

On competition polysemy and m -competition polysemy*

Yongqiang Zhao[†]

Department of Mathematics
Shijiazhuang College
Shijiazhuang 050035, P.R. China
yqzhao1970@yahoo.com

Gerard J. Chang[‡]

Department of Mathematics
National Taiwan University
Taipei 10617, Taiwan
Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences
National Taiwan University
Taipei 10617, Taiwan
National Center for Theoretical Sciences
Taipei Office, Taiwan
gjchang@math.ntu.edu.tw

Abstract

In 2004, Fischermann et al. [2] generalized bound polysemy to competition polysemy by using digraphs instead of posets. They provided a characterization of competition polysemic pairs and a characterization of the connected graphs G for which there exists a tree T such that (G, T) is competition polysemic. In this paper we continue to study the competition polysemy and characterize the connected graphs G for which there exists a triangle-free unicyclic graph G' such that (G, G') is competition polysemic. Furthermore,

*This work was done while the first author was visiting the Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan.

[†]Supported in part by the National Science Council under Grant NSC95-2816-M-002-014.

[‡]Supported in part by the National Science Council under Grant NSC95-2115-M-002-013-MY3.

we generalize competition polysemy to m -competition polysemy and prove a characterization of m -competition polysemic pairs.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C20, 05C62, 06A07.

Keywords: Competition graph; m -Competition graph; Competition polysemy; m -Competition polysemy

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E . The *neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V : uv \in E\}$. A *clique* of G is the vertex set of a (not necessarily maximal) complete subgraph of G . An *edge clique cover* of G is a collection \mathcal{C} of cliques such that for every edge $uv \in E$ some clique in \mathcal{C} contains both vertices u and v . An *m -edge clique cover* of G is a collection of subsets C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k of V such that $xy \in E$ if and only if there exist m of the sets C_i that contain both x and y . A *block* of $G = (V, E)$ is a maximal 2-connected subgraph of G and a vertex $u \in V$ for which $G - u = G[V \setminus \{u\}]$ (the subgraph of G induced by $V \setminus \{u\}$) has more components than G is a *cutvertex*.

In 2000, Tanenbaum [6] introduced the notion of *bound polysemy*. He called a pair (G_1, G_2) of graphs $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ on a common set of vertices V *bound polysemic*, if there exists a reflexive poset $P = (V, \leq)$ on the set V such that for all $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v, uv \in E_1$ if and only if there is some $w \in V$ such that $u \leq w$ and $v \leq w$ and $uv \in E_2$ if and only if there is some $w \in V$ such that $w \leq u$ and $w \leq v$. In this situation the graphs G_1 and G_2 are called the *upper bound graph* and the *lower bound graph* of P , respectively. Upper bound graphs were introduced by McMorris and Zaslavsky in [5].

In 2004, Fischermann et al. [2] generalized bound polysemy to *competition polysemy* by using digraphs instead of posets. They called a pair (G_1, G_2) of graphs $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ on a common set of vertices V *competition polysemic*, if there exists a digraph $D = (V, A)$ on the same set of vertices such that for all $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v, uv \in E_1$ if and only if $N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $uv \in E_2$ if and only if $N_D^-(u) \cap N_D^-(v) \neq \emptyset$. In this situation D is called a *realization* of (G_1, G_2) . Clearly, the graphs G_1 and G_2 are the *competition graph* (see [1]) and *common enemy graph* (see [4]) of D , respectively. Competition graphs were introduced by Cohen [1] and have been studied by various authors. For any digraph $D = (V, A)$, in fact, the common enemy graph of D is the competition graph of \overleftarrow{D} , where $\overleftarrow{D} = (V, \overleftarrow{A})$ and $\overleftarrow{A} = \{\overleftarrow{uv} : uv \in A\}$.

Fischermann et al. [2] provided a characterization of competition polysemic pairs and a characterization of the connected graphs G for which

there exists a tree T such that (G, T) is competition polysemic. In this paper we continue to study the competition polysemy and generalize competition polysemy to m -competition polysemy. For positive integer $m \geq 1$, we call a pair (G_1, G_2) of graphs $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ on a common set of vertices V m -competition polysemic, if there exists a digraph $D = (V, A)$ on the same set of vertices such that for all $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$, $uv \in E_1$ if and only if $|N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)| \geq m$ and $uv \in E_2$ if and only if $|N_D^-(u) \cap N_D^-(v)| \geq m$. Clearly, the graph G_1 is the m -competition graph (see [3]) of D . Furthermore, we call the graph G_2 the m -common competition graph of D . Obviously, 1-competition polysemic is just the competition polysemic.

