

# Decompositions of Complete Graphs Into Paths and Cycles

Tay-Woei Shyu\*

Department of Mathematics and Science  
National Taiwan Normal University  
Linkou, Taipei County, Taiwan 24449, R.O.C.  
E-mail: twhsu@ntnu.edu.tw

**Abstract.** Let  $P_{k+1}$  denote a path of length  $k$  and let  $C_k$  denote a cycle of length  $k$ . As usual  $K_n$  denotes the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. In this paper we investigate decompositions of  $K_n$  into paths and cycles, and give some necessary and/or sufficient conditions for such a decomposition to exist. Besides, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposing  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ .

**Keywords:** Graph decompositions, Path, Cycle, Complete graph.

## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite and undirected, unless otherwise noted. For the standard graph-theoretic terminology the reader is referred to [11].

As usual  $K_n$  denotes the complete graph on  $n$  vertices and  $K_{m,n}$  denotes the complete bipartite graph with parts of sizes  $m$  and  $n$ . Let  $P_{k+1}$  denote a path of length  $k$  and let  $C_k$  denote a cycle of length  $k$ . Let  $L = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r\}$  be a family of subgraphs of  $G$ . An  $L$ -decomposition of  $G$  is an edge-disjoint decomposition of  $G$  into positive integer  $\alpha_i$  copies of  $H_i$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Furthermore, if each  $H_i$  ( $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ ) is isomorphic to a graph  $H$ , we say that  $G$  has an  $H$ -decomposition. It is easily seen that  $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i e(H_i) = e(G)$  is one of the obvious necessary

---

\*Research supported in part by the National Science Council under grant NSC 98-2115-M-003-010.

conditions for the existence of a  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r\}$ -decomposition of  $G$ . For convenience, we call the equation,  $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i e(H_i) = e(G)$ , a *necessary sum condition*. The problem of  $L$ -decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  is the well-known Alspach's conjecture [7] when  $L$  is any set of cycles of length at most  $n$  satisfying the necessary sum condition and  $2|\lambda(n-1)$ . For the case  $\lambda = 1$ , the Alspach conjecture is also stated for even values of  $n$ , where in this case the cycles should decompose  $K_n$  minus a one-factor. There are many related results, but only special cases of this conjecture are solved completely (see e.g. [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]). Recent results of Alspach, Gavlas, and Šajna settle Alspach's problem in the case where the cycle lengths are all the same [10, 19]. When  $L$  is a set of paths, in this case the problem of  $L$ -decomposition has been investigated by Tarsi [17] who showed that if  $(n-1)\lambda$  is even and  $L$  is any set of paths of length at most  $n-3$  satisfying the necessary sum condition, then  $\lambda K_n$  has an  $L$ -decomposition. The problem of  $L$ -decomposition of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has been investigated by M. Truszczyński [18] when  $m$  and  $n$  are even and  $L$  is any set of paths with some constraints on length satisfying the necessary sum condition.

It is natural to consider the problem of  $L$ -decompositions of  $K_n$ , where  $L$  is a combination of paths, cycles, and some other subgraphs. We will restrict our attention to  $L$  which is any set of paths and cycles satisfying the necessary sum condition. There are several similarly known results as follows. A graph-pair of order  $t$  consists of two non-isomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $H$  on  $t$  non-isolated vertices for which  $G \cup H$  is isomorphic to  $K_t$ . If  $G$  and  $H$  form a graph-pair of order  $t$ , then Abueida, Daven, and Roblee [1, 3] completely determine the values of  $n$  for which  $\lambda K_n$  admits a  $\{G, H\}$ -decomposition, when  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $t = 4, 5$ . In [2], Abueida and Daven proved that there exists a  $\{K_k, K_{1,k}\}$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  for all  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{k}$ . Abueida and O'Neil [4] proved that for  $k = 3, 4$ , and  $5$ , there exists a  $\{C_k, K_{1,k-1}\}$ -decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$  for any  $n \geq k+1$  except when the ordered triple  $(k, n, \lambda) \in \{(3, 4, 1), (4, 5, 1), (5, 6, 1), (5, 6, 2), (5, 6, 4), (5, 7, 1), (5, 8, 1)\}$ .

In this paper we investigate decompositions of  $K_n$  into paths and cycles, and give some necessary or sufficient conditions for such a decomposition to exist. Besides, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposing  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ .

## 2 Necessary conditions for decomposing $K_n$ into paths and cycles

For our discussion we need the following notations. Let  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k+1}$  denote the path  $P_{k+1}$  with vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}$  and edges  $x_1 x_2, x_2 x_3, \dots$ ,

$x_k x_{k+1}$  and let  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  denote the cycle  $C_k$  with vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  and edges  $x_1 x_2, x_2 x_3, \dots, x_{k-1} x_k, x_k x_1$ .

In the following lemma we will show a special case for decomposing complete graphs into paths and cycles.

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $p$  and  $q$  are nonnegative integers such that  $p + q = 9$  and  $p \neq 1$ , then  $K_9$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $V(K_9) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9\}$ . We exhibit that  $K_9$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $4(p + q) = \binom{9}{2}$  (i.e.,  $p + q = 9$ ) and  $p \neq 1$  as follows:

(1)  $p = 0$  and  $q = 9$ .

