

Sufficient conditions for maximally edge-connected and super-edge-connected oriented graphs depending on the clique number

Lutz Volkmann

Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany
e-mail: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

An orientation of a simple graph G is called an oriented graph. If D is an oriented graph, $\delta(D)$ its minimum degree and $\lambda(D)$ its edge-connectivity, then $\lambda(D) \leq \delta(D)$. The oriented graph is called maximally edge-connected if $\lambda(D) = \delta(D)$ and super-edge-connected, if every minimum edge-cut is trivial. In this paper we show that an oriented graph D of order n without any clique of order $p + 1$ in its underlying graph is maximally edge-connected when

$$n \leq 4 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta(D)}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

Some related conditions for oriented graphs to be super-edge-connected are also presented.

Keywords: *oriented graph, edge-connectivity, super-edge-connectivity, clique number*

1. Introduction and terminology

We consider finite digraphs without loops and multiple edges. A digraph without any directed cycle of length 2 is called an *oriented graph*. For a digraph D the vertex set is denoted by $V(D)$ and the edge set (or arc set)

by $E(D)$. If xy is an arc, then we also write $x \rightarrow y$ and say x dominates y . We define the *order* of D by $n = n(D) = |V(D)|$ and the *size* by $|E(D)|$. For a vertex $v \in V(D)$ of a digraph D let $d^+(v) = d_D^+(v)$ its *out-degree* and $d^-(v) = d_D^-(v)$ its *in-degree*. The *minimum out-degree* and *minimum in-degree* of a digraph D are denoted by $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$ and $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) = \min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$ is its *minimum degree*.

A digraph D is *strongly connected* or simply *strong* if for every pair u, v of vertices there exists a directed path from u to v in D . A digraph D is *k-edge-connected* if for any set S of at most $k - 1$ edges the subdigraph $D - S$ is strong. The *edge-connectivity* $\lambda = \lambda(D)$ of a digraph D is defined as the largest value of k such that D is k -edge-connected. Because of $\lambda(D) \leq \delta(D)$, we call a digraph D *maximally edge-connected* if $\lambda(D) = \delta(D)$. A digraph is *super-edge-connected* or *super- λ* , if every minimum edge-cut is trivial, that means, that every minimum edge-cut consists of edges adjacent to or from a vertex of minimum degree.

For two disjoint vertex sets X and Y of a digraph D let (X, Y) be the set of edges from X to Y . If D is a digraph, then its *underlying graph* $G(D)$ is the graph obtained by replacing each arc of D by an undirected edge joining the same pair of vertices. If D is an oriented graph with the property that the underlying graph $G(D)$ contains no complete subgraph of order $p + 1$, then we say that the *clique number* $\omega(D)$ is less or equal p . If D is an oriented graph with clique number $\omega(D) \leq p$, then the well-known Theorem of Turán [18] leads to the fundamental upper bound

$$|E(D)| \leq \frac{p-1}{2p} |V(D)|^2. \quad (1)$$

A *p-partite tournament* is an orientation of a complete p -partite graph. For other graph theory terminology we follow Bondy and Murty [4] or Chartrand and Lesniak [6].

Sufficient conditions for digraphs to be maximally edge-connected or super- λ were given by several authors, for example by Balbuena and Carmona [2], Balbuena, Carmona, Fàbrega and Fiol [3], Carmona and Fàbrega [5], Dankelmann and Volkmann [7], Fàbrega and Fiol [8], Fiol [9, 10], Geller and Harary [11], Hellwig and Volkmann [12, 13, 14], Imase, Soneoka and Okada [15], Jolivet [16], Soneoka [17], Volkmann [19] and Xu [20]. However, closely related conditions for maximally edge-connected and super-edge-connected oriented graphs have received little attention until recently. In this paper we will present some new sufficient conditions for oriented graphs to be maximally edge-connected and super- λ , respectively.

2. Maximally edge-connected oriented graphs

We start with a simple observation, which play an important role in our investigations.

Lemma 2.1 Let D be an oriented graph of edge-connectivity λ , $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 1$. If $\lambda < \delta$, then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 1$ and $|Y| \geq 2\delta^- + 1$.

