

COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON THE FOCK SPACE OF VECTOR-VALUED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

SEI-ICHIRO UEKI

ABSTRACT. In this note, we study some properties of the composition operator C_φ on the Fock space \mathcal{F}_X^2 of X -valued analytic functions in \mathbb{C} . We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 to be a composition operator and for the adjoint operator of a composition operator to be also a composition operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . We also give characterizations of normal, unitary and co-isometric composition operators on \mathcal{F}_X^2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let X be a separable Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ and $H_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of all X -valued analytic functions defined in \mathbb{C} . For properties of X -valued analytic functions, see [4]. The X -valued Fock space \mathcal{F}_X^2 over \mathbb{C} is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_X^2 := \left\{ f \in H_X(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \|f(z)\|_X^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) < \infty \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_X = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X}$ and dm denotes the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. \mathcal{F}_X^2 is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z).$$

Recently Carswell, MacCluer and Schuster [1] or Guo and Izuchi [2] studied the composition operator on the scalar-valued Fock space. Stević [6] and the author [7, 8, 9, 10] have considered the weighted composition operator on the Fock spaces and related spaces. In studies on these type of operators, the main subject is to describe operator theoretic properties of them in terms of function theoretic properties of their including functions. Some authors have studied composition operators on vector-valued analytic function spaces defined on the unit disk in \mathbb{C} ([3, 5]). In [5], Sharma and Bhanu gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded operator on

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B33; Secondary 46E22, 46E40.

Key words and phrases. composition operators, Fock spaces, vector-valued analytic function.

the vector-valued Hardy space to be a composition operator. They also characterized the holomorphic self map of the unit disk that the adjoint operator of a composition operator is also a composition operator. The purpose of this note is to consider the problems of Sharma and Bhanu about a composition operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ be an orthonormal basis for X . For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define the vector-valued functions $e_{m,n} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ as follows

$$e_{m,n}(z) = \frac{z^m}{\sqrt{2^m \Gamma(m+1)}} e_n \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Proposition 1. $\{e_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{F}_X^2 .

Proof. Since $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis for X , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle e_{m,n}, e_{k,l} \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+k} \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(k+1)}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \bar{z}^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) & \text{if } n = l, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq l, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for $m, n, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. When $n = l$, a polar coordinate shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+k} \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(k+1)}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \bar{z}^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^m \Gamma(m+1)} \int_0^\infty r^{2m+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr = 1 & \text{if } m = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2), we see that $\{e_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an orthonormal subset of \mathcal{F}_X^2 . In order to prove that $\{e_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{F}_X^2 , we suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$ and $\langle f, e_{n,m} \rangle = 0$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\bar{z}^m}{\sqrt{2^m \Gamma(m+1)}} \langle f(z), e_n \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Since $\{z^m / \sqrt{2^m \Gamma(m+1)} : m \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the (scalar-valued) Fock space \mathcal{F}^2 and $\langle f(\cdot), e_n \rangle_X$ is in \mathcal{F}^2 , we have $\langle f(\cdot), e_n \rangle_X = 0$ in \mathbb{C} . Moreover $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis for X , and so $f \equiv 0$. That is, $\{e_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{F}_X^2 . \square

Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $I_z(f)(w) = e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4} + \frac{\langle w, z \rangle}{2}} f(w - z)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$. By using a change of variables, we see that I_z is a unitary operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 and $I_z^{-1} = I_{-z}$. These properties and the subharmonicity of $\|f(\cdot)\|_X^2$ show the inequality $\|f(z)\|_X \leq e^{\frac{|z|^2}{4}} \|f\|$ (see e.g.[7]). This implies that the

point evaluation is bounded on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define $E_z^j(f) = \langle f(z), e_j \rangle_X$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$. The boundedness of the point evaluation shows that E_z^j is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . By Riesz's representation theorem, there exists $K_z^j \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$ such that $E_z^j(f) = \langle f, K_z^j \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$. We designate K_z^j as the reproducing kernel functions for \mathcal{F}_X^2 . By Proposition 1, we can get the concrete formula for K_z^j .

Proposition 2. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, K_z^j is given by

$$K_z^j(w) = e_j \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\bar{z}w}{2}\right) \quad (w \in \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|K_z^j\| = e^{\frac{|z|^2}{4}}.$$

3. COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON \mathcal{F}_X^2

We begin this section by giving a necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 to be a composition operator.

