

The Signed Total Domination Number of Graphs*

Mingjing Gao^{a,b}, Erfang Shan^{c,b†}

^aDepartment of Mathematics and physics,

Hebei Normal University of science and Technology, Hebei 066004

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Shanghai University,
Shanghai 200444, China

^cDepartment of Logistics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Abstract

Let G be a graph on n vertices with minimum degree r . We show that there exists a two-coloring of the vertices of G with colors, -1 and $+1$, such that all open neighborhoods contain more $+1$'s than -1 's, and altogether the number of $+1$'s does not exceed the number of -1 's by more than $O(\frac{n}{\sqrt{r}})$.

MSC: 05C69

Keywords: Signed total domination number; Partial coloring method; Entropy function; L -transversal

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected and simple. For standard graph theory terminology is not given here we refer to [4]. Let $G = (V, E)$

*Research supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China under grant 10571117, the Development Foundation of Shanghai Education Committee under grant 05AZ04, ShuGuang Plan of Shanghai Education Development Foundation and Shanghai Education Committee and Hebei Normal University of science and Technology (ZDJS2009 and CXTD2010-05).

[†]Corresponding author. Email address: efshan@staff.shu.edu.cn

be a graph with *vertex set* V and *edge set* E , v is a vertex in V . The *order* of G is given by $n = |V|$, and r is the *minimum degree* among the vertices of G . The *open neighborhood* of v is the set consisting of all of its neighbors, denoted by $N(v)$. $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ is the *closed neighborhood* of v .

Let $\chi : V \rightarrow Y$ be a function which assigns to each $v \in V$ a value in Y , where Y is a subset of real numbers. For notation convenience, we let $\chi(S) = \sum_{u \in S} \chi(u)$ for any set $S \subseteq V$. We call $\chi(V)$ the *weight* of χ . The function χ is called a *Y -dominating function* if $\chi(N[v]) \geq 1$ for each vertex $v \in V$ and Y is called the *weight set* of χ . Many dominating functions have been defined by changing the allowance weights in Y . These functional variations of domination in graphs have been studied in, e.g., [5].

A *signed domination function* of G is a function $\chi : V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ such that for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, $\chi(N[v]) > 0$. The signed domination number of G , γ_s , is defined as

$$\gamma_s = \min\{\chi(V) : \chi \text{ is a signed domination function of } G\}.$$

When we simply change $N[v]$ in this definition of signed domination function to $N(v)$, we define a *signed total domination function* of G . The same as signed domination number [2, 6, 8], the signed total domination number of G , which is firstly studied by Zelinka [11], is defined as

$$\gamma_t^s = \min\{\chi(V) : \chi \text{ is a signed total domination function of } G\}.$$

For any graph G of order n with minimum degree r , several researchers have estimated γ_s , the signed domination numbers of G . For example, Füredi and Mubayi [3] showed $\gamma_s \leq (4 \cdot \sqrt{\lg r/r} + 1/r) \cdot n$; recently Matoušek [9] proved that $\gamma_s = O(n/\sqrt{r})$ by a so-called partial coloring method from combinatorial discrepancy theory [12]. For the signed total domination number, we know $\gamma_t^s(P_n) = n, n \geq 2$; $\gamma_t^s(K_{1,n-1}) = 2$ if n is even and 3 if n is odd [7]. Henning [7] also received other results on the signed total domination number, and these results are the lower bound. In this paper, we will prove that all graphs G of order n with minimum degree r have signed total domination number $O(n/\sqrt{r})$, i.e., $\gamma_t^s = O(n/\sqrt{r})$.

2 Preliminary results

In this section we firstly give some concepts and then give some lemmas to prove the main result.

Let α be a real number and suppose that \mathcal{S} is a hypergraph with vertex set V and edge set $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$. The function g defines an α -dominating partition of the hypergraph \mathcal{S} , if

$$g(S) := \sum_{a \in S} g(a) \geq \alpha,$$

for every edge S in \mathcal{S} , $dom_\alpha(S) := \min_{g: S \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}, g \text{ is } \alpha\text{-dominating}} g(S)$.

