Hamilton – Connectivity of Claw – Free Graphs with Bounded Dilworth Numbers

Rao Li *
Dept. of mathematical sciences
University of South Carolina Aiken
Aiken, SC 29801
Email: raol@usca.edu

Abstract

Let u and v be two vertices in a graph G. We say vertex u dominates vertex v if $N(v) \subseteq N(u) \cup \{u\}$. If u dominates v or v dominates u, then u and v are comparable. The Dilworth number of a graph G, denoted Dil(G), is the largest number of pairwise incomparable vertices in the graph G. A graph G is called claw – free if G has no induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$. It is shown that if G is a k ($k \ge 3$) – connected claw – free graph with $Dil(G) \le 2k - 5$, then G is Hamilton – connected and a Hamilton path between every two vertices in G can be found in polynomial time.

Keywords: Hamilton - Connectivity, Claw - Free Graphs, Dilworth Numbers.

1. Introduction

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. For terminology, notation and concepts not defined here see [2]. If v is a vertex in a graph, the closed neighbor N[v] of v is defined as $N(v) \cup \{v\}$. If $S \subseteq V(G)$, then N(S) denotes the neighbors of S, that is, the set of all vertices in G adjacent to at least one vertex in S. A path that contains every vertex of a graph G is called a Hamilton path of G. A cycle that contains every vertex of a graph G is called a Hamilton cycle of

^{*}This work is partially supported by the research and grant support program at University of South Carolina Aiken.

G. A graph G is called Hamiltonian if it has a Hamilton cycle. A graph G is called Hamilton – connected if every two vertices in G are connected by a Hamilton path. A graph G is called claw – free if G has no induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$.

The definition of the Dilworth number of a graph can be found in [5] (also see [3]). Let u and v be two vertices in a graph G. We say vertex u dominates vertex v if $N(v) \subseteq N[u]$. If u dominates v or v dominates u, then u and v are comparable. The Dilworth number of a graph G, denoted Dil(G), is the largest number of pairwise incomparable vertices in the graph G.

Recently, Li [7] obtained the following result on the Hamiltonicity of claw – free graphs with bounded Dilworth numbers: Let G be a k ($k \ge 2$) – connected claw – free graph. If $Dil(G) \le 2k - 1$, then G is Hamiltonian and a Hamiltonian cycle in G can be found in polynomial time.

This paper deals with the Hamilton – connectivity of claw – free graphs with bounded Dilworth numbers. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let G be a k ($k \ge 3$) – connected claw – free graph. If $Dil(G) \le 2k - 5$, then G is Hamilton – connected and a Hamiltonian path between every two vertices in G can be found in polynomial time.

Notice that Hu, Tian, and Wei [6] proved that every 8 – connected claw – free graph is Hamilton – connected. So if we don't consider finding a Hamiltonian path between every two vertices, the condition $Dil(G) \leq 2k - 5$ can be dropped in Theorem 1 when $k \geq 8$.

Recall that Bertossi [1] proved that determining if line graphs have Hamilton paths is NP – complete. Therefore finding Hamilton paths in line graphs is a hard problem. It is well known that every line graph is claw – free. Thus finding Hamilton paths in claw – free graphs is hard. Hence finding Hamilton paths between all pairs of two vertices in claw – free graphs is also hard. So if we currently want to design polynomial time algorithms for finding Hamilton paths between all pairs of two vertices in claw – free graphs, we need extra constraints on this family of graphs. Such possible constraints are presented in Theorem 1 above.

We need the following additional notations in the reminder of this paper. If P is a path of G, let \overrightarrow{P} denote the path P with a given orientation. If vertices u, v are on P and u precedes v along the direction of P, then

we use $\overrightarrow{P}[u,v]$ to denote the consecutive vertices on P from u to v in the direction specified by \overrightarrow{P} . The same set of vertices, in reverse order, is denoted by $\overrightarrow{P}[v,u]$. Both $\overrightarrow{P}[u,v]$ and $\overleftarrow{P}[v,u]$ are considered as paths and vertex sets. $|\overrightarrow{P}[u,v]|$ and $|\overrightarrow{P}[v,u]|$ are used to denote the number of vertices in the paths $\overrightarrow{P}[u,v]$ and $|\overrightarrow{P}[v,u]|$, respectively. If u is on P, then the predecessor, successor, next predecessor, and next successor of u along the orientation of P are denoted by u^- , u^+ , u^{--} , and u^{++} , respectively. If H is a connected component of a graph G and u and v are two vertices in H, let uHv denote a shortest path between u and v in H which can be found in polynomial time by using the Dijkstra's algorithm (see Chapter 24 in [4]).