Theorem 1. Suppose that T is a bounded operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . Then T is a composition operator if and only if for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a unique $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T^*K_z^j = K_w^j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. If $T = C_\varphi$ for some holomorphic map φ on \mathbb{C} , then for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we obtain that $\langle f, T^*K_z^j \rangle = \langle C_\varphi f, K_z^j \rangle = E_z^j(C_\varphi f) = \langle f(\varphi(z)), e_j \rangle_X = E_{\varphi(z)}^j(f) = \langle f, K_{\varphi(z)}^j \rangle$, and so $T^*K_z^j = K_{\varphi(z)}^j$.

Conversely, suppose that for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a unique $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T^*K_z^j = K_w^j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then define the map φ on \mathbb{C} by $\varphi(z) = w$. Furthermore, $\frac{\varphi(z)}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(2)}} = \langle \frac{\varphi(z)}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(2)}} e_1, e_1 \rangle_X = E_{\varphi(z)}^1(e_{1,1}) = \langle e_{1,1}, K_{\varphi(z)}^1 \rangle = \langle e_{1,1}, T^*K_z^1 \rangle = \langle Te_{1,1}, K_z^1 \rangle = E_z^1(Te_{1,1}) = \langle Te_{1,1}(z), e_1 \rangle_X$. This implies that φ is a holomorphic map on \mathbb{C} . For each $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we obtain $\langle Tf(z), e_j \rangle_X = \langle Tf, K_z^j \rangle = \langle f, T^*K_z^j \rangle = \langle f, K_{\varphi(z)}^j \rangle = E_{\varphi(z)}^j(f) = \langle f(\varphi(z)), e_j \rangle_X$. Since $\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis for X , we see that $\langle Tf(z), x \rangle_X = \langle f(\varphi(z)), x \rangle_X$ for all $x \in X$, and so $T = C_\varphi$. \square

From now on, till the end of this chapter, we suppose that φ is a holomorphic map on \mathbb{C} with C_φ is a operator from \mathcal{F}_X^2 to \mathcal{F}_X^2 .

Theorem 2. C_φ^* is a composition operator if and only if $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. First we assume that $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ for some holomorphic map ψ on \mathbb{C} . Let $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Since

$$a_n r^n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(re^{it}) e^{-int} dt$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $0 < r < \infty$, we have

$$a_n r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(re^{it}) (re^{-it})^n dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \varphi(re^{it}) e_1, (re^{-it})^n e_1 \rangle_X dt.$$

Combining a polar coordinate with this, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle \varphi(z)e_1, z^n e_1 \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) = a_n \int_0^\infty r^{2n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr, \quad (4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

On the other hand, it follows from $\frac{\varphi(z)}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(2)}}e_1 = C_\varphi e_{1,1}(z)$, $\frac{z^n}{2^n \Gamma(n+1)}e_1 = e_{n,1}(z)$ and $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle \varphi(z)e_1, z^n e_1 \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle C_\varphi e_{1,1}(z), e_{n,1}(z) \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \\ &= \langle C_\varphi e_{1,1}, e_{n,1} \rangle = \langle e_{1,1}, C_\varphi^* e_{n,1} \rangle = \langle e_{1,1}, C_\psi e_{n,1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle e_{1,1}(z), C_\psi e_{n,1}(z) \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle ze_1, \psi(z)^n e_1 \rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{z\psi(z)^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \\ &= \int_0^\infty r^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} \overline{\psi(re^{it})^n} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By (4) and (5), we have

$$a_n \int_0^\infty r^{2n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr = \int_0^\infty r^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} \overline{\psi(re^{it})^n} dt, \quad (6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$, we see that $\langle f, C_\psi K_0^j \rangle = \langle C_\varphi f, K_0^j \rangle = E_0^j(C_\varphi f) = \langle f(\varphi(0)), e_j \rangle_X = \langle f, K_{\varphi(0)}^j \rangle$, and so $C_\psi K_0^j = K_{\varphi(0)}^j$ on \mathbb{C} . By Proposition 2, we also see that $C_\psi K_0^j(z) = K_0^j(\psi(z)) = e_j$. Thus we obtain $\|K_{\varphi(0)}^j\| = 1$. Since $\|K_{\varphi(0)}^j\| = \exp(\frac{|\varphi(0)|^2}{4})$ by Proposition 2, we have $\exp(\frac{|\varphi(0)|^2}{4}) = 1$, and so $a_0 = 0$. By the same argument, we also obtain $b_0 = 0$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$, the first n Taylor coefficients of φ^n and ψ^n are zero. If $\psi^n(z) = \sum_{k=n}^\infty B_k z^k$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-it} \psi(re^{it})^n dt = \begin{cases} B_1 r & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

for all $0 < r < \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Since $B_1 = b_1$, we have for each $0 < r < \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} \overline{\psi(re^{it})^n} dt = \begin{cases} \overline{b_1} r & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