We denote dom_1 as dom . Clearly, we note that

Lemma 1 For any graph $G = (V, E)$, $\gamma_t^s(G) = dom(\mathcal{N}(G))$, where \mathcal{N} is the hypergraph on the vertex set V and its edges are the open neighborhoods $\{N(v) : v \in V\}$.

We need some lemmas for the proof of theorem.

Definition 1 ([9]) A partial coloring is a mapping $\chi : X \rightarrow \{1, 0, +1\}$. Let substantial partial coloring be a partial coloring χ with $\chi(x) \neq 0$ for at least $\frac{1}{2}|X|$ points $x \in X$.

Lemma 2 Let \mathcal{S} be a system of m set on an n vertex set X , $m \geq n$. Then there exists a substantial partial coloring $\chi : X \rightarrow \{-1, 0, +1\}$ with $\chi(X) = 0$ and with

$$|\chi(S)| \leq C \cdot \sqrt{|S| \ln \frac{2m}{n}}$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, where C is a sufficiently large constant.

Now we give two lemmas to prove Lemma 2.

Lemma 3 ([1]) Let $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be mutually independent random variables with

$$\Pr\{X_i = 1\} = \Pr\{X_i = -1\} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and set, following the usual conversion, $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. Let $a > 0$. Then

$$\Pr\{S_n > a\} < e^{-\frac{a^2}{2n}}.$$

Let us think of all colorings $\chi : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ as the hamming cube $\{-1, +1\}^n$ and we define $\rho(\chi, \chi') = |\{a : \chi(a) \neq \chi'(a)\}|$. For $D \subset \{-1, +1\}^n$, we define $diam(D) = \max\{\rho(\chi, \chi'), \text{for } \chi, \chi' \in D\}$.

Lemma 4 ([10]) Let $D \subset \{-1, +1\}^n$, $r < n/2$, $|D| \geq \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{n}{i}$. Then $\text{diam}(D) \geq 2r$.

Proof of the Lemma 2. Label the sets of \mathcal{S} by S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m for convenience. Let

$$\chi : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$$

be random. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, we define

$$b_i = \text{nearest integer to } \frac{\chi(S_i)}{2C\sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}}}.$$

Now we consider the probability of $b_i = 1$ and $b_i = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[b_i = 1] &= \Pr \left[1/2 < \frac{\chi(S_i)}{2C\sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}}} < 3/2 \right] \\ &= \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) < 3C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \\ &\quad - \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) \leq C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \\ &= \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) > C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \\ &\quad - \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) \geq 3C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[b_i = -1] &= \Pr \left[-3/2 < \frac{\chi(S_i)}{2C\sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}}} < -1/2 \right] \\ &= \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) < -C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \\ &\quad - \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) \leq -3C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \\ &= \Pr \left[-\chi(S_i) \geq C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|}\sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$- \Pr \left[-\chi(S_i) > 3C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i|} \sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right].$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[b_i = 1] &= \Pr[b_i = -1] \\ &< \Pr \left[\chi(S_i) > C \sqrt{|S_i|} \sqrt{\ln \frac{2m}{n}} \right] \\ &< e^{-\frac{C^2 |S_i| \ln \frac{2m}{n}}{2n}} \\ &= \left(\frac{2m}{n} \right)^{-\frac{C^2 |S_i|}{2n}} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{2m} \right)^{\frac{C^2 |S_i|}{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since C is sufficiently large, we may assume

$$\Pr[b_i = 1] = \Pr[b_i = -1] < \left(\frac{n}{2m} \right)^{50}.$$

Now we bound the entropy $H(b_i) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} -p_j \cdot \log_2(p_j)$, and $p_j = \Pr[b_i = j]$. It is clearly that the infinite sum converges and it is dominated by $\Pr[b_i = 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(b_i) &\leq 2 \cdot -\left(\frac{n}{2m}\right)^{50} \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{n}{2m}\right)^{50} \\ &= 2 \cdot \left(\frac{2m}{n}\right)^{-50} \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{2m}{n}\right)^{50} \\ &< 2 \cdot 2^{-50} \cdot \frac{n}{m} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-49} \cdot (50 \log_2 \frac{m}{n} + 50) \\ &< 100 \cdot 2^{-50} \cdot \frac{n}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $y = \frac{m}{n} \geq 1$, then $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-49} \cdot (50 \log_2 \frac{m}{n} + 50) \leq 50$ is naturally. Moreover, by the subadditivity of entropy, we have

$$H((b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m H(b_i) < \epsilon n, \quad \epsilon = 100 \cdot 2^{-50}.$$