$(x_1, x_5, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_6, x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_7, x_4, x_5), (x_4, x_8, x_5, x_6), (x_5, x_9, x_6, x_7), (x_6, x_1, x_7, x_8), (x_7, x_2, x_8, x_9), (x_8, x_3, x_9, x_1), (x_9, x_4, x_1, x_2)$ .

(2)  $p = 2$  and  $q = 7$ .

$x_8 x_1 x_9 x_2 x_4, x_8 x_2 x_3 x_1 x_4, (x_1, x_2, x_7, x_5), (x_1, x_6, x_3, x_7), (x_2, x_5, x_9, x_6), (x_3, x_5, x_6, x_4), (x_6, x_8, x_9, x_7), (x_4, x_5, x_8, x_7), (x_3, x_8, x_4, x_9)$ .

(3)  $p = 3$  and  $q = 6$ .

$x_4 x_1 x_2 x_9 x_7, x_4 x_9 x_3 x_8 x_6, x_6 x_1 x_9 x_8 x_7, (x_1, x_5, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_6, x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_7, x_4, x_5), (x_4, x_8, x_5, x_6), (x_5, x_9, x_6, x_7), (x_1, x_8, x_2, x_7)$ .

(4)  $p = 4$  and  $q = 5$ .

$x_2 x_1 x_9 x_8 x_6, x_2 x_8 x_1 x_7 x_9, x_3 x_9 x_4 x_1 x_6, x_3 x_8 x_7 x_2 x_9, (x_1, x_5, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_6, x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_7, x_4, x_5), (x_4, x_8, x_5, x_6), (x_5, x_9, x_6, x_7)$ .

(5)  $p = 5$  and  $q = 4$ .

$x_2 x_1 x_9 x_7 x_5, x_2 x_9 x_8 x_7 x_6, x_2 x_8 x_3 x_9 x_4, x_2 x_7 x_1 x_8 x_6, x_4 x_1 x_6 x_9 x_5, (x_1, x_5, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_6, x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_7, x_4, x_5), (x_4, x_8, x_5, x_6)$ .

(6)  $p = 6$  and  $q = 3$ .

$x_2 x_1 x_4 x_8 x_3, x_2 x_9 x_8 x_7 x_6, x_2 x_8 x_5 x_9 x_4, x_2 x_7 x_1 x_6 x_5, x_3 x_9 x_1 x_8 x_6, x_4 x_6 x_9 x_7 x_5, (x_1, x_5, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_6, x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_7, x_4, x_5)$ .

(7)  $p = 7$  and  $q = 2$ .

$x_1 x_9 x_8 x_7 x_5, x_2 x_1 x_8 x_6 x_4, x_2 x_9 x_4 x_8 x_3, x_3 x_7 x_2 x_8 x_5, x_4 x_1 x_6 x_9 x_5, x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_1, x_4 x_7 x_9 x_3 x_5, (x_1, x_5, x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_6, x_3, x_4)$ .

(8)  $p = 8$  and  $q = 1$ .

$x_1 x_3 x_9 x_7 x_5, x_2 x_4 x_9 x_8 x_5, x_1 x_9 x_5 x_6 x_8, x_2 x_9 x_6 x_7 x_8, x_1 x_8 x_2 x_7 x_3, x_2 x_5 x_3 x_8 x_4, x_3 x_6 x_4 x_5 x_1, x_4 x_7 x_1 x_6 x_2, (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ .

(9)  $p = 9$  and  $q = 0$ .

$x_1 x_7 x_2 x_6 x_3, x_3 x_5 x_4 x_9 x_8, x_2 x_8 x_3 x_7 x_4, x_4 x_6 x_5 x_9 x_1, x_3 x_1 x_4 x_8 x_5, x_5 x_7 x_6 x_9 x_2, x_4 x_2 x_5 x_1 x_6, x_6 x_8 x_7 x_9 x_3, x_8 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for decomposing complete graphs  $K_n$  into paths and cycles when  $n$  is odd.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $n, l$ , and  $k$  be positive integers such that  $n$  is odd and  $n \geq \max\{l, k + 1\}$ . If  $K_n$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$*

*copies of  $C_l$  for nonnegative integers  $p$  and  $q$ , then  $pk + ql = e(K_n)$  and  $p \neq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Condition  $pl + qk = e(K_n)$  is trivial. On the contrary, suppose that  $p = 1$ . Let  $P$  denote the only path of length  $k$  in the decomposition. It follows that the end vertices of  $P$  have odd degree  $n - 2$  in  $K_n - E(P)$ . Therefore,  $K_n - E(P)$  can not be decomposed into cycles. We obtained a contradiction.  $\square$

In the following lemma we will show another special case for decomposing complete graphs into paths and cycles.

**Lemma 2.3** *If  $p$  and  $q$  are nonnegative integers such that  $p + q = 7$  and  $p \geq 4$ , then  $K_8$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $V(K_8) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8\}$ . We exhibit that  $K_8$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $4(p + q) = \binom{8}{2}$  (i.e.,  $p + q = 7$ ) and  $p \geq 4$  as follows:

(1)  $p = 4$  and  $q = 3$ .

$x_1x_6x_2x_4x_5, x_2x_5x_1x_3x_6, x_3x_5x_7x_1x_8, x_4x_6x_8x_2x_7, (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8), (x_3, x_8, x_4, x_7)$ .

(2)  $p = 5$  and  $q = 2$ .