By (6) and (7) we see that

$$a_n \int_0^\infty r^{2n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr = \begin{cases} \overline{b_1} \int_0^\infty r^3 e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

for all $0 < r < \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\int_0^\infty r^3 e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr \neq 0$, we see that $a_1 = \overline{b_1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. That is, $\varphi(z) = a_1 z$.

Conversely, we assume that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $\psi(z) = \overline{\alpha}z$. It is clear that ψ is a holomorphic map on \mathbb{C} . Take $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By Proposition 2, we see that $(C_\varphi^*)^* K_z^j(w) = K_z^j(\alpha w) = e_j \cdot \exp(\frac{\overline{z} \cdot \alpha w}{2}) = K_{\overline{\alpha}z}^j(w) = K_{\psi(z)}^j(w)$, for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 1 that C_φ^* is a composition operator and $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$. \square

Theorem 3. C_φ is a normal operator if and only if $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Suppose that C_φ is normal, we have that $\|C_\varphi^* K_0^j\| = \|C_\varphi K_0^j\|$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $C_\varphi K_0^j(z) = K_0^j(\varphi(z)) = e_j$ and $\|K_{\varphi(0)}^j\| = \exp(\frac{|\varphi(0)|^2}{4})$ by Proposition 2, we have $\exp(\frac{|\varphi(0)|^2}{4}) = \|K_{\varphi(0)}^j\| = \|C_\varphi^* K_0^j\| = \|C_\varphi K_0^j\| = 1$. That is, $a_0 = 0$ where $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$. As in the proof of (7), we see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} \overline{\varphi(re^{it})}^m dt = \begin{cases} \overline{a_1} r & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} z \cdot \overline{\varphi(z)}^m e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\ 2\Gamma(2)\overline{a_1} & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Parseval's identity and (8) show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi^* e_{1,1}\|^2 &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} |(C_\varphi^* e_{1,1}, e_{m,n})|^2 = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} |(e_{1,1}, C_\varphi e_{m,n})|^2 \\ &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(2)}} z e_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m \Gamma(m+1)}} \varphi(z)^m e_n \right\rangle_X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+1} \Gamma(2) \Gamma(m+1)}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} z \cdot \overline{\varphi(z)}^m e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \right|^2 = |a_1|^2. \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Fubini's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi^* e_{1,1}\|^2 &= \|C_\varphi e_{1,1}\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \|e_{1,1}(\varphi(z))\|_X^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\varphi(z)|^2}{2\Gamma(2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} dm(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(2)} \int_0^\infty r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j r^j e^{ijt} \right\} \overline{\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k r^k e^{ikt} \right\}} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(2)} \sum_{j=0}^\infty |a_j|^2 2^j \Gamma(2) = |a_1|^2 + \sum_{j \geq 2} 2^{j-1} |a_j|^2. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (9) and (10) imply that $a_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. Hence $\varphi(z) = a_1 z$.

Conversely, we suppose that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. By Theorem 2, we obtain $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Hence we have $C_\varphi C_\varphi^* f(z) = f(\psi \circ \varphi(z)) = f(|\alpha|^2 z) = f(\varphi \circ \psi(z)) = C_\psi^* C_\psi f(z)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies that C_φ is a normal operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . \square

Corollary 1. C_φ is self-adjoint if and only if $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If C_φ is self-adjoint, then C_φ is normal. Hence, by Theorem 3, we have $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 2 that $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. That is, $C_\varphi = C_\psi$ on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . Since $e_{1,1} \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$, we see that $\frac{\varphi(z)}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(2)}} e_1 = C_\varphi e_{1,1}(z) = C_\psi e_{1,1}(z) = \frac{\psi(z)}{\sqrt{2\Gamma(2)}} e_1$, and so $\varphi = \psi$ in \mathbb{C} . This implies that $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$, that is, α is real.