If we assume a random variable Z has no value with probability greater than 2^{-t} , then $H(Z) \geq t$. In contrapositive form, there exists a particular

m -tuple (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) . So that

$$\Pr[(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)] \geq 2^{-\varepsilon n}.$$

Probability space is composed of the 2^n possible coloring χ . Thus there is a set C' consisting of at least $2^{(1-\varepsilon)n}$ colorings $\chi : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$, and all have the same value (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) . If we choose any $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C'$, and $\chi_1(X) \neq \chi_2(X)$, we can only get C_n^2 possibility. Because of $2^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \gg n$, it is easily to find the colorings χ_1, χ_2 satisfy $\chi_1(X) = \chi_2(X)$ in C' .

By Lemma 4, we put $C' = D$ and get $r = \alpha n$, as long as $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $2^{H(\alpha)} \leq 2^{1-\varepsilon}$. We can bound

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha n} \binom{n}{i} \leq 2^{n \cdot H(\alpha)} \leq 2^{n(1-\varepsilon)} = |C'|.$$

For x small, $H(1/2 - x) \sim 1 - (2/\ln 2)x^2$, so $x \leq (\frac{\ln 2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon)^{1/2} = 1.75 \times 10^{-7}$, then we can take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3.5 \times 10^{-7})$. Thus C' has diameter at least $(1 - 3.5 \times 10^{-7})n$.

Let $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C'$ be $\rho(\chi_1, \chi_2) \geq |X|/2$ and satisfy $\chi_1(X) = \chi_2(X)$, we set $\chi = (\chi_1 - \chi_2)/2$ is a partial coloring of X . $\chi(a) = 0$ if and only if $\chi_1(a) = \chi_2(a)$ coordinate a , which occurs for $n - \rho(\chi_1, \chi_2) < |X|/2$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the colorings χ_1, χ_2 yield the same value b_i , which means that $\chi_1(S_i), \chi_2(S_i)$ lie on a common interval of length $2C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i| \ln \frac{2m}{n}}$. Thus,

$$|\chi(S_i)| = \left| \frac{\chi_1(S_i) - \chi_2(S_i)}{2} \right| \leq C \cdot \sqrt{|S_i| \ln \frac{2m}{n}},$$

as desired. And we also have

$$\chi(X) = \frac{\chi_1(X) - \chi_2(X)}{2} = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

We also need another definition and lemma.

Definition 2 ([9]) An l -transversal of hypergraphs (X, S) is a set $T \subseteq X$ such that $|T \cap S| \geq l$ for all $S \in S$.

Lemma 5 ([9]) Let (X, S) be a hypergraph with n vertices and m edges, such that all edges have size at least s , and let $l \leq \frac{s}{2}$. Then there exists an l -transversal for (X, S) of size at most

$$\frac{2l}{s} \cdot n + \frac{l}{e^{l/4}} \cdot m.$$

3 Main results

The following theorem 6 was posed by Füredi and Mubayi in [3]. Matoušek [9] proved a special case ($m = n$) of this result, which easily leads to his conclusion $\gamma_s = O(\frac{n}{\sqrt{r}})$.

Theorem 6 *For a hypergraph (X, S) with n vertices, m edges set and every edge has at least r vertices, and r is sufficiently large, then*

$$\text{dom}(H) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m).$$

Indeed, when both n and r are sufficiently large (otherwise, we can put $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$, then $\gamma_i^s = O(\frac{n}{\sqrt{r}})$), we can apply Theorem 6 and Lemma 1 to the open neighborhood hypergraph and get the following obvious result.

Theorem 7 *For any graph G on n vertices with minimum degree r , the signed total domination number of G , $\gamma_i^s = O(\frac{n}{\sqrt{r}})$.*

Proof of the Theorem 6. We only need prove that there exists a mapping $\chi : V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ satisfying $\chi(V) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m)$ and $\chi(S) := \sum_{x \in S} \chi(x) \geq 1$.

We can define the coloring χ by an iterative procedure. Let $X_1 = X$ and then execute the following step for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ until the coloring χ is fully defined. For i^{th} step, we know $X_i \subseteq X$ and suppose that the values of χ have been defined on $X \setminus X_i$ and $\chi(X \setminus X_i)$ satisfies the desired conditions, i.e.,

$$\chi(X \setminus X_i) \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m),$$

where C_1 is constant.

We consider the following two cases

Case 1. $|X_i| = n_i \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m)$. We can define $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X_i$, then

$$\chi(X) = \chi(X \setminus X_i) + \chi(X_i) \leq \frac{C_1 + 1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m),$$

$C = C_1 + 1$ is constant.

Case 2. $|X_i| = n_i > \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m)$.

Firstly, we will outline the main ideas of this case and then we will go to the details. Let \mathcal{S}_i be the set system \mathcal{S} restricted to X_i . For the hypergraph (X_i, \mathcal{S}_i) , we firstly find a suitable small enough subset $T_i \subseteq X_i$, which intersects all large enough sets in \mathcal{S}_i in sufficient points, and we define $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in T_i$. Then we obtain the hypergraph (X'_i, \mathcal{S}'_i) , and $X'_i = X_i \setminus T_i$, \mathcal{S}'_i is the set system \mathcal{S}_i restricted to the set X_i . We apply Lemma 2 to (X'_i, \mathcal{S}'_i) , finding a substantial partial coloring $\chi_i, \chi_i : X'_i \rightarrow \{-1, 0, +1\}$, with $\chi_i(X'_i) = 0$ and with $|\chi_i(S'_i)| \leq C \cdot \sqrt{|S'_i| \cdot \ln(2m'/n'_i)}$ for all $S'_i \in \mathcal{S}'_i$, where C is a sufficiently large constant and $\mathcal{S}_i = m' \leq m$, $n'_i = |X'_i| \leq n_i$. Suppose Y_i is the set of all points of X'_i where $\chi_i(x) \neq 0$, and we define $\chi(x) = \chi_i(x)$ for all $x \in Y_i$. If $x \notin Y_i$, put $X_{i+1} = X'_i \setminus Y_i$, then we go to the next step.

In fact, because of the definition of substantial partial coloring, we can find a integer q making the $q + 1$ step remain at most $\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m)$ points. And we can define the coloring of every point by $+1$'s. After $q + 1$ step, we can obtain a fully coloring $\chi : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$.

Now, let us describe the choice of the transversal T_i to finish the all procedure. We put $r_i = r \cdot \frac{n_i}{n}$, $s_{ij} = 2^j \cdot r_i$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{ij} = \{S \in \mathcal{S}_i : s_{ij} \leq |S| < 2s_{ij}\}.$$

Then $r_i = r \cdot \frac{n_i}{n}$, so

$$n_i > \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m) > \frac{n}{\sqrt{r}},$$

and

$$\ln \frac{2m}{n_i} < \ln 2\sqrt{r} < \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln r,$$

thus

$$C \cdot \sqrt{s_{ij} \ln \frac{2m}{n_i}} < C \cdot \sqrt{s_{ij} \cdot (\ln 2 + \ln r/2)} \leq C_2 \cdot \sqrt{s_{ij}},$$

C_2 is sufficiently large. Let $s = s_{ij}$, $l = l_{ij} = C_2 \sqrt{s_{ij}}$,

$$s_{ij} = 2^j \cdot r_i = 2^j \cdot r \cdot \frac{n_i}{n} \geq 2^j \cdot r \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} = 2^j \cdot \sqrt{r} \geq \sqrt{r},$$

and r is sufficiently large, we know that $l_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2} s_{ij}$. From Lemma 5, it follows that

$$|T_{ij}| \leq \frac{2l_{ij}}{s_{ij}} \cdot n_i + \frac{l_{ij}}{e^{l_{ij}/4}} \cdot m.$$