$x_1x_3x_5x_8x_7, x_2x_7x_5x_4x_6, x_3x_6x_8x_2x_5, x_4x_2x_6x_1x_5, x_5x_6x_7x_1x_8, (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_8, x_4, x_7)$ .

(3)  $p = 6$  and  $q = 1$ .

$x_1x_3x_7x_8x_6, x_1x_8x_2x_7x_5, x_2x_6x_1x_7x_4, x_3x_6x_4x_5x_1, x_4x_2x_5x_3x_8, x_4x_8x_5x_6x_7, (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ .

(4)  $p = 7$  and  $q = 0$ .

$x_1x_8x_2x_7x_5, x_2x_1x_5x_6x_7, x_2x_6x_1x_7x_4, x_2x_3x_4x_8x_6, x_3x_6x_4x_5x_8, x_4x_2x_5x_3x_8, x_4x_1x_3x_7x_8$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for decomposing complete graphs  $K_n$  into paths and cycles when  $n$  is even.

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $n, l$ , and  $k$  be positive integers such that  $n$  is even and  $n \geq \max\{l, k + 1\}$ . If  $K_n$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_l$  for nonnegative integers  $p$  and  $q$ , then  $pk + ql = e(K_n)$  and  $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** Condition  $pl + qk = e(K_n)$  is trivial. Let  $D$  be an arbitrary decomposition of  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_l$ ; let  $P^{(1)}, P^{(2)}, \dots, P^{(p)}$  denote those  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  in  $D$ . By assumption,  $K_n - E(P^{(1)} \cup P^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup P^{(p)})$  has a  $C_l$ -decomposition. It follows that each vertex of  $K_n - E(P^{(1)} \cup P^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup P^{(p)})$  has even degree. Since  $n$  is even, each vertex of  $K_n$  must be an end vertex of at least one  $P^{(i)}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). It implies that  $2p \geq n$ .  $\square$

### 3 Decompositions of $K_n$ into $P_{k+1}$ 's and $C_k$ 's

In this section we investigate the problem of decomposing the complete graph  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ , and obtain some sufficient conditions for such a decomposition to exist when  $k$  is even. Besides, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposing  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ . Let us first introduce four results on  $P_{k+1}$ -decomposition and  $C_k$ -decomposition.

**Theorem 3.1** (Tarsi [17]) *Let  $k$  and  $n$  be positive integers.  $K_n$  has a  $P_{k+1}$ -decomposition if and only if  $n \geq k + 1$  and  $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ .*  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** (Truszczyński [18]) *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive even integers such that  $m \geq n$ .  $K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_{k+1}$ -decomposition if and only if  $m \geq \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$ ,  $n \geq \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ , and  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ .*  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** (Alspach and Šajna [10, 19]) *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers.  $K_n$  has a  $C_k$ -decomposition if and only if  $n$  is odd,  $3 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ .*  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** (Sotteau [20]) *Let  $m$ ,  $n$ , and  $k$  be positive integers.  $K_{m,n}$  has a  $C_{2k}$ -decomposition if and only if  $m$  and  $n$  are even,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq k$ ,  $n \geq k$ , and  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ .*  $\square$

By Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4, we obtain a theorem below.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $k$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$  be positive even integers such that  $k \geq 4$  and  $t > s$ . If  $k \leq 2(t - s)$  and  $k \leq 2s$ , then there exists a decomposition of  $K_{k,t}$  into  $s$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $t - s$  copies of  $C_k$ .*

**Proof.** It is easily seen that  $K_{k,t}$  can be decomposed into  $K_{k,s}$  and  $K_{k,t-s}$ . Since  $k \leq 2s$  and both  $k$  and  $s$  are even, by Theorem 3.2,  $K_{k,s}$  can be decomposed into  $s$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$ . On the other hand, since  $k \leq 2(t - s)$  and both  $k$  and  $t - s$  are even, by Theorem 3.4,  $K_{k,t-s}$  can be decomposed into  $t - s$  copies of  $C_k$ .  $\square$

In the following theorems we will obtain some sufficient conditions for decomposing  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$  when  $n$  is odd and  $k$  is even. We need the following lemma for our discussion.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $r$  be a nonnegative integer and let  $k$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$  be positive integers such that  $0 \leq r \leq k - 1$ ,  $2 \leq t < s$ ,  $k \geq 4$ , and  $k$ ,  $r$ , and  $t$  are*

all even. If  $K_{tk+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p+q) = e(K_{tk+1})$  and  $p \neq 1$ , then  $K_{s_{k+r+1}} - E(K_{(s-t)k+r+1})$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) + t(s-t)k + tr - p$  copies of  $C_k$  for  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1) + t(s-t)k + tr$  and  $p \neq 1$ .

**Proof.** It is easily seen that  $K_{s_{k+r+1}} - E(K_{(s-t)k+r+1})$  can be viewed as an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk+1}$  and  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $e(K_{tk+1} \cup K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}) = \frac{(tk+1)tk}{2} + tk[(s-t)k+r]$ , we get  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1) + t(s-t)k + tr$  and  $p \neq 1$ . Now we consider three cases below.

*Case 1.*  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1)$  and  $p \neq 1$ .

By assumption, we can obtain  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) - p$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk+1}$ . As to the remaining graph, by Theorem 3.4,  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  has a  $C_k$ -decomposition.