Proof. Let $X, Y \subset V(D)$ be two disjoint sets with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ such that $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$. By reason of symmetry we only prove $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 1$. If we suppose to the contrary that $|X| \leq 2\delta^+$, then we arrive at the contradiction

$$|X|\delta^+ \leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{|X|(|X| - 1)}{2} + \lambda \leq \delta^+(|X| - 1) + \delta^+ - 1. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.2 Let D be an oriented graph of order n , $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 1$. If $\delta^+ + \delta^- \geq \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$, then $\lambda = \delta$.

Corollary 2.3 (Ayoub, Frisch [1] 1970) If D is an oriented graph with minimum degree $\delta(D) \geq \lceil (n(G) - 1)/4 \rceil$, then $\lambda(D) = \delta(D)$.

Using Turán's inequality (1), we will present some analogue results for oriented graphs D with clique number $\omega(D) \leq p$.

Theorem 2.4 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with clique number $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 1$. If $\lambda < \delta$, then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that

$$|X| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Let $X, Y \subset V(D)$ be two disjoint sets with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ such that $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$. By reason of symmetry we only prove the desired bound for the set X . If $\delta^+ = k(p-1) + r$ with integers $k \geq 0$ and r such that $0 \leq r \leq p-2$, then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1)\delta^+ + \delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor = \delta^+ + k.$$

This shows that our statement is equivalent to $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k$. In view of Lemma 2.1, the desired bound is valid for $k = 0$. Thus we only consider the case that $k \geq 1$ in the following.

First assume that $|X| \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$. This assumption and Turán's inequality (1) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |X|\delta^+ &\leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{p-1}{2p}|X|^2 + \lambda \\ &\leq |X|\frac{p-1}{2p}(2\delta^+ + 2k - 2) + \delta^+ - 1 \\ &= |X|\frac{p-1}{p}(\delta^+ + k - 1) + \delta^+ - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|X|(k(p-1) + r + k - 1 - p(k-1)) = |X|(\delta^+ + k - 1 - p(k-1)) \leq p(\delta^+ - 1),$$

and this leads to

$$|X| \leq \frac{p(\delta^+ - 1)}{p + r - 1} \leq \frac{p(\delta^+ - 1)}{p - 1}.$$

Because of $p(\delta^+ - 1)/(p - 1) \leq 2\delta^+$, we obtain $|X| \leq 2\delta^+$, a contradiction to Lemma 2.1. Hence we have shown that $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 1$.

Second we assume that $|X| = 2\delta^+ + 2k - 1$. Again (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |X|\delta^+ &\leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{p-1}{2p}|X|^2 + \lambda \\ &\leq |X|\frac{p-1}{2p}(2\delta^+ + 2k - 1) + \delta^+ - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|X|(2\delta^+ + 2k - 1 - 2kp + p) \leq 2p(\delta^+ - 1),$$

and this leads to

$$|X| \leq \frac{2p(\delta^+ - 1)}{p + 2r - 1}.$$

Since $k, \delta \geq 1$, we observe that $2p(\delta^+ - 1)/(p + 2r - 1) \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$, and thus we arrive at the contradiction $2\delta^+ + 2k - 1 = |X| \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$. \square

Corollary 2.5 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer and let D be an oriented graph of order n with clique number $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 1$. If

$$n \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

then $\lambda = \delta$.

Corollary 2.6 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer and let D be an oriented graph of order n with clique number $\omega(D) \leq p$, edge-connectivity λ and minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$. If

$$n \leq 4 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

then $\lambda = \delta$.

Corollary 2.7 Let D be a bipartite oriented graph of order n with edge-connectivity λ , minimum out-degree δ^+ , minimum in-degree δ^- and minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$. If

$$\delta^+ + \delta^- \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \right\rfloor,$$

then $\lambda = \delta$.

Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let T be a regular p -partite tournament with the partite sets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_p such that $|V_1| = |V_2| = \dots = |V_p| = 2r$ for an integer $r \geq 1$. If D consists of two disjoint copies of T , then $\omega(D) \leq p$, $n(D) = 4pr$, $\delta(D) = \delta^+(D) = \delta^-(D) = r(p-1)$ and $\lambda(D) = 0$. This family of examples show that Theorem 2.4 as well as Corollaries 2.5 - 2.7 are best possible.