Now we estimate this formula. Note that $l_{ij} = C_2 \sqrt{s_{ij}} \geq C_2 \cdot 2^{j/2} \cdot r^{1/4}$. One can know

$$\frac{l_{ij}}{e^{l_{ij}/4}} \cdot m < \frac{m}{l_{ij}^4} \leq \frac{m}{2^{2j} \cdot r} \quad (\text{by Talyor series}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2l_{ij}}{s_{ij}} \cdot n_i &= \frac{2C_2\sqrt{s_{ij}}}{s_{ij}} \cdot n_i = 2C_2 \cdot \frac{n_i}{\sqrt{s_{ij}}} = 2C_2 \cdot \frac{n_i}{2^{j/2} \cdot r_i^{1/2}} \\ &\leq 2C_2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{r}}{2^{j/2}} \cdot \frac{n_i}{r_i} = 2C_2 \cdot \frac{n}{2^{j/2} \cdot \sqrt{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $T_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{ij}$. By the above formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_i| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |T_{ij}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2C_2 \frac{n}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^j + (1/4)^j \cdot \frac{m}{r} \\ &= 2C_2 \frac{n}{\sqrt{r}} (1 + \sqrt{2}) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{r}} \cdot \frac{m}{\sqrt{r}} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m), \end{aligned}$$

where $K = \max\{2C_2(1 + \sqrt{2}), \frac{1}{3\sqrt{r}}\}$. Since $\chi(Y_i) = 0$ for all i , it will not have an effect on the values of $\chi(X)$, so we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X) = \chi(X_i) + \chi(X \setminus X_i) &\leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m) + \sum_{i=1}^q |T_i| \\ &\leq \frac{Kq + C_1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (n + m), \end{aligned}$$

$C = Kq + C_1$ is a constant.

Next we will demonstrate that χ has another property, i.e.,

$$\chi(S) > 0,$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, q\}$. Then

$$\chi(S) \geq \sum_{i=1}^q |S \cap T_i| - \sum_{i=1}^q \chi_i(S \cap Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^q (|S \cap T_i| - \chi_i(S \cap Y_i)),$$

hence, we have $|S \cap T_i| = |S \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{ij}| \geq |S \cap T_{ij}| \geq l_{ij}$, and $\chi_i(S \cap Y_i) \leq$

$$C \sqrt{|S'_i| \ln \frac{2m'}{n'_i}} < C_2 \sqrt{s_{ij}} = l_{ij}, \text{ so } \chi(S) > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

References

- [1] N. Alon, J. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1993.

- [2] J. Dunbar, S.T. Hedetniemi, M.A. Henning, P.J. Slater, Signed domination in graphs, *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications*, Vol.1, Wiley, New York, 1995, pp. 311-322.
- [3] Z. Füredi and D. Mubayi, Signed domination in regular graphs and set-systems, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **76** (1999), 223-229.
- [4] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [5] M.A. Henning, Dominating function in graphs, *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Vol.II, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1998, pp. 31-62.
- [6] M.A. Henning, Graphs with large total domination number, *J. Graph Theory* **35** (1) (2000), 21-45.
- [7] M.A. Henning, Signed total domination in graphs, *Discrete Math.* **278** (2004), 109-125.
- [8] J. Matoušek, On the signed domination in graphs, *Combinatorica* **20** (2000), 103-108.
- [9] J. Matoušek, On the signed domination in graphs, manuscript.
- [10] J. Spencer, Six standard deviation suffice, *Trans. Amer Math. Soc.* **289** (1985), 679-706.
- [11] B. Zelinka, Signed total domination number of a graph, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **51** (2001), 225-229.
- [12] J. Beck, Roth's estimate on the discrepancy of integer sequences is nearly sharp, *Combinatorica* **1**(4) (1981), 319-325.