Section 2 provides a characterization of the connected graphs G for which there exists a triangle-free unicyclic graph G' such that (G, G') is competition polysemic. Section 3 generalizes competition polysemy to m -competition polysemy and proves a characterization of m -competition polysemic pairs.

2 Competition polysemy

For given graph $G = (V, E)$, if $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p\}$ is an edge clique cover of G with $p \leq |V|$, then we can choose a set of p different vertices $R = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\} \subseteq V$. We call R a set of distinct representatives of the cliques in \mathcal{C} (Note that we do not require $v_i \in C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$). Fischermann et al. [2] provided a characterization of competition polysemic pairs of graphs as follows.

Theorem 1 (Fischermann et al. [2]) *A pair (G_1, G_2) of graphs with $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ is competition polysemic if and only if there exist edge clique covers $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{C_{1,1}, C_{1,2}, \dots, C_{1,p}\}$ of G_1 and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{C_{2,1}, C_{2,2}, \dots, C_{2,q}\}$ of G_2 for which there exist sets of distinct representatives $R_1 = \{v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{1,p}\}$ and $R_2 = \{v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}, \dots, v_{2,q}\}$, $p, q \leq |V|$, such that*

- (i) $v_{2,i} \in C_{1,j}$ if and only if $v_{1,j} \in C_{2,i}$,
- (ii) if $C_{1,i} \cap C_{1,j} \neq \emptyset$, then there is some $1 \leq l \leq q$ such that $v_{1,i}, v_{1,j} \in C_{2,l}$ and
- (iii) if $C_{2,i} \cap C_{2,j} \neq \emptyset$, then there is some $1 \leq l \leq p$ such that $v_{2,i}, v_{2,j} \in C_{1,l}$.

Recall that a *unicyclic graph* is a graph which is connected and has exactly one cycle, and a *spiked cycle* is a graph that is a cycle upon removal of all pendant edges. In the following we characterized the connected graphs

G for which there exists a triangle-free unicyclic graph G' such that (G, G') is competition polysemic.

Theorem 2 *Let $G = (V, E_G)$ be a connected graph. There is a triangle-free unicyclic graph $G' = (V, E_{G'})$ such that (G, G') is competition polysemic if and only if*

- (i) *exactly one block of G is not complete,*
- (ii) *every cutvertex of G lies in exactly two blocks of G and*
- (iii) *if B_0 is the block of G that is not complete, then the vertex set of B_0 is the union of some cliques of G , these cliques can be labeling as C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t such that $|C_i \cap C_{i+1}| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and the vertex in $C_i \cap C_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ lies in no other block of G , where $t \geq 4$ and $C_{t+1} = C_1$.*

Proof. Suppose that (G, G') is competition polysemic with realization D , where $G = (V, E_G)$ is a connected graph and $G' = (V, E_{G'})$ is a triangle-free unicyclic graph. Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $v_{1,i} = v_{2,i} = v_i$, $C_{1,i} = N_D^-(v_{1,i})$ and $C_{2,i} = N_D^+(v_{2,i})$. Let $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{C_{1,1}, C_{1,2}, \dots, C_{1,n}\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{C_{2,1}, C_{2,2}, \dots, C_{2,n}\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 5 it follows that $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, R_1$ and R_2 are as in the statement of Theorem 5 for the case $m = 1$.

Since G' is a triangle-free unicyclic graph, \mathcal{C}_2 contains exactly n different cliques of cardinality 2. Therefore,

$$|C_{2,i} \cap C_{2,j}| \leq 1, \text{ where } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j. \quad (1)$$

If $v_{2,i} \in C_{1,j} \cap C_{1,k} \cap C_{1,l}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j < k < l \leq n$, then $v_{1,j}, v_{1,k}, v_{1,l} \in C_{2,i}$, which implies a contradiction to $|C_{2,i}| = 2$. Hence,

$$\text{every vertex of } G \text{ lies in at most two cliques of } \mathcal{C}_1. \quad (2)$$

If $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in C_{1,i} \cap C_{1,j}$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, then $v_{1,i}, v_{1,j} \in C_{2,s} \cap C_{2,t}$, a contradiction to (1). Thus,

$$|C_{1,i} \cap C_{1,j}| \leq 1, \text{ where } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (3)$$

Suppose $v_{j_1} v_{j_2} \dots v_{j_t} v_{j_1}$ is the unique cycle of G' . Then $v_{j_1} v_{j_t}, v_{j_t} v_{j_{t-1}} \in E(G')$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, and $t \geq 4$ since G' is triangle-free. Let $C_{2,f_i} = \{v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $C_{2,f_t} = \{v_{j_1}, v_{j_t}\}$. By Theorem 1 and (3), $\{v_{2,f_i}\} = C_{1,j_i} \cap C_{1,j_{i+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $\{v_{2,f_t}\} = C_{1,j_1} \cap C_{1,j_t}$. So G contains a cycle $v_{2,f_1} v_{2,f_2} \dots v_{2,f_t} v_{2,f_1}$ which is covered by t cliques $C_{1,j_1}, C_{1,j_2}, \dots, C_{1,j_t}$.

For any cycle of G that is not covered by a single clique in \mathcal{C}_1 , there are $s \geq 2$ cliques $C_{1,k_1}, C_{1,k_2}, \dots, C_{1,k_s} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $C_{1,k_i} \neq C_{1,k_{i+1}}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $C_{1,k_s} \neq C_{1,k_1}$ and s vertices $v_{g_1}, v_{g_2}, \dots, v_{g_s}$ such that $\{v_{g_i}\} = C_{1,k_i} \cap C_{1,k_{i+1}}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $\{v_{g_s}\} = C_{1,k_s} \cap C_{1,k_1}$ with $g_i \neq g_j$ for $i \neq j$.

We obtain $\{v_{1,k_i}, v_{1,k_{i+1}}\} = C_{2,g_i}$ and $\{v_{1,k_s}, v_{1,k_1}\} = C_{2,g_s}$, where $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Therefore $v_{1,k_i} v_{1,k_{i+1}} \in E(G')$ for every $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $v_{1,k_s} v_{1,k_1} \in E(G')$. Since G' has exactly one cycle, we have that $s = t$ and $v_{1,k_1} v_{1,k_2} \dots v_{1,k_s} v_{1,k_1}$ is the unique cycle $v_{j_1} v_{j_2} \dots v_{j_t} v_{j_1}$ of G' .

Hence, every cycle in G that is not covered by a single clique in \mathcal{C}_1 is covered by the t unique cliques $C_{1,j_1}, C_{1,j_2}, \dots, C_{1,j_t}$ for which $v_{1,j_1} v_{1,j_2} \dots v_{1,j_t} v_{1,j_1}$ is the unique cycle of G' .

This implies that every clique $C_{1,i}$ with $v_{1,i} \notin \{v_{1,j_1}, v_{1,j_2}, \dots, v_{1,j_t}\}$ is the vertex set of a complete block in G , and if some block B of G is not complete, then $V(B) \subseteq C_{1,j_1} \cup C_{1,j_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{1,j_t}$. Since every block of G which contains two vertices of a clique contains the whole clique, we obtain that $V(B) = C_{1,j_1} \cup C_{1,j_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{1,j_t}$. Thus, exactly one block of G is not complete and Condition (i) holds.

Since every cutvertex of G lies in at least two blocks of G , we get, by (2), that every cutvertex of G lies in exactly two blocks of G and Condition (ii) holds.

Now, Suppose B_0 is the block of G that is not complete. Then, $V(B_0) = C_{1,j_1} \cup C_{1,j_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{1,j_t}$ and $C_{2,f_i} = \{v_{1,j_i}, v_{1,j_{i+1}}\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $C_{2,f_t} = \{v_{1,j_t}, v_{1,j_1}\}$. Therefore, $\{v_{f_i}\} = C_{1,j_i} \cap C_{1,j_{i+1}}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $\{v_{f_t}\} = C_{1,j_t} \cap C_{1,j_1}$. By (2), for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, v_{f_i} lie in no clique $C_{1,k}$ with $k \neq j_i, j_{i+1}$ and in no block of G besides B_0 , where $j_{t+1} = j_1$. Hence Condition (iii) holds. This completes the first part of the proof.

Now, let $G = (V, E_G)$ be a connected graph such that the Conditions (i)–(iii) hold. Let S be the set of cutvertices of G .

Let B_0 be the block of G that is not complete, let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t be the cliques of G such that $V(B_0) = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_t$ and $|C_i \cap C_{i+1}| = 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $|C_t \cap C_1| = 1$. Let $\{x_i\} = C_i \cap C_{i+1}$ and $\{x_t\} = C_t \cap C_1$, where $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. Define $N_i = C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

It is easy to see that for $1 \leq i \leq |S|$ we can (recursively) choose vertices $x_{t+i} \in S \setminus \{x_j : t+1 \leq j \leq t+i-1\}$ and define sets

$$N_{t+i} = \{x_{t+i}\} \cup \left(\left\{ u \in V : ux_{t+i} \in E_G \right\} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{t+i-1} N_j \right)$$

such that every set N_i for $0 \leq i \leq t+|S|$ is a clique of G and if $i \geq t+1$, then N_i is the vertex set of a block in G . Furthermore, for $i \geq t+1$ every cutvertex x_i of G lies in N_i and N_j for some unique $j < i$.

Now, we define the digraph $D = (V, A)$ with vertex set V and arc set

$$A = \{\overrightarrow{ux_i} : u \in N_i, 1 \leq i \leq t + |S|\} \cup \{\overleftarrow{uu} : u \in V\}$$

Let E_1 and E_2 be the edge sets of the competition graph and the common enemy graph of D , respectively. Note, that for every $x \in V$ we have $x \in N_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ and $N_D^+(x) = \{x, x_i\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t + |S|$. Especially $N_D^+(x_i) = \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$ and $N^+(x_t) = \{x_t, x_1\}$. Thus, for $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$ we obtain that $uv \in E_2$ if and only if $\{u, v\} = N_D^+(x)$ for some $x \in V$ if and only if $\{u, v\} = \{x, x_i\}$ and $x \in N_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t + |S|$. Hence, we obtain that $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ is a triangle-free unicyclic graph, since $t \geq 4$ and for every block B of G the subgraph $G_2[V(B)]$ induced by $V(B)$ in G_2 is a star, if B is complete and a spiked cycle, if $B = B_0$ the block not complete.

Now, it remains to prove that $G_1 = (V, E_1) = (V, E_G) = G$. Note that $N_D^-(x) = N_i$ if $x = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t + |S|$ and $N_D^-(x) = \{x\}$ if $x \in V \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t+|S|}\}$. Let uv be an edge of G . If $uv \in E(B_0)$, then $u, v \in N_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ which implies that $u, v \in N_D^-(x_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and thus $uv \in E_1$. If $uv \in E(B)$ for some block $B \neq B_0$, then B is complete and contains at least one cutvertex. If $i = \min\{t + 1 \leq j \leq t + |S| : x_j \in V(B)\}$, then $u, v \in N_i = V(B)$ and $u, v \in N_D^-(x_i)$ which implies that $uv \in E_1$. This yields that $E_G \subseteq E_1$.

Conversely, let $uv \in E_1$. We have $u, v \in N_D^-(x)$ for some vertex $x \in V$ with $|N_D^-(x)| \geq 2$. This implies that $x = x_j$ and $u, v \in N_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq t + |S|$. Since N_j is a clique in G , we obtain that $uv \in E_G$. Hence $E_G = E_1$ and the proof is complete. ■

Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $E_S = \{v_i v_i | 2 \leq i \leq n\}$, $E_F = E_S \cup \{v_i v_{i+1} | 2 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ and $E_W = E_F \cup \{v_2 v_n\}$. We call graphs $S = (V, E_S)$, $F = (V, E_F)$ and $W = (V, E_W)$ the *star graph*, the *fan graph* and the *wheel graph* of order n , respectively. Fischermann et al. [2] proved that $(\overline{K_n}, K_n)$ is competition polysemic. Now we generalize it as follows.

Theorem 3 *For $n \geq 2$, the pair (G, K_n) is competition polysemic if G is the empty graph, the star graph, the fan graph or the wheel graph of order n , respectively.*

Proof. Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $A_S = \{\overrightarrow{v_1 v_i}, \overrightarrow{v_i v_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $A_F = A_S \cup \{\overrightarrow{v_i v_{i+1}} | 2 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ and $A_W = A_F \cup \{\overrightarrow{v_n v_2}\}$. It is straightforward to verify that the pair (S, K_n) is competition polysemic with realization $D_S = (V, A_S)$, the pair (F, K_n) is competition polysemic with realization $D_F = (V, A_F)$, and the pair (W, K_n) is competition polysemic with realization $D_W = (V, A_W)$, respectively. ■

3 m -Competition polysemy

We start this section with the following characterization of m -competition graphs due to Kim et al. [3].

Theorem 4 (Kim et al. [3]) *A graph $G = (V, E)$ is the m -competition graph of some digraph if and only if there exists an m -edge clique cover $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p\}$ of G with $p \leq |V|$.*

The following theorem is the main result in this section.

Theorem 5 *A pair (G_1, G_2) of graphs with $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ is m -competition polysemic if and only if there exist m -edge clique covers $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{C_{1,1}, C_{1,2}, \dots, C_{1,p}\}$ of G_1 and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{C_{2,1}, C_{2,2}, \dots, C_{2,q}\}$ of G_2 for which there exist sets of distinct representatives $R_1 = \{v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{1,p}\}$ and $R_2 = \{v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}, \dots, v_{2,q}\}$, $p, q \leq |V|$, such that*

- (i) $v_{2,i} \in C_{1,j}$ if and only if $v_{1,j} \in C_{2,i}$,
- (ii) if $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^l C_{1,i_k}$ and $|C_{2,s} \cap C_{2,t} \setminus \{v_{1,i_1}, v_{1,i_2}, \dots, v_{1,i_l}\}| \geq m-l$, then there exist $C_{1,i_1}, C_{1,i_2}, \dots, C_{1,i_m}$ such that i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m are distinct and $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in C_{1,i_j}$, where $0 \leq l \leq m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and
- (iii) if $v_{1,s}, v_{1,t} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^l C_{2,i_k}$ and $|C_{1,s} \cap C_{1,t} \setminus \{v_{2,i_1}, v_{2,i_2}, \dots, v_{2,i_l}\}| \geq m-l$, then there exist $C_{2,i_1}, C_{2,i_2}, \dots, C_{2,i_m}$ such that i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m are distinct and $v_{1,s}, v_{1,t} \in C_{2,i_j}$, where $0 \leq l \leq m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. First, we assume that (G_1, G_2) with $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ is m -competition polysemic with realization $D = (V, A)$ and prove the existence of $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, R_1$ and R_2 as in the statement of the theorem.

Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $v_{1,i} = v_2, v_{2,i} = v_i, C_{1,i} = N_D^-(v_{1,i})$ and $C_{2,i} = N_D^+(v_{2,i})$. Clearly, $u, v \in C_{1,i} = N_D^-(v_{1,i})$ holds for $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ if and only if $v_{1,i} \in N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)$. Furthermore, there exist m sets $C_{1,i_1}, C_{1,i_2}, \dots, C_{1,i_m}$ in \mathcal{C}_1 such that $u, v \in \bigcap_{k=1}^m C_{1,i_k}$ if and only if $v_{1,i_k} \in N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, or equivalently $uv \in E_1$. This implies that $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{C_{1,1}, C_{1,2}, \dots, C_{1,n}\}$ is an m -edge clique cover of G_1 . By symmetry, $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{C_{2,1}, C_{2,2}, \dots, C_{2,n}\}$ is an m -edge clique cover of G_2 .

By the definitions of $C_{1,i}$ and $C_{2,j}$, it is easy to see that $v_{2,j} \in C_{1,i} = N_D^-(v_{1,i})$ holds if and only if $v_{1,i} \in N_D^+(v_{2,j}) = C_{2,j}$, which implies (i).

Suppose $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^l C_{1,i_k}$ and $|C_{2,s} \cap C_{2,t} \setminus \{v_{1,i_1}, v_{1,i_2}, \dots, v_{1,i_l}\}| \geq m-l$ for some $0 \leq l \leq m$. Then there are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-l} \in C_{2,s} \cap C_{2,t} \setminus \{v_{1,i_1}, v_{1,i_2}, \dots, v_{1,i_l}\}$. Let $v_{1,i_{l+j}} = x_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m-l$ and by (i)

we have $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in C_{1,i_1+j}$, which implies (ii) and, by symmetry, also (iii). This completes the first part of the proof.

Now, let (G_1, G_2) be a pair of graphs with $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ and let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, R_1$ and R_2 be as in the statement of the theorem. Let the digraph D have vertex set V and arc set $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ where $A_1 = \{\overrightarrow{uv_{1,i}} | u \in C_{1,i}, 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ and $A_2 = \{\overrightarrow{v_{2,j}u} | u \in C_{2,j}, 1 \leq j \leq q\}$. We prove that (G_1, G_2) is m -competition polysemic with realization D .

Let $uv \in E_1$ for $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$. Since \mathcal{C}_1 is an m -edge clique cover of G_1 , there are some $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq p$ such that $u, v \in \bigcap_{k=1}^m C_{1,i_k}$. This implies that $\overrightarrow{uv_{1,i_k}}, \overrightarrow{v_{1,i_k}u} \in A_1$ and $v_{1,i_k} \in N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, i.e., $|N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)| \geq m$.

Now, let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)$ for $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$. We have that $\overrightarrow{ux_i}, \overrightarrow{vx_i} \in A_1 \cup A_2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, if $\overrightarrow{ux_i}, \overrightarrow{vx_i} \in A_1$, then $x_i = v_{1,j}$ and $u, v \in C_{1,j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq p$. If $\overrightarrow{ux_i} \in A_1$ and $\overrightarrow{vx_i} \in A_2$, then $x_i = v_{1,j}$ and $u \in C_{1,j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq p$; $v = v_{2,k}$ and $x_i = v_{1,j} \in C_{2,k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq q$. Condition (i) implies that $v = v_{2,k} \in C_{1,j}$. Thus $u, v \in C_{1,j}$. By symmetry, if $\overrightarrow{ux_i} \in A_2$ and $\overrightarrow{vx_i} \in A_1$, then $x_i = v_{1,j}$ and $u, v \in C_{1,j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq p$. Without loss of generality, suppose that for each $i \in \{l+1, l+2, \dots, m\}$ we have $\overrightarrow{ux_i}, \overrightarrow{vx_i} \in A_2$, and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ at most one of $\overrightarrow{ux_i}$ and $\overrightarrow{vx_i}$ is in A_2 , where $0 \leq l \leq m$. Write $x_i = v_{1,j_i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. If $l = m$, then by the discusses above, $u, v \in C_{1,j_i}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, which implies $uv \in E_1$. If $l < m$, then there exist $1 \leq s < t \leq q$ such that $u = v_{2,s}, v = v_{2,t}$ and $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^l C_{1,j_k}$ and $x_i \in C_{2,s} \cap C_{2,t}$ for each $i \in \{l+1, l+2, \dots, m\}$. Condition (ii) implies that there exist $C_{1,i_1}, C_{1,i_2}, \dots, C_{1,i_m}$ such that i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m are distinct and $v_{2,s}, v_{2,t} \in C_{1,i_j}$, where $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, which implies $uv \in E_1$.

Till now we obtain that $uv \in E_1$ for $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$ if and only if $|N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)| \geq m$. Which means that G_1 is the m -competition graph of D . By symmetry, G_2 is the m -common enemy graph of D and hence (G_1, G_2) is m -competition polysemic with realization D . The proof is complete. ■

For competition polysemy, M. Fischermann et al. [2] proved the following result.

Theorem 6 (M. Fischermann et al. [2]) *Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a graph. There exists a graph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ of order at most $|E_G|$ such that $(G \cup H, G \cup H)$ is competition polysemic where $G \cup H = (V_G \cup V_H, E_G \cup E_H)$ and $V_G \cap V_H = \emptyset$.*

For m -competition polysemy, we have a similar result as follows.

Theorem 7 *Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a graph. There exists a graph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ of order at most $m|E_G|$ such that $(G \cup H, G \cup H)$ is m -competition*

polysemic where $G \cup H = (V_G \cup V_H, E_G \cup E_H)$ and $V_G \cap V_H = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p\}$ be an m -edge clique cover of $G = (V_G, E_G)$ such p is the minimum. Since collection $\{m \cdot \{u, v\} : uv \in E_G\}$ ($m \cdot \{u, v\}$ denotes that $\{u, v\}$ is repeated m times in the collection) is also an m -edge clique cover of $G = (V_G, E_G)$, then $p \leq m|E_G|$. Let $D = (V_D, A_D)$ be the digraph with vertex set $V_D = V_G \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$, where $V_G \cap \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\} = \emptyset$, and arc set $A_D = \bigcup_{i=1}^p \{\overrightarrow{wv_i}, \overleftarrow{v_i w} : w \in C_i\}$. Let $G_1 = (V_D, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_D, E_2)$ be the m -competition graph and m -common enemy competition graph of D , respectively. Since $N_D^+(v) = N_D^-(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V_D$, we have $G_1 = G_2$. For $u, v \in V_G$ with $u \neq v$ we have $uv \in E_G$ if and only if $u, v \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m C_{i_j}$ for some $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq p$ if and only if $|N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)| \geq m$ if and only if $uv \in E_1$. For $u \in V_G$ and $v \in \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ we have $|N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^+(v)| = \phi$ and hence $uv \notin E_1$. Let $H = (V_D \setminus V_G, E_1 \setminus E_G)$. Then H has $p \leq m|E_G|$ vertices and $G_1 = G_2 = G \cup H$. This completes the proof. ■

Suppose (G_1, G_2) with $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ is m -competition polysemic with realization $D = (V, A)$. Let $D' = (V, A')$, where $A' = A \cup \{\overleftarrow{vu} : \overrightarrow{uv} \in A, u \neq v\}$. Then $A' = A$ and the following theorem follows.

Theorem 8 *If a pair (G_1, G_2) of graphs with $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ is m -competition polysemic, then $G = (V, E_1 \cup E_2)$ is a graph such that (G, G) is m -competition polysemic.*

The following result is also easy to be proved.

Theorem 9 *For $n \geq 3$ the pairs $(K_{1,n}, C_n \cup I_1)$ is m -competition polysemic for $2 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where $K_{1,n}, C_n$ and I_1 denote the star of order $n+1$, the cycle of order n and one isolated vertex, respectively.*

Proof. Let $V = \{x, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$, denote $K_{1,n} = (V, E_1)$ and $C_n \cup I_1 = (V, E_2)$ in which $E_1 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{xv_i\}$ and $E_2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-2} \{v_i v_{i+1}\} \cup \{v_0 v_{n-1}\}$. Then it is easy to check that $(K_{1,n}, C_n \cup I_1)$ is m -competition polysemic with realization $D = (V, A_m)$ for $2 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where $A_m = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} (\bigcup_{j=1}^m \{\overrightarrow{xv_i}, \overleftarrow{v_i v_{i+j-1}}\})$ and $i+j-1$ is taken modulo n . ■

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful comments and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] J.E. Cohen, Interval graphs and food webs: a finding and a problem, Document 17696-PR, RAND Corp., Santa Monica, CA, 1968.
- [2] M. Fischermann, W. Knoben, D. Kremer, D. Rautenbach, Competition polysemy, *Discrete Math.* 282 (2004) 251–255.
- [3] S.-R. Kim, T. McKee, F.R. McMorris, F.S. Roberts, p -competition graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 217 (1995) 167–178.
- [4] J.R. Lundgren, J.S. Maybee, Food webs with interval competition graphs, in: F. Harary, J.S. Maybee (Eds.), *Graphs and Applications: Proceedings of the First Colorado Symposium of Graph Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1984, pp. 245–256.
- [5] F.R. McMorris, T. Zaslavsky, Bound graphs of a partially ordered set, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.* 7 (1982) 134–138.
- [6] P.J. Tanenbaum, Bound graph polysemy, *Electron. J. Combin.* 7 (2000) R43, 12pp.