

ON f -DERIVATIONS OF BCC-ALGEBRAS

ALEV FIRAT

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notion of left-right and right-left f -derivation of a BCC-algebra is introduced, and some related properties are investigated. Also, we consider regular f -derivation and d -invariant on f -ideals in BCC-algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of rings and near rings, the properties of derivations are important. Several authors [5], [6], [8], [9], [11],[12] have studied BCI-algebras, BCK-algebras and BCC-algebras and lattices. In [10], Jun and Xin applied the notion of derivations in rings and near-rings theory to BCI-algebras, and also introduced a regular derivation in BCI-algebras and in [7], [16],[17], Ferrari, Szasz, Xin, Li and Lu applied the notion of derivations to lattices. They investigated some of its properties, defined a d -invariant ideal and gave some conditions for an ideal to be d -invariant. In [1], Abujabal and Al-Shehri continued studying derivations in BCI-algebras. In [6], Dudek and Zhang introduced the notion of f -derivations of BCI-algebras and they gave a characterizations of a p -semisimple BCI-algebra using regular f -derivations. Later, symmetric bi-derivations, f -derivations, permuting tri-derivations, symmetric f bi-derivations on a lattice and some properties related with these derivations were discussed by [3], [4], [13], [15], respectively. In [14], Prabpayak and Leerawat applied the notion of a regular derivation in BCI-algebras to BCC-algebras and also investigated some of its related properties.

In this paper the notion of left-right and right-left f -derivation of a BCC-algebra is introduced, and some related properties are investigated.

2. PRELIMINARIES

By an algebra $G = (G, \cdot, 0)$ we mean a non-empty set G together with a binary operation multiplication and a constant 0. In the sequel, a multiplication will be denoted by juxtaposition.

Key words and phrases. f -derivation, BCC-algebra, BCI-algebra, regular, d -invariant.

Definition 2.1. An algebra $G = (G, \cdot, 0)$ is called BCC-algebra if for all $x, y, z \in G$ it satisfies the following axioms,

- (1) $((xy)(zy))(xz) = 0$
- (2) $0x = 0$
- (3) $x0 = x$
- (4) $xy = yx = 0$ implies $x = y$.

A non-empty subset S of a BCC-algebra G is called BCC-subalgebra of G if $xy \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$.

By (1) we get: $(xy)x = 0$ and $xx = 0$ for all $x, y \in G$.

In any BCC-algebra G , one can define a partial order " \leq " by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $xy = 0$.

A non-empty subset A of a BCC-algebra G is called a BCC-ideal, if

- (5) $0 \in A$
- (6) $(xy)z \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply $xz \in A$.

Putting $z = 0$ in (6) we obtain : $xy \in A$ and $y \in A$ implies $x \in A$. In a BCC-algebra G , for elements x, y of G we denote $x \wedge y = y(xy)$.

Definition 2.2. [14] Let G be a BCC-algebra. A map $d : G \rightarrow G$ is said to be a left-right derivation (briefly, (l, r)- derivation) of G if it satisfies the identity $d(xy) = d(x)y \wedge xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

If d satisfies the identity $d(xy) = x d(y) \wedge d(x)y$ for all $x, y \in G$, then d is said to be a right-left derivation (briefly, (r, l)- derivation) of G . Moreover, if d is both (l, r) and (r, l)- derivation, then it is said to that d is a derivation.

3. THE f -DERIVATIONS OF BCC-ALGEBRAS

In what follows, let f be an endomorphism of G unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a BCC-algebra and f be an endomorphism of G . A map $d : G \rightarrow G$ is said to be left-right f -derivation (briefly, (l,r)- f -derivation) of G , if it satisfies the identity $d(xy) = (d(x)f(y)) \wedge (f(x)d(y))$ for all $x, y \in G$.

If d satisfies the identity $d(xy) = (f(x) d(y)) \wedge (d(x) f(y))$ for all $x, y \in G$, then d is said to be right-left f -derivation (briefly, (r, l)- f - derivation) of G . Moreover, if d is both (l,r) and (r, l)- f -derivation, then it is said to that d is an f -derivation.

Example 3.1. Let $G = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3 \}$ be a BCC-algebra with Cayley table as follows.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0, 1 \\ 3, & x=2, 3 \end{cases}$$

Define an endomorphism f of G for all x in G by

thus $d(3) \neq (3d(2)) \vee (d(3)2)$.
 $(3d(2)) \vee (d(3)2) = (30) \vee (32) = 3 \vee 1 = 1$ (13) = 10 = 1, and
 Then d is not a derivation of G since $d(3) = d(1) = 0$ but

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0, 1, 2 \\ 3, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

$d : G \rightarrow G$ for all x in G by

Example 3.3. Let G be a BCC-algebra as in Example 3.1. Define a map

Then it is easily checked that d is an f -derivation of G .