3. Super-edge-connected oriented graphs

Theorem 3.4 in Fiol's article [9] states that the conditions in Corollary 2.7 is sufficient for a bipartite oriented graph to be super- λ . However, the next example will show that this is not valid in general.

Example 3.1 Let T be the bipartite oriented graph of order 14 with the partition sets

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x'_1, x'_2, x'_3\} \text{ and } Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y'_1, y'_2, y'_3, y'_4\}$$

such that $y_1 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow y_3 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow x'_2, y_3 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow y_2, x_1 \rightarrow y_3, \{x_2, x_3, x_4\} \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x'_1, y'_1 \rightarrow x'_1 \rightarrow y'_2 \rightarrow x'_2 \rightarrow y'_3 \rightarrow x'_3 \rightarrow y'_2, x'_2 \rightarrow y'_4 \rightarrow x'_3 \rightarrow y'_1, x'_1 \rightarrow \{y'_3, y'_4\}, x'_2 \rightarrow y'_1$ and $y'_i \rightarrow x_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$.

Now $n(T) = 14$, $\delta(T) = \delta^+(T) = \delta^-(T) = 2$, $4 = \delta^+(T) + \delta^-(T) = \lceil (n(T) + 1)/4 \rceil$ and thus $\lambda(T) = \delta(T) = 2$ by Corollary 2.7. However, T is not super- λ , since $S = \{y_1 x'_1, y_2 x'_2\}$ is a minimum edge-cut.

Corresponding examples also exist for every $\delta^+ = \delta^- \geq 3$. In this section, we will present (see Corollary 3.9 below) a correct sufficient condition for bipartite oriented graphs to be super-edge-connected.

Lemma 3.2 Let D be an oriented graph with $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. If D is not super- λ , then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that $|X| \geq 2\delta^+$ and $|Y| \geq 2\delta^-$.

Proof. Since D is not super- λ , there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that $|X|, |Y| \geq 2$. We only prove the desired bound for the set $|X|$.

First suppose that $|X| \leq \delta^+$. It follows that

$$|X|\delta^+ \leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{|X|(|X| - 1)}{2} + \lambda \leq \frac{\delta^+(|X| - 1)}{2} + \delta^+,$$

and this implies the contradiction $\delta^+|X| \leq \delta^+$. Hence we have shown that $|X| \geq \delta^+ + 1$.

Second suppose that $|X| \leq 2\delta^+ - 1$. This leads to

$$|X|\delta^+ \leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{|X|(|X| - 1)}{2} + \lambda \leq |X|(\delta^+ - 1) + \delta^+,$$

and we obtain the contradiction $|X| \leq \delta^+$. \square .

Corollary 3.3 (Fiol [9] 1992) Let D be an oriented graph of order n , $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D)$. If $\delta^+ + \delta^- \geq \lceil (n + 1)/2 \rceil$, then D is super- λ .

Theorem 3.4 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. If D is not super- λ , then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that

$$|X| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 2 \text{ and } |Y| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 2.$$

Proof. Since D is not super- λ , there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that $|X|, |Y| \geq 2$. We only prove the desired bound for the set X . If $\delta^+ = k(p - 1) + r$ with integers $k \geq 0$ and r such that $0 \leq r \leq p - 2$, then our statement is equivalent to $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$. In view of Lemma 3.2, the bound is valid for $k \leq 1$. Thus let $k \geq 2$ in the following. Assume that $|X| \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 3$. This assumption and inequality (1) imply

$$|X|\delta^+ \leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{p-1}{2p}|X|^2 + \lambda \leq |X|\frac{p-1}{2p}(2\delta^+ + 2k - 3) + \delta^+.$$

It follows that

$$|X| \leq \frac{2p\delta^+}{3p+2r-3} \leq \frac{2p\delta^+}{3p-3}.$$

Because of $2p\delta^+/(3p-3) \leq 2\delta^+ - 1$, we obtain $|X| \leq 2\delta^+ - 1$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.5 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. Then D is super- λ when

$$n \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 5.$$

The next example will show that Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 are best possible for the case that $\delta^+ = \delta^- = \delta = p - 1$.