2. The Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1 and u and v any two vertices in G. Using Dijkstra's algorithm in G, we can find a shortest path $P[u,v] := x_0x_1...x_r$ between u and v in G, where $u = x_0$ and $v = x_r$. If r = |V(G)| - 1, then it is finished. We now assume that $r \leq |V(G)| - 2$ and an orientation for P[u,v] is defined as from u to v. Next we will show that a path between u and v of length at least v + 1 in v = 1 can be constructed in polynomial time.

Firstly, if r=1, we check if $(N(u)-\{v\})\cap (N(v)-\{u\})$ is empty. If $(N(u)-\{v\})\cap (N(v)-\{u\})\neq \emptyset$, choose a vertex, say w, in $(N(u)-\{v\})\cap (N(v)-\{u\})$, then uwv is a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. If $(N(u)-\{v\})\cap (N(v)-\{u\})=\emptyset$, choose a vertex $a\in (N(u)-\{v\})$ and a vertex $b\in (N(v)-\{u\})$. Since G is k $(k\geq 3)$ connected, $G[V(G)-\{u,v\}]$ is connected. Applying Dijkstra's algorithm in $G[V(G)-\{u,v\}]$, we can find a shortest path, say P[a,b], between a and b in $G[V(G)-\{u,v\}]$. Then uP[a,b]v is a path between u and v of length at least v 1 in v 2.

Now consider the case that $r \geq 2$, Using depth – first search algorithm [9] in the graph G[V(G)-V(P[u,v])], we can find a connected component, say H, in G[V(G)-V(P[u,v])]. More details on applying depth – first search algorithm to find a connected component in a graph can be found in Algorithm 8.3 on Page 330 in [8]. This step can be completed in O(|V(G)|+|V(E)|) time.

Find all the neighbors of V(H) on V(P[u,v]). we assume that $N(V(H)) \cap V(P[u,v]) = \{a_1,a_2,...,a_l\}$ such that $h_ia_i \in E$, where $h_i \in V(H)$ for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq l$, and $a_1, a_2, ..., a_l$ are labeled in the order of the orientation of P[u,v]. Notice that if we apply Dijkstra's algorithm on H, we can find a

shortest path between every two vertices h_i and h_j , where $1 \le i \ne j \le l$.

Since G is k ($k \ge 3$) – connected, $l \ge k \ge 3$. If r = 2 or 3, we can easily construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. Now we assume that $l \ge 4$. For each i, $2 \le i \le l-1$, if $h_i a_i^- \in E$ or $h_i a_i^+ \in E$, we can easily construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. Now we assume that $h_i a_i^- \notin E$ and $h_i a_i^+ \notin E$. Since G is claw – free, $a_i^- a_i^+ \in E$. Similarly, if $a_1 \ne x_0$, we can construct a path between u and v of length at least v 1 in v 2 or v 3. We can construct a path between v 3 and v 3 of length at least v 4 in v 3 or v 4.

Clearly, If $|\overrightarrow{P}[a_i, a_{i+1}]| \leq 4$ for some $i, 2 \leq i \leq l-2$, we can easily construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. Moreover, if $|\overrightarrow{P}[a_i, a_{i+1}]| \leq 3$, where i = 0 or l-1, we can also easily construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. From now on, we assume that $|\overrightarrow{P}[a_i, a_{i+1}]| \geq 5$ for each $i, 2 \leq i \leq l-2$, and $|\overrightarrow{P}[a_i, a_{i+1}]| \geq 4$, where i = 0 or l-1.

Notice first that if $a_i a_{i+1}^- \in E$ for some $i, 2 \le i \le l-1$, we can construct a path

 $\overrightarrow{P}[u,a_i^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,a_{i+1}^-]a_ih_iHh_{i+1}\overrightarrow{P}[a_{i+1},v]$

between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. Hence we can assume that $a_ia_{i+1}^- \notin E$ for each i, $0 \le i \le l-1$. Traverse along the segment of $[P[a_{i+1}^-, a_i^+]]$ on P and find the first vertex b_i such that $b_i \in N(a_i)$ for each i, $0 \le i \le l-1$. Then $[P[b_i^+, a_{i+1}^-]] \ge 1$ for each i, i is a sum of i in i for each i, i is a sum of i in i for each i, i is a sum of i for each i, i is a sum of i for each i, i is a sum of i for each i, i is a sum of i for each i, i is a sum of i for each i for e

Since $b_i^+ \notin N(a_i)$, $b_i^+ \in N(b_i)$ and $h_i \notin N(b_i)$ (since $N(h_i) \cap V(P[u, v]) \subseteq N(V(H)) \cap V(P[u, v])$), $h_i \in N(a_i)$ for each $i, 1 \le i \le l-1$, a_i and b_i are incomparable for each $i, 2 \le i \le l-1$.

Now consider the set $D=\{a_2,b_2,a_3,b_3,...,a_{l-1},b_{l-1}\}$. Since $|D|=2(l-2)\geq 2(k-2)$ and $Dil(G)\leq 2k-5$, there exist two distinct comparable vertices in D. Find two distinct vertices x and y in D such that x and y are comparable. Clearly, this step can be completed in polynomial time. Since a_i and b_i are incomparable for each i, $2\leq i\leq l-1$, we have following possible cases.