*Case 2.*  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) + 1 \leq p \leq t(s-t)k + tr$ . (When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) \geq t(s-t)k + tr$ , we skip this case.)

Let  $l = \lfloor \frac{p - \frac{tk}{2}}{t} \rfloor$  if  $\lfloor \frac{p - \frac{tk}{2}}{t} \rfloor$  is even and  $l = \lfloor \frac{p - \frac{tk}{2}}{t} \rfloor - 1$  if  $\lfloor \frac{p - \frac{tk}{2}}{t} \rfloor$  is odd. It is easily seen that  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $t$  copies of  $K_{k, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $l \geq \lfloor \frac{\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) + 1 - \frac{tk}{2}}{t} \rfloor - 1 = \lfloor (t-1)\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t} \rfloor - 1 \geq \frac{k}{2}$  (note that  $t \geq 2$  is even),  $(s-t)k + r - l \geq (s-t)k + r - \frac{t(s-t)k + tr - \frac{tk}{2}}{t} = \frac{k}{2}$ , and both  $l$  and  $(s-t)k + r - l$  are even, by Theorem 3.5, we can obtain  $l$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $(s-t)k + r - l$  copies of  $C_k$  from each copy of  $K_{k, (s-t)k+r}$ . Therefore, we can obtain  $tl$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $t(s-t)k + tr - tl$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $p - \frac{tk}{2} - (2t-1) \leq tl \leq p - \frac{tk}{2}$ , we have  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p - tl \leq \frac{tk}{2} + 2t - 1 \leq \frac{t(k+4)}{2} - 1 \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1)$  (note that  $k \geq 4$  and  $t \geq 2$ ). By assumption,  $K_{tk+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p - tl$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) - (p - tl)$  copies of  $C_k$ .

*Case 3.*  $t(s-t)k + tr + 1 \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ .

When  $p \neq t(s-t)k + tr + 1$ , we can obtain  $t(s-t)k + tr$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  first. Since  $2 \leq p - [t(s-t)k + tr] \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1)$ , by assumption, we can obtain  $p - [t(s-t)k + tr]$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) - [p - (t(s-t)k + tr)]$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk+1}$ . When  $p = t(s-t)k + tr + 1$ , since  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $K_{(t-1)k, (s-t)k+r}$  and  $K_{k, (s-t)k+r}$ , by Theorem 3.5, we can obtain  $k+r$  copies of  $C_k$  and  $(s-t-1)k$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from  $K_{k, (s-t)k+r}$  (note that when  $s = t+1$ ,  $(s-t-1)k = 0$ ); by Theorem 3.2, we can obtain  $(t-1)(s-t)k + (t-1)r$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from  $K_{(t-1)k, (s-t)k+r}$ . Therefore, we can obtain  $t(s-t)k + tr - k - r$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $k+r$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $p - [t(s-t)k + tr - k - r] = k + r + 1 \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk+1)$ , by assumption, we can obtain  $k+r+1$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk+1) - (k+r+1)$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk+1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $n$  be a positive odd integer and let  $k$  and  $t$  be positive even integers such that  $k \geq 4$ ,  $t \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq (t + 1)k + 1$ , and  $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ . If  $K_{tk+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p + q) = e(K_{tk+1})$  and  $p \neq 1$ , then  $K_n$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p + q) = e(K_n)$  and  $p \neq 1$ .

**Proof.** Write  $n = sk + r + 1$ , where  $s$  and  $r$  are nonnegative integers such that  $s \geq t + 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq k - 1$ . Since  $n$  is odd and  $k$  is even,  $r$  is even. The proof is by induction on  $s$ . It is easily seen that  $K_{sk+r+1}$  can be viewed as an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk+1}$ ,  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ , and  $K_{(s-t)k+r+1}$ . Since  $p + q = \frac{n(n-1)}{2k} = \frac{(sk+r+1)(sk+r)}{2k}$ , we get  $p \leq \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k} + \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr$  and  $p \neq 1$ . Note also that  $2k|(sk + r + 1)(sk + r)$  and  $t$  is even implies  $2k|[(s-t)k + r + 1][(s-t)k + r]$ , and so  $\frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k}$  is an integer.

When  $t + 1 \leq s \leq 2t$ , we consider two cases below. When  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr$  and  $p \neq 1$ , by Lemma 3.6, an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk+1}$  and  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr - p$  copies of  $C_k$ . By Theorem 3.3, the remaining graph  $K_{(s-t)k+r+1}$  has a  $C_k$ -decomposition.

When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr + 1 \leq p \leq \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k} + \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ , by Theorem 3.1, we can obtain  $\frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from  $K_{(s-t)k+r+1}$  first. On the other hand, since  $\frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr - \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k} > 1$ , we have  $2 \leq p - \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k} \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ . By Lemma 3.6 again, we can obtain  $p - \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr - [p - \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k}]$  copies of  $C_k$  from an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk+1}$  and  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ .

Now we suppose that  $s \geq 2t + 1$ . By induction hypothesis,  $K_{(s-t)k+r+1}$  can be decomposed into  $u$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k} - u$  copies of  $C_k$  for  $0 \leq u \leq \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k}$  and  $u \neq 1$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.6, an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk+1}$ ,  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $v$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$ , with the remaining edges decomposed into copies of  $C_k$  for  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr$  and  $v \neq 1$ . Therefore,  $K_{sk+r+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$ , with the remaining edges decomposed into copies of  $C_k$  for  $0 \leq p \leq \frac{[(s-t)k+r+1][(s-t)k+r]}{2k} + \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + t(s-t)k + tr$  and  $p \neq 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next theorem we will prove that for any positive even integer  $k \geq 4$ ,  $K_{4k+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ . We need the following lemma for our

discussion.