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0, 1 \\ 2, & x=2, 3 \end{cases}$$

an endomorphism f of G for all x in G by

Then d is not a derivation of G since $d(3) = 2$, but $(d(3)1) \vee (3d(1)) = (21) \vee (30) = 2 \vee 3 = 3$, and thus $d(3) \neq (d(3)1) \vee (3d(1))$. Define

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0, 1 \\ 2, & x=2, 3 \end{cases}$$

$d : G \rightarrow G$ for all x in G by

Example 3.2. Let G be a BCC-algebra as in Example 3.1. Define a map

by identity endomorphism of G .

Remark 3.1. Every derivation of G could be made an f -derivation of G

$d(2) \neq d(1) \vee (f(2)d(1))$.

but $(d(2)f(1)) \vee (f(2)d(1)) = (20) \vee (00) = 2 \vee 0 = 0$, and thus
 all $x \in G$. Then d is not an f -derivation of G since $d(2) = d(1) = 2$,
 is a derivation of G . Define an endomorphism f of G by $f(x) = 0$ for

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0, 1, 3 \\ 2, & x=2 \end{cases}$$

By [14] we know that a map $d : G \rightarrow G$ for all x in G defined by

0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	1	0	0

Then it is easily checked that d is an f -derivation of G .

Remark 3.2. From Example 3.2 and 3.3, we have seen that there is an f -derivation of G which is not a derivation of G .

Definition 3.2. An f -derivation of a BCC-algebra G is said to be regular if $d(0) = 0$.

Theorem 3.3. If d is a (r, l) - f -derivation of a BCC-algebra G , $d(0) = 0$.

Proof: Since d is (r, l) - f -derivation of G ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= d(0 x) = (f(0) d(x)) \wedge (d(0) f(x)) \\ &= (0 d(x)) \wedge (d(0) f(x)) = 0 \wedge (d(0) f(x)) \\ &= (d(0) f(x)) ((d(0) f(x)) (0)) \\ &= (d(0) f(x)) (d(0) f(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. An f -derivation d of a BCC-algebra G is regular.

Proposition 3.5. Let d be a self map of BCC-algebra G . Then the following hold.

(1) If d is an (l, r) - f -derivation of G , then $d(x) = d(x) \wedge f(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

(2) If d is an (r, l) - f -derivation of G , then $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof: 1) Let d be an (l, r) - f -derivation of G . Then,

$$d(x) = d(x 0) = (d(x) f(0)) \wedge (f(x) d(0)) = (d(x) 0) \wedge (f(x) 0) = d(x) \wedge f(x).$$

2) Let d be an (r, l) - f -derivation of G . Then,

$$d(x) = d(x 0) = (f(x) d(0)) \wedge (d(x) f(0)) = (f(x) 0) \wedge (d(x) 0) = f(x) \wedge d(x).$$

Definition 3.6. Let G be a BCC-algebra and d be a f -derivation of G . $d^{-1}(0) = \{ x \in G \mid d(x) = 0 \}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a BCC-algebra with a partial order \leq , and let d be an f -derivation of G . Then the following hold:

- (1) $d(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in G$.
- (2) $d(x y) \leq d(x) f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.
- (3) $d(x y) \leq f(x) d(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.
- (4) If $d \circ f = f \circ d$, then $d(f(x) d(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in G$.
- (5) If $d \circ f = f \circ d$, then $d(d(x)) \leq f(f(x))$ for all $x \in G$.
- (6) $d^{-1}(0)$ is a subalgebra of G .

Proof:

(1) Let x be an element of G .

By Proposition 3.5, $d(x) = d(x) \wedge f(x) = f(x) (f(x)d(x))$. Then $d(x)f(x) = (f(x) (f(x)d(x))) f(x) = 0$. Thus $d(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

(2) For any $x, y \in G$, we have

$$d(x y) = (d(x) d(y)) \vee (d(x) f(y)) = (d(x) f(y)) \vee (d(x) d(y))$$

Then $d(x y) = d(x) f(y)$

Thus $d(x y) \leq d(x) f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

(3) For any $x, y \in G$, we have

$$d(x y) = (d(x) f(y)) \vee (d(x) d(y))$$

$$= (f(x) d(y)) \vee (d(x) f(y))$$

Then $d(x y) = d(x) d(y)$

Thus $d(x y) \leq f(x) d(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

(4) Let $d \circ f = f \circ d$, for any $x \in G$, we have

$$d(f(x) d(x)) = (f(d(x)) d(d(x))) \vee (d(f(x)) f(d(x)))$$

$$= (f(f(x) d(x))) \vee 0$$

$$= 0$$

(5) If $d \circ f = f \circ d$, then for any $x \in G$, we have by (4)

$$d(d(x) f(x)) = (d(d(x)) f(f(x))) \vee (d(d(x) f(x)))$$

$$= d(f(x) d(x))$$

$$= (d(f(x) d(x))) \vee (d(f(x) d(x)))$$

$$= (d(d(x) f(x))) \vee (d(f(x) d(x)))$$

$$= (d(d(x) f(x))) \vee (d(f(x) d(x)))$$

$$= (d(f(x) d(x))) \vee (d(f(x) d(x)))$$

Then $d(d(x) f(x)) = 0$. Thus $d(d(x)) \leq f(f(x))$ for all $x \in G$.