Case 1. $x = a_i$ and $y = a_j$ for some i and j, $2 \le i \ne j \le l - 1$.

If $1 \le i < j \le l-1$, we have $a_j^+ \in N(a_j) \subseteq N[a_i]$ or $a_i^+ \in N(a_i) \subseteq N[a_j]$ and G has a path

$$\overrightarrow{P}[u,a_i^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,a_j]h_jHh_ia_i\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,v]$$

between u and v of length at least r+1 in G or a path

$$\overrightarrow{P}[u,a_i]h_iHh_ja_j\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,a_j^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_j^+,v]$$

between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. If $1 \le j < i \le l-1$, we can smilarly construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G.

Case 2. $x = a_i$ and $y = b_j$ for some i and j, $2 \le i \ne j \le l - 1$.

If $1 \leq i < j \leq l-1$, since $h_i \in N(a_i)$ and $h_i \notin N[b_j]$ (since $N(h_i) \cap V(P[u,v]) \subseteq N(V(H)) \cap V(P[u,v])$), we have $b_j^+ \in N(b_j) \subseteq N[a_i]$ and can construct a path

$$\overrightarrow{P}[u,a_i^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,a_j^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_j^+,b_j]a_jh_jHh_ia_i\overrightarrow{P}[b_j^+,v]$$

between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. If $1 \le j < i \le l-1$, we can smilarly construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G.

Case 3. $x = b_i$ and $y = b_j$ for some i and j, $2 \le i \ne j \le l - 1$.

Then $N(b_i) \subseteq N[b_j]$ or $N(b_j) \subseteq N[b_i]$. We first consider the case that $N(b_i) \subseteq N[b_j]$. Then $b_i^+ \in N(b_i) \subseteq N[b_j]$.

Now consider the subcase that $1 \leq i < j \leq l-1$. Since $G[b_j, b_i^+, a_j, b_j^+]$ is not isomorphic to a claw and $a_j b_j^+ \notin E$, $b_i^+ a_j \in E$ or $b_i^+ b_j^+ \in E$. If $b_i^+ a_j \in E$, we can construct a path

$$\overrightarrow{P}[u,a_i^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,b_i]a_ih_iHh_ja_j\overrightarrow{P}[b_i^+,a_i^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,v]$$

between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. If $b_i^+b_j^+ \in E$, we can construct a path

$$\overrightarrow{P}[u,a_i^-]\overrightarrow{P}[a_i^+,b_i]a_ih_iHh_ja_j\overleftarrow{P}[b_j,a_j^+]\overleftarrow{P}[a_j^-,b_i^+]\overrightarrow{P}[b_j^+,v]$$

between u and v of length at least r+1 in G. For the subcase that $1 \le j < i \le l-1$, we can smilarly construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G.

Similarly, we can construct a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G if $N(b_i) \subseteq N[b_i]$ is true.

Obviously, the above algorithmic procedures of enlarging the path P[u, v] between u and v of length r in G to a path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G can be fulfilled in polynomial time.

Apply the similar procedures as above to the newly constructed path between u and v of length at least r+1 in G, we can construct a path between u and v of length at least r+2 in G. Repeat this process, we can construct a Hamilton path between u and v in G. Notice that we can repeat the processes at most |V(G)| times, therefore we can construct a Hamilton path between u and v in G in polynomial time. QED

3. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his or her suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] A. Bertossi, The edge Hamiltonian path problem is NP complete, Information Processing Letters 13 (1981), 157-159.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [3] A. Brandstädt, V. B. Le, and J. Spinrad, Graph Class: A Survey, SIAM Monographs on Discrete Mathematics and Applications (1999), 5 - 6.
- [4] T. Cormen, C. Leiserson, R. Rivest, and C. Stein, Introduction to Algorithms, Second Edition, The MIT Press (2000).
- [5] S. Földes and P. L. Hammer, Split graphs having Dilworth number two, Canadian J. Math. 3 (1977), 666 - 672.
- [6] Z. Hu, F. Tian, and B. Wei, Hamilton connectivity of line graphs and claw - free graphs, J. Graph Theory 50 (2005), 130 - 141.
- [7] R. Li, Finding Hamiltonian cycles in $\{Quasi Claw, K_{1,5}, K_{1,5} + e\}$ free graphs with bounded Dilworth numbers, manuscript, 2006.
- [8] E. Reingold, J. Nievergelt, and N. Deo, Combinatorial Algorithms: Theory and Practice, Prentice – Hall (1977).
- [9] R. Tarjan, Depth first search and linear graph algorithms, SIAM J. Comput. 1 (1972), 146 - 160.