Conversely, we assume that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 2 we have $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z = \bar{\alpha}z = \psi(z)$ and so $C_\varphi = C_\psi$. Thus $C_\varphi^* = C_\varphi$, that is, C_φ is self-adjoint. \square

Theorem 4. C_φ is unitary if and only if $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Proof. If C_φ is unitary, then it is normal. Hence Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 show that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ and $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Take $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $C_\varphi C_\varphi^* = id_{\mathcal{F}_X^2}$ (the identity operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2), we obtain $K_z^j(|\alpha|^2 w) = K_z^j(\psi \circ \varphi(w)) = C_\varphi C_\psi K_z^j(w) = C_\varphi C_\varphi^* K_z^j(w) = K_z^j(w)$ for each $w \in \mathbb{C}$. By Proposition 2, we see that $e_j \cdot \exp(\frac{\bar{z}|\alpha|^2 w}{2}) = e_j \cdot \exp(\frac{\bar{z}w}{2})$, and $|\alpha| = 1$.

Conversely, we suppose that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$. Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 imply that C_φ is normal and $C_\varphi^* = C_\psi$ where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Therefore, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $C_\varphi C_\varphi^* f(z) = f(\psi \circ \varphi(z)) = f(|\alpha|^2 z) = f(z)$, that is, $C_\varphi C_\varphi^* = id_{\mathcal{F}_X^2}$. The normality of C_φ shows that C_φ is unitary. \square

A bounded operator T on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be *co-isometry* if and only if the adjoint T^* is isometry of \mathcal{H} . It is easily see that a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} is co-isometry. The following theorem present the characterization of a co-isometric composition operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 .

Theorem 5. C_φ is co-isometry if and only if $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Proof. First we assume that C_φ is co-isometry. Since C_φ^* is isometry, we have $\|C_\varphi^* f\| = \|f\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$. Proposition 2 shows that $\exp(\frac{|\varphi(z)|^2}{4}) = \|K_{\varphi(z)}^j\| = \|C_\varphi^* K_z^j\| = \|K_z^j\| = \exp(\frac{|z|^2}{4})$, and so $|\varphi(z)| = |z|$. Thus there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$ such that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$.

Conversely, we assume that $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$. By Theorem 4, we see that C_φ is a unitary operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 and so $\|C_\varphi f\| = \|f\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$. Moreover, the unitarity of C_φ implies that C_φ is normal. So $\|C_\varphi f\| = \|C_\varphi^* f\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$. Hence $\|C_\varphi^* f\| = \|f\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_X^2$. This completes the proof. \square

It follows from an elementary calculation that the entire function $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ with $|\alpha| = 1$ induces a surjective composition operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 . Hence, the following result is an immediately consequence of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5.

Corollary 2. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (a) C_φ is a unitary operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 .
- (b) C_φ is a co-isometry operator on \mathcal{F}_X^2 .
- (c) $\varphi(z) = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] B.J. Carswell, B.D. MacCluer and A. Schuster, Composition operators on the Fock space, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **69** (2003), 871–887.
- [2] K. Guo and K. Izuchi, Composition operators on Fock type spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **74** (2008), 807–828.
- [3] P. Liu, E. Saksman and H.-O. Tylli, Small composition operators on analytic vector-valued function spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **184** (1998), 295–309.
- [4] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Topics in Hardy Classes and Univalent Functions*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1994.
- [5] S.D. Sharma and U. Bhanu, Composition operators on vector-valued Hardy spaces, *Extracta Math.*, **14** (1999), 31–39.
- [6] S. Stević, Weighted composition operators between Fock-type spaces in \mathbb{C}^N , *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **215** (2009), 2750–2760.
- [7] S. Ueki, Weighted composition operator on the Fock space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135** (2007), 1405–1410.
- [8] S. Ueki, Hilbert-Schmidt weighted composition operator on the Fock space, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **1** (2007), 769–774.
- [9] S. Ueki, Weighted composition operators on the Bargmann-Fock spaces, *Int. J. Mod. Math.*, **3** (2008), 231–243.
- [10] S. Ueki, Weighted composition operators on some function spaces of entire functions, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, **17** (2010), 343–353.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, HITACHI 316 - 8511, JAPAN
E-mail address: sei-ueki@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp