# A construction of distance-regular graphs from subspaces in d—bounded distance-regular graphs \*

# Suogang Gao<sup>1</sup> Jun Guo<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Math.and Inf. College, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, 050016, China
- 2. Math. and Inf. College, Langfang Teachers' College, Langfang, 065000, China

#### Abstract

Let  $\Gamma$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and with geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$ . Pick  $x \in V(\Gamma)$ , and let P(x) be the set of all subspaces containing x. Suppose P(x, m) is the set of all subspaces in P(x) with diameter m, where  $1 \leq m < d$ . Define a graph  $\Gamma'$  whose vertex-set is P(x, m), and in which  $\Delta_1$  is adjacent to  $\Delta_2$  if and only if  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - 1$ . We prove that  $\Gamma'$  is a distance-regular graph and compute its intersection numbers.

Key words: Distance-regular graph, Strongly closed subgraphs, d-bounded. 2000 MSC: 05E30

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$  be a graph, with vertex set  $V(\Gamma)$  and edge set  $E(\Gamma)$ . For two vertices  $u, v \in \Gamma$ , let  $\partial_{\Gamma}(u, v)$  denote the distance between u and v in  $\Gamma$ , i.e. the length of a shortest path connecting u and v. We also write

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to Suogang Gao, Mathematics and Information College, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, 050016, P.R. China; E-mail: sggao@heinfo.net

 $\partial(u,v)$  when no confusion occurs. Let

$$d(\Gamma) = \max\{\partial(u, v) | u, v \in v(\Gamma)\}$$

and call  $d(\Gamma)$  the diameter of  $\Gamma$ . For  $u \in V(\Gamma)$ , set

$$\Gamma_i(u) = \{v \in V(\Gamma) | \partial_{\Gamma}(u, v) = i\}, \ \Gamma(u) = \Gamma_1(u).$$

For vertices  $u, v \in \Gamma$  with  $\partial(u, v) = i$ , set

$$C(u,v) = C_i(u,v) = \Gamma_{i-1}(u) \cap \Gamma(v),$$

$$A(u,v) = A_i(u,v) = \Gamma_i(u) \cap \Gamma(v),$$

$$B(u,v) = B_i(u,v) = \Gamma_{i+1}(u) \cap \Gamma(v).$$

For the cardinalities we use lower case letters, i.e.

$$c_i = c_i(u, v) = |C_i(u, v)|,$$
  
 $a_i = a_i(u, v) = |A_i(u, v)|,$   
 $b_i = b_i(u, v) = |B_i(u, v)|.$ 

A connected graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be distance-regular if  $c_i, a_i, b_i$  are well-defined for all  $i, 0 \le i \le d$ , i.e. these numbers depend only on i rather than the individual choice of vertices. The constants  $c_i$ ,  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  ( $0 \le i \le d$ ) are known as the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$ .

The reader is referred to [1,2,3] for general theory of distance-regular graphs.

For a subset  $\Delta \subset V(\Gamma)$ , we identify  $\Delta$  with the induced subgraph on  $\Delta$  and write  $\Delta = (V(\Delta), E(\Delta))$ . Denote by  $d(\Delta)$  the diameter of a subgraph  $\Delta$ .

A subgraph  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$  is said to be *strongly closed* if  $C(u,v) \cup A(u,v) \subset \Delta$  for every pair of vertices  $u,v \in \Delta$ . Properties of strongly closed subgraphs of distance-regular graphs are discussed first by H. Suzuki in [9]. A *subspace* of  $\Gamma$  is a regular strongly closed subgraph ([11]). It is obvious the strongly closed subgraphs are connected and for all  $u,v \in \Delta$ ,  $\partial_{\Gamma}(u,v) = \partial_{\Delta}(u,v)$ .

We use  $\langle \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$  to denote the smallest strongly closed subgraph containing x and y for  $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter d.  $\Gamma$  is said to be d-bounded, if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) Every strongly closed subgraph of  $\Gamma$  is regular,
- (ii) For all  $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ , x and y are contained in a common strongly closed subgraph of diameter  $\partial(x, y)$ .

