -set  $I$  of  $T$  such that  $u \notin I$ , then  $y \in I$  and  $v \notin I$ . So  $I' = (I - \{y\}) \cup \{u, v\}$  is an independent set of  $T$  with cardinality  $|I'| = |I| + 1 > \alpha(T)$ , this is a contradiction.

(2) Suppose to the contrary that there exists an  $\gamma$ -set  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $y \notin S$ , then  $u \in S$  and  $v \in S$ . So  $S' = (S - \{u, v\}) \cup \{y\}$  is a dominating set of  $T$  with cardinality  $|S'| = |S| - 1 < \gamma(T)$ , this is a contradiction.

(3) It follows by (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2** *Let  $T$  be a tree with duplicated leaves, and let  $T'$  be a maximal subtree of  $T$  with no duplicated leaves. Then  $\gamma(T') = \gamma(T)$ .*

**Proof.** It follows by Lemma 1 (3).  $\square$

**Lemma 3** *If  $T$  is a tree such that  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$ , then  $T$  has no duplicated leaves.*

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a set  $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  of duplicated leaves adjacent to  $y$  in  $T$ , where  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $I$  be an  $\alpha$ -set of  $T$ . By Lemma 1, the independent set  $I$  contains each  $v_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . So the set  $I - A$  dominates all vertices of  $T - N_G[A]$ . Then  $S = (I - A) \cup \{y\}$  is a dominating set of  $T$  with cardinality  $|S| = (|I| - k) + 1 \leq |I| - 1 = \alpha(T) - 1 = \gamma(T) - 1$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

First of all, we will focus our attention on the independence problem.

**Lemma 4** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 2$  with no duplicated leaves, and let  $x$  be a leaf adjacent to  $y$  in  $T$ . Then there exists an  $\alpha$ -set  $I$  of  $T$  such that  $x \in I$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $I'$  is an  $\alpha$ -set of  $T$ . If  $x \in I'$ , then we are done. So we assume that  $x \notin I'$ , this implies  $y \in I'$ . Therefore  $I = (I' - \{y\}) \cup \{x\}$  is an independent set of  $T$  with cardinality  $|I| = |I'| = \alpha(T)$  such that  $x \in I$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5** *If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\alpha(T) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $T$  is a bipartite graph, it is possible to partition  $V(T)$  into  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that every  $V_i$  is an independent set of  $T$ . It follows that  $\alpha(T) \geq \max\{|V_1|, |V_2|\} \geq \frac{n}{2}$ .  $\square$

Let us now shift the emphasis away from independence to domination.

**Lemma 6** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 2$  with no duplicated vertices, and let  $x$  be a leaf adjacent to  $y$  in  $T$ . Then there exists an  $\gamma$ -set  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $y \in S$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $S'$  is a  $\gamma$ -set of  $T$ . If  $y \in S'$ , then we are done. Hence we assume that  $y \notin S'$ , this implies  $x \in S'$ . So  $S = (S' - \{x\}) \cup \{y\}$  is a dominating set of  $T$  with cardinality  $|S| = |S'| = \gamma(T)$  such that  $y \in S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 2$  without any isolated vertices, then  $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** Choose a maximum independent set  $I$  of  $G$ . Then  $I$  is a dominating set of  $G$ . As  $G$  has no isolated vertices,  $V(G) - I$  is also a dominating set. One of the two dominating sets above gives that  $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8** *Let  $e = uv$  be an edge of a tree  $T$  such that both  $u$  and  $v$  are not leaves of  $T$ . Suppose that the deletion  $T - e$  is the union of trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , where  $u \in V(T_1)$  and  $v \in V(T_2)$ . Then  $\gamma(T) \leq \gamma(T_1) + \gamma(T_2)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be  $\gamma$ -sets of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively. Then  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$  is a dominating set of  $T$ , this implies that  $\gamma(T) \leq |S| = |S_1| + |S_2| = \gamma(T_1) + \gamma(T_2)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Main Theorem

For a graph  $G$ , let  $\widehat{G}$  be the graph with vertex set  $V(\widehat{G}) = V(G) \cup \{\hat{x} : x \in V(G)\}$  and the edge set  $E(\widehat{G}) = E(G) \cup \{x\hat{x} : x \in V(G)\}$ .