**Lemma 3.8** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $C_i$  denotes the cycle  $(x_{(i,1)}, x_{(i,2)}, \dots, x_{(i,m)})$  of length  $m$ . If  $x_{(1,1)} = x_{(2,1)} = \dots = x_{(n,1)}$ ,  $x_{(i+1,2)} \notin \{x_{(i,1)}, x_{(i,2)}, \dots, x_{(i,m)}\}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , and  $x_{(1,2)} \notin \{x_{(n,1)}, x_{(n,2)}, \dots, x_{(n,m)}\}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$  can be decomposed into  $n$  paths of length  $m$ .*

**Proof.** By assumption,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$  can be decomposed into  $n$  paths of length  $m$  below:  $x_{(1,2)}x_{(1,3)} \dots x_{(1,m)}x_{(1,1)}x_{(2,2)}$ ,  $x_{(2,2)}x_{(2,3)} \dots x_{(2,m)}x_{(2,1)}x_{(3,2)}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_{(n,2)}x_{(n,3)} \dots x_{(n,m)}x_{(n,1)}x_{(1,2)}$ .  $\square$

The label of an edge  $x_i x_j$  of  $K_n$  with vertex set  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$  is the number  $\min\{|j-i|, n-|j-i|\}$ . The label of any edge is thus one of the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . If  $n$  is odd, then there are  $n$  edges of label  $i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$ . Suppose that  $C$  is the cycle  $(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$  in  $K_n$ . For an integer  $t$ , we use  $C+t$  to denote the cycle  $(x_{i_1+t}, x_{i_2+t}, \dots, x_{i_k+t})$ , where the subscripts of  $x_i$ 's are taken modulo  $n$ . It is easily seen that the labels of  $(C+t)$ 's edges and  $C$ 's are the same.

**Theorem 3.9** *If  $k$  is an even integer such that  $k \geq 4$ , then  $K_{4k+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p+q) = e(K_{4k+1})$  and  $p \neq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let the vertices of  $K_{4k+1}$  be labelled with  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{4k}$ . We first construct two cycles  $R$  and  $Q$  of length  $k$  such that  $Q \cup R$  consists of edges with the labels  $1, 2, \dots, 2k$ , and both  $Q \cup R$  and  $Q \cup R \cup (Q+j)$  can be decomposed into  $P_{k+1}$ 's, for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 4k\}$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .*

Let  $k = 4m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. When  $m = 1$ , let  $Q$  and  $R$  denote the cycles  $(x_0, x_4, x_1, x_2)$  and  $(x_0, x_8, x_1, x_6)$ , respectively. It is easily seen that both  $Q$  and  $R$  are cycles of length 4, where  $Q$  consists of edges with the following labels in order of 4, 3, 1, 2, and  $R$ , in order of 8, 7, 5, 6. It is easily seen that  $Q \cup R$  can be decomposed into paths  $x_8 x_1 x_6 x_0 x_4$  and  $x_4 x_1 x_2 x_0 x_8$ ;  $Q \cup R \cup (Q+1)$  can be decomposed into paths  $x_8 x_1 x_6 x_0 x_4$ ,  $x_8 x_0 x_2 x_1 x_3$ , and  $x_3 x_2 x_5 x_1 x_4$ .

When  $m \geq 2$ , let  $Q$  and  $R$  denote the cycles  $(x_0, x_{4m}, x_1, x_{4m-1}, \dots, x_{3m+1}, x_m, x_{m+1}, x_{3m}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_{2m+2}, x_{2m})$  and  $(x_{4m}, x_{12m}, x_{4m+1}, x_{12m-1}, \dots, x_{11m+1}, x_{5m}, x_{9m+1}, x_{15m}, x_{9m+2}, \dots, x_{14m+2}, x_{10m})$ , respectively. It is not difficult to see that both  $Q$  and  $R$  are cycles of length  $4m$ , where  $Q$  consists of edges with the following labels in order of  $4m, 4m-1, \dots, 2m+1, 1, 2m-1, 2m-2, \dots, 2, 2m$ , and  $R$ , in order of  $8m, 8m-1, \dots, 6m+1, 4m+1, 6m-1, 6m-2, \dots, 4m+2, 6m$ .

Since  $V(Q) \cap V(R) = \{x_{4m}\}$ , by Lemma 3.8,  $Q \cup R$  can be decomposed into two copies of  $P_{k+1}$ . On the other hand, since  $x_{4m} \in [V(Q) \cap V(R) \cap V(Q + 4m)]$ ,  $x_{12m} \notin V(Q)$ ,  $x_{8m} \notin V(R)$  and  $x_1 \notin V(Q + 4m)$ , by Lemma 3.8 again,  $Q \cup R \cup (Q + 4m)$  can be decomposed into three copies of  $P_{k+1}$ .

*Case 2.  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .*

Let  $k = 4m + 2$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. When  $m = 1$ , let  $Q$  and  $R$  denote the cycles  $(x_0, x_7, x_2, x_5, x_3, x_4)$  and  $(x_1, x_{13}, x_2, x_{12}, x_3, x_9)$ , respectively. It is easily seen that both  $Q$  and  $R$  are cycles of length 6, where  $Q$  consists of edges with the following labels in order of 7, 5, 3, 2, 1, 4, and  $R$ , in order of 12, 11, 10, 9, 6, 8. It is easily seen that  $Q \cup R$  can be decomposed into paths  $x_5x_3x_4x_0x_7x_2x_{12}$  and  $x_{12}x_3x_9x_1x_{13}x_2x_5$ ;  $Q \cup R \cup (Q + 2)$  can be decomposed into paths  $x_5x_3x_4x_0x_7x_2x_9$ ,  $x_{12}x_3x_9x_1x_{13}x_2x_5$ , and  $x_9x_4x_7x_5x_6x_2x_{12}$ .

When  $m \geq 2$ , let  $Q$  and  $R$  denote the cycles  $(x_0, x_{4m+3}, x_2, x_{4m+2}, \dots, x_{3m+4}, x_{m+1}, x_{3m+2}, x_{m+2}, x_{3m+1}, \dots, x_{2m+1}, x_{2m+2})$  and  $(x_{4m+3}, x_{12m+7}, x_{4m+4}, x_{12m+6}, \dots, x_{11m+7}, x_{5m+4}, x_{11m+5}, x_{5m+5}, \dots, x_{10m+7}, x_{6m+3}, x_{10m+5})$ , respectively. It is not difficult to see that both  $Q$  and  $R$  are cycles of length  $4m + 2$ , where  $Q$  consists of edges with the following labels in order of  $4m + 3, 4m + 1, 4m, \dots, 2m + 3, 2m + 1, 2m, \dots, 1, 2m + 2$ , and  $R$ , in order of  $8m + 4, 8m + 3, \dots, 6m + 3, 6m + 1, 6m, \dots, 4m + 4, 4m + 2, 6m + 2$ . Since  $V(Q) \cap V(R) = \{x_{4m+3}\}$ , by Lemma 3.8,  $Q \cup R$  can be decomposed into two copies of  $P_{k+1}$ . On the other hand, since  $x_{4m+3} \in [V(Q) \cap V(R) \cap V(Q + (4m + 1))]$ ,  $x_{12m+7} \notin V(Q)$ ,  $x_{8m+3} \notin V(R)$  and  $x_2 \notin V(Q + (4m + 1))$ , by Lemma 3.8 again,  $Q \cup R \cup (Q + (4m + 1))$  can be decomposed into three copies of  $P_{k+1}$ .

In each case mentioned above, we have that  $Q \cup R$  consists of edges with the labels  $1, 2, \dots, 2k$ . It implies that  $K_{4k+1}$  can be decomposed into  $8k + 2$  copies of  $C_k$  as follows:  $Q, Q + 1, \dots, Q + 4k, R, R + 1, \dots, R + 4k$ . Since  $Q \cup R$  can be decomposed into two copies of  $P_{k+1}$ ,  $(Q + i) \cup (R + i)$  can be decomposed into two copies of  $P_{k+1}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 4k\}$ . Therefore,  $K_{4k+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $8k + 2 - p$  copies of  $C_k$  for nonnegative even integer  $p$  such that  $0 \leq p \leq 8k + 2$ . On the other hand, since  $Q \cup R \cup (Q + j)$  can be decomposed into three copies of  $P_{k+1}$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 4k\}$ , we have that  $Q \cup R \cup (Q + j) \cup (R + j)$  can be decomposed into three copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and one copy of  $C_k$ . It implies that  $K_{4k+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $8k + 2 - p$  copies of  $C_k$  for positive odd integer  $p$  such that  $3 \leq p \leq 8k + 2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem follows immediately from Theorem 2.2, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.9.

**Theorem 3.10** *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be nonnegative integers and let  $n$  and  $k$  be*

positive integers such that  $n$  is odd,  $k \geq 4$  is even, and  $n \geq 5k + 1$ . There exists a decomposition of  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$  if and only if  $k(p + q) = e(K_n)$  and  $p \neq 1$ .  $\square$

In the following theorem we will give a sufficient condition for decomposing  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$  when  $n$  and  $k$  are even. We need the following lemma for our discussion.

**Lemma 3.11** *Let  $r$  be a nonnegative integer and let  $k$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$  be positive integers such that  $0 \leq r \leq k - 1$ ,  $4 \leq t + 2 \leq s$ ,  $k \geq 4$ , and  $k$ ,  $r$ , and  $t$  are all even. If  $K_{tk}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p + q) = e(K_{tk})$  and  $p \geq \frac{tk}{2}$ , then  $K_{sk+r} - E(K_{(s-t)k+r})$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) + t(s - t)k + tr - p$  copies of  $C_k$  for  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) + t(s - t)k + tr$ .*

**Proof.** The procedure of proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6. It is easily seen that  $K_{sk+r} - E(K_{(s-t)k+r})$  can be viewed as an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk}$  and  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $e(K_{tk} \cup K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}) = \frac{tk(tk-1)}{2} + tk[(s-t)k+r]$ , we get  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) + t(s - t)k + tr$ . Now we consider four cases below.

*Case 1.*  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk - 1)$ .