It is clear that every strongly closed subgraph in a d-bounded distance-regular graph is a subspace.

A distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  is said to have classical parameters  $(d, b, \alpha, \beta)$  whenever the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is d, and the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$\begin{split} c_i &= \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b \left( 1 + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b \right), 0 \leq i \leq d, \\ b_i &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} d \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b - \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b \right) \left( \beta - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b \right), 0 \leq i \leq d, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ l \end{bmatrix}_b = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{i-j}{l-j} = \binom{i}{l} & \text{if } b = 1, \\ \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{b^i - b^j}{b^i - b^j} & \text{if } b \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

are Gaussian binomial coefficients with basis b.

A distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  with classical parameters  $(d, b, \alpha, \beta)$  is said to have geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$  if  $\beta = \alpha(1 + b^d)/(1 - b), \ b \neq -1$ .

The following two classes of distance-regular graphs have geometric parameters.

Example 1. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let b denote a complex number. Then the following (a)-(b) are equivalent [6].

- (a) -b is a power of a prime, and  $\Gamma$  is the dual polar graph  ${}^2A_{2d-1}(-b)$ .
- (b)  $\Gamma$  has geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = b(b-1)/(b+1)$ .

Example 2. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let b denote a complex number. Then the following (a)-(b) are equivalent [7, 8, 10].

(a) -b is a power of a prime, and  $\Gamma$  is the Hermitian forms graph  $Her_{-b}(d)$ .

(b)  $\Gamma$  has geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = b - 1$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and with geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$ . Pick  $x \in V(\Gamma)$ , and let P(x) be the set of all subspaces containing x. Suppose P(x, m) is the set of all subspaces in P(x) with diameter m, where  $1 \leq m < d$ . Define a graph  $\Gamma'$  whose vertex-set is P(x, m), and in which  $\Delta_1$  is adjacent to  $\Delta_2$  if and only if  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - 1$ .

**Remark:** The construction itself is directly analogous to the construction of the Grassmann graph.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. Let  $\Gamma' = (V', E')$  be the graph constructed above. Then  $\Gamma'$  is a distance-regular graph with diameter  $\min(d-m,m)$  and intersection numbers

$$\begin{array}{lcl} k' & = & b'_0 = b^2 \left[ {m \atop 1} \right]_{b^2} \left[ {d - m \atop 1} \right]_{b^2}, \\ \\ c'_t & = & \left( {t \atop 1} \right]_{b^2} \right)^2, \\ \\ b'_t & = & b^{4t+2} \left[ {m - t \atop 1} \right]_{b^2} \left[ {d - m - t \atop 1} \right]_{b^2}, \end{array}$$

where  $1 \le t \le \min(d-m,m)$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} h \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{b^2}$  are Gaussian binomial coefficients with basis  $b^2$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $\Gamma$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph, and let  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta'$  be two subspaces in  $\Gamma$ . The smallest subspace containing  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  is called the *join* of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  and denoted by  $\Delta + \Delta'$ .

In [11], Chih-wen Weng obtained the following two important results.

**Proposition 2.1.** ([11] Lemma 4.2, 4.5) Let  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter d. Then the following (i)-(iii) hold.

- (i) The intersection of two subspaces is either a subspace or the empty set.
- (ii) Let  $\Delta$  be a subspace of  $\Gamma$ , and  $0 \le i \le d(\Delta)$ . Then  $\Delta$  is distance-regular with intersection numbers

$$c_i(\Delta) = c_i,$$
  
 $a_i(\Delta) = a_i,$   
 $b_i(\Delta) = b_i - b_{d(\Delta)}.$ 

(iii) For any  $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ , the subspace of diameter  $\partial(x, y)$  containing x, y is unique.