(6) Since d is regular, $0 \in d^{-1}(0)$, that is, $d^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x, y \in d^{-1}(0)$, then $d(x) = 0 = d(y)$, and so

$$d(x y) = (f(x) d(y)) \vee (d(x) f(y))$$

$$= (f(x) 0) \vee (0 f(y))$$

$$= f(x) \vee 0 = 0, \text{ that is } x y \in d^{-1}(0).$$

Hence $d^{-1}(0)$ is a BCC-subalgebra of G .

Remark 3.3. In general $d^{-1}(0)$ is not a BCC-ideal of G , as seen in Example 3.3. $d^{-1}(0) = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is not a BCC-ideal of G since $(3 \cdot 2) \cdot 1 = 0 \in d^{-1}(0)$ and $2 \in d^{-1}(0)$, but $3 \cdot 1 = 3 \notin d^{-1}(0)$.

Definition 3.8. A BCC-ideal A of a BCC-algebra G is said to be f -BCC-ideal if $f(A) \subseteq A$.

Definition 3.9. Let d be a self map of a BCC-algebra G . An f -ideal A of G is said to be d -invariant if $d(A) \subseteq A$.

Example 3.4. Let G be a BCC-algebra as in Example 3.1. Then $A = \{0, 1\}$ is a BCC-ideal of G .

Let d be an f -derivation of G as in Example 3.2. $f(A) = \{0\} \subseteq A$. Thus A is an f -BCC-ideal. Also $d(A) = \{0\} \subseteq A$. Hence A is d -invariant.

Theorem 3.10. Let d be a f -derivation of BCC-algebra G . Then every f -ideal A of G is d -invariant.

Proof: Let A be an f -ideal of BCC-algebra G . Let $y \in d(A)$. Then $y = d(x)$ for some $x \in A$. It follows that by Proposition 3.7.(1) we have $y f(x) = d(x) f(x) = 0 \in A$, since A is f -ideal we have $y \in A$. Thus $d(A) \subseteq A$. Hence A is d -invariant.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abujabal, H. A. S. A. and Al-Shehri, N. O. 2006. *Some results on derivations of BCI-algebras*. Coden Jnsmac 46:13-19.
- [2] Abujabal, H. A. S. A. and Al-Shehri, N. O. . 2007. *On left derivations of BCI-algebras*. Soochow Journal of Mathematics 33 (3): 435-444.
- [3] Çeven, Y., 2009 *Symmetric bi-derivations of lattices*, Quaestiones Mathematicae 32, 241-245.
- [4] Çeven, Y. and Öztürk, M.A. 2008. *On f -Derivations of lattices*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 45, No.4, pp. 701-707.
- [5] Dudek, W. A. 1992. *On constructions of BCC-algebras*. Selected Papers on BCK- and BCC-algebras 1:93-96.
- [6] Dudek, W. A. and Zhang, X. 1998. *On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras*. Czechoslovak Math. Journal. 48 (123): 21-29.
- [7] Ferrari, L., 2001. *On Derivations of Lattices*, Pure Math. Appl. 12 , no.4, 365-382.
- [8] Iseki, K. and S. Tanaka. 1976. *Ideal theory of BCK-algebras*. Math. Japonica. 21: 351-336.
- [9] Iseki, K. and Tanaka, S. 1978. *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*. Math. Japonica. 23: 1-26.
- [10] Jun, Y. B. and Xin, L. 2004. *On derivations of BCI-algebras*. Information Sciences 159: 167-176.
- [11] Meng, D. J. 1987. *BCI-algebras and abelian groups*. Math. Japonica. 47 (1): 693-696.
- [12] Meng, J. and Xin, X. L. 1992. *Commutative BCI-algebras*. Math. Japonica. 37 (3): 569-572.
- [13] Öztürk, M.A., Yazarli, H. and Kyung, H. K. 2009 *Permuting Tri-Derivations in Lattices*, Quaestiones Mathematicae 3,415-425.
- [14] Prabpayak C. and Leerawat U. 2009. *On derivations of BCC-algebras*. Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.) 43: 398-401.
- [15] Özbal, S. A. and Firat A., 2010 *Symmetric f bi-derivations on lattices*, Submitted to A Canadian Journal of Combinatorics.
- [16] Szasz, G. 1975. *Derivations of Lattices*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 37, 149-154.
- [17] Xin, X. L. , Li, T.Y. and Lu ,J. H. 2008 *On derivations of Lattices*, Information Sciences 178, 307-316.

EGE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 35100-IZMIR, TURKEY

E-mail address: alev.firat@ege.edu.tr