Example 3.6 Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer, and let D'_1 be a $(p-1)$ -regular p -partite tournament with the partite sets $\{u_1, v_1\}, \{u_2, v_2\}, \dots, \{u_p, v_p\}$ such that $\{u_2, u_3, \dots, u_p\} \rightarrow u_1$. In addition, let D'_2 be a $(p-1)$ -regular p -partite tournament with the partite sets $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \dots, \{x_p, y_p\}$ such that $x_1 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_p\}$. If $D_1 = D'_1 - u_1$ and $D_2 = D'_2 - x_1$, then let D be the p -partite tournament consisting of the disjoint union of D_1 and D_2 such that $\{v_1, y_1\}$ and $\{u_i, v_i, x_i, y_i\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p$ are the partite sets of D together with edge set

$$S = \{u_2x_3, u_3x_4, \dots, u_{p-1}x_p, u_px_2\}$$

and all further possible edges from D_2 to D_1 . The resulting p -partite tournament D is of order $n(D) = 4p - 2$ such that $\delta^+(D) = \delta^-(D) = \delta(D) = p - 1$. According to Corollary 2.6, we deduce that $\lambda(D) = \delta(D) = p - 1$. However, since S is a minimum edge-cut, D is not super- λ .

In the cases that $\delta \neq p - 1$ or $\delta \neq t(p - 1)$ for any integer $t \geq 1$, we are able to present better bounds.

Theorem 3.7 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. If D is not super- λ and $\delta^+ \neq p - 1$ or $\delta^- \neq p - 1$, then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that

$$|X| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 1 \text{ or } |Y| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

Proof. Since D is not super- λ , there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that $|X|, |Y| \geq 2$. We only

prove the desired bound for the set X . If $\delta^+ = k(p-1) + r$ with integers $k \geq 0$ and r such that $0 \leq r \leq p-2$, then our statement is equivalent to $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 1$, where $r \geq 1$ when $k = 1$. In view of Lemma 3.2, the bound is valid for $k = 0$. Thus let in the following $k \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$ when $k = 1$. Because of Theorem 3.4, we know that $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$. Assume that $|X| = 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$. This assumption and inequality (1) imply

$$|X|\delta^+ \leq \sum_{x \in X} d^+(x) \leq \frac{p-1}{2p}|X|^2 + \lambda \leq |X|\frac{p-1}{2p}(2\delta^+ + 2k - 2) + \delta^+.$$

It follows that

$$|X| \leq \frac{p\delta^+}{p+r-1}. \quad (2)$$

If $k = 1$, then $r \geq 1$ and (2) leads to the $|X| \leq \delta^+$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.2. If $k \geq 2$, then (2) yields

$$|X| \leq \frac{p\delta^+}{p+r-1} \leq \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 3,$$

a contradiction to our assumption.

Corollary 3.8 Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. If $\delta^+ \neq p-1$, $\delta^- \neq p-1$ and

$$n \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 3,$$

then D is super- λ .

Corollary 3.9 Let D be an oriented graph of clique number 2, order n , $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. Then D is super- λ when

$$\delta^+ + \delta^- \geq \left\lceil \frac{n+3}{4} \right\rceil.$$

For the case that $p \geq 3$ and $\delta^+ \neq t(p-1)$ and $\delta^- \neq t(p-1)$ for any integer $t \geq 1$, we can improve Theorem 2.4 as well as Corollary 2.5.