**Proposition 2.2.** ([11] Lemma 5.5) Let  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph with geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$  and with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . For any subspaces  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  in  $\Gamma$ , if  $\Delta \cap \Delta' \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$d(\Delta) + d(\Delta') = d(\Delta \cap \Delta') + d(\Delta + \Delta').$$

Lemma 2.3. Let  $\Gamma$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph with geometric parameters  $(d,b,\alpha)$  and with diameter  $d\geq 2$ . Suppose  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are strongly closed subgraphs with diameter i and  $i+s+t\leq d$ , respectively, and with  $\Delta\subset\Delta'$ . Then the number of the strongly closed subgraphs  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  with diameter i+s satisfying  $\Delta\subset\widetilde{\Delta}\subset\Delta'$ , denoted by N(i,i+s;i+s+t), is determined by i,s and t, independent of the choice of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  and is given by

 $\begin{bmatrix} s+t \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{b^2},$ 

where  $\begin{bmatrix} s+t \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{h^2}$  is a Gaussian binomial coefficient with basis  $b^2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 of [4], we have N(i, i+s; i+s+t) is independent of the choice of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ , and

$$N(i, i+s; i+s+t) = \frac{(b_i - b_{i+s+t})(b_{i+1} - b_{i+s+t}) \cdots (b_{i+s-1} - b_{i+s+t})}{(b_i - b_{i+s})(b_{i+1} - b_{i+s}) \cdots (b_{i+s-1} - b_{i+s})}.$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is a d-bounded distance-regular graph with geometric parameters  $(d, b, \alpha)$ , we have

$$b \neq -1, \quad \beta = \alpha \frac{1 + b^d}{1 - b}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b_i & = & \left( \begin{bmatrix} d \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b - \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b \right) \left( \alpha \frac{1 + b^d}{1 - b} - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_b \right) \\ & = & -\alpha \frac{b^{2d} - b^{2i}}{(b - 1)^2}. \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} &N(i,i+s;i+s+t)\\ &=\frac{(b^{2(i+s+t)}-b^{2i})(b^{2(i+s+t)}-b^{2(i+1)})\cdots(b^{2(i+s+t)}-b^{2(i+s-1)})}{(b^{2(i+s)}-b^{2i})(b^{2(i+s)}-b^{2(i+1)})\cdots(b^{2(i+s)}-b^{2(i+s-1)})}\\ &=\frac{(b^{2(s+t)}-b^0)(b^{2(s+t)}-b^2)\cdots(b^{2(s+t)}-b^{2(s-1)})}{(b^{2s}-b^0)(b^{2s}-b^2)\cdots(b^{2s}-b^{2(s-1)})}\\ &=\begin{bmatrix} s+t\\s\end{bmatrix}_{b^2}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.4. Let  $\Gamma$  be a d-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and with geometric parameters  $(d,b,\alpha)$ . Pick  $x \in V(\Gamma)$ , and let P(x) be the set of all subspaces containing x. Let  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $\overline{\Delta}$  be subspaces in P(x) such that  $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta \subset \overline{\Delta}$  with diameter t, i+t and  $d_1$ , respectively, where  $0 \leq t \leq i+t, j+t \leq i+j+t \leq d_1 \leq d$ . Then the number of subspaces  $\Delta'$  in  $\overline{\Delta}$  with diameter j+t such that  $\Delta \cap \Delta' = \Delta_1$  is independent of the choice of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_1$ , is denoted by  $M_1(t,i+t,j+t;d_1)$ , and is given by

$$M_1(t, i+t, j+t; d_1) = b^{2ij} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 - i - t \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{b^2}.$$

Furthermore, the number of subspaces  $\Delta'$  in  $\overline{\Delta}$  with diameter j+t such that  $d(\Delta \cap \Delta') = t$  is independent of the choice of  $\Delta$ , is denoted by  $M(t, i+t, j+t; d_1)$ , and is given by

$$M(t, i+t, j+t; d_1) = b^{2ij} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 - i - t \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} i+t \\ t \end{bmatrix}_{k^2},$$

where  $\begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix}_{h^2}$  are Gaussian binomial coefficients with basis  $b^2$ .

*Proof.* By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [5], we have  $M_1(t, i+t, j+t; d_1)$  is independent of the choice of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_1$ , and

$$\begin{split} &M_1(t,i+t,j+t;d_1)\\ &=\frac{(b_{i+t}-b_{d_1})(b_{i+t+1}-b_{d_1})\cdots(b_{i+t+j-1}-b_{d_1})}{(b_t-b_{j+t})(b_{t+1}-b_{j+t})\cdots(b_{t+j-1}-b_{j+t})}\\ &=\frac{(b^{2d_1}-b^{2(i+t)})(b^{2d_1}-b^{2(i+t+1)})\cdots(b^{2d_1}-b^{2(i+t+j-1)})}{(b^{2(j+t)}-b^{2t})(b^{2(j+t)}-b^{2(i+t+1)})\cdots(b^{2(j+t)}-b^{2(j+t-1)})}\\ &=b^{2ij}\frac{(b^{2d_1-i-t}-b^0)(b^{2d_1-i-t}-b^2)\cdots(b^{2d_1-i-t}-b^{2(j-1)})}{(b^{2j}-b^0)(b^{2j}-b^2)\cdots(b^{2j}-b^{2(j-1)})}\\ &=b^{2ij}\begin{bmatrix}d_1-i-t\\j\end{bmatrix}_{b^2}. \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$M(t, i+t, j+t; d_1) = b^{2ij} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 - i - t \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{b^2} \begin{bmatrix} i+t \\ t \end{bmatrix}_{b^2}.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let  $\Gamma' = (V', E')$  be the graph constructed above. For any  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in V', \partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = i$  if and only if  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - i$ , where  $0 \le i \le \min(m, d - m)$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - i$ . Then from Proposition 2.2  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) = m + i$ . Take y in  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  such that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(x,y) = m - i$ . Then from Proposition 2.1,  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \langle \langle x,y \rangle \rangle$ . Thus there exists z in  $\Delta_1$  such that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(x,z) = i$ ,  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z,y) = m$  and  $\langle \langle z,y \rangle \rangle = \Delta_1$ ; similarly, there exists w in  $\Delta_2$  such that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(y,w) = i$ , where  $\partial_{\Gamma}(x,w) = m$ , and  $\langle \langle x,w \rangle \rangle = \Delta_2$ . We first show that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z,w) = m + i$  and  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = \langle \langle z,w \rangle \rangle$ . Pick a shortest path connecting z and z in the following, we prove that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z,u_l) = m + l$ , where z in z in the following, we prove that z in the following, we prove that z in z i

The assertion is clearly true when l=0. Suppose it is true when l-1. Then  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z,u_{l-1})=m+l-1$ . It follows that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z,u_{l})=m+l-2$ , m+l-1 or m+l. Suppose that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z,u_{l})=m+l-2$  or m+l-1. Then  $u_l \in C(z, u_{l-1}) \cup A(z, u_{l-1}) \subset \langle \langle z, u_{l-1} \rangle \rangle$ . Since  $\langle \langle z, u_{l-1} \rangle \rangle$  is the subspace containing  $\Delta_1$  and  $\langle \langle x, u_l \rangle \rangle$ , and

$$\langle\!\langle x,y\rangle\!\rangle\subset\Delta_1\cap\langle\!\langle x,u_l\rangle\!\rangle\subset\Delta_1\cap\Delta_2,$$

we have

$$\Delta_1 \cap \langle\!\langle x, u_l \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle x, y \rangle\!\rangle.$$

From Proposition 2.2,

$$d(\Delta_1 + \langle \langle x, u_l \rangle \rangle)$$

$$= d(\Delta_1) + d(\langle \langle x, u_l \rangle \rangle) - d(\Delta_1 \cap \langle \langle x, u_l \rangle \rangle)$$

$$= m + l,$$

contradicting the fact that  $\langle z, u_{l-1} \rangle$  is a subspace with diameter m+l-1. So  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z, u_l) = m+l$ , where  $0 \le l \le i$ . It implies that  $\partial_{\Gamma}(z, w) = m+i$ , and hence  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = \langle \langle z, w \rangle \rangle$ .