**Lemma 9** For a graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma(\widehat{G}) = \alpha(\widehat{G}) = |V(G)|$ .

**Proof.** Note that for any vertex  $x \in V(G)$ , every dominating set of  $\widehat{G}$  contains at least one vertex in  $\{x, \hat{x}\}$  and every independent set of  $\widehat{G}$  contains at most one vertex in  $\{x, \hat{x}\}$ . Hence,

$$|V(G)| \leq \gamma(\widehat{G}) \leq \alpha(\widehat{G}) \leq |V(G)|$$

and so  $\gamma(\widehat{G}) = \alpha(\widehat{G}) = |V(G)|$ . □

With this lemma in mind, we provide a characterization of trees  $T$  with  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$ .

**Theorem 1** If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$  if and only if  $T = \widehat{G}$  for some tree  $G$  of order  $\frac{n}{2}$ .

**Proof.** We shall prove by induction on  $n$  that  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$  implies  $T = \widehat{G}$  for some tree  $G$  of order  $\frac{n}{2}$ . The claim is true for the case of  $T$  is a star for which  $\gamma(T) = \alpha(T)$  implies  $T = K_2 = \widehat{K_1}$ . Suppose now  $T$  is not a star. Choose a vertex  $y$  whose neighbors are all leaves, called  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ , except one called  $z$ . Then  $T' = T - \{y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  is a tree of order  $n' = n - 1 - k$ . Since a dominating set of  $T'$ , together with  $y$ , form a dominating set of  $T$ , we have  $\gamma(T') + 1 \geq \gamma(T)$ . Also an independent set in  $T'$ , together with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ , form an independent set in  $T$ , we have  $\alpha(T) \geq \alpha(T') + k$ . Hence,

$$\alpha(T') + 1 \geq \gamma(T') + 1 \geq \gamma(T) = \alpha(T) \geq \alpha(T') + k$$

and so in fact  $k = 1$  and all inequalities above are equalities. In particular,  $\gamma(T') = \alpha(T')$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $T' = \widehat{G'}$  for some tree  $G'$  of order  $\frac{n'}{2} = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ .

If the non-leaf neighbor  $z$  of  $y$  is equal to  $x$  for some  $x \in V(G')$ , then  $T = \widehat{G}$  where  $G$  is obtained from  $G'$  by adding a new vertex  $y$  adjacent to  $x = z$ . Now suppose  $z = \hat{x}$  for some  $x \in V(G')$ . Then  $(V(G') - \{x\}) \cup \{y\}$  is a dominating set of  $T$  of size  $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ , and  $\{\hat{x} : x \in V(G')\} \cup \{x_1\}$  is an independent set of  $T$  of size  $\frac{n}{2}$ . These give that  $\gamma(T) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1 < \frac{n}{2} \leq \alpha(T)$ , which is impossible. This completes the proof of the claim. □

## Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the referee for the helpful comments.

## References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Application, New York, 1976.
- [2] M.J. Jou, G.J. Chang, The number of maximal independent sets in graphs, Taiwanese J. Math., 4 (2000) 685-695.
- [3] M.J. Jou, G.J. Chang, Maximal independent sets in graphs with at most one cycle, Discrete Appl. Math. 79 (1997) 67-73.
- [4] G.J. Chang, M.J. Jou, The number of maximal independent sets in connected triangle-free graphs, Discrete Math. 197/198 (1999) 169-178.
- [5] O. Favaron, Least domination in a graph, Discrete Math. 150 (1996) 115-122.
- [6] X. Lv, J. Mao, Total domination and least domination in a tree, Discrete Math. 265 (2003) 401-404.