By assumption, we can obtain  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) - p$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk}$ . As to the remaining graph, by Theorem 3.4,  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  has a  $C_k$ -decomposition.

*Case 2.*  $\frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) + 1 \leq p \leq t(s - t)k + tr$ . (When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) \geq t(s - t)k + tr$ , we skip this case.)

When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) + 1 \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk + 1)$ , since  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into two copies of  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$  and  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, k}$  and  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t-1)k+r}$  (note that  $s \geq t + 2$ ), by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4, we can obtain  $\frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}[(s - t - 1)k + r]$  copies of  $C_k$  from one copy of  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$ , and obtain  $\frac{t}{2}[(s - t)k + r]$  copies of  $C_k$  from the other copy of  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$ . Therefore, we can obtain  $\frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $t(s - t)k + tr - \frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $t \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 4$ , we have that  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p - \frac{tk}{2} \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk - 1)$ . By assumption, we can obtain  $p - \frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk - 1) - (p - \frac{tk}{2})$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk}$ .

When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk + 1) + 1 \leq p \leq t(s - t)k + tr$ , by the same method in the Case 2 of Lemma 3.6's proof, it can be proved easily. The details are left to the reader.

Case 3.  $t(s-t)k + tr + 1 \leq p \leq t(s-t)k + tr + \frac{tk}{2} - 1$  (When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) \geq t(s-t)k + tr + \frac{tk}{2} - 1$ , we skip this case.)

Since  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into two copies of  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$  and  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t-1)k+r}$  and  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, k}$  (note that  $s \geq t+2$ ), by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4, we can obtain  $\frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $C_k$  and  $\frac{t}{2}[(s-t-1)k+r]$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from one copy of  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$ , and obtain  $\frac{t}{2}[(s-t)k+r]$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from the other copy of  $K_{\frac{t}{2}k, (s-t)k+r}$ . Therefore, we can obtain  $t(s-t)k + tr - \frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{tk}{2}$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $\frac{tk}{2} + 1 \leq p - [t(s-t)k + tr - \frac{tk}{2}] \leq \frac{tk}{2} + \frac{tk}{2} - 1 = \frac{t}{2}(2k) - 1 \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1)$  (note that  $t \geq 2$ ), by assumption, we can obtain  $p - [t(s-t)k + tr - \frac{tk}{2}]$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) - [p - [t(s-t)k + tr - \frac{tk}{2}]]$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk}$ .

Case 4.  $t(s-t)k + tr + \frac{tk}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ .

We can obtain  $t(s-t)k + tr$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  first. Since  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p - [t(s-t)k + tr] \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1)$ , by assumption, we can obtain  $p - [t(s-t)k + tr]$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) - [p - (t(s-t)k + tr)]$  copies of  $C_k$  from  $K_{tk}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12** *Let  $k, m, n$ , and  $t$  be positive integers and let  $r$  be a non-negative integer such that  $k \geq 4, t \geq 2, 2 \leq m \leq t+1, [(nt+m)k+r][(nt+m)k+r-1] \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ , and  $k, r$ , and  $t$  are all even. If  $K_{tk}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p+q) = e(K_{tk})$  and  $p \geq \frac{tk}{2}$ ;  $K_{mk+r}$  can be decomposed into  $\frac{mk+r}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{e(K_{mk+r}) - mk+r}{k} - \frac{mk+r}{2}$  copies of  $C_k$ , then  $K_{(nt+m)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p+q) = e(K_{(nt+m)k+r})$  and  $p \geq \frac{(nt+m)k+r}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** The procedure of proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.7. The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Let  $nt+m = s$ . It is easily seen that  $K_{sk+r}$  can be viewed as an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk}, K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ , and  $K_{(s-t)k+r}$ . Since  $p+q = \frac{(sk+r)(sk+r-1)}{2k}$ , we get  $\frac{sk+r}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{[(s-t)k+r][(s-t)k+r-1]}{2k} + \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ . Note also that  $2k|(sk+r)(sk+r-1)$  and  $t$  is even implies  $2k|[(s-t)k+r][(s-t)k+r-1]$ , and so  $\frac{[(s-t)k+r][(s-t)k+r-1]}{2k}$  is an integer.

When  $n = 1$ , we consider two cases below. When  $\frac{sk+r}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr + \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2}$ , since  $s-t = m$ , by assumption, the graph  $K_{(s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $\frac{(s-t)k+r}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{e(K_{(s-t)k+r}) - (s-t)k+r}{k} - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2}$  copies of  $C_k$ . On the other hand, since  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq p - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2} \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ , by Lemma 3.11, we can obtain  $p - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2}$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and

$\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr - (p - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2})$  copies of  $C_k$  from an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk}$  and  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ .

When  $\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr + \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2} + 1 \leq p \leq \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor + \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ , by Theorem 3.1, we can obtain  $\frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  from  $K_{(s-t)k+r}$  first. On the other hand, since  $\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr + \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2} - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor \geq \frac{tk}{2}$  (note that  $s-t = m$ ), we have  $\frac{tk}{2} + 1 \leq p - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ . By Lemma 3.11 again, we can obtain  $p - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr - [p - \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor]$  copies of  $C_k$  from an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk}$  and  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$ .