Next, we show that  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \leq i$ . Set  $\Delta^{(i-t)} = \langle \langle v_{i-t}, u_t \rangle \rangle$ , where  $0 \leq t \leq i$ . Then  $\Delta^{(i)} = \Delta_1$  and  $\Delta^{(0)} = \Delta_2$ . Since  $v_l$  and  $u_l$ , where  $0 \leq l \leq i-1$ , are the vertices on a shortest path connecting z and w, we have  $d(\Delta^{(i-t)}) = m$ , where  $0 \leq t \leq i$ . From Proposition 2.2,  $d(\Delta^{(i-t)} \cap \Delta^{(i-t+1)}) = m-1$ , that is,  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta^{(i-t)}, \Delta^{(i-t-1)}) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq i-1$ . Thus  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \leq i$ .

Finally, we show  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = t \geq i$ . Let  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = t$ , and let

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta^{(t)}, \, \Delta^{(t-1)}, \, \cdots, \, \Delta^{(1)}, \, \Delta^{(0)} = \Delta_2$$

be the vertices on a shortest path connecting  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ , where  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta^{(l)}, \Delta^{(l-1)}, \Delta$ 

$$d(\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \Delta^{(t-2)})$$

$$= d((\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)}) + \Delta^{(t-2)})$$

$$= d(\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)}) + d(\Delta^{(t-2)}) - d((\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)}) \cap \Delta^{(t-2)})$$

$$\leq d(\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)}) + d(\Delta^{(t-2)}) - d(\Delta^{(t-1)} \cap \Delta^{(t-2)})$$

$$= m + 2.$$

So we may assume that  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \cdots + \Delta^{(1)}) \leq m + t - 1$ . Then from Proposition 2.2,

$$d(\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \dots + \Delta^{(1)} + \Delta_{2})$$

$$= d((\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \dots + \Delta^{(1)}) + \Delta_{2})$$

$$= d(\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \dots + \Delta^{(1)}) + d(\Delta_{2}) - d((\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \dots + \Delta^{(1)}) \cap \Delta_{2})$$

$$\leq d(\Delta_{1} + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \dots + \Delta^{(1)}) + d(\Delta_{2}) - d(\Delta^{(1)} \cap \Delta_{2})$$

$$\leq m + t.$$

Since  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) = m + i$  and  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \subset \Delta_1 + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \cdots + \Delta^{(1)} + \Delta_2$ , we have  $m+i \leq m+t$ . Thus  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = t \geq i$ . It follows that  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = i$ .

Conversely, let  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = i$  and let  $\Delta_1 = \Delta^{(i)}, \Delta^{(i-1)}, \cdots, \Delta^{(1)}, \Delta^{(0)} = \Delta_2$  be the vertices on a shortest path connecting  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ , where  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta^{(t)}, \Delta^{(t-1)}) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq i$ . In the following we show  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) = m + i$ . Note that  $d(\Delta^{(t)} \cap \Delta^{(t-1)}) = m - 1$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq i$ . Thus from Proposition 2.2 and the proof similar to that above

$$d(\Delta_1 + \Delta^{(i-1)} + \cdots + \Delta^{(1)} + \Delta_2) \le m + i.$$

Consequently  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) \leq m + i$ , since  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \subset \Delta_1 + \Delta^{(t-1)} + \cdots + \Delta^{(1)} + \Delta_2$ . Suppose that  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) = m + l < m + i$ . Then from Proposition 2.2,  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - l$ . By the proof of sufficiency, we obtain that  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = l < i$ , a contradiction. Thus  $d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) = m + i$ . Furthermore, from Proposition 2.2,  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - i$ .

Lemma 2.6. Let  $\Gamma' = (V', E')$  be the graph constructed above. Let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in V'$  such that  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = t$ , where  $1 \le t \le \min(d - m, m)$ , and let  $\Delta_3$  be a subspace with diameter m and  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_3, \Delta_2) = 1$ . Then  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$  or m - t. Furthermore, if  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$ , then  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$  or m - t; if  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$ , then  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$  or m - t + 1.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2 \in V'$  such that  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = t$ , where  $1 \le t \le \min(d - m, m)$ , and let  $\Delta_3$  be a subspace with diameter m and  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_3, \Delta_2) = 1$ .

Then from Lemma 2.5,  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - t$  and  $d(\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - 1$ . We claim that  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$  or m - t. Indeed, since  $d(\Delta_1 + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)) \le d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) = m + t$ , it follows from Proposition 2.2 that

$$d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)$$

$$\geq d(\Delta_1 \cap (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3))$$

$$= d(\Delta_1) + d(\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)) - d(\Delta_1 + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3))$$

$$\geq d(\Delta_1) + d(\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) - d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)$$

$$= m - t - 1.$$

It implies that  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$  or m - t. Note that

$$d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)$$

$$\leq d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3)$$

$$= d(\Delta_1) + d(\Delta_3) - d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)$$

$$\leq m + m - d(\Delta_1 + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3))$$

$$= 2m - (d(\Delta_1) + d(\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) - d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)).$$

So when  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$ , we have  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$  or m - t; when  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$ , we have  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$  or m - t + 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By Lemma 2.4,  $\Gamma'$  is a regular graph with valency

$$k' = M(m-1, m, m; d) = b^{2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{b^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} d-m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{b^{2}}.$$

Let  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2 \in V'$  such that  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = t$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq \min(d-m, m)$ , and let  $\Delta_3$  be a subspace with diameter m and  $\partial_{\Gamma'}(\Delta_3, \Delta_2) = 1$ . To prove  $\Gamma'$  is a distance-regular graph, it suffices to prove  $b'_t$  and  $c'_t$  are independent of the choice of  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ .

By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, to compute  $b'_t$  we only consider the case  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - t$ ,  $d(\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - 1$  and  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$ .

Note that, for a given  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ ,  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  is a subspace with diameter m-1, contained in  $\Delta_2$  and intersect  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  at  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ . Thus, from Lemma 2.4, the number of subspaces of  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  with diameter m-1 which intersect  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  at subspace with diameter m-t-1 is

$$M(m-t-1, m-t, m-1; m)$$
.

From Lemma 2.4 again, for the given subspace  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ , the number of subspaces  $\Delta_3$  with diameter m containing  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  and intersect  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  at  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  is

$$M_1(m-1,m,m;d).$$

So the number of subspaces  $\Delta_3$  such that  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$ ,  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) = m - t$  and  $d(\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m - 1$  is

$$M(m-t-1, m-t, m-1; m)M_1(m-1, m, m; d).$$

Clearly, the subspaces  $\Delta_3$  above contain the subspaces  $\Delta_3$  with  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$ . In the following we compute the number of such subspaces.

We claim that, for a given  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ ,  $\Delta_3$  is the subspace with diameter m such that  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$  if and only if there exists a subspace  $\Delta_4$  with diameter m - t in  $\Delta_1$  containing  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  such that  $\Delta_3 = \Delta_4 + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)$ . Indeed, let  $\Delta_3 = \Delta_4 + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)$  where  $\Delta_4$  is a subspace with diameter m - t in  $\Delta_1$  containing  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ . Since

$$\Delta_4 \cap (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) \subset \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3,$$

we have

$$\Delta_4 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3 = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3.$$

From Proposition 2.2,

$$d(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = m + t.$$

So from Proposition 2.2 again,

$$\mathrm{d}(\Delta_1\cap\Delta_3)=\mathrm{d}(\Delta_1\cap(\Delta_4+\Delta_2\cap\Delta_3))=2m-\mathrm{d}(\Delta_1+\Delta_2\cap\Delta_3)=m-t.$$

It implies that  $\Delta_3$  is the subspace with diameter m satisfying  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$ .

Conversely, let  $\Delta_3$  be a subspace with diameter m satisfying  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$ . Then  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3$  is a subspace with diameter m - t in  $\Delta_1$  containing  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ . From Proposition 2.2,

$$d((\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)) = m - t + m - 1 - (m - t - 1) = m.$$

It follows from Proposition 1.1(iii) that  $\Delta_3 = (\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)$ . Set  $\Delta_4 = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3$ , as desired.

From proof above, we know that for a given  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ , the number of the subspaces  $\Delta_3$  with diameter m satisfying  $\mathrm{d}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$  is equal to the number of the subspaces  $\Delta_4$  with diameter m - t in  $\Delta_1$  containing  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  such that  $\Delta_3 = \Delta_4 + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3)$ . The latter is N(m - t - 1, m - t; m) by Lemma 2.3. Note that  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$  is a subspace with diameter m - t in  $\Delta_1$  containing  $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$  such that

$$(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) + (\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3) = \Delta_2.$$

So for a given  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ , the number of the subspaces  $\Delta_3$  with diameter m satisfying  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t$ , is

$$N(m-t-1,m-t;m)-1=(b_{m-t}-b_m)/(b_{m-t-1}-b_{m-t}).$$

Thus, for a given subspace  $\Delta_2 \cap \Delta_3$ , the number of subspace  $\Delta_3$  with diameter m satisfying  $d(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_3) = m - t - 1$  is

$$M_1(m-1, m, m; d) - N(m-t-1, m-t; m) + 1$$

$$= b_m/(b_{m-1} - b_m) - (b_{m-t} - b_m)/(b_{m-t-1} - b_{m-t}).$$

It follows that

$$b'_{t} = \left(\frac{b_{m}}{b_{m-1} - b_{m}} - \frac{b_{m-t} - b_{m}}{b_{m-t-1} - b_{m-t}}\right) M(m - t - 1, m - t, m - 1; m)$$

$$= b^{4t+2} \begin{bmatrix} m - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{b^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} d - m - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{b^{2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$c_t' = \frac{b_{m-t} - b_m}{b_{m-t} - b_{m-t+1}} N(m-t, m-1; m) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{b^2} \right)^2.$$

Clearly  $c_t'$  and  $b_t'$ , where  $1 \le t \le \min(d-m, m)$ , are independent of the choice of  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ . So  $\Gamma'$  is a distance-regular graph.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the referee for supplying detailed and valuable suggestions which led to an improved paper. This paper was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province, China, (No. A2005000141), and educational committee of Hebei Province, China, (No. 2005107).

## References

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic combinatorics *I*: Association schemes, Benjamin-Cummings California, 1984.
- [2] N. L. Biggs, Algebraic graph theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, Distance-regular graphs, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [4] S. Gao, J. Guo and W. Liu, On the lattice generated by strongly closed subgraphs in d-bounded distance-regular graphs. (to appear in European J. Combinatorics).
- [5] S. Gao and J. Guo, Cartesian authentication codes and strongly closed subgraph in distance-regular graph. Preprint.
- [6] A. A. Ivanov and S. V. Shpectorov, The association schemes of dual polar spaces of type  ${}^2A_{2d-1}(p^f)$  are characterized by their parameters if  $d \geq 3$ , Linear Algebra Appl., 114/115(1989), 133-139.
- [7] A. A. Ivanov and S. V. Shpectorov, Characterization of the association schemes of Hermitian forms over GF(2<sup>2</sup>), Geom. Dedicata, 30(1989), 23-33.

- [8] A. A. Ivanov and S. V. Shpectorov, A characterization of the association schemes of Hermitian forms, J. Math. Soc. Japan 43, No. 1(1991), 25-48.
- [9] H. Suzuki, On strongly closed subgraphs of highly regular graphs, European J. Combin., 16(1995), 197-220.
- [10] P. Terwilliger, Kite-free distance-regular graphs, European J. Combin., 16(1995), 405-414.
- [11] Chih-wen Weng, Classical distance-regular graphs of negative type, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Ser. B, 76(1999), 93-116.