Theorem 3.10 Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. If D is not super- λ and $\delta^+ \neq t(p-1)$ or $\delta^- \neq t(p-1)$ for an integer $t \geq 1$, then there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that

$$|X| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor \quad \text{or} \quad |Y| \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Since D is not super- λ , there exist two disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(D)$ with $X \cup Y = V(D)$ and $|(X, Y)| = \lambda$ such that $|X|, |Y| \geq 2$. We only prove the bound for the set X . If $\delta^+ = k(p-1) + r$ with integers $k \geq 0$ and r such that $1 \leq r \leq p-2$, then our statement is equivalent to $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k$. In view of Lemma 3.2, the bound is valid for $k = 0$. Thus let $k \geq 1$ in the following. Because of Theorem 3.7, we know that $|X| \geq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 1$. Assume that $|X| = 2\delta^+ + 2k - 1$. This assumption and inequality (1) imply

$$|X| \leq \frac{2p\delta^+}{p+2r-1} \leq \frac{2p\delta^+}{p+1}.$$

Because of $k \geq 1$, we obtain $2p\delta^+/(p+1) \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$, and thus we arrive at the contradiction $|X| \leq 2\delta^+ + 2k - 2$. \square

Corollary 3.11 Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer, and let D be an oriented graph with $\omega(D) \leq p$, $\lambda = \lambda(D)$, $\delta^+ = \delta^+(D)$, $\delta^- = \delta^-(D)$ and $\delta = \delta(D) \geq 2$. If $\delta^+ \neq t(p-1)$, $\delta^- \neq t(p-1)$ for an integer $t \geq 1$ and

$$n \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^+}{p-1} \right\rfloor + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p\delta^-}{p-1} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

then D is super- λ .

References

- [1] J.N. Ayoub and I.T. Frisch, On the smallest-branch cuts in directed graphs, *IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory* **CT-17** (1970), 249-250.
- [2] C. Balbuena and A. Carmona, On the connectivity and superconnectivity of bipartite digraphs and graphs, *Ars Combin.* **61** (2001) 3-21.
- [3] C. Balbuena, A. Carmona, J. Fàbrega and M.A. Fiol, On the connectivity and the conditional diameter of graphs and digraphs, *Networks* **28** (1996), 97-105.
- [4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, The Macmillan Press Ltd., London and Basingstoke (1976).
- [5] A. Carmona and J. Fàbrega, On the superconnectivity and the conditional diameter of graphs and digraphs, *Networks* **34** (1999), 197-205.
- [6] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs and digraphs*, 3rd ed., Chapman & Hall, 1996.
- [7] P. Dankelmann and L. Volkmann, Degree sequence conditions for maximally edge-connected graphs and digraphs, *J. Graph Theory* **26** (1997) 27-34.

- [8] J. Fàbrega and M.A. Fiol, Bipartite graphs and digraphs with maximum connectivity, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **69** (1996), 271-279.
- [9] M.A. Fiol, On super-edge-connected digraphs and bipartite digraphs, *J. Graph Theory* **16** (1992), 545-555.
- [10] M.A. Fiol, The superconnectivity of large digraphs and graphs, *Discrete Math.* **124** (1994), 67-78.
- [11] D. Geller and F. Harary, Connectivity in digraphs, *Recent Trends in Graph Theory*, Proc. 1st New York City Graph Theory Conf. 1970, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **186** (1971), 105-115.
- [12] A. Hellwig and L. Volkmann, Maximally edge-connected digraphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **27** (2003), 23-32.
- [13] A. Hellwig and L. Volkmann, Neighborhood and degree conditions for super-edge-connected bipartite digraphs, *Result. Math.* **45** (2004), 45-58.
- [14] A. Hellwig and L. Volkmann, Neighborhood conditions for graphs and digraphs to be maximally edge-connected, *Australas. J. Combin.* **33** (2005), 265-277.
- [15] M. Imase, T. Soneoka and K. Okada, Connectivity of regular directed graphs with small diameters, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* **34** (1985), 267-273.
- [16] J.L. Jolivet, Sur la connexité des graphes orientés, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **274 A** (1972), 148-150.
- [17] T. Soneoka, Super edge-connectivity of dense digraphs and graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **37/38** (1992), 511-523.
- [18] P. Turán, An extremal problem in graph theory, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* **48** (1941), 436-452.
- [19] L. Volkmann, Degree sequence conditions for super-edge-connected graphs and digraphs, *Ars Combin.*, (**67**) (2003), 237-249.
- [20] J.M. Xu, A sufficient condition for equality of arc-connectivity and minimum degree of a digraph, *Discrete Math.* **133** (1994), 315-318.