Now we suppose that  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $s-t = (n-1)t + m$ , by induction hypothesis,  $K_{(s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $u$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor - u$  copies of  $C_k$  for  $\frac{(s-t)k+r}{2} \leq u \leq \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.11, an edge-disjoint union of  $K_{tk}$ ,  $K_{tk, (s-t)k+r}$  can be decomposed into  $v$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$ , with the remaining edges decomposed into copies of  $C_k$  for  $\frac{tk}{2} \leq v \leq \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ . Therefore,  $K_{s_{k+r}}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$ , with the remaining edges decomposed into copies of  $C_k$  for  $\frac{sk+r}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{(s-t)k+r}{2k} \lfloor \frac{(s-t)k+r-1}{2k} \rfloor + \frac{t}{2}(tk-1) + t(s-t)k + tr$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.12.

**Corollary 3.13** *Let  $k, m$ , and  $t$  be positive integers such that  $k \geq 4, t \geq 2$ , and both  $k$  and  $t$  are even. If  $K_{tk}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p+q) = e(K_{tk})$  and  $p \geq \frac{tk}{2}$ , then  $K_{mtk}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_k$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $k(p+q) = e(K_{mtk})$  and  $p \geq \frac{mtk}{2}$ .  $\square$*

Next theorem we will obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposing  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$  for all possible values of  $p \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.14** *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be nonnegative integers and let  $n$  be a positive integer. There exists a decomposition of  $K_n$  into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$  if and only if  $4(p+q) = \binom{n}{2}$ ,  $p \neq 1$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$  if  $n$  is even.*

**Proof.** (Necessity) Condition  $4(p+q) = \binom{n}{2}$  is trivial. By Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we have that  $p \neq 1$  if  $n$  is odd and  $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$  if  $n$  is even.

(Sufficiency) Observe that  $4 \mid \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  implies either  $8 \mid n$  or  $8 \mid (n-1)$ . It follows that  $n$  will be either  $8m$  or  $8m+1$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. By Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.7,  $K_{8m+1}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $4(p+q) = \binom{8m+1}{2}$  and  $p \neq 1$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 3.13,  $K_{8m}$  can be decomposed into  $p$  copies of  $P_5$  and  $q$  copies of  $C_4$ , for each pair  $p, q$  of nonnegative integers such that  $4(p+q) = \binom{8m}{2}$  and  $p \geq 4m$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] A.A. Abueida, M. Daven, Multidesigns for graph-pairs of order 4 and 5, *Graphs Comb* 19 (2003), 433-447.
- [2] A.A. Abueida, M. Daven, Multidecompositions of the complete graph, *Ars Comb* 72 (2004), 17-22.
- [3] A.A. Abueida, M. Daven, K.J. Roblee, Multidesigns of the  $\lambda$ -fold complete graph for graph-pairs of order 4 and 5, *Australas J Comb* 32 (2005), 125-136.
- [4] A.A. Abueida, T. O'Neil, Multidecomposition of  $\lambda K_m$  into small cycles and claws, *Bull Inst Comb Appl* 49 (2007), 32-40.
- [5] P. Adams, D.E. Bryant, A. Khodkar, (3,5)-cycle decomposition, *J Comb Des* 6 (1998), 91-110.
- [6] P. Adams, D.E. Bryant, A. Khodkar, On Alspach's conjecture with two even cycle lengths, *Discrete Math* 223 (2000), 1-12.
- [7] B. Alspach, Research problems, Problem 3, *Discrete Math* 36 (1981), 333.
- [8] B. Alspach, R. Häggkvist, Some observations on the Oberwolfach problem, *J Graph Theory* 9 (1985), 177-187.
- [9] B. Alspach, S. Marshall, Even cycle decompositions of complete graphs minus a 1-factor, *J Comb Des* 2 (1994), 441-458.
- [10] B. Alspach, H. Gavlas, Cycle decompositions of  $K_n$  and  $K_n - I$ , *J Comb Theory Ser B* 81 (2001), 77-99.

- [11] J. A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, The Macmillan Press Ltd, New York, 1976.
- [12] D.E. Bryant, A. Khodkar, H.L. Fu, (m,n)-cycle systems. J Stat Plann Inference 74 (2) (1998), 91-110.
- [13] D.E. Bryant, B. Maenhaut, Decompositions of complete graphs into triangles and Hamilton cycles, J Comb Des 12 (2004), 221-232.
- [14] Y. Caro, R. Yuster, List decomposition of graphs, Discrete Math 243 (2002), 67-77.
- [15] K. Heinrich, P. Horak, A. Rosa, On Alspach's conjecture, Discrete Math 77 (1989), 97-121.
- [16] A. Rosa, Alspach's conjecture is true for  $n \leq 10$ , Mathematical Reports, McMaster University.
- [17] M. Tarsi, Decomposition of complete multigraph into simple paths: Nonbalanced Handcuffed designs, J Combin Theory Ser A 34 (1983), 60-70.
- [18] M. Truszczyński, Note on the decomposition of  $\lambda K_{m,n}(\lambda K_{m,n}^*)$  into paths, Discrete Math 55 (1985), 89-96.
- [19] M. Šajna, Cycle decompositions III: complete graphs and fixed length cycles, J Comb Des 10 (2002), 27-78.
- [20] D. Sotteau, Decomposition of  $K_{m,n}(K_{m,n}^*)$  into cycles (circuits) of length  $2k$ , J Comb Theory Ser B 30 (1981), 75-81.